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Current Multicast Diversity

Group communication services exist in a variety of:

- Different flavors
  - Any Source Multicast vs. Source-specific Multicast

- Different technologies
  - IPv4 vs. IPv6, multicast tunnels, etc.

- Different layers
  - Native multicast vs. overlay distribution
Implications

- Programmers decide on technology at coding time
  - How do they know about the multicast deployment state at this time?
- Applications provide their own solutions to overcome inter-domain deployment problem
  - Increases complexity & introduce redundancy
- Lack of efficiency
  - Reasonable to assume no global IP-layer multicast

- Difficult to write multicast applications that run everywhere & use most efficient group service
Recall: Common Multicast API Draft

Idea: Move complexity from application to the system level

The current draft provides …

- a common multicast API on app. layer that abstracts group communication from distribution technologies
- abstract naming and addressing by multicast URI's
- mapping between naming and addressing
- definition of protocol interaction to bridge multicast data between overlay and underlay
Status

○ Version 00/01 presented at IETF 76, Hiroshima

○ Update version 02 presented at IETF 77, Anaheim
  - Interesting work, but needs extended motivation

○ Current version: 04
  - Version 03 submitted before 78th IETF

○ Version 05: Working on an update, which also includes insights of the NBS BoF
  - Will be available some weeks after this IETF meeting
Common Multicast API & Middleware

- Mapping of names to technologies at run-time
- Late binding

```java
MulticastSocket msock = new MulticastSocket();
msock.send(data,"mygroup.org");
```

- OS Level
  1. Mapping of Group Name to Addresses
  2. Send data to technology specific interfaces
Implementation Report:
The HAMcast stack

**Design Aspects:**

- Flexibility for future extensions and adaption to new network technologies
  - No recompilation or changes to existing application using the HAMcast stack

- Easy integration of new programming languages
  - Only mapping of API calls & ‘signaling’ to OS layer

- Separate implementation of general multicast logic from technology-specific multicast instantiation
Overview HAMcast Stack
Middleware: Rough Overview

- Unique daemon process instantiated once per host
- Implemented in C++
- Service functions realized by single modules
  - Can be loaded by the system if required
- Technology-specific service interfaces
  - Implement a specific multicast understanding, e.g., IPv4/6, OLM, …
  - Includes service discovery
- Send/receive functions implemented as asynchronous calls
Module Example: Service Discovery

- Implemented by each technology module
- IP-layer multicast:
  - Discovery based on libcap sniffer library
  - Observation of general IGMP/MLD queries & PIM Hello
- DHT-based multicast:
  - Unicast overlay is part of HAMcast stack
  - Inquires group and neighbor states
- Gateways (e.g., AMT):
  - Future work
Prototype Status

- First working version presented at EuroView’10
- Hybrid distribution of streaming video using IPv4 + Scribe
- Monitoring tool to discover and visualize hybrid multicast tree structure
  - Usage of API service calls
Performance Evaluation

**Aim:**
- Basic evaluation of stack implementation
  - We do not focus on distribution technology
- Comparison with native unicast

**Setup:**
- Single source, single receiver scenario; Linux OS
- Constant bit stream; 100 Mb/s Uplink
- Different packet sizes
- Average over several runs until convergence
Caveat

- **Ongoing** evaluation & optimization
- Performance results are a **first** view: Optimization still to come
CPU Performance Send/Receive

Linux HAMcast middleware CPU time
Linux HAMcast application CPU time

Linux app+middleware CPU time
Linux native application CPU time

UDP-send: CPU time

Send

UDP-receive: CPU time

Receive
Data Rate

UDP: KB/sec

- Linux HAMcast send
- Linux HAMcast receive
- Linux native send
- Linux native receive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payload Size</th>
<th>64B payload</th>
<th>640B payload</th>
<th>1280B payload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HAMcast stack at a Glance

- Middleware implemented in C++
- Programming libraries for C++ (and Java)
- IPv4 and IPv6 module for native IP-layer multicast
- Scribe implementation for overlay multicast
  - Based on Chimera P2P network stack
- Service discovery for IPv4/v6 multicast & OLM
- Prior to optimization: Moderate performance results
Open Issues

- More detailed analysis of stack performance
- Optimize implementation of HAMcast stack
- Development of libraries for further programming languages
- Service selection strategy:
  - Prefer most efficient technology
  - Do not distribute in parallel
- SSM support
Thank you …

- Please, read the upcoming version 05
- Interest in the implementation project?
- More feedback is needed by RG members!