

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 27, 2011

K. Jiao  
Huawei  
G. Zorn  
Network Zen  
October 24, 2010

The Diameter Capabilities Update Application  
draft-ietf-dime-capablities-update-07

Abstract

This document defines a new Diameter application and associated command codes. The Capabilities Update application is intended to allow the dynamic update of certain Diameter peer capabilities while the peer-to-peer connection is in the open state.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 27, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction . . . . . 3
- 2. Specification of Requirements . . . . . 3
- 3. Diameter Protocol Considerations . . . . . 3
- 4. Capabilities Update . . . . . 3
  - 4.1. Command-Code Values . . . . . 4
    - 4.1.1. Capabilities-Update-Request . . . . . 5
    - 4.1.2. Capabilities-Update-Answer . . . . . 5
- 5. Security Considerations . . . . . 6
- 6. IANA Considerations . . . . . 6
  - 6.1. Application Identifier . . . . . 6
  - 6.2. Command Codes . . . . . 6
- 7. Contributors . . . . . 6
- 8. Acknowledgements . . . . . 6
- 9. References . . . . . 6
  - 9.1. Normative References . . . . . 6
  - 9.2. Informative References . . . . . 7
- Authors' Addresses . . . . . 7

## 1. Introduction

Capabilities exchange is an important component of the Diameter Base Protocol [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis], allowing peers to exchange identities and Diameter capabilities (protocol version number, supported Diameter applications, security mechanisms, etc.). As defined in RFC 3588, however, the capabilities exchange process takes place only once, at the inception of a transport connection between a given pair of peers. Therefore, if a peer's capabilities change (due to software update, for example), the existing connection(s) must be torn down (along with all of the associated user sessions) and restarted before the modified capabilities can be advertised.

This document defines a new Diameter application intended to allow the dynamic update of a subset of Diameter peer capabilities over an existing connection. Because the Capabilities Update application specified herein operates over an existing transport connection, modification of certain capabilities is prohibited. Specifically, modifying the security mechanism in use is not allowed; if the security method used between a pair of peers is changed the affected connection MUST be restarted.

## 2. Specification of Requirements

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

## 3. Diameter Protocol Considerations

This section details the relationship of the Diameter Capabilities Update application to the Diameter Base Protocol.

This document specifies Diameter Application-ID <TBD1>. Diameter nodes conforming to this specification MUST advertise support by including the value <TBD1> in the Auth-Application-Id of the Capabilities-Exchange-Request (CER) and Capabilities-Exchange-Answer (CEA) commands [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis].

## 4. Capabilities Update

When the capabilities of a Diameter node conforming to this specification change, it MUST notify all of the nodes with which it has an open transport connection and which have also advertised support for the Capabilities Update application using the

Capabilities-Update-Request (CUR) message (Section 4.1.1). This message allows the update of a peer's capabilities (supported Diameter applications, etc.).

A Diameter node only issues a given command to those peers that have advertised support for the Diameter application that defines the command; a Diameter node must cache the supported applications in order to ensure that unrecognized commands and/or Attribute-Value Pairs (AVPs) are not unnecessarily sent to a peer.

The receiver of the CUR MUST determine common applications by computing the intersection of its own set of supported Application Id against all of the application identifier AVPs (Auth-Application-Id, Acct-Application-Id and Vendor-Specific- Application-Id) present in the CUR. The value of the Vendor-Id AVP in the Vendor-Specific-Application-Id MUST NOT be used during computation.

If the receiver of a CUR does not have any applications in common with the sender then it MUST return a Capabilities-Update-Answer (CUA) (Section 4.1.2) with the Result-Code AVP set to `DIAMETER_NO_COMMON_APPLICATION` [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis], and SHOULD disconnect the transport layer connection; however, if active sessions are using the connection, peers MAY delay disconnection until the sessions can be redirected or gracefully terminated. Note that receiving a CUA from a peer advertising itself as a Relay (see [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis], Section 2.4) MUST be interpreted as having common applications with the peer.

As for CER/CEA messages, the CUR and CUA messages MUST NOT be proxied, redirected or relayed.

Even though the CUR/CUA messages cannot be proxied, it is still possible for an upstream agent to receive a message for which there are no peers available to handle the application that corresponds to the Command-Code. This could happen if, for example, the peers are too busy or down. In such instances, the 'E' bit MUST be set in the answer message with the Result-Code AVP set to `DIAMETER_UNABLE_TO_DELIVER` to inform the downstream peer to take action (e.g., re-routing requests to an alternate peer).

#### 4.1. Command-Code Values

This section defines Command-Code [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis] values that MUST be supported by all Diameter implementations conforming to this specification. The following Command Codes are defined (using modified ABNF [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis]) in this document: Capabilities-Update-Request (CUR, Section 4.1.1) and Capabilities-Update-Answer (CUA, Section 4.1.2).

## 4.1.1. Capabilities-Update-Request

The Capabilities-Update-Request (CUR), indicated by the Command-Code set to <CUCC> and the Command Flags' 'R' bit set, is sent to update local capabilities. Upon detection of a transport failure, this message MUST NOT be sent to an alternate peer.

When Diameter is run over the Stream Control Transmission Protocol [RFC4960], which allows connections to span multiple interfaces and multiple IP addresses, the Capabilities-Update-Request message MUST contain one Host-IP-Address AVP for each potential IP address that may be locally used when transmitting Diameter messages.

## Message Format

```
<CUR> ::= < Diameter Header: <CUCC>, REQ >
        { Origin-Host }
        { Origin-Realm }
    1* { Host-IP-Address }
        { Vendor-Id }
        { Product-Name }
        [ Origin-State-Id ]
        * [ Supported-Vendor-Id ]
        * [ Auth-Application-Id ]
        * [ Acct-Application-Id ]
        * [ Vendor-Specific-Application-Id ]
        [ Firmware-Revision ]
        * [ AVP ]
```

## 4.1.2. Capabilities-Update-Answer

The Capabilities-Update-Answer, indicated by the Command-Code set to <CUCC> and the Command Flags' 'R' bit cleared, is sent in response to a CUR message.

## Message Format

```
<CUA> ::= < Diameter Header: <CUCC> >
        { Origin-Host }
        { Origin-Realm }
        { Result-Code }
        [ Error-Message ]
        * [ AVP ]
```

## 5. Security Considerations

The security considerations applicable to the Diameter Base Protocol [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis] are also applicable to this document.

## 6. IANA Considerations

This section explains the criteria to be used by the IANA for assignment of numbers within namespaces used within this document.

### 6.1. Application Identifier

This specification assigns the value <TBD1> from the Application Identifiers namespace [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis]. See Section 3 for the assignment of the namespace in this specification.

### 6.2. Command Codes

This specification assigns the value <CUCC> from the Command Codes namespace [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis]. See Section 4.1 for the assignment of the namespace in this specification.

## 7. Contributors

This document is based upon work done by Tina Tsou.

## 8. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Sebastien Decugis, Niklas Neumann, Subash Comerica, Lionel Morand, Dan Romascanu, Dan Harkins and Ravi for helpful review and discussion.

## 9. References

### 9.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-dime-rfc3588bis]  
Fajardo, V., Arkko, J., Loughney, J., and G. Zorn,  
"Diameter Base Protocol", draft-ietf-dime-rfc3588bis-25  
(work in progress), September 2010.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate  
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

9.2. Informative References

[RFC4960] Stewart, R., "Stream Control Transmission Protocol",  
RFC 4960, September 2007.

Authors' Addresses

Jiao Kang  
Huawei Technologies  
Section B1, Huawei Industrial Base  
Bantian, Longgang District  
Shenzhen 518129  
P.R. China

Phone: +86 755 2878-6690  
Email: kangjiao@huawei.com

Glen Zorn  
Network Zen  
227/358 Thanon Sanphawut  
Bang Na, Bangkok 10260  
Thailand

Phone: +66 (0) 87-040-4617  
Email: gwz@net-zen.net

