Supporting Multicast Routing Protocols Using Keytable

Tim Polk

Russ Housley

Background

- Concept was separation of routing protocol from management of long term keys
- Documented in two personal drafts
  - draft-housley-saag-crypto-key-table
    - Concrete definition of a conceptual database
  - draft-polk-saag-rtg-auth-keytable
    - Informational, applying the database of long-lived cryptographic keys to routing protocols
    - Included unicast “worked example” for TCP-AO
- Applicability to multicast routing unclear

Target: IS-IS

- Authors decided to develop a worked example for IS-IS as a stress test since this seemed the most complex
  - Network Entity Title instead of IP address in keytable definition
  - New worked example text in informational draft
- Two new drafts believed to demonstrate applicability to multicast (and resolve all known comments)
  - draft-housley-saag-crypto-key-table-04
  - draft-polk-saag-rtg-auth-keytable-05

Overview of IS-IS Example (0)

- Goals authentication and replay protection
  - Relies on RFC 5310 for authentication TLV
  - Relies on native IS-IS sequence numbers for replay protection in link state PDUs
  - Assumes existence of a “timestamp” TLV to add replay detection for IS-IS hellos

Overview of IS-IS Example (1)

- Required key material mimics password-based configuration
  - a pairwise key for each point-to-point link to protect hello messages;
  - a multicast key for each broadcast LAN, for each Level, to protect hello messages;
  - a multicast key for LSP and sequence number packets for each Level 1 area; and
  - a multicast key for LSP and sequence number packets for the Level 2 domain.

Overview of IS-IS Example (2)

- Each IS-IS router maintains separate keys for the IIIHs on *each network interface*
  - Need *two* keys if network interface supports neighbors for the Level 1 Area and the Level 2 domain
  - If replay protection is needed, include local timestamp (sufficient to be locally increasing)
- Receiver verifies MAC, interface, *and* timestamp
  - Each IS-IS router needs to maintain one new state value for each neighbor (last time value)
    - Once replay protection is on, need to maintain last received timestamp for that neighbor
    - If timestamp is expected, discard IIHs that omit timestamp or include “old” timestamp value

Overview of IS-IS Example (3)

- Maintain additional key or keys to protect LSPs flooded through the Area and/or the Level 2 domain
  - Again, requires two keys if router participates in both Levels (1/2) of IS-IS
- The same procedures apply to sequence number packets

Non-features of IS-IS Example

- No key diversification needed
  - No connection-oriented communications, so typical key diversification info not available
  - Sequence numbers and timestamps provide replay protection
- No automatic rekey
  - As a practical matter, sequence number space should never be exhausted.

Changes to crypto-key-table

- IS-IS specific changes since Maastricht:
  - Added an Interface field to disambiguate peers
  - Added text regarding multicast key selection
    - Original text was more consistent with unicast
- Several additional changes to address comments from Ran Atkinson

Conclusion

- Keytable construct *can* be applied to multicast routing protocols

- Please consider whether this pair of drafts are appropriate for adoption by the karp wg.