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What Changed from -03 to -04

• Broken up section 3 into subsections
  – One per diagram

• Added the warning that with multiple locationValues there is no good erroring, so shouldn’t do it
  – i.e., “you break it you bought it”

• Changed ABNF for Geolocation
  – Which caused some to flesh out an issue we ask about here

• Added RFCs 2616, 2818 and HELD Deref ID to the references section

• Changed the examples based on Martin’s suggestions

• Added that GEO-URI is bad here
  – Based on Maastricht agreement

• Completely rewrote privacy section for Hannes
  – With a ref to Geopriv Arch doc
Known Open Issues

1. Number of locationValues (many)
   - Need new text on 424 when many are present
     • Send 424 when you don’t understand any location
     • Intermediaries only proxy 424 back when they haven’t added

2. Ordering of locationValues
   - order means just that, order – caveat emptor

3. Replace ‘geolocation’ option-tag with option-tag profiles for dereferencing?
   - e.g., ‘geoloc-presence’ in Supported & Require
   - This would also replace proposed IANA URI registry

4. “routing-allowed” parameter in Geolocation header field or another (new) header field?

Other issues handled on the list, or are nits
What’s next?

• Make decisions on open items here
  – As well as to comments from John Elwell on list

• Crank out another version before Prague