# Flute revised

draft-draft-ietf-rmt-flute-revised-10

IETF 77 – Anaheim, March 2010

T. Paila, R. Walsh, M. Luby, V. Roca, R. Lehtonen

### **Status**

- several versions since previous IETF
  - O-08, -09 and -10 versions between 12/2009 and 01/2010
    - · Vincent with inputs from Mike, Toni and Rod
  - Ogoal is to finish addressing Magnus' comments (sent on 09/2009)
  - ○-07 to -10 diff:

http://tools.ietf.org/rfcdiff?url1=draft-ietf-rmt-flute
-revised-07&difftype=--html&submit=Go%21&url2=draft-ietf
-rmt-flute-revised-10

## **Security considerations**

- IETF'76: discussion on "minimum security requirements" (BCP 61 / RFC 3365)
  - Osaid differently, which mandatory to implement security (but not necessarily to use) solution?
  - Oproposal is to stay aligned with ALC, i.e. "IPsec/ESP in transport mode"

#### Oprovides:

- per-packet security,
- · data origin authentication,
- · integrity verification, and
- · anti-replay

## **Timestamp/NTP considerations**

- issue concerns FDT Instance expiration time:
  - O"Handling of wraparound of the 32 bit time is outside the scope of NTP and FLUTE."
- solution:
  - Ouse the "epoch" concept of NTPv4 to manage NTP timestamp wraparound
    - 1900-2036: epoch 0
    - 2036-2172: epoch 1, etc.

### **Erased FDT Instance considerations**

### issue:

#### OFDT inst. flexibility makes their erasure problematic

 "Any FDT Instance can be equal to, a subset of, a superset of, or complement any other FDT Instance."

### solution:

#### **Orecommendations**

 "It is RECOMMENDED that FDT Instances be managed in such a way that a receiver will be able to recover at least one FDT Instance describing a file [...] with as much or greater reliability as the receiver is able to receive enough packets [...] to recover the file."

Oclarified that FDT inst. loss is not an erroneous situation

## FDT Instance ID wraparound considerations

## • issue:

 "Mandatory receiver behavior for handling FDT Instance ID wraparound [...] is outside the scope of this specification"

## solution:

#### Odistinguish sender's behavior and receiver's behavior

#### Osender:

if all IDs are used by non expired FDT Inst., there's little to do...

#### **Oreceiver:**

- if an ID wraparound takes place while the initial FDT Instance is non expired, return an ERROR
- otherwise FDT Instance ID wraparound MUST be supported

## Finally...

- several corrections here and there...
  - O... and we upgraded some references as being "Normative"
- mid-February we received new comments from IANA, security directorate, and Gen-Art LC
  - Oa new I-D is expected
  - OMike/Mark/Thomas volunteered to manage this...