

AUTOCONF wg

IETF '76 - Hiroshima

Practicalities

- Jabber:
<http://jabber.ietf.org/>
autoconf@jabber.ietf.org
- Audiocast:
<http://tools.ietf.org/agenda/76/>
Please state name CLEARLY at mike

Agenda

- Notes takers, blue sheets, agenda bash
(5 min, Chairs)
- WG status update
(5 min, Chairs)
- Background (brief) on MANET interfaces and wireless communication
(15 min, Perkins / Baccelli)
- Ad Hoc Network Addressing Model
 - draft-ietf-autoconf-adhoc-addr-model
(Baccelli / Townsley)
 - draft-bernardos-autoconf-addressing-model
(Barnardos / 't Velt)
- The Road Ahead
(Chairs)

WG Status Update (I)

- DT document:
 - draft-baccelli-autoconf-addr-model
 - discussed in Stockholm, issues addressed on autoconf@ietf.org
- Adopted as WG document:
 - draft-ietf-autoconf-addr-model-00.txt
 - BASELINE for moving forward

WG Status Update (2)

Reminder: Previous Consensus

- Link Local Addresses
IETF'75 (& list afterwards):
“[autoconf] shall not concern LL addresses, and due warning shall be given”
- /32 and /128 Prefix Lengths
IETF'67 (& list afterwards)
“MANET interfaces shall be configured such that no other interfaces are postulated to be within same subnet”

WG Status Update (3)

Issues raised recently on list

- Interfaces concerned by [autoconf]
(Which? What?)
Not going down the rathole of a link/interface description
- Prefix Lengths
- Link Local Addresses - use discouraged?
(On which interfaces? Why?)

Note: on the first two, consensus has been established.
Are clarifications needed in I-D?