

Use of DNS SRV and NAPTR

Current Status:

- Version -05 released on March 5, 2009
- Plan to incorporate changes, based on feedback collected here at IETF-74.
- Plan to submit version -06, then move to WGLC if all major issues are closed.



Open Questions for the WG:

- 1 – Please read the I-D (again?) as we want to issue a WGLC soon.
- 2 – Re: SBE1 and SBE2 which are involved in the session peering, support a set of protocols and have list of preferences for these protocols. UDP, TCP and TLS **MUST** be supported by these proxies [Section 3].

Q: J.Elwell: Should be only **MUST** for TLS? Why **UDP** and **TCP**?

Use of DNS SRV and NAPTR

Open Issues:

1 – Please read the I-D (again?) as we want to issue a WGLC soon.

2 – Abstract: Need to resolve whether we can have an XREF in this section (xml2rfc error)

3 – Section 3, below Figure 1

Re: SBE1 and SBE2 which are involved in the session peering, support a set of protocols and have list of preferences for these protocols. UDP, TCP and TLS MUST be supported by these proxies.

Q: J.Elwell: Should be only MUST for TLS? Why UDP and TCP?



Use of DNS SRV and NAPTR

Open Issues:

4 – Section 3, after Figure 3

Should we add a call flow for indirect peering?



5 – Section 3.1, see note – any comments?

6 – Section 3.2, after Figure 4

Reaction / discussion to John Elwell's comments?

7 – Section 3.4

Should we say **MUST**?

Use of DNS SRV and NAPTR

Open Issues:

8 – Section 4.1 and 4.2

Do we need call flows?

9 – Section 4.2

Any reaction to Alex's comments?

