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Current status

- New charter includes problem statement
  - that makes this draft a WG item
  - there are no other milestones for the “aliases” topic. Taking on any other work has to be justified by whatever is in this draft when it ships.
  - charter timeline calls for it to ship now-ish
- Complete, clear, and convincing? Ready to go?
  - If not, why not? (My own answer is no.)
  - No recent changes pending substantive review
Open Questions for Review

• Does the document cover the right scope?
  • characterization of the problem? incl. terminology
  • architectural concerns such as DNS vs. app layer?
  • things we can't do vs. things we can?
  • security?

• Use cases
  • current ones are IDN-based only
  • More detail? other cases?
Open Questions for Review, 2

• Potential solutions
  • we've got a pile of proposals, doc attempts to describe them in terms of the scope and use cases
  • could we make and justify decisions about new work based on this document?

• Timeline: when can we be ready to ship?
  • “Six weeks might be too soon, but six months is too long”
  • Depends on how much the WG thinks still needs to be done and who's willing/able to do it
Proposed next steps

- Use workshop time today to tackle the gap analysis
- Taking names!
- Target new rev for 4 weeks
- Push hard for WG review of that version and see where we are
- WGLC mid-January?