Using 127-bit IPv6 Prefixes on Inter-Router Links

draft-kohno-ipv6-prefixlen-p2p-02.txt

Miya Kohno, Juniper Networks, Keio Univ.
Becca Nitzan, Juniper Networks
Randy Bush, IIJ
Yoshinobu Matsuzaki, IIJ
Lorenzo Colitti, Google

Contributors: Chris Morrow, Pekka Savola, Remi Despres, Thomas Narten

Scope of the draft

Inter-router point-to-point links



- Numbered addressing is used (not Link-local-only).
- Only two routers and no hosts are attached.
- Interface could be :
 - Ethernet
 - SONET/SDH
 - Or any type of Tunnels

Motivation Revisited

- The use of /127 is a current operational practice
 - Yoshinobu Matsuzaki @IIJ, APNIC26 (August 2008)
 http://archive.apnic.net/meetings/26/program/apops/matsuzaki-ipv6-p2p.pdf
 - Lorenzo Colitti & Angus Lees @Google, IETF72 IPv6 plenary (July 2008)
 http://www.ietf.org/proceedings/72/slides/plenaryw-4.pdf
- It parallels the use of /31 in IPv4 [RFC3021]
- It's a simple solution for preventing possible security vulnerabilities, e.g. ping-pong, neighbor cache exhaustion, etc.

Why /127 was regarded as harmful?

- Because it conflicts with Subnet-Router anycast addressing!
 - RFC4291 defines Subnet-Router anycast address, which is intended to be used to communicate with any one set of routers
 - RFC3627 indicated that the use of /127 was harmful, based on the condition that Subnet-Router anycast address was a mandatory requirement.

The recommendation

- 127-bit prefix lengths MUST be supported on interrouter point-to-point links.
- Inter-router point-to-point links MAY be assigned
 127-bit prefix lengths.
- If such a prefix is assigned to a link, Subnet-Router anycast MUST be disabled for the prefix.

The recommendation for RFC4291

The following text is added to the section 2.5.1.
 "Interface Identifiers" of RFC4291.

"As for point-to-point inter-router links, the interface ID can be shorter, and in case that the interface ID is 1 bit, Subnet-Router anycast described in the section 2.6.1 MUST be disabled."

The recommendation for RFC3627

• The title of the RFC3627 is changed:

from

"Use of /127 Prefix Length Between Routers Considered Harmful"

to

"Use of /127 Prefix Length Between Routers Running Subnet-Router Anycast Considered Harmful"

The recommendation for RFC5375

• The sentence in the RFC5375 Appendix B.2.2 is changed as follows:

"The usage of the /127 addresses, the equivalent of IPv4's [RFC3021] may be considered. However, when Subnet-Router Anycast is in use, this is problematic as documented in RFC3627."

Next step

Ask 6man WG to adopt the draft as the WG document