

IDN - what's up?

Patrik Fältström

paf@cisco.com

In the beginning

- 3454 Preparation of Internationalized Strings ("stringprep"). P.
Hoffman, M. Blanchet. December 2002. (Format: TXT=138684 bytes)
(Status: PROPOSED STANDARD)
- 3490 Internationalizing Domain Names in Applications (IDNA). P.
Faltstrom, P. Hoffman, A. Costello. March 2003. (Format: TXT=51943
bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD)
- 3491 Nameprep: A Stringprep Profile for Internationalized Domain Names
(IDN). P. Hoffman, M. Blanchet. March 2003. (Format: TXT=10316 bytes)
(Status: PROPOSED STANDARD)
- 3492 Punycode: A Bootstring encoding of Unicode for Internationalized
Domain Names in Applications (IDNA). A. Costello. March 2003.
(Format: TXT=67439 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD)

What is this?

- 3454 Specifies overall algorithm - stringprep
- 3490 Specifies IDN algorithm - IDNA
- 3491 Specifies Nameprep
- 3492 Specifies Punycode

stringprep

- With profiles, any Unicode based string can be converted to another Unicode string so that they can be compared
 - ✓ Include illegal codepoints
 - ✓ Include mapping table
 - ✓ Give ability to create profiles
- Used for IDN, LDAP and other protocols

idna

- Algorithm for how to convert a domain name with Unicode codepoints to ascii
 - ✓ How to use the stringprep profile and unicode
 - ✓ Includes specification on how to handle unallocated codepoints
 - ✓ “core” to IDN standard

nameprep

- Specific stringprep profile for unicode based domain names
- Convert a domain name with unicode codepoints to one of
 - ✓ Illegal domain name
 - ✓ Domain name with Unicode codepoints

punycode

- Converts a label with unicode codepoints to a domain name in ascii
- Example:
 - ✓ fältström
 - ✓ xn--fltstrm-5wa1o

What happened?

4690 Review and Recommendations for Internationalized Domain Names
(IDNs). J. Klensin, P. Faltstrom, C. Karp, IAB. September 2006.
(Format: TXT=100929 bytes) (Status: INFORMATIONAL)

In short...

- Explains the problems in the earlier standards
 - ✓ Bidirectional scripts
 - ✓ Non-spacing codepoints
- Explains the problems with scripts not yet created when IDNA was written
- Explains problem with versioning of Unicode
 - ✓ Old standard based on Unicode 3.2

Example

- If a label include a character that has right to left directionality, both first and last character of the string has to have right to left directionality
- Creates problem if for example the string ends with a codepoint with no directionality

יִי וּוּאָ
T

U+05D9 HEBREW LETTER YOD (R)

U+05D9 HEBREW LETTER YOD (R)

U+05B4 HEBREW POINT HIRIQ (NSM)

U+05D5 HEBREW LETTER VAV (R)

U+05D5 HEBREW LETTER VAV (R)

U+05D0 HEBREW LETTER ALEF (R)

U+05B8 HEBREW POINT QAMATS (NSM)

- Note that last codepoint has no directionality (Non Spacing Mark)

יִיִוֹא
T

U+05D9 HEBREW LETTER YOD (R)

U+05D9 HEBREW LETTER YOD (R)

U+05B4 HEBREW POINT HIRIQ (NSM)

U+05D5 HEBREW LETTER VAV (R)

U+05D5 HEBREW LETTER VAV (R)

U+05D0 HEBREW LETTER ALEF (R)

U+05B8 HEBREW POINT QAMATS (NSM)

- Note that last codepoint has no directionality (Non Spacing Mark)

New IDN standard

- Will consist of a few documents
- Will not change punycode
- Backward compatible
 - ✓ Some incompatibilities exists

New documents

- draft-ietf-idnabis-rationale
- draft-ietf-idnabis-protocol
- draft-ietf-idna-bidi
- draft-ietf-idnabis-tables

- draft-ietf-idnabis-mappings

draft-ietf-idnabis-rationale

- In fact named “Rationale and issues...”
- Addresses the concerns in the IAB document RFC 4690
- Explain how the issues are resolved

draft-ietf-idnabis-protocol

- Replaces the IDNA specification
- Core specification of new IDN standard

draft-ietf-idna-bidi

- Gives specifics for bidirectional scripts

draft-ietf-idnabis-tables

- Defines algorithm to use to calculate whether a codepoint in Unicode is in one of the categories
 - ✓ PVALID (Protocol Valid)
 - ✓ CONTEXTO / CONTEXTJ
 - ✓ DISALLOWED
 - ✓ UNASSIGNED

But IDNA2003 had mappings

- Mappings are not part of IDNA200x
- Labels **MUST** be stable under NFC
- Codepoints in label **MUST** pass bidi requirements
- Codepoints **MUST** be ok according to algorithm specified in tables document (which might include contextual rules)
- We **MIGHT** see a separate document on mapping, recommended behaviour for different applications etc

Why is this needed?

- IDNA standard must be independent of Unicode version
- IDNA standard must handle bidirectional scripts
- ...plus other things mentioned in RFC 4690

When will it be ready?

- “Within 6 months”
 - ✓ Actually, it is approved by IESG
- Mailing list: idna-update@alvestrand.no

Patrik Fältström

paf@cisco.com