
Configuration Data Model for IPFIX and PSAMP

`draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-04`

Gerhard Münz, Benoit Claise, Paul Aitken

76th IETF Meeting, Hiroshima, 2009

Changes in -04

- ▶ Additional information in UML class diagrams:
 - model-specified default values (e.g., `ipfixVersion = 10`)
 - parameters which are set by device if not configured by user labeled as `{opt.}`
 - optional parameters indicated by multiplicity `[0..1]` or `[0..*]`
 - restricted parameter usage/availability (e.g., `{SCTP only}`)
 - state parameters labeled as `{readOnly}`

Changes in -04

► PSAMP parameters:

- priority on conformance with PSAMP RFCs, not with outdated PSAMP MIB structure
 - ▶ Example: match filter configured for single value IE value (as in Selector Report Interpretation). The PSAMP MIB still contains range
- removed samplers and filters which are not mentioned RFC5476:
 - ▶ non-uniform probabilistic sampling
 - ▶ flow state sampling
 - ▶ router state filtering
 - ➔ can be standardized later or added as vendor-specific extensions
- parameter names consistent with PSAMP information model
- missing parameter descriptions added

Changes in -04 (cont'd)

- ▶ **SCTP parameters:**
 - no use case to configure (un)ordered delivery per Transport Session
 - **orderedDelivery** removed
- ▶ **Options Templates:**
 - removed possibility to configure individual Options Template fields
→ no use case, let the Monitoring Device choose an appropriate Template

Changes in -04 (cont'd)

- ▶ **IDs:**
 - `observationPointId`, `selectionSequenceId`, `selectorId`, are state parameters now (i.e., not configurable any more)
 - ➔ no use case to configure the Ids but configurable description names exist
 - ➔ simplifies the data model specification
 - ➔ Monitoring Device does not have to check consistency of user input wrt. uniqueness/scope of ID values
- ▶ **Cache parameters:**
 - clarified meaning of `activeTimeout`, `inactiveTimeout` for different Cache Modes

Next Steps

- ▶ No more open issues
 - Except a YANG bug correction (Andy Bierman)
- ▶ Are we ready for WGLC?
 - From an IPFIX point of view, yes
 - From a YANG point of view, we don't know