Reject/ereject
(draft-ietf-sieve-refuse-reject-07.txt)

• Open Issues after IETF LC/IESG review:
  – SecDir review: return-path verification is underspecified
    • The document suggests discarding message when return-path verification fails
    • Suggestion to add a requirement to log such cases, in order to prevent mail from silently disappearing
    • Add some examples of return-path verification techniques?

  – Many people: “silent upgrade” of reject to ereject

  – SecDir review: “Reason text uses UTF-8 to align an SMTP language extension spec. The reason text value may be inappropriate even if the client and server negotiate the use of an SMTP extension (i.e., a different language may be used by the client/server). Should UTF-8 be used here without a normative reference to support it?”

  – Arnt: allow sending DSNs instead of MDNs when appropriate (e.g. when reject is done based on a sysadmin instructions)
iHave

• draft-freed-sieve-ihave-01.txt
• Recent changes
  – Added the “error” action that causes a runtime error with the specified text
  – Updated references to published RFCs
Notary

- draft-freed-sieve-notary-01.txt
- WGLC ended
  - Some typos found
  - Suggestion to add ORCPT example
Sieve representation in XML

- draft-freed-sieve-in-xml-01.txt - expired
- Recent changes:
  - Sieve comments are now represented as `<comment>` XML element, instead of XML comments
  - Updated references to published RFCs
- Ned said that RelaxNG grammar is missing, but otherwise ready for WGLC
ManageSieve

• draft-ietf-sieve-managesieve-01.txt

• Open Issues:
  – Make RENAME, CHECKSCRIPT and NOOP one extension?
    – Chris Newman: Allow URIs in PUTSCRIPT
      • Should this be an extension?
  – Is OWNER capability needed?
  – SCRAM as the mandatory-to-implement SASL mechanism
METADATA

- draft-melnikov-sieve-imapext-metadata-04.txt
- In WGLC now
  - Some older comments from Philip regarding dropping metadata existence check test
- Please review the document!!!