

# draft-ietf-dnsexst-dnssec-bis-updates-10

Samuel Weiler

IETF77, Anaheim

24 March 2010



**I E T F**<sup>®</sup>



## Changes Since -09

- Nested Trust Anchors
- Setting DO Bit on Replies
- Answering Queries with CD bit set

## Path Forward

- Document History
- Last call?



## Nested Trust Anchors

- ▶ Removed 2119 SHOULD.  
*“Which [trust anchor selection policy] to use is a matter of implementation choice. It is possible and perhaps advisable to expose the choice of policy as a configuration option.”*
- ▶ Added discussion of possibilities.



I E T F®

## Nested Trust Anchors

- ▶ Removed 2119 SHOULD.

*“Which [trust anchor selection policy] to use is a matter of implementation choice. It is possible and perhaps advisable to expose the choice of policy as a configuration option.”*

- ▶ Added discussion of possibilities.
- ▶ Left in a weak default recommendation:

*“As a default, we suggest that validators implement the “Accept Any Success” policy ... while exposing other policies as configuration options.”*



## Setting DO (DNSSEC OK) Bit on Replies

- ▶ Before: Authoritative servers may copy the setting of the DO bit from query to response. Or may set it arbitrarily. (From -04, October 2006.)



I E T F®

## Setting DO (DNSSEC OK) Bit on Replies

- ▶ Before: Authoritative servers may copy the setting of the DO bit from query to response. Or may set it arbitrarily. (From -04, October 2006.)
- ▶ Now: **MUST** copy, based on RFC3225.



I E T F®

## Setting DO (DNSSEC OK) Bit on Replies

- ▶ Before: Authoritative servers may copy the setting of the DO bit from query to response. Or may set it arbitrarily. (From -04, October 2006.)
- ▶ Now: **MUST** copy, based on RFC3225.
- ▶ Encourage validators to accept either.



I E T F®

# Answering Queries with CD (Checking Disabled) bit set

- ▶ Old: “When processing a request with the CD bit set, the resolver MUST set the CD bit on its upstream queries.”
- ▶ What if you have a cached answer obtained w/o the CD bit?



# Answering Queries with CD (Checking Disabled) bit set

- ▶ Old: “When processing a request with the CD bit set, the resolver **MUST** set the CD bit on its upstream queries.”
- ▶ What if you have a cached answer obtained w/o the CD bit?
- ▶ That’s fine!
- ▶ Unless it’s a SERVFAIL.



I E T F®



# Answering Queries with CD (Checking Disabled) bit set

- ▶ Old: “When processing a request with the CD bit set, the resolver MUST set the CD bit on its upstream queries.”
- ▶ What if you have a cached answer obtained w/o the CD bit?
- ▶ That’s fine!
- ▶ Unless it’s a SERVFAIL.
- ▶ Which should only be cached for five minutes (RFC2308).



I E T F®

# Answering Queries with CD (Checking Disabled) bit set

- ▶ Old: “When processing a request with the CD bit set, the resolver MUST set the CD bit on its upstream queries.”
- ▶ What if you have a cached answer obtained w/o the CD bit?
- ▶ That’s fine!
- ▶ Unless it’s a SERVFAIL.
- ▶ Which should only be cached for five minutes (RFC2308).
- ▶ In those cases (only), query upstream with CD set.
- ▶ OK to set CD for any queries for which you have an applicable trust anchor.



## Changes through time

- ▶ -10, Mar 2010: no additions. Changed CD and DO bit rules. Changed nested trust anchor guidance.
- ▶ -09, Sep 2009: editorial only.
- ▶ -08, Jan 2009: NSEC3, SHA256, AD bit, CD bit, nested trust anchors, 5155 typo.
- ▶ -07, Jul 2008: editorial.
- ▶ -06, Nov 2007: validating insecure delegations
- ▶ -05, Mar 2007: CNAME proofs, REMOVED responding to ANY queries
- ▶ -04, Oct 2006: responding to ANY queries, setting DO bit on replies
- ▶ -03, Jun 2006: editorial
- ▶ -02, Jan 2006: canonical form typecode list
- ▶ -01, May 2005: validating ANY queries



**I E T F**<sup>®</sup>

# Anything else?

- ▶ Changes due to “rollover and die”?



**I E T F**<sup>®</sup>

# Anything else?

- ▶ Changes due to “rollover and die”?
- ▶ Time to WGLC and publish?

