

# Next Steps for the “Aliases” Problem Statement

draft-yao-dnsext-identical-resolution-02

Suzanne Woolf

DNSEXT

10-Nov-2010

Beijing, China

# Current status

- New charter includes problem statement
  - that makes this draft a WG item
  - there are no other milestones for the “aliases” topic. Taking on any other work has to be justified by whatever is in this draft when it ships.
  - charter timeline calls for it to ship now-ish
- Complete, clear, and convincing? Ready to go?
  - If not, why not? (My own answer is no.)
  - No recent changes pending substantive review

# Open Questions for Review

- Does the document cover the right scope?
  - characterization of the problem? incl. terminology
  - architectural concerns such as DNS vs. app layer?
  - things we can't do vs. things we can?
  - security?
- Use cases
  - current ones are IDN-based only
  - More detail? other cases?

# Open Questions for Review, 2

- Potential solutions
  - we've got a pile of proposals, doc attempts to describe them in terms of the scope and use cases
  - could we make and justify decisions about new work based on this document?
- Timeline: when can we be ready to ship?
  - “Six weeks might be too soon, but six months is too long”
  - Depends on how much the WG thinks still needs to be done and who's willing/able to do it

# Proposed next steps

- Use workshop time today to tackle the gap analysis
- Taking names!
- Target new rev for 4 weeks
- Push hard for WG review of that version and see where we are
- WGLC mid-January?