

Generic IPv6 Extension Header

draft-ietf-6man-exthdr-00.txt

Suresh Krishnan, Ericsson
James Woodyatt, Apple
Erik Kline, Google
James Hoagland, Symantec



Background: Extension Headers

- The base IPv6 standard [RFC2460] defines extension headers
- An expansion mechanism to carry optional internet layer information.
- > Extension headers, with the exception of the hop-by-hop options header, are not usually processed on intermediate nodes.

ØUUUUYP āĂăąĆćĊċČ ŊŋŇňŌŐőŒ ŹŹŻŽŽŹſŞș^` fl ĠĠĢĢĪĪĮĮİĶĶ

ŢŤŤŪŪŮŮŰ

НОПРСТУФ ОПРСТУФХ



Advantages of GIEH

- Allows generic parsing routines for extension headers
- > Reduces impact on the IP protocol numbers field
 - No need to use a new IP protocol number for each extension header
- Allows distinguishing between new transport protocols and new extension headers
- Allows packet analyzers to skip over unknown headers and continue to decode packets
 - -Whether or not this is done is up to the policy settings



Open issue #1/1

- Issue raised by Manav Bhatia
- > He requested adding a Header options field to the GIEH
- > This is an 8-bit selector where the highest order two bits specify the action that must be taken if the processing IPv6 node does not recognize the extension header:
 - -00: skip over the header
 - -01: discard the packet.
 - -10: discard the packet. Send ICMPv6 even if dest addr is multicast
 - –11: discard the packet. Send ICMPv6 only if dest addr is not multicast



How do we go forward?

- > We would like wg guidance on how to proceed?
 - Is it useful to add such a field?
 - > We do not see the need for such a field
 - This behavior is better achieved using IPv6 Options
 - —If so, is the proposed solution good enough
- Do we have any other open issues?

| |©8«¬®¯°

ØÙÚÛÜÝÞ ¡ĂăąĆċĊċČ ĮŋŇňŌŐőŒ 'źŹźŽžƒŞş^` fl GĠGGĪĪIIIİKK

] 1 100000

НОПРСТУФ ОПРСТУФХ ъӨӨVVҐҐә



ERICSSON



Backup Slide: GIEH format

> For all new extension headers

āĂăąĆćĊċČ ŅņŇňŌŐőŒ ŹźŻżŽžſŞș^`

ХѰЇΫΆΈΉӀ

HOПРСТУФ ОПРСТУФХ ъӨӨVVҐҐә

