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Agenda

• Document status review  (10 mins)
• WG Status review  (10 mins)
• Notary (expired)  (10 mins)
• Notify SIP (expired)  (10 mins)
• Include (expired)  (10 mins)
• IMAP/SIEVE (draft-ietf-sieve-imap-sieve-00)  (10 mins)
• External lists (expired)  (10 mins)
• RegEx Discussion  (10 mins)
• Auto-reply (draft-george-sieve-autoreply-01)  (10 mins)
• Notify-Presence (draft-george-sieve-notify-presence-01)  (10 mins)
• Vacation-Time (draft-george-sieve-vacation-time-01)  (10 mins)
• WG Futures  (10 mins)

• Total: 120 mins
Document Status

• Published!!
  – RFC5703 (MIME-Loops)
  – RFC5784 (SIEVE-in-XML)

• RFC Editor Queue:
  – ManageSIEVE (still - reports ‘for 427 days’!!)

• IESG processing:
  – None

• Others:
  – Will talk about shortly...
Working Group Status

- Since Last Time:
  - Not much :-(

- Still need action on:
  - RegEx
  - External Lists
  - EAI Issues
  - Benefits of SIEVE
  - Test scripts
Notary

• New draft: draft-freed-sieve-notary-06.txt
• WGLC’s complete - some feedback from WG participants addressed - Chairs would like more feedback to gauge WG consensus
• Chairs would like to know who plans to implement this
Notify SIP

- Expired: draft-ietf-sieve-notify-sip-message-01.txt
- Waiting on authors to refresh
- Chairs would like to know who plans to implement this
Include

- Expired draft: draft-sieve-include-02
- Waiting on authors (yes the Chairs!) to refresh
- No more open issues listed.
- Will do WGLC as soon as new draft is available
- Chairs would like to know who plans to implement this
IMAP-SIEVE #1

- New draft: draft-ietf-sieve-imap-sieve-00
External Lists

- Expired draft: draft-melnikov-sieve-external-lists-02
- What is the level of interest in this?
RegEx

• New draft ready draft-ietf-sieve-regex-01.txt
• Open issues:
  – The major open issue with this draft is what to do, if anything, about localization/internationalization. Are [IEEE.1003-2.1992] collating sequences and character equivalents sufficient? Should we reference the Unicode technical specification? Should we punt and publish the document as experimental?
  – Is the current approach to comparator integration the right one to use?
  – Should we allow shorthands such as \b (word boundary) and \w (word character)?
  – Should we allow backreferences (useful for matching double words, etc.)?
• See Barry’s slides
WG Futures

• Level of activity in WG is low
• Is this just a temporary aberration or have we reached the end of usefulness of this WG?
Any Other Business?