



# Membership test for Mapping Information optimization

## **draft-flinck-lisp-membertest-00**

# The problem we are addressing

If an Ingress Tunnel Router acting as a gateway between two namespaces doesn't have a mapping for the destination EID, it needs to resolve the EID-to-RLOC mapping from a mapping system possibly using a map resolver.

EIDs are allocated in blocks; ALT assumes aggregatable EID-prefixes. EIDs are stated to be Provider Independent (= portable across provider boundaries)

However (as has happened with IPv4 allocations) prefix based allocations change over the time: new enterprises born, old ones merge and some disappear obsoleting the earlier allocations.

## The problem we are addressing (cont.)

More over:

- 1) Some sites maybe too small to be worth of a prefix allocation and related administration,
- 2) Some sites will be composition of devices (with PI EIDs) from earlier different EID-prefix allocations,
- 3) Server, terminal and network migration (and mobility) will break the EID-prefix based allocation

**Individual EID-to-RLOC mappings needs to be supported.  
Prefix based EID mappings do not cover all cases**

# Map resolution is costly

- The first packet without a EID-to-RLOC mapping is:
  - Dropped
  - Buffered or
  - Relayed over the mapping system
- All of the above approaches contribute to delay and jitter. Therefore mappings are reused (= cached) when possible.
- To improve cache hit rate more information than the requested mapping should be returned.
- Possibly all entries that a queried ETR is servicing

# Membership test

The authoritative ETR provides to ITR a *membership test* that represents all or a subset of the EID it can route to.

Membership test is an efficient compressed data structure to represent the members that belong to the same set (= ETR).

An ETR can express with it:

- e.g. the most frequently requested mappings,
- any other subset or all of the mappings.

Membership test doesn't require that the EIDs aggregate into prefixes. *This makes it ideal for cases where an ETR is serving EIDs from different EID blocks.*

- *Small enterprises of size 100 and 1000 of hosts, larger ones are likely to have well managed EID-prefixes*

# Message handling with Membership test

Packet arrives from a host to an ITR

1. EID-to-RLOC mapping cache look up
2. If the look up doesn't result into a RLOC then a membership test cache is checked
3. If any of the membership tests results into a match then the corresponding RLOC is used
4. *Map-Request* message is "piggybacked" with the user packet to the RLOC
5. If no matching membership tests, use the map resolution

*Map-Request* Message arrives to the authoritative ETR

1. Generate a *Map-Reply* message with the mapping information complemented with *one or more optional membership test information elements*
2. If EID unknown send *Negative Map-Reply*

Note: The ETR SHOULD calculate the membership tests beforehand and just add the membership test option to the Map-Reply message.





# Calculation of the membership test

- Standard Bloom filter are defined by the follow three parameters  $\langle P_{err}, k, m \rangle$ :
  - $P_{err}$  = error probability
  - $k$  = number of hashes
  - $m$  = test vector size
- We fix the membership test for **two** types of small sites/enterprises: a) those with 100 + hosts and those b) with 1000+ hosts
- The length of the vector tells the ITR which set of the parameters to use
  - $P_{err} = 10 \exp(-6)$
  - $k = 20$
  - $m = 4096$  or  $32768$  bits
- Hash functions are MD5 functions
- EID-prefixes should not be added to membership test as this adds to the processing complexity

# Error handling and security

- The false positive error case degenerates into stale mapping information case of baseline LISP
- ITR can trust an EID-to-RLOC mapping from an ETR, it can trust the Membership test as well, no new security issues

# Thank you

*Future doesn't need to be a  
repetition of the past*

