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Note Well

• Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- the IETF plenary session,
- any IETF working group or portion thereof,
- the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
- the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
- any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself,
- any working group or design team list, or any other
- list functioning under IETF auspices,
- the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

• Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

• A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

• A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Other Notes

• Need another Note Taker
• Need a scribe for Jabber session

• Wireless: Make sure your computer is not in adhoc mode

• Future TSVWG Authors:
  PLEASE add “-tsvwg-” to any ID submitted
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NOTE WELL
Document Status and Accomplishments
Milestones Review

• IDs being presented
TSVWG Accomplishments and Status

• 0 RFCs published since IETF 77:
  – (oops!)

• 2 IDs in RFC Editor Queue now:
  – An EF DSCP for Capacity-Admitted Traffic
  – RSVP Extensions for Emergency Services

• 4 IDs in IESG processing
  – Port Randomization
  – RSVP L3 VPNs
  – RSVP Proxy Approaches
  – RSVP Proxy Protocol
TSVWG Accomplishments and Status

• 1 ID past WGLC
  – Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) for SCTP

• 1 ID in WGLC
  – ECN Tunnel

• 3 IDs almost ready for WGLC
  – SCTP Stream Reconfiguration
  – IANA Procedures for the Management of the Transport Protocol Port Number and Service Name Registry
  – SCTP Sockets
TSVWG Accomplishments and Status

• 2 Other WG ID
  – Byte & Packet CN (“appears” to need a major revision)
  – RSVP Security Group Keying
    • Passed TSVWG last call 15th Jan 2010
    • SecDir issues need a revision (& WGLC again)

• NOTE: there are no other IDs that are WG items
  – Soon – we might need more to do as a WG…

  (hint, hint to potential authors!)
TSVWG (current) Milestones

• **Done** Submit 'DSCPs for Capacity-Admitted Traffic' to IESG for consideration as Proposed Standard

• **Done** Submit 'Port Randomization for Transport Protocols' to the IESG for consideration as a Best Current Practice

• **Done** Request publication of 'Support for RSVP in Layer 3 VPNs' as Proposed Standard RFC

• Nov 2009 Submit 'Applicability of Keying Methods for RSVP Security' to IESG for consideration as Informational

• Dec 2009 Submit 'Sockets API Extensions for SCTP' to IESG for consideration as Informational
TSVWG (current) Milestones

- Dec 2009  Request publication of 'IANA Procedures for the Transport Protocol Port Number Space' as BCP RFC
- Dec 2009  Request publication of 'Datagram Transport Layer Security for Stream Control Transmission' as Proposed Standard – Post WGLC
- Jan 2010  Request publication of 'Layered Encapsulation of Congestion Notification' as Proposed Standard – In WGLC
- Feb 2010  Request publication of 'Byte and Packet Congestion Notification' as Informational RFC
TSVWG Open Issues

• ID SCTP over/through NATs is BCP in BEHAVE WG
  – Last meeting it was reported:
    • Makes normative changes to RFC 4960 (SCTP base spec)
    • This isn’t so good, and the WG chairs of both WGs will work with the ADs to solve this
  – There are a set of drafts on how to make SCTP work with NAT
    • Also UDP encaps for STCP and one on UDP encaps for DCCP

• Note: TSVAREA discussion on RSVP and Intserv
  – Wednesday 1300-1500 Afternoon Session I
  – Important for those who would like to progress RSVP in TSVWG
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  TSVAREA discussion on RSVP

IESG Feedback
  draft-ietf-tsvwg-rsvp-l3vpn
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WG Drafts
- IANA Procedures for Mgmt of Transport Protocol Port
- SCTP API
- Stream Reset ID
- RSVP Group keying

Non-WG Drafts (NAT-Related Drafts)
- NAT for SCTP
- UDP encaps for SCTP
- UDP encaps for DCCP
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Non-WG Drafts
IPv6 UDP Checksum Considerations
SCTP Chunk Flags

SCTP Failover
SCTP extensions for SigTran
Integrated Services Extension to Allow Multiple TSPECs
RSVP Extensions for Flexible Resource Sharing

AD Advertisement for TSVAREA discussion on RSVP