

Multiparty Multimedia Session Control Working Group

76th IETF – Hiroshima

November 13, 2009

Please join the Jabber room:
mmusic@jabber.ietf.org



Intellectual Property

- When starting a presentation you **MUST** say if:
 - There is IPR associated with your draft
 - The restrictions listed in section 5 of RFC 3978/4748 apply to your draft
- When asking questions or commenting on a draft:
 - You **MUST** disclose any IPR you know of relating to the technology under discussion
- References:
 - RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879)
 - “Note Well” text

Agenda

- 09:00 Introduction and progress report (Chairs)
- 09:10 RTSP 2.0 (Magnus
Westerlund)
draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc2326bis-22
- 09:40 FEC Grouping Semantics in SDP (Ali Begen)
draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc4756bis-05
- 09:50 Port Mapping Between Unicast and Multicast RTP Sessions (Ali Begen)
draft-begen-avt-ports-
for-ucast-mcast-rtp-01

Introduction and Progress Report

Mulé/Ott

Working Group Status (1)

- No RFC Published since Stockholm
- RFC Editor queue
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-ice-19
- IESG Evaluation
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-connectivity-precon-06
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc3388bis-04
- IESG Evaluation, revised ID needed
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-capability-negotiation-10

Update: ID revision expected before end of December 2009

Working Group Status (2)

- WGLC completed, update needed
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-media-path-middleboxes-02
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc4756bis-05
- Ready for WGLC?
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-media-capabilities-08
- Revised ID still needed
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-image-attributes-03
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-media-loopback-11
- Other Drafts
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-rfc4566bis-02
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-rtsp-nat-08
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-cs-02

Working Group Status: ICE TCP (3)

- Current ICE TCP Internet-Draft
 - draft-ietf-mmusic-ice-tcp-08 posted
 - No changes since draft-06 in February 2008 (mostly ID “date refresh”)
- Recap of IETF#73
 - From WG minutes <http://www.ietf.org/proceedings/73/minutes/mmusic.html>
 - IDs presented: [draft-lowekamp-mmusic-ice-tcp-framework-00](#) and [draft-ietf-mmusic-ice-tcp-07](#)
 - General consensus to define ICE-TCP as an extensible framework
 - Re-use the framework proposal and merge the two documents
 - List ICE TCP techniques without recommending one as ‘must implement’ for now
 - Idea: give developers some useful techniques to consider
- What’s next? A few options for discussion
 - Declare ICE-TCP dead and remove work item from WG charter
 - Work on draft-09 to reflect IETF#73 discussions and move towards WGLC
 - Other options or opinions?