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Note Well

- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
  - the IETF plenary session,
  - any IETF working group, BOF or portion thereof,
  - the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
  - the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
  - any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself,
  - any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
  - the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 3978 (updated by RFC 4748) and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).
  - Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.
  - Please consult RFC 3978 (and RFC 4748) for details.
  - A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
  - A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
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- Preliminaries
  - Blue Sheets, Minute Takers, Jabber Scribe
  - Agenda bashing

- Document status
  - No discussion on the mailing lists due to lack of document updates

- Presentations
  - WG: Practices and recommendations (R. Penno)
  - Congestion architecture framework (M. Arumaithurai)
  - WG: LEDBAT congestion control (S. Shalunov)

- Wrapup & next steps
LEDBAT Congestion Control - Status

- Framing considerations
  - Impact of increasing bit Vs packet rate
- Late comers advantage and lack of statmux
- Fairness – explicitly adding random perturbations
- Choice of parameter values, discuss range
  - Issues around fixed target (25ms)
- Discuss burstiness
- Lack of deployment data to review
  - What does BT implement? Data?
  - Victor has promised to present evaluation at IETF 78
Practices and Recommendations - Status

- Clarify current practices to work around bugs Vs recommendations
- Split Diffserv out in a separate document
- Documenting user control
- Concern around multiple connections as a recommendation
  - HoL blocking Vs throughput
  - Recommending HTTP pipelining