

# A Common Log Format for SIP using IPFIX Files

draft-niccolini-sipclf-ipfix-05

Saverio Niccolini   Benoit Claise   *Brian Trammell*  
Hadriel Kaplan

IETF 79, November 6-13, 2010 Beijing, China

# Outline

---

The IPFIX Approach to SIPCLF

Changes since Maastricht

Next Steps

## IPFIX Files provide a basis for flexible logging

---

- ▶ Efficient, self-describing framing based on templates
- ▶ Optimized for fast export/storage of high-volume, relatively semantically uncomplicated data
  - ▶ More complicated semantics supported by draft-ietf-ipfix-structured-data
- ▶ Information elements provided for binary-representation of common network-related data (e.g. IP addresses, timestamps)
  - ▶ Many of these are applicable to and reused by SIPCLF

## Definition of new SIP-specific Information Elements

- ▶ sipMethod: method encoded as 8-bit integer, by order in SIP Parameters Methods registry
- ▶ sipResponseStatus: presence signifies a response record
- ▶ sipObservationType: what role did the observer have in the message?
- ▶ URIs: sipRequestURI, sipFromURI, sipFromTag, sipToURI, sipToTag, sipContactURI, sipPaiURI
- ▶ Identifiers: sipCallId, sipSessionId, sipSequenceNumber, sipAuthUsername
- ▶ Message dump support: sipMessageSection, sipMessageSectionOffset, sipMessageLength

## Definition an IPFIX SIPCLF log file

- ▶ Draft defines base templates for SIP Request and SIP Response log entry
  - ▶ Additional templates for e.g. IPv4 vs. IPv6 endpoints, optional records
  - ▶ Supplemental optional templates for raw SIP message dumping
- ▶ A SIPCLF log file is then simply any IPFIX File containing Templates based on these base Templates, and records defined by them
  - ▶ May contain additional information elements in SIPCLF templates (e.g. optional additional data, vendor-specific features)
  - ▶ May contain data described by non-SIPCLF templates (e.g. multi-application logging, combination with flows from data plane)

## More examples

---

- ▶ Examples now provided for each example in the Problem Statement
  - ▶ Generated by a running implementation based on ripfix
- ▶ Examples for torture tests *not* updated since decision that logging should preserve SIP escaping intact
  - ▶ Torture tests mainly test the SIP parser in front of logging
  - ▶ Length prefix encoding for IPFIX strings mitigates string handling danger
  - ▶ Still not clear that these are useful in this document (open issue)

# No more bodies, but raw messages

---

- ▶ Per list discussion, body logging not in scope for SIPCLF
  - ▶ debug dumps and logs are separate things
- ▶ Body logging mechanism repurposed as *raw message* logging mechanism
  - ▶ Minimum handling by logging process: what you saw is what you get
  - ▶ Still provides logging of large raw Messages in multiple IPFIX messages

# Lots of discussion

---

- ▶ Not really a change, but led to delays on the original “choose one and finish specifying it for Beijing” target.
- ▶ sipMethod: text (to support weird methods) or *integer* (registered methods only)?
- ▶ endpoint logging: FQDNs or *IP addresses* mandatory?

## Open issues

---

- ▶ New information elements defined in PEN 35566 (trammell.ch) space to facilitate early implementation testing.
  - ▶ Assign these real numbers from IANA on WG adoption.
- ▶ Peter Musgrave implemented both side-by-side to test efficiency (thanks, Peter!); results should be incorporated in next revision.
- ▶ Torture tests should be updated or removed, as necessary.
- ▶ Mechanism for cross-referencing multiple records related to the same SIP message (e.g., large raw message logging), if necessary.

## SIPCLF WG item?

---

- ▶ Specification relatively mature
  - ▶ Two implementations (based on same IPFIX core)
- ▶ If selected, completion in Prague timeframe possible

# Questions, comments, flames?

---