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Introduction and Progress Report

Mulé/Ott
Working Group Status (1)

• No RFC Published since Stockholm

• RFC Editor queue
  – draft-ietf-mmmusic-ice-19

• IESG Evaluation
  – draft-ietf-mmmusic-connectivity-precon-06
  – draft-ietf-mmmusic-rfc3388bis-04

• IESG Evaluation, revised ID needed
  – draft-ietf-mmmusic-sdp-capability-negotiation-10
    Update: ID revision expected before end of December 2009
Working Group Status (2)

• WGLC completed, update needed
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-media-path-middleboxes-02
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc4756bis-05

• Ready for WGLC?
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-media-capabilities-08

• Revised ID still needed
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-image-attributes-03
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-media-loopback-11

• Other Drafts
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc4566bis-02
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-rtsp-nat-08
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-cs-02
Working Group Status: ICE TCP (3)

• Current ICE TCP Internet-Draft
  – draft-ietf-mmusic-ice-tcp-08 posted
  – No changes since draft-06 in February 2008 (mostly ID “date refresh”)

• Recap of IETF#73
  – From WG minutes http://www.ietf.org/proceedings/73/minutes/mmusic.html
  – IDs presented: draft-lowe-kamp-mmusic-ice-tcp-framework-00 and draft-ietf-mmusic-ice-tcp-07
  – General consensus to define ICE-TCP as an extensible framework
    • Re-use the framework proposal and merge the two documents
    • List ICE TCP techniques without recommending one as ‘must implement’ for now
    • Idea: give developers some useful techniques to consider

• What’s next? A few options for discussion
  – Declare ICE-TCP dead and remove work item from WG charter
  – Work on draft-09 to reflect IETF#73 discussions and move towards WGLC
  – Other options or opinions?