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Motivation

• SIP and RELOAD designed for session establishment in a P2P-fashion

• No mechanism for group communication/overlay multicast in RELOAD

• Entry points to groups need to be located by joining peers
  – SIP Usage for RELOAD only locates single entities

• Management of group membership and state synchronization within a group are not treated in P2PSIP
The DisCo Conferencing Scheme

**Goal:** Create a tightly coupled conference, but distribute focus transparently among multiple focus peers

- Register conference in RELOAD
- Focus peers register themselves as entry points to the conference
- Conference State is synchronized among focus peers
- Media distribution by focus peers
- Multiple focus peers appear as a single entity to legacy clients using source routing
Problem Statement

Publishing conference registration and entry points in RELOAD:

• Problem: shared (write) access to conference registration
  – RELOADs security model based on certificates
  – Allowing write access only to owners of a matching certificate

• New RELOAD Usage for shared resources

State synchronization:

• Initial idea: Event Package for Conference State (RFC4575)
  – Not suited for distributed maintenance of conference state

• New event package for distributed updating

Media distribution:

• No central mixer, and no multicast functionality
• Use focuses for media distribution, do mixing at end devices
  – Results in re-negotiations on join/leave events
Problem: securing a shared resource without contacting enrollment server

Basic problem:
• Trust Anchor needed
  • Self-signed or creator-based certificates don’t work alone
  • Malicious peer could take over the shared resource by creating own certificate for the resource (name)
• Approach: Tie resource name to creator
  – Restrict allowed resource URIs by pattern matching
  – Example: URI pattern: *-conf-$USER@$DOMAIN
    User Name: alice@example.com
    Allowed: pretty-conf-alice@example.com
    NOT allowed: alice-conference@example.com
Problem: Trust Delegation Chain

1st Approach: USER-CHAIN-MATCH

• Resource creator produces shared certificate, signed with his private key
• Storing peer verifies certificate chain
• Distribute private key for shared resource to all managing peers

Remaining Problems:

• Enhanced chance of shared certificate being compromised, because multiple peers have the private key
• Still no solution for certificate revocation (short lifetime, revoc. List?)
• Certificate can only be used as long as creator is present (+ lifetime)
Problem: Trust Delegation Chain (2)

2nd Approach: USER-CHAIN-ACL

- Delegation list in RELOAD
  1. Creator X initiates resource and signs with its private key:
     \[ X \rightarrow X, \text{kind-ID (signed by X)} \]
  2. Creator delegates to Y:
     \[ X \rightarrow Y, \text{kind-ID (signed by X)} \]
  3. Y can delegate further:
     \[ Y \rightarrow Z, \text{kind-ID (signed by Y)} \]
  4. Storing peer authorizes writing permission based on the ACL
  5. Accessing peer must verify List Chain (not certificate chain)

- All list entries contain Kind-ID of the corresponding shared resource
- Allows for subtree-revocation by nulling delegation line
- Works in absence of creator (or intermediate node) if lifetime appropriately extended
Conference State Synchronization in DisCo

• Each controller in a distributed conference *maintains its own* signaling relations to the participants
  – **Problem:** Controllers don’t have a global knowledge about the current state of a conference e.g.:
    • Who is in the conference?
    • Who is a focus to the conference?
    • Where to delegate a call in case of overloading?

• **Initial approach in version -00:** Focus peers to a conference subscribe to each other for an extended Event Package for Conference State [RFC4575]
  – Problem (identified at ietf78 in samrg session): RFC4575 NOT convenient to synchronize the state in a distributed conference
Event Package for Distributed Conferences

• **Proposal for -01:** Definition of a new Event Package for synchronizing the conference state in a distributed conference
  
  – Designed to convey information about roles and relations of the conference participants
  
  – Enables a coherent global knowledge to a conference
  
  – Handles concurrency and racing conditions

  • E.g., Uses a version scheme based on vector times

  – XML Imports of several element definitions of RFC4575

  • As they are still suitable for distributed conferences
Event Package Overview

- `<distributed-conference>`:
  - Root element
- `<version-vector>`:
  - Enables a coherent version scheme
- `<conference-description>`:
  - General information about a DisCo
- `<focus>`:
  - Describes a participant in role of a focus and its responsibilities to other participants
- `<relations>`:
  - Describes a focus peers relations to adjacent focus peers
Offer/Answer within Distributed Conferences

- Focuses are responsible for distributing media to connected peers
- Ad-hoc scheme:
  - A Focus distributes all media streams to all connected peers
  - Focus may choose to do mixing/recoding
  - When a new peer joins:
    - Focus offers all media streams it receives to the joining peer
    - Joining peer offers its media streams to the focus
  - *Either*: Focus modifies media sessions to all connected peers, offering the new stream
  - *OR*: mix the new stream with existing streams to prevent the need for SIP re-INVITE
  - Media streams naturally follow signaling connections
Upcoming Work

Separate contribution into

1. Abstract part that supports shared distributed resources that are
   • Addressable by a uniform URI
   • Synchronized within RELOAD
   ➤ Research options for SAM community?

2. Application part that designs media conferencing based on the abstract mechanisms & scheme
Thanks!

Opinions / Questions?