

# Exporting Aggregated Flow Data using IPFIX

draft-trammell-ipfix-a9n-01

*Brian Trammell*   Elisa Boschi   Arno Wagner

IETF 79, November 6-13, 2010 Beijing, China

# Outline

---

Introduction

IP Flow Aggregation

- General operational model

- Relationship to IPFIX Mediators

- Aggregated Flow Export

Next Steps

# Introduction

---

- ▶ Flow aggregation is one of the most important, widely applied mediator operations
- ▶ One document per specific Intermediate Process → we should have one for aggregation.
- ▶ Draft defines a general, interoperable, implementation-independent model for IPFIX Aggregation
- ▶ Built into the Mediator framework.
- ▶ a9n = “*aggregation*”, aggregated.

# What do you mean, “aggregation”?

---

- ▶ Temporal? Spatial?
- ▶ Combining short-lived flows into long-lived flows?
- ▶ Imposing time intervals on flows for time-series data generation?
- ▶ Combining flows from multiple Observation Domains?
- ▶ Yes.
- ▶ Aim of the document is to cover *all* commonly used aggregation operations.

# What do you mean, “aggregation”?

---

- ▶ Temporal? Spatial?
- ▶ Combining short-lived flows into long-lived flows?
- ▶ Imposing time intervals on flows for time-series data generation?
- ▶ Combining flows from multiple Observation Domains?
- ▶ Yes.
- ▶ Aim of the document is to cover *all* commonly used aggregation operations.

# Temporal Aggregation

- ▶ Temporal aggregation is defined in Mediator framework as
  - ▶ “[m]erging a set of Data Records within a certain time period into one Flow Record by summing up the counters where appropriate,” and
  - ▶ composition, wherein “multiple consecutive Flow Records with identical Flow Key values are merged into a single Flow Record of longer Flow duration if they arrive within a certain time interval.”
- ▶ Definition does not handle externally imposed intervals, important for time-series reporting, so requires expansion.

# Spatial Aggregation

---

- ▶ Spatial aggregation defined in Mediator framework as an operation wherein “Data Records sharing common properties are merged into one Flow Record within a certain time period.”
- ▶ Definition does not cover flow key reduction (one major reason that Data Records would share common properties during aggregation), so requires expansion.

# Spatiotemporal Interdependence

- ▶ IPFIX Flows do not represent events with a single point in time, rather events over an interval.
- ▶ Spatial aggregation therefore has an unavoidable temporal component
  - ▶ The interval of the aggregated flows is the minimum covering interval; or
  - ▶ (more generally) intervals are externally imposed.
- ▶ Better to model aggregation as a series of operations of effects, than to separate aggregation in space and time completely.

## Definition of Aggregated Flow

---

- ▶ A Flow, as defined by 5101, derived from a set of zero or more original Flows within a defined time interval.
- ▶ An Aggregated Flow may represent zero packets (i.e., an assertion that no packets were seen for a given Flow Key in a given time interval).
- ▶ The defined time interval is externally imposed (but may be derived from other flows part of the same Aggregated Flow)

# Aggregation Operations

- ▶ Defined to be implementation-independent (much like the IPFIX Architecture, or the anonymisation draft).
- ▶ *Interval distribution* modifies an input flow's time interval, optionally creating multiple Flows.
- ▶ *Key aggregation* modifies flow keys by reduction or replacement.
- ▶ *Combination* pulls together flows resulting from these two steps into a single Flow for each key and time interval, applying *counter distribution* to distribute counters split by interval distribution.
  - ▶ **TODO:** Not yet clear these are in the right order

# Aggregation and the Mediator Framework

- ▶ Framework presents a generalized, not-quite-adequate definition of spatial and temporal composition in discussing Intermediate Aggregation Processes
  - ▶ chosen for a subset of aggregation operations covered here
  - ▶ does not address spatiotemporal interdependence
- ▶ Terminology in a9n operational model defined with reference to framework, but named differently, chosen to avoid collision.
- ▶ a9n is *more specific*, does not update/obsolete Framework.

# Aggregation and the Mediator Protocol

- ▶ a9n handles data level aggregation, applicable to
  - ▶ mediators,
  - ▶ direct export of Aggregated Flows,
  - ▶ processing of files...
- ▶ Some issues in aggregation are actually mediator-general:
  - ▶ architectural issues in many-to-one aggregation across observation points,
  - ▶ template and observation domain management across an aggregating mediator
  - ▶ etc.
- ▶ These are handled in the Mediator Protocol draft.

# Time Interval export

---

- ▶ Time Interval export: each flow **SHOULD** contain begin and end timestamps
  - ▶ maximizes interoperability (principle: a Flow is a Flow)
- ▶ **MAY** omit end timestamp IFF intervals are regular for a given Observation Domain within a Transport Session.

# Flow Count export

- ▶ New information elements for counting original Flows contributing to an Aggregated Flow
- ▶ *Conservative* counts are preserved across re-aggregation, *non-conservative* are not.
- ▶ `originalFlowsPresent`: non-conservative
- ▶ `originalFlowsInitiated`: conservative, flows with start time within interval
- ▶ `originalFlowsCompleted`: conservative, flows with end time within interval
- ▶ `originalFlows`: conservative, general

# Counter Distribution export

- ▶ When intervals are shorter than the longest flow, counters must be distributed across multiple intervals
- ▶ `valueDistributionMethod` in an Options record exports the method used to do this, on a per-Template basis:
  - ▶ Simple: start interval, end interval, mid interval
  - ▶ Linear: simple uniform, proportional uniform
  - ▶ Nonlinear: simulated process, direct
- ▶ This draft does *not* specify that aggregation **MUST** support exotic distributions of counters, or distribution export.

# Counter Distribution export

- ▶ When intervals are shorter than the longest flow, counters must be distributed across multiple intervals
- ▶ `valueDistributionMethod` in an Options record exports the method used to do this, on a per-Template basis:
  - ▶ Simple: start interval, end interval, mid interval
  - ▶ Linear: simple uniform, proportional uniform
  - ▶ Nonlinear: simulated process, direct
- ▶ This draft does *not* specify that aggregation **MUST** support exotic distributions of counters, or distribution export.

## Open Issue: Distinct Count

- ▶ Often useful to count distinct keys reduced away during Key Aggregation
  - ▶ e.g., unique destination addresses per source address
- ▶ How to export these?
- ▶ Current suggestion:  
`distinctCountOf` *InformationElementName* Information Elements be registered with IANA as needed.
- ▶ If chosen: need to specify this a bit more precisely.
- ▶ Other possibility: 5103-style PEN meaning “unique count of”
  - ▶ but this seems inordinately hackish...

## Open Issue: Distinct Count

- ▶ Often useful to count distinct keys reduced away during Key Aggregation
  - ▶ e.g., unique destination addresses per source address
- ▶ How to export these?
- ▶ Current suggestion:  
`distinctCountOf` *InformationElementName* Information Elements be registered with IANA as needed.
- ▶ If chosen: need to specify this a bit more precisely.
- ▶ Other possibility: 5103-style PEN meaning “unique count of”
  - ▶ but this seems inordinately hackish...

## Open Issue: Distinct Count

- ▶ Often useful to count distinct keys reduced away during Key Aggregation
  - ▶ e.g., unique destination addresses per source address
- ▶ How to export these?
- ▶ Current suggestion:  
`distinctCountOf` *InformationElementName* Information Elements be registered with IANA as needed.
- ▶ If chosen: need to specify this a bit more precisely.
- ▶ Other possibility: 5103-style PEN meaning “unique count of”
  - ▶ but this seems inordinately hackish...

# Comments

---

- ▶ Comments from Benoit Claise (thanks!) have been very helpful in generalizing the draft
- ▶ Aim of the draft: completely cover aggregation
- ▶ Aim of the draft: remain implementation independent
- ▶ Reviews and comments, especially from implementors, help us do this!

## Adopt as WG item?

---

- ▶ Draft in much better shape than Maastricht
  - ▶ Key insight: aggregation means different things to different people → need to cover them all
  - ▶ Key insight: spatial and temporal flow aggregation interdependent in general case → need to handle them together
- ▶ Continued improvements planned over the winter
- ▶ WG-mature by Prague