

ForCES WG Status

Forwarding and Control Element Separation

Jamal Hadi Salim <hadi@mojatatu.com>

Documents in IESG queue

- Model document (Proposed Standard)
 - V17 released Oct 2008
 - Sitting in RFC editor queue
- Protocol document (Proposed Standard)
 - V22 March 2009 in RFC editor queue
 - IANA waiting for our response
- MIB document (Proposed Standard)
 - V10 Sep 2008 in RFC editor queue
 - IANA waiting for our response

Protocol Issues Raised By IESG

- Major concession was to mention ***by name*** at least one TML
 - Reason is: need a TML to implement protocol
 - ***Mandatory TML to implement*** defined as SCTP TML
 - ***This means Protocol, Model and MIB will sit in the RFC editor queue until SCTP TML is done***

Protocol Issues Raised By IESG

- Security
 - More explicit on threats
 - TML is required to explain how the issues are resolved
- *Node overload prevention* TML requirement
 - Superset of earlier *DoS prevention* requirement

Acknowledgements

- Joel Halpern
 - Joel was instrumental in getting us here
- Ross Callon, RTG AD
 - Patience, guidance and support

Documents Need to be completed

- Applicability statement (Informational)
 - We should get this out before IETF75
- TML (Proposed Standard)
 - SCTP TML in progress
 - Need to get done +/- Interop
- Formal definition of controlled objects
 - We need to define a few LFBs (Informational)
 - Scope will need discussion with ADs

Whither ForCES?

- 3 core drafts waiting for SCTP TML
- Applicability statement
 - To be re-released soon after IETF74
 - Please help comment
- TML needed by Interop time
- Interop before Stockholm
- Some basic LFBs (Informational)
- Implementation report
- Any New Work is out of scope

Whither ForCES?

- IMPLEMENT NOW!!
- Come to the interop!