Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: June 11, 2011 M. Bjorklund Tail-f Systems December 8, 2010

A YANG Data Model for Interface Configuration draft-bjorklund-netmod-interfaces-cfg-00

Abstract

This document defines a YANG data model for the configuration of network interfaces. It is expected that interface type specific configuration data models augment the generic interfaces data model defined in this document.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 11, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 1]

Table of Contents

| 1. | Introduction | 3 |
|------|---|----|
| 2. | Objectives | 4 |
| 3. | Interfaces Data Model | 5 |
| 3. | 1. The interface List | 5 |
| 3 | 2. Interface References | б |
| 3. | 3. Interface Layering | 6 |
| 4. | Interfaces YANG module | 7 |
| 5. | IANA Considerations | 12 |
| 6. | Security Considerations | 13 |
| 7. | Acknowledgments | 14 |
| 8. | Normative References | 15 |
| Appe | endix A. Example: Ethernet Interface Module | 16 |
| Appe | endix B. Example: Ethernet Bonding Interface Module | 18 |
| Appe | endix C. Example: VLAN Interface Module | 19 |
| Appe | endix D. Example: IP Module | 21 |
| Auth | nor's Address | 22 |

Expires June 11, 2011 [Page 2]

1. Introduction

This document defines a YANG [RFC6020] data model for the configuration of network interfaces. It is expected that interface type specific configuration data models augment the generic interfaces data model defined in this document.

Network interfaces are central to the configuration of many Internet protocols. Thus, it is important to establish a common data model for how interfaces are identified and configured.

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, [RFC2119].

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 3]

2. Objectives

This section describes some of the design objectives for the model presented in Section 4.

- o It is recognized that existing implementations will have to map the interface data model defined in this memo to their proprietary native data model. The new data model should be simple to facilitate such mappings.
- o The data model should be suitable for new implementations to use as-is, without requiring a mapping to a different native model.
- o The data model must be extensible for different specific interface types, including vendor-specific types.
- o References to interfaces should be as simple as possible, preferably by using a single leafref.
- o The mapping to ifIndex [RFC2863] used by SNMP to identify interfaces must be clear.
- o The model must support interface layering, both simple layering where one interface is layered on top of exactly one other interface, and more complex scenarios where one interface is aggregated over N other interfaces, or when N interfaces are multiplexed over one other interface.
- o The data model should support the pre-provisioning of interface configuration, i.e, it should be possible to configure an interface whose physical interface hardware is not present on the device. It is recommended that devices that supports dynamic addition and removal of physical interfaces also support preprovisioning.

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 4]

```
3. Interfaces Data Model
```

3.1. The interface List

The data model for interface configuration presented in this document uses a flat list of interfaces. Each interface in the list is identified by its name. Furthermore, each interface has a mandatory "type" leaf, and a "location" leaf. The combination of "type" and "location" is unique within the interface list.

The "type" is a YANG identity which must be derived from the base identity "interface-type". By using an identity instead of an enumeration, the definition of interface types is decentralized. Other standard or vendor-specific data models can define their own interface types without having to update a central data model.

It is expected that interface type specific data models augment the interface list, and use the "type" leaf to make the augmentation conditional.

As an example of such a interface type specific augmentation, consider this YANG snippet. For a more complete example, see Appendix A.

```
import interfaces {
   prefix "if";
}
augment "/if:interfaces/if:interface" {
    when "if:type = 'ethernet'";
    container ethernet {
        leaf duplex {
            . . .
        }
    }
}
```

The "location" leaf is a string. It is optional in the data model, but if the type represents a physical interface, it is mandatory. The format of this string is device- and type-dependent. The device uses the location string to identify the physical or logical entity that the configuration applies to. For example, if a device has a single array of 8 ethernet ports, the location can be one of the strings "1" to "8". As another example, if a device has N cards of M ports, the location can be on the form "n/m", such as "1/0".

How a client can learn which types and locations are present on a certain device is outside the scope of this document.

Bjorklund Expires June 11, 2011 [Page 5] Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration December 2010

3.2. Interface References

An interface is uniquely identified by its name. This property is captured in the "interface-ref" typedef, which other YANG modules SHOULD use when they need to reference an existing interface.

3.3. Interface Layering

There is no generic mechanism for how an interface is configured to be layered on top some other interface. It is expected that interface type specific models define their own objects for interface layering, by using "interface-ref" types to reference lower layers.

Below is an example of a model with such objects. For a more complete example, see Appendix B.

```
identity eth-bonding {
   base if:interface-type;
}
augment "/if:interfaces/if:interface" {
   when "if:type = eth-bonding";
    leaf-list slave-if {
        type if:interface-ref;
        must "/if:interfaces/if:interface[if:name = current()]"
           + "/if:type = 'eth:ethernet'" {
           description
                "The type of a slave interface must be ethernet";
        }
    // other bonding config params, failover times etc.
}
```

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 6]

Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration December 2010 4. Interfaces YANG module RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication and remove this note. <CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-interfaces@2010-12-08.yang" module ietf-interfaces { namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces"; prefix "if"; organization "IETF NETMOD (NETCONF Data Modeling Language) Working Group"; contact "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/> WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org> WG Chair: David Kessens <mailto:david.kessens@nsn.com> WG Chair: Juergen Schoenwaelder <mailto:j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de> Editor: Martin Bjorklund <mailto:mbj@tail-f.com>"; description "This module contains a collection of YANG definitions for configuring network interfaces. Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved. Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info). This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices."; // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this // note.

Bjorklund Expires June 11, 2011 [Page 7]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration December 2010
     // RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
     // and remove this note.
    revision 2010-12-08 {
      description
         "Initial revision.";
      reference
         "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Interface Configuration";
     }
     /* Typedefs */
     typedef interface-ref {
      type leafref {
        path "/if:interfaces/if:interface/if:name";
       }
      description
         "This type is used by data models that need to reference
         interfaces.";
     }
     /* Features */
    feature snmp-if-mib {
      description
        "This feature indicates that the server implements IF-MIB,
         accessible over SNMP.";
     }
     /* Identities */
     identity interface-type {
      description
         "The base identity from which media-specific interface
          identities are derived.";
     }
     /* Data nodes */
    container interfaces {
      description
         "Interface parameters.";
      list interface {
        key "name";
        unique "type location";
        description
           "The list of configurable interfaces on the device.";
```

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 8]

Bjorklund

```
Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration
                                                   December 2010
         leaf name {
          type string {
            length "1..255";
          description
             "An arbitrary name for the interface.
             A device MAY restrict the allowed values for this leaf,
             possibly depending on the type and location.";
         }
         leaf type {
          type identityref {
            base interface-type;
           }
          mandatory true;
          description
             "The type of the interface.
             When an interface entry is created, a server MAY
             initialize the type leaf with a valid value, e.g. if it
             is possible to derive the type from the name of the
             interface.";
         }
         leaf location {
          type string;
          description
             "The device-specific location of the interface of a
             particular type. The format of the location string
             depends on the interface type and the device.
             Media-specific modules must specify if the location
              is needed for the given type.
             For example, if a device has a single array of 8 ethernet
             ports, the location can be one of '1' to '8'. As another
             example, if a device has N cards of M ports, the location
              can be on the form 'n/m'.
             When an interface entry is created, a server MAY
              initialize the location leaf with a valid value, e.g. if
              it is possible to derive the location from the name of
              the interface.";
         }
        leaf admin-status {
          type enumeration {
```

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 9]

Bjorklund

```
enum "up";
   enum "down";
 default "up";
 description
    "The desired state of the interface.
    This leaf contains the configured, desired state of the
    interface. Systems that implement the IF-MIB use the
     value of this leaf to set IF-MIB.ifAdminStatus after an
    ifEntry has been initialized, as described in RFC 2863.";
  // FIXME: Can we say that changing ifAdminStatus does NOT
 11
           change this object? If not, is the opposite
 11
           always true, i.e. that changing ifAdminStatus
           results in a change of this object (in running)?
 11
           Or should we be silent?
 11
 reference
   "RFC 2863: The Interfaces Group MIB - ifAdminStatus";
}
leaf-list if-index {
 if-feature snmp-if-mib;
 type int32 {
   range "1..2147483647";
  }
 config false;
 description
    "The list of ifIndex values for all ifEntries that are
    represented by this interface. If there is a one-to-one
    mapping between the interface and entries in the ifTable,
    this leaf-list will have a single value.
    Media-specific modules must specify how the type is
    mapped to entries in the ifTable.";
 reference
    "RFC 2863: The Interfaces Group MIB - ifIndex";
}
leaf mtu {
  type uint32;
 description
    "The size, in octets, of the largest packet that the
    interface can send and receive. This node might not be
    valid for all interface types.
    Media-specific modules must specify any restrictions on
    the mtu for their interface type.";
}
```

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 10]

<CODE ENDS>

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011 [Page 11]

Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration December 2010

5. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration is requested to be made.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces

Registrant Contact: The NETMOD WG of the IETF.

 $\ensuremath{\texttt{XML}}\xspace$ N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [RFC6020].

| name: | ietf-interfaces |
|------------|---|
| namespace: | urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces |
| prefix: | if |
| reference: | RFC XXXX |

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 12]

6. Security Considerations

TBD.

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011 [Page 13]

7. Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Per Hedeland, Ladislav Lhotka, and Juergen Schoenwaelder for their helpful comments.

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011 [Page 14]

Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration December 2010

- 8. Normative References
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
 - [RFC2863] McCloghrie, K. and F. Kastenholz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", RFC 2863, June 2000.
 - [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
 - [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 15]

Appendix A. Example: Ethernet Interface Module

This section gives a simple example of how an Ethernet interface module could be defined. It demonstrates how a media-specific type can be derived from the base identity "interface-type", and how media-specific configuration parameters can be conditionally augmented to the generic interface list. It is not intended as a complete module for ethernet configuration.

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011 [Page 16]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration
                                                    December 2010
  module ex-ethernet {
    namespace "http://example.com/ethernet";
    prefix "eth";
    import ietf-interfaces {
      prefix if;
     }
     identity ethernet {
      base if:interface-type;
     }
    augment "/if:interfaces/if:interface" {
      when "if:type = 'eth:ethernet'";
      container ethernet {
        must "../if:location" {
          description
             "An ethernet interface must specify the physical location
             of the ethernet hardware.";
         }
         choice transmission-params {
          case auto {
            leaf auto-negotiate {
              type empty;
            }
           }
           case manual {
            leaf duplex {
              type enumeration {
                enum "half";
                 enum "full";
               }
             }
             leaf speed {
              type enumeration {
                enum "10Mb";
                 enum "100Mb";
                enum "1Gb";
                 enum "10Gb";
               }
             }
           }
         }
         // other ethernet specific params...
      }
    }
   }
```

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 17]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration December 2010
Appendix B. Example: Ethernet Bonding Interface Module
   This section gives an example of how interface layering can be
   defined. An ethernet bonding type is defined, which bonds several
   ethernet interfaces into one logical interface.
   module ex-ethernet-bonding {
     namespace "http://example.com/ethernet-bonding";
     prefix "bond";
     import ietf-interfaces {
      prefix if;
     ļ
     import ex-ethernet {
      prefix eth;
     }
     identity eth-bonding {
      base if:interface-type;
     }
     augment "/if:interfaces/if:interface" {
       when "if:type = 'bond:eth-bonding'";
       leaf-list slave-if {
        type if:interface-ref;
        must "/if:interfaces/if:interface[if:name = current()]"
           + "/if:type = 'eth:ethernet'" {
          description
             "The type of a slave interface must be ethernet.";
         }
       }
       leaf bonding-mode {
        type enumeration {
          enum round-robin;
          enum active-backup;
           enum broadcast;
         }
       }
       // other bonding config params, failover times etc.
     }
   }
```

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 18]

Appendix C. Example: VLAN Interface Module

This section gives an example of how vlan interface module can be defined.

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011 [Page 19]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration
                                                   December 2010
  module ex-vlan {
    namespace "http://example.com/vlan";
    prefix "vlan";
    import ietf-interfaces {
      prefix if;
     import ex-ethernet {
      prefix eth;
     import ex-ethernet-bonding {
      prefix bond;
     }
    identity vlan {
      base if:interface-type;
     }
    augment "/if:interfaces/if:interface" {
      when "if:type = 'eth:ethernet' or
            if:type = 'bond:eth-bonding'";
      // Should we list all types that support vlan tagging here, or
      // should we just remove the when, and state in text that not
      // all interfaces support this?
      leaf vlan-tagging {
        type boolean;
        default false;
      }
    }
    augment "/if:interfaces/if:interface" {
      when "if:type = 'vlan:vlan'";
      leaf base-interface {
        type if:interface-ref;
        must "/if:interfaces/if:interface[if:name = current()]"
           + "/vlan:vlan-tagging = true" {
          description
            "The base interface must have vlan tagging enabled.";
        }
       leaf vlan-id {
        type uint16 {
          range "1..4094";
        }
        must "../base-interface";
      }
    }
   }
```

```
Bjorklund
```

Expires June 11, 2011

```
Internet-Draft YANG Interface Configuration December 2010
Appendix D. Example: IP Module
  This section gives an example how an IP module can be defined.
  module ex-ip {
    namespace "http://example.com/ip";
    prefix "ip";
     import ietf-interfaces {
      prefix if;
     }
     import ietf-inet-types {
     prefix inet;
     }
    augment "/if:interfaces/if:interface" {
      container ip {
        list address {
          key "ip";
          leaf ip {
            type inet:ip-address;
          }
          leaf prefix-length {
            type uint16;
            // range depends on type of address
  }
}
}
          }
```

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 21]

Author's Address

Martin Bjorklund Tail-f Systems

Email: mbj@tail-f.com

Bjorklund

Expires June 11, 2011

[Page 22]

Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: April 21, 2011 M. Bjorklund Tail-f Systems J. Schoenwaelder Jacobs University October 18, 2010

snmp cfg draft-bjorklund-netmod-snmp-cfg-00

Abstract

This document defines a collection of YANG definitions for configuring SNMP engines.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 21, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 1]

Internet-Draft snmp cfg

Table of Contents

| 1. | Introduction | | | • | 3 |
|------|----------------------------------|-------|---|---|----|
| 2. | Keywords | | | | 4 |
| 3. | Overview | | | | 5 |
| 4. | snmp | | | | 6 |
| 5. | snmp-common | | | | 7 |
| 6. | snmp-agent | | | • | 11 |
| 7. | snmp-community | | | | 14 |
| 8. | snmp-notification | • | • | • | 16 |
| 9. | snmp-target | | • | • | 19 |
| 10. | . snmp-target-params | • | • | • | 22 |
| 11. | . snmp-usm | | • | • | 24 |
| 12. | . snmp-vacm | | • | • | 27 |
| 13. | . IANA Considerations | • | • | • | 32 |
| 14. | . Security Considerations | • | • | • | 33 |
| 15. | . Normative References | • | • | • | 34 |
| Appe | pendix A. Example configurations | • | • | • | 35 |
| Auth | thors' Addresses | | | | 36 |

Internet-Draft snmp cfg

1. Introduction

TBD.

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 3]

Internet-Draft snmp cfg October 2010

2. Keywords

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, [RFC2119].

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 4]

Internet-Draft snmp cfg

3. Overview

TBD.

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 5]

Internet-Draft

snmp cfg

```
4. snmp
```

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "snmp.yang"
module snmp {
  namespace "http://yang-central.org/ns/snmp";
  prefix "snmp";
  include snmp-common {
   revision-date 2010-10-17;
  }
  include snmp-agent {
   revision-date 2010-10-17;
  }
  include snmp-community {
  revision-date 2010-10-17;
  }
  include snmp-notification {
   revision-date 2010-10-17;
  }
  include snmp-target {
   revision-date 2010-10-17;
  }
  include snmp-target-params {
  revision-date 2010-10-17;
  }
  include snmp-vacm {
   revision-date 2010-10-17;
  }
  include snmp-usm {
   revision-date 2010-10-17;
  }
  description
    "This module contains a collection of YANG definitions for
     configuring SNMP engines.";
  revision 2010-10-17 {
   description
      "Initial revision.";
  }
}
<CODE ENDS>
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 6]

Internet-Draft snmp cfg October 2010 5. snmp-common <CODE BEGINS> file "snmp-common.yang" submodule snmp-common { belongs-to snmp { prefix snmp; } description "This submodule contains a collection of common YANG definitions for configuring SNMP engines."; revision 2010-10-17 { description "Initial revision."; } /* Collection of SNMP features */ feature proxy { description "A server implements this feature if it can act as an SNMP Proxy"; } feature multiple-contexts { description "A server implements this feature if it supports other contexts than the default context."; } feature notification-filter { description "A server implements this feature if it supports SNMP notification filtering."; } /* Collection of SNMP specific data types */ typedef admin-string { type string { length "0..255"; } description "Represents and SnmpAdminString as defined in RFC 3411."; reference

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 7]

```
Internet-Draft
                                   snmp cfg
                                                                  October 2010
          "RFC 3411: An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management
                      Frameworks";
     }
     typedef identifier {
       type admin-string {
         length "1..32";
       }
       description
         "Identifiers are used to name items in the SNMP configuration
         data store.";
     }
     typedef context-name {
       type admin-string {
         length "0..32";
       }
       description
         "The context type represents an SNMP context name.";
     }
     typedef sec-name {
       type admin-string;
       description
         "The sec-name type represents an SNMP security name.";
     }
     typedef mp-model {
       type union {
         type enumeration {
           enum any { value 0; }
enum v1 { value 1; }
enum v2c { value 2; }
enum v3 { value 3; }
         }
         type int32 {
           range "0..2147483647";
          }
       }
       reference
         "RFC3411: An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management
                    Frameworks";
     }
     typedef sec-model {
       type union {
         type enumeration {
            enum v1 { value 1; }
```

[Page 8]

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011

```
Internet-Draft
                                  snmp cfg
                                                                October 2010
           enum v2c { value 2; }
enum usm { value 3; }
         }
         type int32 {
           range "1..2147483647";
       }
       reference
         "RFC3411: An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management
                    Frameworks";
     }
     typedef sec-model-or-any {
       type union {
         type enumeration {
           enum any { value 0; }
         }
         type sec-model;
       }
       reference
         "RFC3411: An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management
                   Frameworks";
     }
     typedef sec-level {
       type enumeration {
         enum no-auth-no-priv { value 1; }
         enum auth-no-priv { value 2; }
enum auth-priv { value 3; }
       }
       reference
         "RFC3411: An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management
                   Frameworks";
     }
     typedef engine-id {
       type string {
         pattern '(([0-9a-fA-F]){2}(:([0-9a-fA-F]){2}){4,31})?';
       }
       description
         "The Engine ID specified as a list of colon-specified hexa-
         decimal octets e.g. '4F:4C:41:71'.";
       reference
         "RFC3411: An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management
                   Frameworks";
     }
     typedef wildcard-object-identifier {
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 9]

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft
                                   snmp cfg
       type string;
       description
          "The wildcard-object-identifier type represents an SNMP object
          identifier where subidentifiers can be given either as a label, in numeric form, or a wildcard, represented by a *.";
     }
     container snmp {
       description
         "Top-level container for SNMP related configuration and
         status objects.";
     }
   }
```

<CODE ENDS>

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 10]

Internet-Draft

```
6. snmp-agent
```

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "snmp-agent.yang"
submodule snmp-agent {
 belongs-to snmp {
   prefix snmp;
  }
 import ietf-yang-types {
   prefix yang;
  ļ
 import ietf-inet-types {
   prefix inet;
  }
 include snmp-common;
 revision 2010-10-17 {
   description
     "Initial revision.";
 }
 augment /snmp:snmp {
   container agent {
     description
        "Configuration of the SNMP agent";
      leaf enabled {
       type boolean;
       default "false";
       description
         "Enables the SNMP agent.";
      }
      // FIXME: support multiple endpoints
      leaf ip {
       type inet:ip-address;
       default "0.0.0.0";
       description
          "The IPv4 or IPv6 address to which the agent listens.";
      }
      leaf udp-port {
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 11]

```
Internet-Draft
                                snmp cfg
                                                             October 2010
           type inet:port-number;
           default "161";
           description
             "The UDP port to which the agent listens.";
         }
         container version {
           description
             "SNMP version used by the agent";
           leaf v1 {
             type empty;
           }
           leaf v2c {
             type empty;
           }
           leaf v3 {
             type empty;
             must "../../engine-id" {
              error-message
                 "when v3 is configured, an engine-id must be set";
             }
           }
         }
         container engine-id {
           presence "Sets the local engine-id.";
           description
             "The local SNMP engine's administratively-assigned unique
              identifier.";
           reference "SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB.snmpEngineID";
           leaf enterprise-number {
             type uint32;
             mandatory true;
           }
           choice method {
             mandatory true;
             leaf from-ip {
              type inet:ip-address;
             }
             leaf from-mac-address {
              type yang:mac-address;
             }
             leaf from-text {
               type string {
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 12]

length 1..27;

}

}

```
}
          leaf other {
            type string {
             pattern [0-9a-fA-F]{2}(:[0-9a-fA-F]{2}){0,27}";
            }
         }
       }
     }
   }
   container system {
     description
        "System group configuration.";
     leaf contact {
       type admin-string;
       default "";
       reference "SNMPv2-MIB.sysContact";
      }
     leaf name {
       type admin-string;
       default "";
       reference "SNMPv2-MIB.sysName";
      }
     leaf location {
       type admin-string;
       default "";
       reference "SNMPv2-MIB.sysLocation";
      }
   }
 }
<CODE ENDS>
```
```
Internet-Draft
                                snmp cfg
                                                             October 2010
7. snmp-community
   <CODE BEGINS> file "snmp-community.yang"
   submodule snmp-community {
     belongs-to snmp {
      prefix snmp;
     }
     include snmp-common;
     include snmp-target;
    reference
       "RFC3584: Coexistence between Version 1, Version 2, and Version 3
                 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework";
    revision 2010-10-17 {
      description
        "Initial revision.";
     }
     augment /snmp:snmp {
       list community {
        key index;
         description
           "List of communities";
         reference "SNMP-COMMUNITY-MIB.snmpCommunityTable";
         leaf index {
           type snmp:identifier;
           description "Index into the community list.";
           reference "SNMP-COMMUNITY-MIB.snmpCommunityIndex";
         }
         leaf name {
           type string;
           description
             "Use only when the community string is not the same as the
             index.";
          reference "SNMP-COMMUNITY-MIB.snmpCommunityName";
         }
         leaf sec-name {
           type snmp:sec-name;
           description
             "If not set, the value of 'name' is operationally used";
           reference "SNMP-COMMUNITY-MIB.snmpCommunitySecurityName";
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 14]

```
Internet-Draft
                               snmp cfg
                                                     October 2010
        leaf engine-id {
          if-feature snmp:proxy;
          type snmp:engine-id;
          description
            "If not set, the value of the local SNMP engine is
            operationally used by the device.";
          reference "SNMP-COMMUNITY-MIB.snmpCommunityContextEngineID";
         }
        leaf context {
          if-feature snmp:multiple-contexts;
          type snmp:context-name;
          default "";
          reference "SNMP-COMMUNITY-MIB.snmpCommunityContextName";
         }
        leaf target-tag {
          type leafref {
            path "/snmp/target/tag";
          }
          description
            "Used to limit access for this community to the specified
            targets.";
          reference "SNMP-COMMUNITY-MIB.snmpCommunityTransportTag";
        }
      }
```

<CODE ENDS>

}

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011

```
Internet-Draft
                                snmp cfg
                                                             October 2010
8. snmp-notification
   <CODE BEGINS> file "snmp-notification.yang"
   submodule snmp-notification {
     belongs-to snmp {
      prefix snmp;
     }
     include snmp-common;
     include snmp-target;
     include snmp-target-params;
    reference
       "RFC3413: Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Applications
       SNMP-NOTIFICATION-MIB";
     revision 2010-10-17 {
      description
        "Initial revision.";
     }
     augment /snmp:snmp/snmp:target {
       leaf notify-profile {
        if-feature snmp:notification-filter;
        type leafref {
          path "/snmp/notify-profile/name";
        }
       }
     }
     augment /snmp:snmp {
       list notify {
        key name;
        description
           "Targets that will receive notifications.";
        reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyTable";
         leaf name {
           type snmp:identifier;
          description
             "An arbitrary name for the list entry.";
          reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyName";
         }
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 16]

```
snmp cfg
                                                             October 2010
Internet-Draft
         leaf tag {
           type leafref {
            path "/snmp/target/tag";
           mandatory true;
           description
             "Target tag, selects a set of notification targets.";
           reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyTag";
         1
         leaf type {
           type enumeration {
             enum trap { value 1; }
             enum inform { value 2; }
           }
          must
            '. != inform or '
           + 'not(/snmp/target[tag = current()/../name]'
           + ′
                   /../usm[../engine-id] != '
           + ′
                 /snmp/target[tag = current()/../name]/../usm)' {
             error-message
               "When inform is configured, all v3 targets must have an
                engine-id configured.";
           }
           default trap;
           description "Defines the notification type to be generated.";
           reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyType";
         }
       }
       list notify-profile {
         if-feature snmp:notification-filter;
         key name;
         description
           "Notification filter profiles associated with targets.";
         reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyFilterProfileTable";
         leaf name {
          type snmp:identifier;
           description "Name of the filter profile";
          reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyFilterProfileName";
         }
         list subtree {
           key "oids";
           reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyFilterTable";
           leaf oids {
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 17]

```
Internet-Draft
                                snmp cfg
                                                          October 2010
             type wildcard-object-identifier;
             description
               "A family of subtrees included in this filter.";
             reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyFilterSubtree
                        SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyFilterMask";
           }
           choice type {
             mandatory true;
             leaf included {
               type empty;
               description
                 "The family of subtrees is included in the filter.";
             }
             leaf excluded {
               type empty;
               description
                 "The family of subtrees is excluded from the filter.";
             }
```

```
reference "SNMP-NOTIFY-MIB.snmpNotifyFilterType";
```

<CODE ENDS>

}

} } }

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011

[Page 18]

```
Internet-Draft
                                snmp cfg
                                                             October 2010
9. snmp-target
   <CODE BEGINS> file "snmp-target.yang"
 submodule snmp-target {
  belongs-to snmp {
    prefix snmp;
   }
   import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix inet;
   }
   include snmp-common;
  include snmp-usm;
  reference
     "RFC3413: Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Applications
     SNMP-TARGET-MIB";
  revision 2010-10-17 {
    description
       "Initial revision.";
   }
   augment /snmp:snmp {
     list target {
      key name;
      description "List of targets.";
       reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrTable";
       leaf name {
        type snmp:identifier;
         description
           "Identifies the target.";
        reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrName";
       }
       // make a choice here so we can add other transports, or
       // they can augment.
       leaf ip {
         type inet:ip-address;
         mandatory true;
         description "Transport IP address of the target";
```

```
Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 19]
```

```
reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrTDomain
             SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrTAddress";
leaf udp-port {
 type inet:port-number;
 default 162;
 description "UDP port number";
 reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrTDomain
             SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrTAddress";
leaf-list tag {
 type snmp:identifier;
 description
    "List of tag values used to select target address.";
 reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrTagList";
}
leaf timeout {
 type uint32;
 units "0.01 seconds";
 default 1500;
 description
   "Needed only if this target can receive v3 informs.";
 reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrTimeout";
leaf retries {
 type uint8;
 default 3;
 description
    "Needed only if this target can receive v3 informs.";
 reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetAddrRetryCount";
}
leaf engine-id {
  type leafref {
   path "/snmp/usm/remote/engine-id";
  }
 must '../usm/user-name' {
   error-message
     "When engine-id is set, usm/user-name must also be set.";
  }
 must '/snmp/usm/remote[engine-id=current()]/'
    + 'user[name=current()/../usm/user-name]' {
   error-message
      "When engine-id is set, the usm/user-name must exist in the
      /snmp/usm/remote list for this engine-id.";
  }
  description
    "Needed only if this target can receive v3 informs.
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 20]

```
snmp cfg
                                                               October 2010
Internet-Draft
           This object is not present in the SNMP MIBs. In
           RFC 3412, it is a implementation specific matter how this
         engine-id is handled.";
reference "RFC 3412 7.1.9a";
       }
     }
   }
 }
   <CODE ENDS>
```

```
snmp cfg
                                                             October 2010
Internet-Draft
10. snmp-target-params
   <CODE BEGINS> file "snmp-target-params.yang"
   submodule snmp-target-params {
     belongs-to snmp {
      prefix snmp;
     }
     include snmp-common;
     include snmp-community;
     include snmp-target;
    reference
       "RFC3413: Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Applications
        SNMP-TARGET-MIB";
     revision 2010-10-17 {
      description
        "Initial revision.";
     }
     augment /snmp:snmp/snmp:target {
       /* By including the params directly in the target entry we
          lose some flexibility, but we get a simpler model with less
          cross-references. In SNMP, two addrEntries can point to the
          same paramsEntry.
       * /
       choice params {
         mandatory true;
         reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetParamsTable";
         container v1 {
  description "SNMPv1 parameters type";
           // mp-model is v1, sec-level is noAuthNoPriv
           leaf community
             type leafref {
              path "/snmp/community/index";
             }
            mandatory true;
             reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetParamsSecurityName";
           }
         }
         container v2c {
           description "SNMPv2 community parameters type";
           // mp-model is v2c, sec-level is noAuthNoPriv
           leaf community {
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 22]

```
Internet-Draft
                               snmp cfg
                                                 October 2010
            type leafref {
             path "/snmp/community/index";
             }
            mandatory true;
            reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetParamsSecurityName";
          }
        }
        container usm {
          description "User based SNMPv3 parameters type";
          // mp-model is v3
          leaf user-name {
            type leafref {
            path "/snmp/usm/local/user/name";
}
            mandatory true;
            reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetParamsSecurityName";
          }
          leaf sec-level {
            type sec-level;
            mandatory true;
            reference "SNMP-TARGET-MIB.snmpTargetParamsSecurityLevel";
          }
        }
      }
    }
   }
   <CODE ENDS>
```

Internet-Draft snmp cfg October 2010 11. snmp-usm <CODE BEGINS> file "snmp-usm.yang" submodule snmp-usm { belongs-to snmp { prefix snmp; } include snmp-common; description "This submodule contains a collection of YANG definitions for configuring the User-based Security Model (USM) of SNMP."; reference "RFC3414: User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)."; revision 2010-10-17 { description "Initial revision."; } grouping key { choice key-type { leaf password { /* This must be stored in the config; it cannot be derived from the SNMP table. Also, if SNMP is used to set the key, this password will not be used anymore */ type string; description "Will be used to create a localized key."; } leaf key { type string { pattern '([0-9a-fA-F]){2}(:([0-9a-fA-F]){2})*'; } description "Authentication key specified as a list of colon-specified hexa-decimal octets"; } } } grouping user-list { list user { key "name";

Internet-Draft

```
reference "SNMP-USER-BASED-SM-MIB.usmUserTable";
leaf name {
  type snmp:identifier;
 reference "SNMP-USER-BASED-SM-MIB.usmUserName
             SNMP-USER-BASED-SM-MIB.usmUserSecurityName";
leaf security-name {
 type snmp:identifier;
 description
   "If not set, the value of 'name' is operationally used";
 reference "SNMP-USER-BASED-SM-MIB.usmUserSecurityName";
}
container auth {
 presence "enables authentication";
 description "Enables authentication protocol of the user";
 choice protocol {
   mandatory true;
   reference "SNMP-USER-BASED-SM-MIB.usmUserAuthProtocol";
   container md5 {
     presence "md5";
     uses key;
    }
    container sha {
     presence "sha";
     uses key;
    }
 }
}
container priv {
 must "../auth" {
   error-message
      "when privacy is used, authentication must also be used";
  }
 presence "enables encryption";
 description
    "Enables encryption for the authentication process.";
  choice protocol {
   mandatory true;
   reference "SNMP-USER-BASED-SM-MIB.usmUserPrivProtocol";
   container des {
     presence "des";
     uses key;
    }
    container aes {
     presence "aes";
      uses key;
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 25]

```
}
       }
      }
   }
  }
 augment /snmp:snmp {
    container usm {
     description
       "Configuration of the User-based Security Model";
      container local {
       uses user-list;
      }
      list remote {
       key "engine-id";
        leaf engine-id {
         type snmp:engine-id;
         reference "SNMP-USER-BASED-SM-MIB.usmUserEngineID";
        }
       uses user-list;
     }
   }
 }
}
 <CODE ENDS>
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 26]

Internet-Draft snmp cfg October 2010 12. snmp-vacm <CODE BEGINS> file "snmp-vacm.yang" submodule snmp-vacm { belongs-to snmp { prefix snmp; include snmp-common; description "This submodule contains a collection of YANG definitions for configuring the View-based Access Control Model (VACM) of SNMP."; reference "RFC3415: View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)"; revision 2010-10-17 { description "Initial revision."; } typedef view-name { type snmp:identifier; description "The view-name type represents an SNMP VACM view name."; } typedef group-name { type snmp:identifier; description "The group-name type represents an SNMP VACM group name."; } augment /snmp:snmp { container vacm { description "Configuration of the View-based Access Control Model"; list group { key name; description "VACM Groups"; reference "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmSecurityToGroupTable";

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 27]

snmp cfg

Internet-Draft

```
leaf name {
          type group-name;
          description
            "The name of this VACM group.";
          reference "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmGroupName";
        }
        list member {
          key "sec-name";
          min-elements 1;
          description
            "A member of this VACM group. According to VACM, every
            group must have at least one member.
            A certain combination of sec-name and sec-model MUST NOT
            be mapped to more than one group.";
          leaf sec-name {
            type snmp:sec-name;
            description
              "The securityName of a group member.";
          }
          leaf-list sec-model {
            type snmp:sec-model;
            min-elements 1;
            description
              "The security models under which this securityName
               is a member of this group.";
          }
        }
        list access {
          key "context sec-model sec-level";
          description
            "Definition of access right for groups";
          reference "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmAccessTable";
          leaf context {
// FIXME: since this is part of the key, it must not have an if-feature
11
              if-feature snmp:multiple-contexts;
            type snmp:context-name;
            description
              "The context (prefix) under which the access rights
               apply.";
            reference
              "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmAccessContextPrefix";
          }
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 28]

```
Internet-Draft
                                snmp cfg
                                                           October 2010
           leaf context-match {
             if-feature snmp:multiple-contexts;
             type enumeration {
               enum exact;
               enum prefix;
             }
             default exact;
             reference
               "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmAccessContextMatch";
           }
           leaf sec-model {
             type snmp:sec-model-or-any;
             description
               "The security model under which the access rights
               apply.";
             reference
               "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmAccessSecurityModel";
           }
           leaf sec-level {
             type snmp:sec-level;
             description
               "The minimum security level under which the access rights
                apply.";
            reference
               "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmAccessSecurityLevel";
           }
           leaf read-view {
             type leafref {
              path "/snmp/vacm/view/name";
             description
               "The name of the MIB view of the SNMP context authorizing
                read access.";
             reference
               "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmAccessReadViewName";
           }
           leaf write-view {
             type leafref {
              path "/snmp/vacm/view/name";
             }
             description
               "The name of the MIB view of the SNMP context authorizing
                write access.";
             reference
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 29]

Internet-Draft

```
"SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmAccessWriteViewName";
    }
    leaf notify-view {
      type leafref {
       path "/snmp/vacm/view/name";
     description
        "The name of the MIB view of the SNMP context authorizing
        notify access.";
      reference
        "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmAccessNotifyViewName";
    }
 }
}
list view {
 key name;
 description
    "Definition of MIB views";
 reference
    "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmViewTreeFamilyTable";
 leaf name {
   type view-name;
   description
     "The name of this VACM MIB view.";
   reference
      "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmViewTreeFamilyName";
  }
  list subtree {
   key "oids";
   reference
      "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmViewTreeFamilySubtree";
    leaf oids {
      type snmp:wildcard-object-identifier;
      description
        "A family of subtrees included in this MIB view.";
     reference
        "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmViewTreeFamilySubtree
         SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmViewTreeFamilyMask";
   }
    choice type {
      mandatory true;
      reference "SNMP-VIEW-BASED-ACM-MIB.vacmViewTreeFamilyType";
```

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 30]

```
snmp cfg
                                                 October 2010
Internet-Draft
            leaf included {
             type empty;
             description
               "The family of subtrees is included in the MIB view";
            }
            leaf excluded {
             type empty;
             description
               "The family of subtrees is excluded from the MIB view";
            }
 }
}
}
         }
}
  <CODE ENDS>
```

Internet-Draft snmp cfg

13. IANA Considerations

TBD.

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 32]

Internet-Draft snmp cfg

14. Security Considerations

TBD.

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 33]

- 15. Normative References
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
 - [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 34]

Internet-Draft snmp cfg

Appendix A. Example configurations

TBD.

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 35]

Internet-Draft

snmp cfg

Authors' Addresses

Martin Bjorklund Tail-f Systems

Email: mbj@tail-f.com

Juergen Schoenwaelder Jacobs University

Email: j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de

Bjorklund & Schoenwaelder Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 36]

NETCONF Data Modeling Language Internet-Draft Intended status: Informational Expires: April 21, 2011 Q. Chen M. Du ZTE Corporation Oct 18, 2010

Extending YANG with Revised Types draft-chen-netmod-yang-ext-00

Abstract

YANG - the NETCONF Data Modeling Language - supports modeling of a tree of data elements that represent the configuration and runtime status of a particular network element managed via NETCONF. This document introduces new idea which revises the ID [draft-linowski-netmod-yang-abstract-03] and clears some ambiguous concepts and descrptions.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 21, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as

Chen & Du

Expires April 21, 2011

[Page 1]

described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction |
|--|
| 1.1. Conventions used in this document |
| 2. Redefing type in YANG abstract |
| 3. Revised types in yang-abstract |
| 3.1. Removing confusion |
| 3.2. Result |
| 4. Management Consideration |
| 5. Security Considerations |
| 6. IANA Considerations |
| Authors' Addresses |

Chen & Du Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 2]

Internet-Draft

YANG Extension

1. Introduction

[draft-linowski-netmod-yang-abstract-03] suggests to enhance YANG with supplementary modeling features and language abstractions with the aim to improve the model extensibility and reuse.

However, some ideas and description are not defined clearly, this memo tries to amend the concepts and gives some suggestions.

1.1. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Redefing type in YANG abstract

section "2.3. instance extension statement" and "2.4. instance-list extension statement", the table of substatement list out that "type" is allowed, but "instance-type" is not listed. so it is conflict with what is wrote in section 3.2. instance-type extension statement. suggest that "instance-type" is need not defined, use "type" instead, and give description in section 2.3 and 2.4

- 3. Revised types in yang-abstract
- 3.1. Removing confusion

There exists difference between types of a list and types of the node of the list, and both types are being used in application implementation. But in YANG and yang-abstract, it is not distinguished. It will cause confusion in complehension and implementation . So it is strongly suggested do not introduce subtree/subtype/field in list definition, that is in "draft-linowski-netmod-yang-abstract-03.txt" , section "2.4. instance-list extension statement" remove choice , container, instance, instance-list, leaf, leaf-list, list in substatment table, only "type" for use a complex-type is allowed.

Chen & Du

Expires April 21, 2011 [Page 3]

3.2. Result

| + | ++ |
|---|--|
| substatement | cardinality |
| description config if-feature mandatory must reference status | 01 01 0n 01 0n 01 01 |
| + | - |

| Figure | 1: | instance's | substatements |
|--------|----|------------|---------------|
|--------|----|------------|---------------|

- 4. Management Consideration
- 5. Security Considerations

TBD

6. IANA Considerations

TBD

Authors' Addresses

Qiaogang Chen ZTE Corporation 3/F, R.D. Building 3, ZTE Industrial Park, Liuxian Road Shenzhen 518055 P.R.China Phone: +86 755 26773712 Email: chen.qiaogang@zte.com.cn URI: http://www.zte.com.cn/

Chen & Du

Expires April 21, 2011

[Page 4]

Ming Du ZTE Corporation 3/F, R.D. Building 3, ZTE Industrial Park, Liuxian Road Shenzhen 518055 P.R.China Phone: +86 755 26773712

Email: du.ming@zte.com.cn URI: http://www.zte.com.cn/

Chen & Du Expires April 21, 2011

[Page 5]

IP Flow Information Export WG Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: September 10, 2011 G. Muenz TU Muenchen B. Claise P. Aitken Cisco Systems, Inc. March 9, 2011

Configuration Data Model for IPFIX and PSAMP <draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09>

Abstract

This document specifies a data model for configuring and monitoring Selection Processes, Caches, Exporting Processes, and Collecting Processes of IPFIX and PSAMP compliant Monitoring Devices using the NETCONF protocol [RFC4741]. The data model is defined using UML (Unified Modeling Language) class diagrams and formally specified using YANG [RFC6020]. The configuration data is encoded in Extensible Markup Language (XML).

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 10, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 1]

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model March 2011

| Table of Contents | | |
|--|-----|------------------------|
| 1. Introduction | | . 5 |
| 1.1. IPFIX Documents Overview | | . 6 |
| 1.2. PSAMP Documents Overview | | , б |
| 2. Terminology | | . 6 |
| | | |
| 3. Structure of the Configuration Data Model | • • | . 9 |
| 3.1. Metering Flocess Decomposition in Selection Flocess | | 10 |
| | • • | . 10 |
| 3.2. UML Representation | • • | . 11 |
| 3.3. Exporter Configuration | • • | . 10 |
| 3.4. Collector Configuration | • • | . 18 |
| 4. Configuration Parameters | | . 19 |
| 4.1. ObservationPoint Class | • • | . 19 |
| 4.2. SelectionProcess Class | | . 21 |
| 4.2.1. Selector Class | | . 22 |
| 4.2.2. Sampler Classes | • • | . 23 |
| 4.2.3. Filter Classes | | . 24 |
| 4.3. Cache Class | | . 26 |
| 4.3.1. ImmediateCache Class | | . 27 |
| 4.3.2. TimeoutCache, NaturalCache, and PermanentCache | | |
| Class | | . 27 |
| 4.3.3. CacheLayout Class | | . 29 |
| 4.4. ExportingProcess Class | | . 32 |
| 4.4.1. SctpExporter Class | | . 33 |
| 4.4.2. UdpExporter Class | | . 35 |
| 4.4.3. TCDExporter Class | | 37 |
| 4.4. FileWriter Class | | 37 |
| 4.4.5. Options Class | | 38 |
| 4.5 CollectingProcess Class | • • | 40 |
| 4.5.1 SetpCollector Class | ••• | 41 |
| 4.5.2. HdpCollector Class | • • | 42 |
| | • • | / 12 |
| | • • | , 1 3 // |
| 4.5.4. FIEReauer Class | • • | , 44 /E |
| 4.6. Hallsport Layer Security Class | • • | . 45 |
| 4.7. Transport Session Class | • • | . 48 |
| 4.8. Template Class | • • | , 52 |
| 5. Adaptation to Device Capabilities | | . 53 |
| 6. YANG Module of the IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model . | • | . 56 |
| | | 100 |
| 7.1 DCAMP Dowigo | • • | 100 |
| 7.2 IDEIX Dowigo | • • | . TOO |
| 1.2. IPFIA DEVICE | • • | |

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 3]

| Internet-Draft | IPFIX/PSAMP | Configuration | Data | Model | March | 2011 |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|------|-------|-------|------|
|----------------|-------------|---------------|------|-------|-------|------|

| 7.3. Export of Flow Records and7.4. Collector and File Writer7.5. Deviations | 1 Packet | Reports | · · · | · · · | | . 114 . 116 . 117 |
|--|------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 8. Security Considerations | | | | | | . 118 |
| 9. IANA Considerations | | | | . | | . 120 |
| Appendix A. Acknowledgements | | | | . | | . 120 |
| <pre>10. References</pre> | | · · · · · | · · · | · · · | · · · · · · | . 121 . 121 . 121 |
| Authors' Addresses | | | | , . . | | . 124 |

Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model

1. Introduction

IPFIX and PSAMP compliant Monitoring Devices (routers, switches, monitoring probes, Collectors etc.) offer various configuration possibilities that allow adapting network monitoring to the goals and purposes of the application, such as accounting and charging, traffic analysis, performance monitoring, security monitoring. The use of a common vendor-independent configuration data model for IPFIX and PSAMP compliant Monitoring Devices facilitates network management and configuration, especially if Monitoring Devices of different implementers or manufacturers are deployed simultaneously. On the one hand, a vendor-independent configuration data model helps storing and managing the configuration data of Monitoring Devices in a consistent format. On the other hand, it can be used for local and remote configuration of Monitoring Devices.

The purpose of this document is the specification of a vendorindependent configuration data model that covers the commonly available configuration parameters of Selection Processes, Caches, Exporting Processes, and Collecting Processes. In addition, it includes common states parameters of a Monitoring Device. The configuration data model is defined using UML (Unified Modeling Language) class diagrams [UML] while the actual configuration data is encoded in Extensible Markup Language (XML) [W3C.REC-xml-20040204]. An XML document conforming to the configuration data model contains the configuration data of one Monitoring Device.

The configuration data model is designed for being used with the NETCONF protocol [RFC4741] in order to configure remote Monitoring Devices. With the NETCONF protocol, it is possible to transfer a complete set of configuration data to a Monitoring Device, to query the current configuration and state parameters of a Monitoring Device, and to change specific parameter values of an existing Monitoring Device configuration.

In order to ensure compatibility with the NETCONF protocol [RFC4741], YANG [RFC6020] is used to formally specify the configuration data model. If required, the YANG specification of the configuration data model can be converted into XML Schema language [W3C.REC-xmlschema-0-20041028] or DSDL (Document Schema Definition Languages) [RFC6110], for example by using the pyang tool [YANG-WEB]. YANG provides mechanisms to adapt the configuration data model to device-specific constraints and to augment the model with additional device-specific or vendor-specific parameters.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 5]

1.1. IPFIX Documents Overview

The IPFIX protocol [RFC5101] provides network administrators with access to IP Flow information. The architecture for the export of measured IP Flow information out of an IPFIX Exporting Process to a Collecting Process is defined in [RFC5470], per the requirements defined in [RFC3917]. The IPFIX protocol [RFC5101] specifies how IPFIX Data Records and Templates are carried via a number of transport protocols from IPFIX Exporting Processes to IPFIX Collecting Process. IPFIX has a formal description of IPFIX Information Elements, their name, type and additional semantic information, as specified in [RFC5102]. [RFC5815] specifies the IPFIX Management Information Base (IPFIX MIB). Finally, [RFC5472] describes what type of applications can use the IPFIX protocol and how they can use the information provided. It furthermore shows how the IPFIX framework relates to other architectures and frameworks. Methods for efficient export of bidirectional Flow information and common properties in Data Records are specified in [RFC5103] and [RFC5473], respectively. [RFC5610] addresses the export of extended type information for enterprise-specific Information Elements. The storage of IPFIX Messages in a file is specified in [RFC5655].

1.2. PSAMP Documents Overview

The framework for packet selection and reporting [RFC5474] enables network elements to select subsets of packets by statistical and other methods, and to export a stream of reports on the selected packets to a Collector. The set of packet selection techniques (Sampling, Filtering, and hashing) standardized by PSAMP are described in [RFC5475]. The PSAMP protocol [RFC5476] specifies the export of packet information from a PSAMP Exporting Process to a PSAMP Collector. Instead of exporting PSAMP Packet Reports, the stream of selected packets may also serve as input to the generation of IPFIX Flow Records. Like IPFIX, PSAMP has a formal description of its Information Elements, their name, type and additional semantic information. The PSAMP information model is defined in [RFC5477]. [I-D.ietf-ipfix-psamp-mib] describes the PSAMP Management Information Base (PSAMP MIB).

2. Terminology

This document adopts the terminologies used in [RFC5101], [RFC5103], [RFC5655], and [RFC5476]. As in these documents, all specific terms have the first letter of a word capitalized when used in this document. The following listing indicates in which references the definitions of those terms that are commonly used throughout this document can be found:

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 6]
- o Definitions adopted from [RFC5101]:
 - * Collection Process
 - * Collector
 - * Data Record
 - * Exporter
 - * Flow
 - * Flow Key
 - * Flow Record
 - * Information Element
 - * IPFIX Device
 - * IPFIX Message
 - * Observation Domain
 - * Observation Point
 - * (Options) Template
- o Definitions adopted from [RFC5103]:
 * Reverse Information Element
- o Definitions adopted from [RFC5655]:
 - * File Reader
 - * File Writer
- o Definitions adopted from [RFC5476]:
 - * Filtering
 - * Observed Packet Stream
 - * Packet Report
 - * PSAMP Device
 - * Sampling
 - * Selection Process
 - * Selection Sequence
 - * Selection Sequence Report Interpretation
 - * Selection Sequence Statistics Report Interpretation
 - * Selection State
 - * Selector, Primitive Selector, Composite Selector
 - * Selector Report Interpretation

The terms Metering Process and Exporting Process have different definitions in [RFC5101] and [RFC5476]. In the scope of this document, these terms are used according to the following definitions which cover the deployment in both PSAMP Devices and IPFIX Devices:

Metering Process

The Metering Process generates IPFIX Flow Records or PSAMP Packet Reports, depending on its deployment as part of an IPFIX Device or PSAMP Device. Inputs to the process are packets observed at one or multiple Observation Points, as well as characteristics describing the packet treatment at these Observation Points. If

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 7]

IPFIX Flow Records are generated, the Metering Process MUST NOT aggregate packets observed at different Observation Domains in the same Flow. The function of the Metering Process is split into two functional blocks: Selection Process and Cache.

Exporting Process

Depending on its deployment as part of an IPFIX Device or PSAMP Device, the Exporting Process sends IPFIX Flow Records or PSAMP Packet Reports to one or more Collecting Processes. The IPFIX Flow Records or PSAMP Packet Reports are generated by one or more Metering Processes.

In addition to the existing IPFIX and PSAMP terminology, the following terms are defined:

Cache

The Cache is a functional block in a Metering Process which generates IPFIX Flow Records or PSAMP Packet Reports from a Selected Packet Stream, in accordance with its configuration. If Flow Records are generated, the Cache performs tasks like creating new records, updating existing ones, computing Flow statistics, deriving further Flow properties, detecting Flow expiration, passing Flow Records to the Exporting Process, and deleting Flow Records. If Packet Reports are generated, the Cache performs tasks like extracting packet contents and derived packet properties from the Selected Packet Stream, creating new records, and passing them as Packet Reports to the Exporting Process.

Cache Layout

The Cache Layout defines the superset of fields that are included in the Packet Reports or Flow Records maintained by the Cache. The fields are specified by the corresponding Information Elements. In general, the largest possible subset of the specified fields is derived for every Packet Report or Flow Record. More specific rules about which fields must be included are given in Section 4.3.3.

Monitoring Device

A Monitoring Device implements at least one of the functional blocks specified in the context of IPFIX or PSAMP. In particular, the term Monitoring Device encompasses Exporters, Collectors, IPFIX Devices, and PSAMP Devices.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 8]

Selected Packet Stream

The Selected Packet Stream is the set of all packets selected by a Selection Process.

3. Structure of the Configuration Data Model

The IPFIX reference model in [RFC5470] describes Metering Processes, Exporting Processes, and Collecting Processes as functional blocks of IPFIX Devices. The PSAMP framework [RFC5474] provides the corresponding information for PSAMP Devices and introduces the Selection Process as a functional block within Metering Processes. In Section 2 of the document, the Cache is defined as another functional block within Metering Processes. Further explanations about the relationship between Selection Process and Cache are given in Section 3.1. IPFIX File Reader and File Writer are defined as specific kinds of Exporting and Collecting Processes in [RFC5655].

Monitoring Device implementations usually maintain the separation of various functional blocks although they do not necessarily implement all of them. Furthermore, they provide various configuration possibilities; some of them are specified as mandatory by the IPFIX protocol [RFC5101] or PSAMP protocol [RFC5476]. The configuration data model enables the setting of commonly available configuration parameters for Selection Processes, Caches, Exporting Processes, and Collecting Processes. In addition, it allows specifying the composition of functional blocks within a Monitoring Device configuration and their linkage with Observation Points.

The selection of parameters in the configuration data model is based on configuration issues discussed in the IPFIX and PSAMP documents [RFC3917], [RFC5101], [RFC5470], [RFC5476], [RFC5474], and [RFC5475]. Furthermore, the structure and content of the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815] and the PSAMP MIB module [I-D.ietf-ipfix-psamp-mib] have been taken into consideration. Consistency between the configuration data model and the IPFIX and PSAMP MIB modules is an intended goal. Therefore, parameters in the configuration data model are named according to corresponding managed objects. Certain IPFIX MIB objects containing state data have been adopted as state parameters in the configuration data model. State parameters cannot be configured, yet their values can be queried from the Monitoring Device by a network manager.

Section 3.2 explains how UML class diagrams are deployed to illustrate the structure of the configuration data model. Thereafter, Section 3.3 and Section 3.4 explain the class diagrams for the configuration of Exporters and Collectors, respectively.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 9]

Each of the presented classes contains specific configuration parameters which are specified in Section 4. Section 5 gives a short introduction to YANG concepts that allow adapting the configuration data model to the capabilities of a device. The formal definition of the configuration data model in YANG is given in Section 6. Section 7 illustrates the usage of the model with example configurations in XML.

3.1. Metering Process Decomposition in Selection Process and Cache

In a Monitoring Device implementation, the functionality of the Metering Process is commonly split into packet Sampling and Filtering functions performed by Selection Processes, and the maintenance of Flow Records and Packet Reports performed by a Cache. Figure 1 illustrates this separation with the example of a basic Metering Process.

| + | | | + | |
|----------|---------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Netering Proc | cess | | |
| - | ++ | - Selected | 1 | |
| Observed | Selection | Packet | ++ | Stream of |
| Packet> | Process | | > Cache > | Flow Records or |
| Stream - | + | - Stream | ++ | Packet Reports |
| + | | | | |

Figure 1: Selection Process and Cache forming a Metering Process

The configuration data model adopts the separation of Selection Processes and Caches in order to support the flexible configuration and combination of these functional blocks. As defined in [RFC5476], the Selection Process takes an Observed Packet Stream as its input and selects a subset of that stream as its output (Selected Packet Stream). The action of the Selection Process on a single packet of its input is defined by one Selector (called Primitive Selector) or an ordered composition of multiple Selectors (called Composite Selector). The Cache generates Flow Records or Packet Reports from the Selected Packet Stream, depending on its configuration.

The configuration data model does not allow configuring a Metering Process without any Selection Process in front of the Cache. If all packets in the Observed Packet Stream shall be selected and passed to the Cache without any Filtering or Sampling, a Selection Process needs to be configured with a Selector which selects all packets ("SelectAll" class in Section 4.2.1).

The configuration data model enables the configuration of a Selection Process which receives packets from multiple Observation Points as its input. In this case, the Observed Packet Streams of the

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 10]

Observation Points are processed in independent Selection Sequences. As specified in [RFC5476], a distinct set of Selector instances needs to be maintained per Selection Sequence in order to keep the Selection States and statistics separate.

With the configuration data model, it is possible to configure a Metering Process with more than one Selection Processes whose output is processed by a single Cache. This is illustrated in Figure 2.

| - | + |
|----------|---------------------------------|
| | Metering Process ++ Selected |
| Observed | Selection Packet |
| Packet | > Process + ++ |
| Stream | ++ Stream +-> Stream of |
| | Cache > Flow Records or |
| | ++ Selected +-> Packet Reports |
| Observed | Selection Packet ++ |
| Packet | > Process + |
| Stream | ++ Stream |
| - | + |

Figure 2: Metering Process with multiple Selection Processes

The Observed Packet Streams at the input of a Metering Process may originate from Observation Points belonging to different Observation Domains. By definition of the Observation Domain (see [RFC5101]), however, a Cache MUST NOT aggregate packets observed at different Observation Domains in the same Flow. Hence, if the Cache is configured to generate Flow Records, it needs to distinguish packets according to their Observation Domains.

3.2. UML Representation

We use UML class diagrams [UML] to explain the structure of the configuration data model. The attributes of the classes are the configuration or state parameters. The configuration and state parameters of a given Monitoring Device are represented as objects of these classes encoded in XML.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 11]

| SctpExporter | + + 0 1 ++ |
|--|---|
| <pre>name ipfixVersion = 10 sourceIPAddress[0*] destinationIPAddress[1*] destinationPort = 4739 4740 ifName/ifIndex[01] sendBufferSize {opt.} rateLimit[01] timedReliability = 0</pre> | 01 TransportLayerSecurity TransportLayerSecurity 01 + <> TransportSession ++ ++ |

Figure 3: UML example: SctpExporter class

As an example, Figure 3 shows the UML diagram of the SctpExporter class, which is specified in more detail in Section 4.4.1. The upper box contains the name of the class. The lower box lists the attributes of the class. Each attribute corresponds to a parameter of the configuration data model.

Behind an attribute's name, there may appear a multiplicity indicator in brackets (i.e., between "[" and "]"). An attribute with multiplicity indicator "[0..1]" represents an OPTIONAL configuration parameter which is only included in the configuration data if the user configures it. Typically, the absence of an OPTIONAL parameter has a specific meaning. For example, not configuring rateLimit in an object of the SctpExporter class means that no rate limiting will be applied to the exported data. In YANG, an OPTIONAL parameter is specified as a "leaf" without "mandatory true" substatement. The "description" substatement specifies the behavior for the case that the parameter is not configured.

The multiplicity indicator "[0..*]" means that this parameter is OPTIONAL and MAY be configured multiple times with different values. In the example, multiple source IP addresses (sourceIPAddress) may be configured for a multi-homed Exporting Process. In YANG, an attribute with multiplicity indicator "[0..*]" corresponds to a "leaf-list".

The multiplicity indicator "[1..*]" means that this parameter MUST be configured at least once and MAY be configured multiple times with different values. In the example, one or more destination IP addresses (destinationIPAddress) must be configured to specify the export destination. In YANG, an attribute with multiplicity indicator "[1..*]" corresponds to a "leaf-list" with "min-elements 1" substatement. Note that attributes without this multiplicity

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 12]

indicator MUST NOT appear more than once in each object of the class.

Attributes without multiplicity indicator may be endued with a default value which is indicated behind the equality symbol ("="). If a default value exists, the parameter does not have to be explicitly configured by the user. If the parameter is not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device MUST use the specified default value for the given parameter. In the example, IPFIX version 10 must be used unless a different value is configured for ipfixVersion. In YANG, an attribute with default value corresponds to a "leaf" with "default" substatement.

In the example, there exist two default values for the destination port (destinationPort), namely the registered ports for IPFIX with and without transport layer security (i.e., DTLS or TLS), which are 4740 and 4739, respectively. In the UML diagram, the two default values are separated by a vertical bar ("|"). In YANG, such conditional default value alternatives cannot be specified formally. Instead, they are defined in the "description" substatement of the "leaf".

Further attribute properties are denoted in braces (i.e., between "{" and "}"). An attribute with property "{opt.}", such as sendBufferSize in the SctpExporter class, represents a parameter that MAY be configured by the user. If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device MUST set an appropriate value for this parameter at configuration time. As a result, the parameter will always exist in the configuration data, yet it is not mandatory for the user to configure it. This behavior can be implemented as a static devicespecific default value, but does not have to. Therefore, the user MUST NOT expect that the device always sets the same values for the same parameter. Regardless of whether the parameter value has been configured by the user or set by the device, the parameter value MUST NOT be changed by the device after configuration. Since this behavior cannot be specified formally in YANG, it is specified in the "description" substatement of the "leaf".

The availability of a parameter may depend on another parameter value. In the UML diagram, such restrictions are indicated as attribute properties (e.g., "{SCTP only}"). The given example does not show such restrictions. In YANG, the availability of a parameter is formally restricted with the "when" substatement of the "leaf".

Another attribute property not shown in the example is "{readOnly}" specifying state parameters which cannot be configured. In YANG, this corresponds to the "config false" substatement.

Attributes without multiplicity indicator, without default value, and

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 13] without "{readOnly}" property are mandatory configuration parameters. These parameters MUST be configured by the user unless an attribute property determines that the parameter is not available. In YANG, a mandatory parameter corresponds to a "leaf" with "mandatory true" substatement. In the example, the user MUST configure the name parameter.

If some parameters are related to each other, it makes sense to group these parameters in a subclass. This is especially useful if different subclasses represent choices of different parameter sets, or if the parameters of a subclass may appear multiple times. For example, the SctpExporter class MAY contain the parameters of the TransportLayerSecurity subclass.

An object of a class is encoded as an XML element. In order to distinguish between classes and objects, class names start with an upper case character while the associated XML elements start with lower case characters. Paramaters appear as XML elements which are nested in the XML element of the object. In XML, the parameters of an object can appear in any order and do not have to follow the order in the UML class diagram. Unless specified differently, the order in which parameters appear does not have a meaning. As an example, an object of the SctpExporter class corresponds to one occurrence of

```
<sctpExporter>
<name>my-sctp-export</name>
...
</sctpExporter>
```

There are various possibilities how objects of classes can be related to each other. In the scope of this document, we use two different types of relationship between objects: aggregation and unidirectional association. In UML class diagrams, two different arrow types are used as shown in Figure 4.

| ++ 0* ++ | ++ 0* | 1 ++ |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| A <> B | A | > B |
| ++ ++ | ++ | + + |
| (a) Aggregation | (b) Unidirectiona | l association |

Figure 4: Class relationships in UML class diagrams

Aggregation means that one object is part of the other object. In Figure 4 (a), an object of class B is part of an object of class A. This corresponds to nested XML elements:

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 14]

 $\langle a \rangle$

In the example, objects of the TransportLayerSecurity class and the TransportSession class appear as nested XML elements <transportLayerSecurity> and <transportSession> within an object of the SctpExporter class <sctpExporter>.

A unidirectional association is a reference to an object. In Figure 4 (b), an object of class A contains a reference to an object of class B. This corresponds to separate XML elements that are not nested. To distinguish different objects of class B, class B must have a key. In the configuration data model, keys are string parameters called "name", corresponding to XML elements <name>. The names MUST be unique within the given XML subtree. The reference to a specific object of class B is encoded with an XML element which contains the name of an object. If an object of class A refers to the object of class B with name "foo", this looks as follows:

```
<a>
  <b>foo</b>
  . . .
</a>
<b>
  <name>foo</name>
  . . .
</b>
```

In Figure 4, the indicated numbers define the multiplicity:

"1": one only "0..*": zero or more "1..*": one or more

In the case of aggregation, the multiplicity indicates how many objects of one class may be included in one object of the other class. In Figure 4 (a), an object of class A may contain an arbitrary number of objects of class B. In the case of unidirectional association, the multiplicity at the arrowhead specifies the number of objects of a given class that may be referred to. The multiplicity at the arrow tail specifies how many different objects of one class may refer to a single object of the other class. In

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 15] Figure 4 (b), an object of class A refers to single object of class B. One object of class B can be referred to from an arbitrary number of objects of class A.

Similar to classes that are referenced in UML associations, classes which contain configuration parameters and which occur in an aggregation relationship with multiplicity greater than one must have a key. This key is necessary because every configuration parameter must be addressable in order to manipulate or delete it. The key values MUST be unique in the given XML subtree (i.e., unique within the aggregating object). Hence, if class B in Figure 4 (a) contains a configuration parameter, all objects of class B belonging to the same object of class A must have different key values. Again, the key appears as an attribute called "name" in the concerned classes.

A class which contains state parameters but no configuration parameters, such as the Template class (see Section 4.8), does not have a key. This is because state parameters cannot be manipulated or deleted, and therefore do not need to be addressable.

Note that the usage of keys as described above is required by YANG [RFC6020] which mandates the existence of a key for elements which appear in a list of configuration data.

The configuration data model for IPFIX and PSAMP makes use of unidirectional associations to specify the data flow between different functional blocks. For example, if the output of a Selection Process is processed by a Cache, this corresponds to an object of the SelectionProcess class that contains a reference to an object of the Cache class. The configuration data model does not mandate that such a reference exists for every functional block that has an output. If such a reference is absent, the output is dropped without any further processing. Although such configurations are incomplete, we do not consider them as invalid as they may temporarily occur if a Monitoring Device is configured in multiple steps. Also, it might be useful to pre-configure certain functions of a Monitoring Device in order to be able to switch to a new configuration more quickly.

3.3. Exporter Configuration

Figure 5 below shows the main classes of the configuration data model which are involved in the configuration of an IPFIX or PSAMP Exporter. The role of the classes can be briefly summarized as follows:

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 16]

- o The ObservationPoint class specifies an Observation Point (i.e., an interface or linecard) of the Monitoring Device at which packets are captured for traffic measurements. An object of the ObservationPoint class may be associated with one or more objects of the SelectionProcess class configuring Selection Processes that process the observed packets in parallel. As long as an ObservationPoint object is specified without any references to SelectionProcess objects, the captured packets are not considered by any Metering Process.
- o The SelectionProcess class contains the configuration and state parameters of a Selection Process. The Selection Process may be composed of a single Selector or a sequence of Selectors, defining a Primitive or Composite Selector, respectively.

The Selection Process selects packets from one or more Observed Packet Streams, each originating from a different Observation Point. Therefore, a SelectionProcess object MAY be referred to from one or more ObservationPoint objects.

A Selection Process MAY pass the Selected Packet Stream to a Cache. Therefore, the SelectionProcess class contains a reference to an object of the Cache class. If a Selection Process is configured without any reference to a Cache, the selected packets are not accounted in any Packet Report or Flow Record.

The Cache class contains configuration and state parameters of a 0 Cache. A Cache may receive the output of one or more Selection Processes and maintains corresponding Packet Reports or Flow Records. Therefore, an object of the Cache class MAY be referred to from multiple SelectionProcess objects.

Configuration parameters of the Cache class specify the size of the Cache, the Cache Layout, and expiration parameters if applicable. The Cache configuration also determines whether Packet Reports or Flow Records are generated.

A Cache MAY pass its output to one or multiple Exporting Process. Therefore, the Cache class enables references to one or multiple objects of the ExportingProcess class. If a Cache object does not specify any reference to an ExportingProcess object, the Cache output is dropped.

The ExportingProcess class contains configuration and state parameters of an Exporting Process. It includes various transport protocol specific parameters and the export destinations. An object of the ExportingProcess class MAY be referred to from multiple objects of the Cache class.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 17]

An Exporting Process MAY be configured as a File Writer according to [RFC5655].



Figure 5: Class diagram of Exporter configuration

3.4. Collector Configuration

Figure 6 below shows the main classes of the configuration data model which are involved in the configuration of a Collector. An object of the CollectingProcess class specifies the local IP addresses, transport protocols and port numbers of a Collecting Process. Alternatively, the Collecting Process MAY be configured as a File Reader according to [RFC5655].

An object of the CollectingProcess class may refer to one or multiple ExportingProcess objects configuring Exporting Processes that reexport the received data. As an example, an Exporting Process can be configured as a File Writer in order to save the received IPFIX Messages in a file.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 18]



Figure 6: Class diagram of Collector configuration

4. Configuration Parameters

This section specifies the configuration and state parameters of the configuration data model separately for each class.

4.1. ObservationPoint Class

| + | + |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| ObservationPoint | |
| + | 1 + |
| name | |
| observationDeintId (readOnly) | |
| ObservacionPointitu {readoniy} | |
| observationDomainId | 0* |
| ifName[0*] | + |
| ifIndex[0*] | 0* |
| entPhysicalName[0*] | İ v |
| entPhysicalIndex[0*] | ++ |
| direction = "both" | SelectionProcess |
| , + | , , + ++ |

Figure 7: ObservationPoint class

Figure 7 shows the ObservationPoint class that specifies an Observation Point of the Monitoring Device.

As defined in [RFC5101], an Observation Point can be any location where packets are observed. A Monitoring Device potentially has more than one such location. An instance of ObservationPoint class defines which location is associated with a specific Observation Point. For this purpose, interfaces and physical entities are identified using their names. Alternatively, index values of the corresponding entries in the ifTable (IF-MIB module [RFC2863]) or the entPhysicalTable (ENTITY-MIB modules [RFC4133]) can be used as identifiers. However, indexes SHOULD only be used as identifiers if an SNMP agent on the same Monitoring Device enables access to the

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 19]

corresponding MIB objects.

By its definition in [RFC5101], an Observation Point may be associated with a set of interfaces. Therefore, the configuration data model allows configuring multiple interfaces and physical entities for a single Observation Point.

The Observation Point ID (i.e., the value of the Information Element observationPointId [RFC5102]) is assigned by the Monitoring Device. It appears as a state parameter in the ObservationPoint class.

The configuration parameters of the Observation Point are:

observationDomainId: This parameter defines the identifier of the Observation Domain the Observation Point belongs to. Observation Points that are configured with the same Observation Domain ID belong to the same Observation Domain. Note that this parameter corresponds to ipfixObservationPointObservationDomainId in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

ifName/ifIndex/entPhysicalName/entPhysicalIndex: These parameters identify interfaces and physical entities (e.g., linecards) on the Monitoring Device which are associated with the given Observation Point.

An interface is either identified by its name (ifName) or the ifIndex value of the corresponding object in the IF-MIB module [RFC2863]. ifIndex SHOULD only be used if an SNMP agent enables access to the corresponding MIB object in the ifTable. Similarly, a physical entity is either identified by its name (entPhysicalName) or the entPhysicalIndex value of the corresponding object in the ENTITY-MIB module [RFC4133]. entPhysicalIndex SHOULD only be used if an SNMP agent enables access to the corresponding MIB object in the entPhysicalTable. Note that the parameters ifIndex and entPhysicalIndex correspond to ipfixObservationPointPhysicalInterface and ipfixObservationPointPhysicalEntity in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

direction: This parameter specifies if ingress traffic, egress traffic, or both ingress and egress traffic is captured, using the values "ingress", "egress", and "both", respectively. If not configured, ingress and egress traffic is captured (i.e., the default value is "both"). If not applicable (e.g., in the case of a sniffing interface in promiscuous mode), the value of this parameter is ignored.

An ObservationPoint object MAY refer to one or multiple

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 20]

SelectionProcess objects configuring Selection Processes that process the observed packets in parallel.

4.2. SelectionProcess Class



Figure 8: SelectionProcess class

Figure 8 shows the SelectionProcess class. The SelectionProcess class contains the configuration and state parameters of a Selection Process which selects packets from one or more Observed Packet Streams and generates a Selected Packet Stream as its output. A non-empty ordered list defines a sequence of Selectors. The actions defined by the Selectors are applied to the stream of incoming packet in the specified order.

If the Selection Process receives packets from multiple Observation Points, the Observed Packet Streams need to be processed independently in separate Selection Sequences. Each Selection Sequence is identified by a Selection Sequence ID which is unique within the Observation Domain the Observation Point belongs to (see [RFC5477]). Selection Sequence IDs are assigned by the Monitoring Device. As state parameters, the SelectionProcess class contains the list of assigned Selection Sequence IDs and corresponding Observation Domain IDs. With this information, it is possible to associate Selection Sequence (Statistics) Report Interpretations exported according to the PSAMP protocol specifications [RFC5476] with the corresponding object of the SelectionProcess class.

A SelectionProcess object MAY include a reference to an object of the Cache class to generate Packet Reports or Flow Records from the Selected Packet Stream.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 21]

4.2.1. Selector Class

| Selector | + + 1 ++ |
|---|--|
| name packetsObserved {readOnly} packetsDropped {readOnly} selectorDiscontinuityTime {readOnly} | <pre><>+ SelectAll/ SampCountBased/ SampTimeBased/ SampRandOutOfN/ SampUniProb/ FilterMatch/ FilterHash/</pre> |

Figure 9: Selector class

The Selector class in Figure 9 contains the configuration and state parameters of a Selector. Standardized PSAMP Sampling and Filtering methods are described in [RFC5475]; their configuration parameters are specified in the classes SampCountBased, SampTimeBased, SampRandOutOfN, SampUniProb, FilterMatch, and FilterHash. In addition, the SelectAll class, which has no parameters, is used for a Selector that selects all packets. The Selector class includes exactly one of these sampler and filter classes, depending on the applied method.

As state parameters, the Selector class contains the Selector statistics packetsObserved and packetsDropped as well as selectorDiscontinuityTime, which correspond to the IPFIX MIB module objects ipfixSelectionProcessStatsPacketsObserved, ipfixSelectionProcessStatsPacketsDropped, and ipfixSelectionProcessStatsDiscontinuityTime, respectively [RFC5815]:

- packetsObserved: The total number of packets observed at the input of the Selector. If this is the first Selector in the Selection Process, this counter corresponds to the total number of packets in all Observed Packet Streams at the input of the Selection Process. Otherwise, the counter corresponds to the total number of packets at the output of the preceding Selector. Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at reinitialization of the management system, and at other times as indicated by the value of selectorDiscontinuityTime.
- packetsDropped: The total number of packets discarded by the Selector. Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at re-initialization of the management system, and at other times as indicated by the value of selectorDiscontinuityTime.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 22]

selectorDiscontinuityTime: Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which one or more of the Selector counters suffered a discontinuity. In contrast to ipfixSelectionProcessStatsDiscontinuityTime, the time is absolute and not relative to sysUpTime.

Note that packetsObserved and packetsDropped are aggregate statistics calculcated over all Selection Sequences of the Selection Process. This is in contrast to the counter values in the Selection Sequence Statistics Report Interpretation [RFC5476] which are related to a single Selection Sequence only.

4.2.2. Sampler Classes



Figure 10: Sampler classes

The Sampler classes in Figure 10 contain the configuration parameters of specific Sampling algorithms:

- packetInterval, packetSpace: For systematic count-based sampling, packetInterval defines the number of packets that are consecutively sampled between gaps of length packetSpace. These parameters correspond to the Information Elements samplingPacketInterval and samplingPacketSpace [RFC5477].
- timeInterval, timeSpace: For systematic time-based sampling, timeInterval defines the time interval during which all arriving packets are sampled. timeSpace is the gap between two sampling intervals. These parameters correspond to the Information Elements samplingTimeInterval and samplingTimeSpace [RFC5477]. The unit is microseconds.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 23]

- size, population: For n-out-of-N random sampling, size defines the number of elements taken from the parent population. population defines the number of elements in the parent population. These parameters correspond to the Information Elements samplingSize and samplingPopulation [RFC5477].
- probability: For uniform probabilistic sampling, probability defines the sampling probability. This parameter corresponds to the Information Element samplingProbability [RFC5477].

4.2.3. Filter Classes

| + FilterMatch | + | |
|---|---|---|
| ieId/ieName ieEnterpriseNumber = 0 value + | + + | |
| + FilterHash | + | |
| <pre>hashFunction = "BOB" initializerValue[01] ipPayloadOffset = 0 ipPayloadSize = 8 digestOutput = "false" outputRangeMin {readOnly} outputRangeMax {readOnly}</pre> | + 1* - <> + | SelectedRange name min max |

Figure 11: Filter classes

The Filter classes in Figure 11 contain the configuration parameters of specific Filtering methods. For property match filtering, the configuration parameters are:

ieId, ieName, ieEnterpriseNumber: The property to be matched is specified by either ieId or ieName, specifying the ID or name of the Information Element, respectively. If ieEnterpriseNumber is zero (which is the default), this Information Element is registered in the IANA registry of IPFIX Information Elements [IANA-IPFIX]. A non-zero value of ieEnterpriseNumber specifies an enterprise-specific Information Element.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 24]

value: Matching value.

For hash-based filtering, the configuration and state parameters are:

hashFunction: Hash function to be used. The following parameter values are defined by the configuration data model:

- * BOB: BOB Hash Function as specified in [RFC5475], Appendix A.2
- * IPSX: IP Shift-XOR (IPSX) Hash Function as specified in [RFC5475], Appendix A.1

* CRC: CRC-32 function as specified in [RFC1141]

- Default value is "BOB".
- initializerValue: Initializer value to the hash function. This parameter corresponds to the Information Element hashInitialiserValue [RFC5477]. If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device arbitrarily chooses an initializer value.
- ipPayloadOffset, ipPayloadSize: ipPayloadOffset and ipPayloadSize configure the offset and the size of the payload section used as input to the hash function. Default values are 0 and 8, respectively, corresponding to the minimum configurable values according to [RFC5476], Section 6.2.5.6. These parameters correspond to the Information Elements hashIPPayloadOffset and hashIPPayloadSize [RFC5477].
- digestOutput: digestOutput enables or disables the inclusion of the packet digest in the resulting PSAMP Packet Report. This requires that the Cache Layout of the Cache generating the Packet Reports includes a digestHashValue field. This parameter corresponds to the Information Element hashDigestOutput [RFC5477].
- outputRangeMin, outputRangeMax: The values of these two state parameters are the beginning and end of the hash function's potential output range. These parameters correspond to the Information Elements hashOutputRangeMin and hashOutputRangeMax [RFC5477].

One or more ranges of matching hash values are defined by the min and max parameters of the SelectedRange subclass. These parameters correspond to the Information Elements hashSelectedRangeMin and hashSelectedRangeMax [RFC5477].

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 25]

4.3. Cache Class



Figure 12: Cache class

Figure 12 shows the Cache class that contains the configuration and state parameters of a Cache. Most of these parameters are specific to the type of the Cache and therefore contained in the subclasses immediateCache, timeoutCache, naturalCache, and permanentCache, which are presented below in Section 4.3.1 and Section 4.3.2. The following two state parameters are common to all Caches and therefore included in the Cache class itself:

dataRecords: The number of Data Records generated by this Cache. Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at reinitialization of the management system, and at other times as indicated by the value of cacheDiscontinuityTime. Note that this parameter corresponds to ipfixMeteringProcessDataRecords in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

cacheDiscontinuityTime: Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which dataRecords suffered a discontinuity. In contrast to ipfixMeteringProcessDiscontinuityTime, the time is absolute and not relative to sysUpTime. Note that this parameter corresponds to ipfixMeteringProcessDiscontinuityTime in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

A Cache object MAY refer to one or multiple ExportingProcess objects configuring different Exporting Processes.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 26]

4.3.1. ImmediateCache Class

| + | -+ |
|----------------|------------------------------------|
| ImmediateCache | |
| + | -+ 1 ++ |
| | <pre> <> CacheLayout </pre> |
| + | + ++ |

Figure 13: ImmediateCache class

The ImmediateCache class depicted in Figure 13 is used to configure a Cache which generates a PSAMP Packet Report for each packet at its input. The fields contained in the generated Data Records are defined in an object of the CacheLayout class which is defined below in Section 4.3.3.

4.3.2. TimeoutCache, NaturalCache, and PermanentCache Class



Figure 14: TimeoutCache, NaturalCache, and PermanentCache class

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 27]

Figure 14 shows the TimeoutCache class, the NaturalCache class, and the PermanentCache class. These classes are used to configure a Cache which aggregates the packets at its input and generates IPFIX Flow Records. The three classes differ in when Flows expire:

- o TimeoutCache: Flows expire after active or inactive timeout.
- NaturalCache: Flows expire after active or inactive timeout, or on 0
- natural termination (e.g., TCP FIN, or TCP RST) of the Flow. PermanentCache: Flows never expire, but are periodically exported with the interval set by exportInterval.

The following configuration and state parameters are common to the three classes:

maxFlows: This parameter configures the maximum number of entries in the Cache, which is the maximum number of Flows that can be measured simultaneously. If this parameter is configured, the Monitoring Device MUST ensure that sufficient resources are available to store the configured maximum number of Flows. If the maximum number of Cache entries is in use, no additional Flows can be measured. However, traffic which pertains to existing Flows can continue to be measured.

activeFlows: This state parameter indicates the number of Flows currently active in this Cache (i.e., the number of Cache entries currently in use). Note that this parameter corresponds to ipfixMeteringProcessCacheActiveFlows in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

unusedCacheEntries: The number of unused cache entries. Note that the sum of activeFlows and unusedCacheEntries equals maxFlows if maxFlows is configured. Note that this parameter corresponds to ipfixMeteringProcessCacheUnusedCacheEntries in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

The following timeout parameters are only available in the TimeoutCache class and the NaturalCache class:

activeTimeout: This parameter configures the time in seconds after which a Flow is expired even though packets matching this Flow are still received by the Cache. The parameter value zero indicates infinity, meaning that there is no active timeout. If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device sets this parameter. Note that this parameter corresponds to ipfixMeteringProcessCacheActiveTimeout in the IPFIX MIB module

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 28]

[RFC5815].

inactiveTimeout: This parameter configures the time in seconds after which a Flow is expired if no more packets matching this Flow are received by the Cache. The parameter value zero indicates infinity, meaning that there is no inactive timeout. If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device sets this parameter. Note that this parameter corresponds to ipfixMeteringProcessCacheInactiveTimeout in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

The following interval parameter is only available in the PermanentCache class:

exportInterval: This parameter configures the interval (in seconds) for periodical export of Flow Records. If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device sets this parameter.

Every generated Flow Record MUST be associated with a single Observation Domain. Hence, although a Cache MAY be configured to process packets observed at multiple Observation Domains, the Cache MUST NOT aggregate packets observed at different Observation Domains in the same Flow.

An object of the Cache class contains an object of the CacheLayout class that defines which fields are included in the Flow Records.

4.3.3. CacheLayout Class



Figure 15: CacheLayout class

A Cache generates and maintains Packet Reports or Flow Records containing information that has been extracted from the incoming

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 29]

stream of packets. Using the CacheField class, the CacheLayout class specifies the superset of fields that are included in the Packet Reports or Flow Records (see Figure 15).

If Packet Reports are generated (i.e., if ImmediateCache class is used to configure the Cache), every field specified by the Cache Layout MUST be included in the resulting Packet Report unless the corresponding Information Element is not applicable or cannot be derived from the content or treatment of the incoming packet. Any other field specified by the Cache Layout MAY only be included in the Packet Report if it is obvious from the field value itself or from the values of other fields in same Packet Report that the field value was not determined from the packet.

For example, if a field is configured to contain the TCP source port (Information Element tcpSourcePort [RFC5102]), the field MUST be included in all Packet Reports which are related to TCP packets. Although the field value cannot be determined for non-TCP packets, the field MAY be included in the Packet Reports if another field contains the transport protocol identifier (Information Element protocolIdentifier [RFC5102]).

If Flow Records are generated (i.e., if TimeoutCache, NaturalCache, or PermanentCache class is used to configure the Cache), the Cache Layout differentiates between Flow Key fields and non-key fields. Every Flow Key field specified by the Cache Layout MUST be included as Flow Key in the resulting Flow Record unless the corresponding Information Element is not applicable or cannot be derived from the content or treatment of the incoming packet. Any other Flow Key field specified by the Cache Layout MAY only be included in the Flow Record if it is obvious from the field value itself or from the values of other Flow Key fields in same Flow Record that the field value was not determined from the packet. Two packets are accounted by the same Flow Record if none of their Flow Key fields differ. If a Flow Key field can be determined for one packet but not for the other, the two packets are accounted in different Flow Records.

Every non-key field specified by the Cache Layout MUST be included in the resulting Flow Record unless the corresponding Information Element is not applicable or cannot be derived for the given Flow. Any other non-key field specified by the Cache Layout MAY only be included in the Flow Record if it is obvious from the field value itself or from the values of other fields in same Flow Record that the field value was not determined from the packet. Packets which are accounted by the same Flow Record may differ in their non-key fields, or one or more of the non-key fields can be undetermined for all or some of the packets.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 30]

For example, if a non-key field specifies an Information Element whose value is determined by the first packet observed within a Flow (which is the default rule according to [RFC5102] unless specified differently in the description of the Information Element), this field MUST be included in the resulting Flow Record if it can be determined from the first packet of the Flow.

The CacheLayout class does not have any parameters. The configuration parameters of the CacheField class are as follows:

- ieId, ieName, ieEnterpriseNumber: These parameters specify a field by the combination of the Information Element identifier or name, and the Information Element enterprise number. Either ieId or ieName MUST be specified. If ieEnterpriseNumber is zero (which is the default), this Information Element is registered in the IANA registry of IPFIX Information Elements [IANA-IPFIX]. A non-zero value of ieEnterpriseNumber specifies an enterprise-specific Information Element. If the enterprise number is set to 29305, this field contains a Reverse Information Element. In this case, the Cache MUST generate Data Records in accordance to [RFC5103].
- ieLength: This parameter specifies the length of the field in octets. A value of 65535 means that the field is encoded as variable-length Information Element. For Information Elements of integer and float type, the field length MAY be set to a smaller value than the standard length of the abstract data type if the rules of reduced size encoding are fulfilled (see [RFC5101], Section 6.2). If not configured by the user, the field length is set by the Monitoring Device.
- isFlowKey: If present, this field is a Flow Key. If the field contains a Reverse Information Element, it MUST NOT be configured as Flow Key. This parameter is not available if the Cache is configured using the ImmediateCache class since there is no distinction between Flow Key fields and non-key fields in Packet Reports.

Note that the use of Information Elements can be restricted to certain Cache types as well as to Flow Key or non-key fields. Such restrictions may result from Information Element definitions or from device-specific constraints. According to Section 5, the Monitoring Device MUST notify the user if a Cache field cannot be configured with the given Information Element.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 31]

4.4. ExportingProcess Class



Figure 16: ExportingProcess class

The ExportingProcess class in Figure 16 specifies destinations to which the incoming Packet Reports and Flow Records are exported using objects of the Destination class. The Destination class includes one object of the SctpExporter, UdpExporter, TcpExporter, or FileWriter class which contains further configuration parameters. These classes are described in Section 4.4.1, Section 4.4.2, Section 4.4.3, and Section 4.4.4.

The order in which objects of the Destination class appear is defined by the user. However, the order has a specific meaning only if the exportMode parameter is set to "fallback". The exportMode parameter is defined as follows:

- exportMode: This parameter determines to which configured destination(s) the incoming Data Records are exported. The following parameter values are specified by the configuration data model:
 - * parallel: every Data Record is exported to all configured destinations in parallel
 - loadBalancing: every Data Record is exported to exactly one configured destination according to a device-specific loadbalancing policy
 - * fallback: every Data Record is exported to exactly one configured destination according to the fallback policy described below
 - If exportMode is set to "fallback", the first object of the

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 32]

Destination class defines the primary destination; the second object of the Destination class defines the secondary destination, and so on. If the Exporting Process fails to export Data Records to the primary destination, it tries to export them to the secondary one. If the secondary destination fails as well, it continues with the tertiary, etc. "parallel" is the default value if exportMode is not configured.

Note that the exportMode parameter is related to the ipfixExportMemberType object in [RFC5815]. If exportMode is "parallel", the ipfixExportMemberType values of the corresponding entries in ipfixExportTable are set to parallel(3). If exportMode is "loadBalancing", the ipfixExportMemberType values of the corresponding entries in ipfixExportTable are set to loadBalancing(4). If exportMode is "fallback", the ipfixExportMemberType value which refers to the primary destination is set to primary(1); the ipfixExportMemberType values which refer to the remaining destinations need to be set to secondary(2). The IPFIX MIB module does not define any value for tertiary destination, etc.

The reporting of information with Options Templates is defined with objects of the Options class.

The Exporting Process may modify the Packet Reports and Flow Records to enable a more efficient transmission or storage under the condition that no information is changed or suppressed. For example, the Exporting Process may shorten the length of a field according to the rules of reduced size encoding [RFC5101]. The Exporting Process may also export certain fields in a separate Data Record as described in [RFC5476].

4.4.1. SctpExporter Class

| ++ 01 + | + | + |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| <pre>sourceIPAddress[0*] +</pre> | <pre>ipfixVersion = 10 sourceIPAddress[0*] destinationIPAddress[1*] destinationPort = 4739 4740 ifName/ifIndex[01] sendBufferSize {opt.} rateLimit[01] timedReliability = 0</pre> | + 01 ++ <> TransportLayerSecurity ++ 01 ++ <> TransportSession ++ |

Figure 17: SctpExporter class

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 33]

The SctpExporter class shown in Figure 17 contains the configuration parameters of an SCTP export destination. The configuration parameters are:

- ipfixVersion: Version number of the IPFIX protocol used. If omitted, the default value is 10 (=0x000a) as specified in [RFC5101].
- sourceIPAddress: List of source IP addresses used by the Exporting
 Process. If configured, the specified addresses are eligible
 local IP addresses of the multi-homed SCTP endpoint. If not
 configured, all locally assigned IP addresses are eligible local
 IP addresses.
- destinationIPAddress: One or multiple IP addresses of the Collecting
 Process to which IPFIX Messages are sent. The user must ensure
 that all configured IP addresses belong to the same Collecting
 Process. The Exporting Process tries to establish an SCTP
 association to any of the configured destination IP addresses.
- destinationPort: Destination port number to be used. If not configured, standard port 4739 (IPFIX without TLS and DTLS) or 4740 (IPFIX over TLS or DTLS) is used.
- ifIndex/ifName: Either the index or the name of the interface used by the Exporting Process to export IPFIX Messages to the given destination MAY be specified according to corresponding objects in the IF-MIB [RFC2863]. If omitted, the Exporting Process selects the outgoing interface based on local routing decision and accepts return traffic, such as transport layer acknowledgments, on all available interfaces.
- sendBufferSize: Size of the socket send buffer in bytes. If not configured by the user, the buffer size is set by the Monitoring Device.
- rateLimit: Maximum number of bytes per second the Exporting Process may export to the given destination as required by [RFC5476]. The number of bytes is calculated from the lengths of the IPFIX Messages exported. If this parameter is not configured, no rate limiting is performed for this destination.
- timedReliability: Lifetime in milliseconds until an IPFIX Message containing Data Sets only is "abandoned" due to the timed reliability mechanism of PR-SCTP [RFC3758]. If this parameter is set to zero, reliable SCTP transport MUST be used for all Data Records. Regardless of the value of this parameter, the Exporting Process MAY use reliable SCTP transport for Data Sets associated

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 34]

with certain Options Templates, such as the Data Record Reliability Options Template specified in [I-D.ietf-ipfix-export-per-sctp-stream].

Using the TransportLayerSecurity class described in Section 4.6, datagram transport layer security (DTLS) is enabled and configured for this export destination.

If a Transport Session is established to the configured destination, the SctpExporter class includes an object of the TransportSession class containing state parameters of the Transport Session. The TransportSession class is specified in Section 4.7.

4.4.2. UdpExporter Class

| + | . ± |
|---|-----------------|
| UdpExporter | |
| <pre>ipfixVersion = 10 sourceIPAddress[01] destinationIPAddress destinationPort = 4739 4740 ifName/ifIndex[01] sendBufferSize {opt.} rateLimit[01] maxPacketSize {opt.} templateRefreshTimeout = 600 optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout = 600 templateRefreshPacket[01] optionsTemplateRefreshPacket[01]</pre> | <pre>01 +</pre> |

Figure 18: UdpExporter class

The UdpExporter class shown in Figure 18 contains the configuration parameters of a UDP export destination. The parameters ipfixVersion, destinationPort, ifName, ifIndex, sendBufferSize, and rateLimit have the same meaning as in the SctpExporter class (see Section 4.4.1). The remaining configuration parameters are:

sourceIPAddress: This parameter specifies the source IP address used by the Exporting Process. If this parameter is omitted, the IP address assigned to the outgoing interface is used as source IP address.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 35]

destinationIPAddress: Destination IP address to which IPFIX Messages are sent (i.e., the IP address of the Collecting Process). maxPacketSize: This parameter specifies the maximum size of IP packets sent to the Collector. If set to zero, the Exporting Device MUST derive the maximum packet size from path MTU discovery mechanisms. If not configured by the user, this parameter is set by the Monitoring Device. templateRefreshTimeout, optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout, templateRefreshPacket, optionsTemplateRefreshPacket: These parameters specify when (Options) Templates are refreshed by the Exporting Process. templateRefreshTimeout and optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout are specified in seconds between resendings of (Options) Templates. If omitted, the default value of 600 seconds (10 minutes) is used [RFC5101]. templateRefreshPacket and optionsTemplateRefreshPacket specify the number of IPFIX Messages after which (Options) Templates are resent. If omitted, the (Options) Templates are only resent after timeout. Note that the values configured for templateRefreshTimeout and optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout MUST be adapted to the templateLifeTime and optionsTemplateLifeTime parameter settings at the receiving Collecting Process (see Section 4.5.2). Note that these parameters correspond to ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout, ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout, ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket, and ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

Using the TransportLayerSecurity class described in Section 4.6, datagram transport layer security (DTLS) is enabled and configured for this export destination.

If a Transport Session is established to the configured destination, the UdpExporter class includes an object of the TransportSession class containing state parameters of the Transport Session. The TransportSession class is specified in Section 4.7.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 36]

Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model March 2011

4.4.3. TcpExporter Class

| + TcpExporter + | + + 0 1 ++ |
|---|--|
| <pre>ipfixVersion = 10 sourceIPAddress[01] destinationIPAddress</pre> | <> TransportLayerSecurity ++ |
| <pre>destinationPort = 4739 4740 ifName/ifIndex[01] sendBufferSize {opt.} rateLimit[01]</pre> | 01 ++ <> TransportSession ++ |



The TcpExporter class shown in Figure 19 contains the configuration parameters of a TCP export destination. The parameters have the same meaning as in the UdpExporter class (see Section 4.4.2).

Using the TransportLayerSecurity class described in Section 4.6, transport layer security (TLS) is enabled and configured for this export destination.

If a Transport Session is established to the configured destination, the TcpExporter class includes an object of the TransportSession class containing state parameters of the Transport Session. The TransportSession class is specified in Section 4.7.

4.4.4. FileWriter Class

_____ | FileWriter +-----+ 0..* +----+ |<>----| Template | ipfixVersion = 10 +----+ file status {readOnly} bytes {readOnly} messages {readOnly} discardedMessages {readOnly} records {readOnly} templates {readOnly} optionsTemplates {readOnly} fileWriterDiscontinuityTime {readOnly}

Figure 20: FileWriter classes

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 37]

If an object of the FileWriter class is included in an object of the Destination class, IPFIX Messages are written into a file as specified in [RFC5655]. The FileWriter class contains the following configuration parameters:

ipfixVersion: Version number of the IPFIX protocol used. If omitted, the default value is 10 (=0x000a) as specified in [RFC5101].

file: File name and location specified as URI.

The state parameters of the FileWriter class are:

- bytes, messages, records, templates, optionsTemplates: The number of bytes, IPFIX Messages, Data Records, Template Records, and Options Template Records written by the File Writer. Discontinuities in the values of these counters can occur at re-initialization of the management system, and at other times as indicated by the value of fileWriterDiscontinuityTime.
- discardedMessages: The number of IPFIX Messages that could not be written by the File Writer due to internal buffer overflows, limited storage capacity etc. Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at re-initialization of the management system, and at other times as indicated by the value of fileWriterDiscontinuityTime.
- fileWriterDiscontinuityTime: Timestamp of the most recent occasion
 at which one or more File Writer counters suffered a
 discontinuity. In contrast to discontinuity times in the IPFIX
 MIB module, the time is absolute and not relative to sysUpTime.

Each object of the FileWriter class includes a list of objects of the Template class with information and statistics about the Templates written to the file. The Template class is specified in Section 4.8.

4.4.5. Options Class

| + | tions | + |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| nai op op | me tionsType tionsTimeout | t {opt.} |

Figure 21: Options class

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 38]

The Options class in Figure 21 defines the type of specific information to be reported, such as statistics, flow keys, Sampling and Filtering parameters etc. [RFC5101] and [RFC5476] specify several types of reporting information which may be exported. The following parameter values are specified by the configuration data model:

- meteringStatistics: Export of Metering Process statistics using the Metering Process Statistics Options Template [RFC5101].
- meteringReliability: Export of Metering Process reliability
 statistics using the Metering Process Reliability Statistics
 Options Template [RFC5101].
- exportingReliability: Export of Exporting Process reliability statistics using the Exporting Process Reliability Statistics Options Template [RFC5101].
- flowKeys: Export of the Flow Key specification using the Flow Keys Options Template [RFC5101].
- selectionSequence: Export of Selection Sequence Report Interpretation and Selector Report Interpretation [RFC5476].
- selectionStatistics: Export of Selection Sequence Statistics Report Interpretation [RFC5476].
- accuracy: Export of Accuracy Report Interpretation [RFC5476].
- reducingRedundancy: Enables the utilization of Options Templates to reduce redundancy in the exported Data Records according to [RFC5473]. The Exporting Process decides when to apply these Options Templates.
- extendedTypeInformation: Export of extended type information for enterprise-specific Information Elements used in the exported Templates [RFC5610].

The Exporting Process MUST choose a Template definition according to the options type and available options data.

The optionsTimeout parameter specifies the reporting interval (in milliseconds) for periodic export of the option data. A parameter value of zero means that the export of the option data is not triggered periodically, but whenever the available option data has changed. This is the typical setting for options types flowKeys, selectionSequence, accuracy, and reducingRedundancy. If optionsTimeout is not configured by the user, it is set by the

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 39]

Monitoring Device.

4.5. CollectingProcess Class



Figure 22: CollectingProcess class

Figure 22 shows the CollectingProcess class that contains the configuration and state parameters of a Collecting Process. Objects of the SctpCollector, UdpCollector, and TcpCollector classes specify how IPFIX Messages are received from remote Exporters. The Collecting Process can also be configured as a File Reader using objects of the FileReader class. These classes are described in Section 4.5.1, Section 4.5.2, Section 4.5.3, and Section 4.5.4.

An CollectingProcess object MAY refer to one or multiple ExportingProcess objects configuring Exporting Processes that export the received data without modifications to a file or to another Collector.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 40]

Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model March 2011

4.5.1. SctpCollector Class



Figure 23: SctpCollector class

The SctpCollector class contains the configuration parameters of a listening SCTP socket at a Collecting Process. The parameters are:

- localIPAddress: List of local IP addresses on which the Collecting Process listens for IPFIX Messages. The IP addresses are used as eligible local IP addresses of the multi-homed SCTP endpoint [RFC4960]. If omitted, the Collecting Process listens on all local IP addresses.
- localPort: Local port number on which the Collecting Process listens for IPFIX Messages. If omitted, standard port 4739 (IPFIX without TLS and DTLS) or 4740 (IPFIX over TLS or DTLS) is used.

Using the TransportLayerSecurity class described in Section 4.6, datagram transport layer security (DTLS) is enabled and configured for this receiving socket.

As state data, the SctpCollector class contains the list of currently established Transport Sessions that terminate at the given SCTP socket of the Collecting Process. The TransportSession class is specified in Section 4.7.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 41]

4.5.2. UdpCollector Class



| Figure | 24: | UdpCollector | class |
|--------|-----|--------------|-------|
|--------|-----|--------------|-------|

The UdpCollector class contains the configuration parameters of a listening UDP socket at a Collecting Process. The parameter localPort has the same meaning as in the SctpCollector class (see Section 4.5.1). The remaining parameters are:

localIPAddress: List of local IP addresses on which the Collecting
Process listens for IPFIX Messages. If omitted, the Collecting
Process listens on all local IP addresses.

templateLifeTime, optionsTemplateLifeTime: (Options) Template lifetime in seconds for all UDP Transport Sessions terminating at this UDP socket. (Options) Templates which are not received again within the configured lifetime become invalid at the Collecting Process. As specified in [RFC5101], Section 10.3.7, the lifetime of Templates and Options Templates MUST be at least three times higher than the templateRefreshTimeout and optionTemplatesRefreshTimeout parameter values configured on the corresponding Exporting Processes. If not configured, the default value 1800 is used, which is three times the default (Options) Template refresh timeout (see Section 4.4.2) as specified in [RFC5101]. Note that these parameters correspond to ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout and ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

templateLifePacket, optionsTemplateLifePacket: If templateLifePacket is configured, Templates defined in a UDP Transport Session become invalid if they are neither included in a sequence of more than this number of IPFIX Messages nor received again within the period of time specified by templateLifeTime. Similarly, if

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 42]
optionsTemplateLifePacket is configured, Options Templates become invalid if they are neither included in a sequence of more than this number of IPFIX Messages nor received again within the period of time specified by optionsTemplateLifeTime. If not configured, Templates and Options Templates only become invalid according to the lifetimes specified by templateLifeTime and optionsTemplateLifeTime, respectively. Note that these parameters correspond to ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket and ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

Using the TransportLayerSecurity class described in Section 4.6, datagram transport layer security (DTLS) is enabled and configured for this receiving socket.

As state data, the UdpCollector class contains the list of currently established Transport Sessions that terminate at the given UDP socket of the Collecting Process. The TransportSession class is specified in Section 4.7.

4.5.3. TcpCollector Class

| + | + |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| TcpCollector | |
| + | + 01 ++ |
| name | <> TransportLayerSecurity |
| localIPAddress[0*] | ++ |
| localPort = 4739 4740 | |
| | 0* ++ <> TransportSession |

Figure 25: TcpCollector class

The TcpCollector class contains the configuration parameters of a listening TCP socket at a Collecting Process. The parameters have the same meaning as in the UdpCollector class (see Section 4.5.2).

Using the TransportLayerSecurity class described in Section 4.6, transport layer security (TLS) is enabled and configured for this receiving socket.

As state data, the TcpCollector class contains the list of currently established Transport Sessions that terminate at the given TCP socket of the Collecting Process. The TransportSession class is specified in Section 4.7.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 43]

4.5.4. FileReader Class



Figure 26: FileReader classes

The Collecting Process may import IPFIX Messages from a file as specified in [RFC5655]. The FileReader class defines the following configuration parameter:

file: File name and location specified as URI.

The state parameters of the FileReader class are:

- bytes, messages, records, templates, optionsTemplates: The number of bytes, IPFIX Messages, Data Records, Template Records, and Options Template Records read by the File Reader. Discontinuities in the values of these counters can occur at re-initialization of the management system, and at other times as indicated by the value of fileReaderDiscontinuityTime.
- fileReaderDiscontinuityTime: Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which one or more File Reader counters suffered a discontinuity. In contrast to discontinuity times in the IPFIX MIB module, the time is absolute and not relative to sysUpTime.

Each object of the FileReader class includes a list of objects of the Template class with information and statistics about the Templates read from the file. The Template class is specified in Section 4.8.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 44]

4.6. Transport Layer Security Class

Figure 27: TransportLayerSecurity class

The TransportLayerSecurity class is used in the Exporting Process's SctpExporter, UdpExporter, and TcpExporter classes and the Collecting Process's SctpCollector, UdpCollector, and TcpCollector classes to enable and configure transport layer security for IPFIX. Transport layer security can be enabled without configuring any additional parameters. In this case, an empty XML element <transportLayerSecurity /> appears in the configuration. If transport layer security is enabled, the endpoint must use DTLS [RFC4347] if the transport protocol is SCTP or UDP, and TLS [RFC5246] if the transport protocol is TCP.

[RFC5101] mandates strong mutual authentication of Exporting Processes and Collecting Process:

"IPFIX Exporting Processes and IPFIX Collecting Processes are identified by the fully qualified domain name of the interface on which IPFIX Messages are sent or received, for purposes of X.509 client and server certificates as in [RFC5280].

To prevent man-in-the-middle attacks from impostor Exporting or Collecting Processes, the acceptance of data from an unauthorized Exporting Process, or the export of data to an unauthorized Collecting Process, strong mutual authentication via asymmetric keys MUST be used for both TLS and DTLS. Each of the IPFIX Exporting and Collecting Processes MUST verify the identity of its peer against its authorized certificates, and MUST verify that the peer's certificate matches its fully qualified domain name, or, in the case of SCTP, the fully qualified domain name of one of its endpoints.

The fully qualified domain name used to identify an IPFIX Collecting Process or Exporting Process may be stored either in a subjectAltName extension of type dNSName, or in the most specific

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 45]

Common Name field of the Subject field of the X.509 certificate. If both are present, the subjectAltName extension is given preference."

In order to use transport layer security, appropriate certificates and keys have to be previously installed on the Monitoring Devices. For security reasons, the configuration data model does not offer the possibility to upload any certificates or keys on a Monitoring Device. If transport layer security is enabled on a Monitoring Device which does not dispose of appropriate certificates and keys, the configuration MUST be rejected with an error.

The configuration data model allows restricting the authorization of remote endpoints to certificates issued by specific certification authorities or identifying specific fully qualified domain names for authorization. Furthermore, the configuration data model allows restricting the utilization of certificates identifying the local endpoint. This is useful if the Monitoring Device disposes of more than one certificate for the given local endpoint.

The configuration parameters are defined as follows:

localCertificationAuthorityDN: This parameter MAY appear one or multiple times to restrict the identification of the local endpoint during the TLS/DTLS handshake to certificates issued by the configured certification authorities. Each occurrence of this parameter contains the distinguished name of one certification authority.

To identify the local endpoint, the Exporting Process or Collecting Process MUST use a certificate issued by one of the configured certification authority. Certificates issued by any other certification authority MUST NOT be sent to the remote peer during TLS/DTLS handshake. If none of the certificates installed on the Monitoring Device fulfills the specified restrictions, the configuration MUST be rejected with an error. If localCertificationAuthorityDN is not configured, the choice of certificates identifying the local endpoint is not restricted with respect to the issuing certification authority.

localSubjectDN, localSubjectFQDN: Each of these parameters MAY
appear one or multiple times to restrict the identification of the
local endpoint during the TLS/DTLS handshake to certificates
issued for specific subjects or for specific fully qualified
domain names. Each occurrence of localSubjectDN contains a
distinguished name identifying the local endpoint. Each
occurrence of localSubjectFQDN contains a fully qualified domain
name which is assigned to the local endpoint.
To identify the local endpoint, the Exporting Process or

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 46]

Collecting Process MUST use a certificate that contains either one of the configured distinguished names in the subject field or at least one of the configured fully qualified domain names in a dNSName component of the subject alternative extension field or in the most specific commonName component of the subject field. Τf none of the certificates installed on the Monitoring Device fulfills the specified restrictions, the configuration MUST be rejected with an error. If any of the parameters localSubjectDN and localSubjectFQDN is configured at the same time as the localCertificationAuthorityDN parameter, certificates MUST also fulfill the specified restrictions regarding the certification authority. If localSubjectDN and localSubjectFQDN are not configured, the choice of certificates identifying the local endpoint is not restricted with respect to the subject's distinguished name or fully qualified domain name.

remoteCertificationAuthorityDN: This parameter MAY appear one or multiple times to restrict the authentication of remote endpoints during the TLS/DTLS handshake to certificates issued by the configured certification authorities. Each occurrence of this parameter contains the distinguished name of one certification authority.

To authenticate the remote endpoint, the remote Exporting Process or Collecting Process MUST provide a certificate issued by one of the configured certification authority. Certificates issued by any other certification authority MUST be rejected during TLS/DTLS handshake.

If the Monitoring Device is not able to validate certificates issued by the configured certification authorities (e.g., because of missing public keys), the configuration must be rejected with an error.

If remoteCertificationAuthorityDN is not configured, the authorization of remote endpoints is not restricted with respect to the issuing certification authority of the delivered certificate.

remoteSubjectDN, remoteSubjectFQDN: Each of these parameters MAY appear one or multiple times to restrict the authentication of remote endpoints during the TLS/DTLS handshake to certificates issued for specific subjects or for specific fully qualified domain names. Each occurrence of remoteSubjectDN contains a distinguished name identifying a remote endpoint. Each occurrence of remoteSubjectFQDN contains a fully qualified domain name which is assigned to a remote endpoint.

To authenticate a remote endpoint, the remote Exporting Process or Collecting Process MUST provide a certificate that contains either one of the configured distinguished names in the subject field or

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 47]

at least one of the configured fully qualified domain names in a dNSName component of the subject alternative extension field or in the most specific commonName component of the subject field. Certificates not fulfilling this condition MUST be rejected during TLS/DTLS handshake. If any of the parameters remoteSubjectDN and remoteSubjectFQDN is configured at the same time as the remoteCertificationAuthorityDN parameter, certificates MUST also fulfill the specified restrictions regarding the certification authority in order to be accepted. If remoteSubjectDN and remoteSubjectFQDN are not configured, the authorization of remote endpoints is not restricted with respect to the subject's distinguished name or fully qualified domain name of the delivered certificate.

4.7. Transport Session Class

-----+ TransportSession -----+ 0..* +----+ ipfixVersion {readOnly} sourceAddress {readOnly} +----+ destinationAddress {readOnly} sourcePort {readOnly} destinationPort {readOnly} sctpAssocId {readOnly} {SCTP only} status {readOnly} rate {readOnly} bytes {readOnly} messages {readOnly} discardedMessages {readOnly} records {readOnly} templates {readOnly} optionsTemplates {readOnly} transportSessionStartTime {readOnly} transportSessionDiscontinuityTime {readOnly} +-------+

Figure 28: TransportSession class

The TransportSession class contains state data about Transport Sessions originating from an Exporting Process or terminating at a Collecting Process. In general, the state parameters correspond to the managed objects in the ipfixTransportSessionTable and ipfixTransportSessionStatsTable of the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815]. An exception is the usage of the parameters sourceAddress and destinationAddress. If SCTP is transport protocol, Exporter or Collector may be multi-homed SCTP endpoints (see [RFC4960], Section

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 48]

6.4) and use more than one IP address. In the IPFIX MIB module, ipfixTransportSessionSctpAssocId is used instead of ipfixTransportSessionDestinationAddress and ipfixTransportSessionDestinationAddress to point to an entry in the sctpAssocTable defined in the SCTP MIB module [RFC3871]. Since we cannot assume that an SNMP agent offering access to the SCTP MIB module exists on the Monitoring Device, the configuration data model cannot rely on this parameter. Therefore, the state parameters sourceAddress and destinationAddress are used for SCTP as well, containing one of the potentially many Exporter and Collector IP addresses in the SCTP association. Preferably, the IP addresses of the path which is usually selected by the Exporter to send IPFIX Messages to the Collector SHOULD be contained.

Several MIB objects of the ipfixTransportSessionTable are omitted in the TransportSession class. The MIB object ipfixTransportSessionDeviceMode is not included because its value can be derived from the context in which a TransportSession object appears: exporting(1) if it belongs to an Exporting Process, collecting(2) if it belongs to a Collecting Process. Similarly, the MIB object ipfixTransportSessionProtocol is not included as the transport protocol is known from the context as well. The MIB objects ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout, ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout, ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket, and ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket are not included since they correspond to configuration parameters of the UdpExporter class (templateRefreshTimeout, optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout, templateRefreshPacket, optionsTemplateRefreshPacket) and the UdpCollector class (templateLifeTime, optionsTemplateLifeTime, templateLifePacket, optionsTemplateLifePacket).

ipfixVersion: Used for Exporting Processes, this parameter contains the version number of the IPFIX protocol that the Exporter uses to export its data in this Transport Session. Hence, it is identical to the value of the configuration parameter ipfixVersion of the outer SctpExporter, UdpExporter, or TcpExporter object. Used for Collecting Processes, this parameter contains the version number of the IPFIX protocol it receives for this Transport Session. If IPFIX Messages of different IPFIX protocol versions are received, this parameter contains the maximum version number. This state parameter is identical to ipfixTransportSessionIpfixVersion in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 49]

sourceAddress, destinationAddress: If TCP or UDP is transport protocol, sourceAddress contains the IP address of the Exporter; destinationAddress contains the IP addresses of the Collector. Hence, the two parameters have identical values as ipfixTransportSessionSourceAddress and ipfixTransportSessionDestinationAddress in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815]. If SCTP is transport protocol, sourceAddress contains one of the IP addresses of the Exporter and destinationAddress one of the IP addresses of the Collector. Preferably, the IP addresses of the path which is usually selected by the Exporter to send IPFIX Messages to the Collector SHOULD be contained. sourcePort, destinationPort: These state parameters contain the transport protocol port numbers of the Exporter and the Collector of the Transport Session and thus are identical to ipfixTransportSessionSourcePort and ipfixTransportSessionDestinationPort in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815]. sctpAssocId: The association id used for the SCTP session between

the Exporter and the Collector of the Transport Session. It is equal to the sctpAssocId entry in the sctpAssocTable defined in the SCTP-MIB [RFC3871]. This parameter is only available if the transport protocol is SCTP and if an SNMP agent on the same Monitoring Device enables access to the corresponding MIB objects in the sctpAssocTable. This state parameter is identical to ipfixTransportSessionSctpAssocId in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

- status: Status of the Transport Session, which can be one of the following:
 - inactive: Transport Session is established, but no IPFIX Messages are currently transferred (e.g., because this is a backup (secondary) session)
 - active: Transport Session is established and transfers IPFIX Messages

unknown: Transport Session status cannot be determined This state parameter is identical to ipfixTransportSessionStatus in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

rate: The number of bytes per second transmitted by the Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process. This parameter is updated every second. This state parameter is identical to ipfixTransportSessionRate in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 50]

- bytes, messages, records, templates, optionsTemplates: The number of bytes, IPFIX Messages, Data Records, Template Records, and Options Template Records transmitted by the Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process. Discontinuities in the values of these counters can occur at re-initialization of the management system, and at other times as indicated by the value of transportSessionDiscontinuityTime.
- discardedMessages: Used for Exporting Processes, this parameter indicates the number of messages that could not be sent due to internal buffer overflows, network congestion, routing issues, etc. Used for Collecting Process, this parameter indicates the number of received IPFIX Message that are malformed, cannot be decoded, are received in the wrong order or are missing according to the sequence number. Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at reinitialization of the management system, and at other times as indicated by the value of transportSessionDiscontinuityTime.
- transportSessionStartTime: Timestamp of the start of the given Transport Session. This state parameter does not correspond to any object in the IPFIX MIB module.
- transportSessionDiscontinuityTime: Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which one or more of the Transport Session counters suffered a discontinuity. In contrast to ipfixTransportSessionDiscontinuityTime, the time is absolute and not relative to sysUpTime.

Note that, if used for Exporting Processes, the values of the state parameters destinationAddress and destinationPort match the values of the configuration parameters destinationIPAddress and destinationPort of the outer SctpExporter, TcpExporter, and UdpExporter objects (in the case of SctpExporter, one of the configured destinationIPAddress values); if the transport protocol is UDP or SCTP and if the parameter sourceIPAddress is configured in the outer UdpExporter or SctpExporter object, the value of sourceAddress equals the configured value or one of the configured values. Used for Collecting Processes, the value of destinationAddress equals the value (or one of the values) of the parameter localIPAddress if this parameter is configured in the outer UdpCollector, TcpCollector, or SctpCollector object; destinationPort equals the value of the configuration parameter localPort.

Each object of the TransportSession class includes a list of objects of the Template class with information and statistics about the

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 51]

Templates transmitted or received on the given Transport Session. The Template class is specified in Section 4.8.

4.8. Template Class



Figure 29: Template class

The Template class contains state data about Templates used by an Exporting Process or received by a Collecting Process in a specific Transport Session. The Field class defines one field of the Template. The names and semantics of the state parameters correspond to the managed objects in the ipfixTemplateTable, ipfixTemplateDefinitionTable, and ipfixTemplateStatsTable of the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815]:

observationDomainId: The ID of the Observation Domain for which this Template is defined.

templateId: This number indicates the Template Id in the IPFIX message.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 52]

setId: This number indicates the Set ID of the Template. Currently, there are two values defined [RFC5101]. The value 2 is used for Sets containing Template definitions. The value 3 is used for Sets containing Options Template definitions.

accessTime: Used for Exporting Processes, this parameter contains the time when this (Options) Template was last sent to the Collector or written to the file. Used for Collecting Processes, this parameter contains the time when this (Options) Template was last received from the Exporter or read from the file.

- templateDataRecords: The number of transmitted or received Data Records defined by this (Options) Template since the point in time indicated by templateDefinitionTime.
- templateDiscontinuityTime: Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which the counter templateDataRecords suffered a discontinuity. In contrast to ipfixTemplateDiscontinuityTime, the time is absolute and not relative to sysUpTime.
- ieId, ieLength, ieEnterpriseNumber: Information Element ID, length, and enterprise number of a field in the Template. If this is not an enterprise-specific Information Element, ieEnterpriseNumber is zero. These state parameters are identical to ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeId, ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeLength, and ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeEnterpriseNumber in the IPFIX MIB module [RFC5815].
- isFlowKey: If this state parameter is present, this is a Flow Key field. This parameter is only available for non-Options Templates (i.e., if setId is 2).
- isFlowKey: If this state parameter is present, this is a scope field. This parameter is only available for Options Templates (i.e., if setId is 3).

5. Adaptation to Device Capabilities

The configuration data model standardizes a superset of common IPFIX and PSAMP configuration parameters. A typical Monitoring Device implementation will not support the entire range of possible configurations. Certain functions may not be supported, such as the Collecting Process that does not exist on a Monitoring Device which

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 53] is conceived as Exporter only. The configuration of other functions may be subject to resource limitations or functional restrictions. For example, the Cache size is typically limited according to the available memory on the device. It is also possible that a Monitoring Device implementation requires the configuration of additional parameters which are not part of the configuration data model in order to function properly.

YANG [RFC6020] offers several possibilities to restrict and adapt a configuration data model. The current version of YANG defines the concepts of features, deviations, and extensions.

The feature concept allows the author of a configuration data model to make proportions of the model conditional in a manner that is controlled by the device. Devices do not have to support these conditional parts to conform to the model. If the NETCONF protocol is used, features which are supported by the device are announced in the <hello> message [RFC4741].

The configuration data model for IPFIX and PSAMP covers the configuration of Exporters, Collectors, and devices that may act as both. As Exporters and Collectors implement different functions, the corresponding proportions of the model are conditional on the following features:

- exporter: If this feature is supported, Exporting Processes can be configured.
- collector: If this feature is supported, Collecting Processes can be configured.

Exporters do not necessarily implement any Selection Processes, Caches, or even Observation Points in particular cases. Therefore, the corresponding proportions of the model are conditional on the following feature:

meter: If this feature is supported, Observation Points, Selection Processes, and Caches can be configured.

Additional features refer to different PSAMP Sampling and Filtering methods as well as to the supported types of Caches:

psampSampCountBased: If this feature is supported, Sampling method sampCountBased can be configured.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 54]

- psampSampTimeBased: If this feature is supported, Sampling method sampTimeBased can be configured.
- psampSampRandOutOfN: If this feature is supported, Sampling method sampRandOutOfN can be configured.
- psampSampUniProb: If this feature is supported, Sampling method sampUniProb can be configured.
- psampFilterMatch: If this feature is supported, Filtering method filterMatch can be configured.
- psampFilterHash: If this feature is supported, Filtering method filterHash can be configured.
- immediateCache: If this feature is supported, a Cache generating
 PSAMP Packet Reports can be configured using the ImmediateCache
 class.
- timeoutCache: If this feature is supported, a Cache generating IPFIX Flow Records can be configured using the TimeoutCache class.
- naturalCache: If this feature is supported, a Cache generating IPFIX Flow Records can be configured using the NaturalCache class.
- permanentCache: If this feature is supported, a Cache generating IPFIX Flow Records can be configured using the PermanentCache class.

The following features concern the support of UDP and TCP as transport protocols and the support of File Readers and File Writers:

- udpTransport: If this feature is supported, UDP can be used as transport protocol by Exporting Processes and Collecting Processes.
- tcpTransport: If this feature is supported, TCP can be used as transport protocol by Exporting Processes and Collecting Processes.
- fileReader: If this feature is supported, File Readers can be configured as part of Collecting Processes.
- fileWriter: If this feature is supported, File Writers can be configured as part of Exporting Processes.

The deviation concept enables a device to announce deviations from the standard model using the "deviation" statement. For example, it

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 55]

is possible to restrict the value range of a specific parameter or to define that the configuration of a certain parameter is not supported at all. Hence, deviations are typically used to specify limitations due to resource constraints or functional restrictions. Deviations concern existing parameters of the original configuration data model and must not be confused with model extensions. Model extensions are specified with the "augment" statement and allow adding new parameters to the original configuration data model.

If certain device-specific constraints cannot be formally specified with YANG, they MUST be expressed with human-readable text using the "description" statement. The provided information MUST enable the user to define a configuration which is entirely supported by the Monitoring Device. On the other hand, if a Monitoring Device is configured, it MUST notify the user about any part of the configuration which is not supported. The Monitoring Device MUST NOT silently accept configuration data which cannot be completely enforced. If the NETCONF protocol is used to send configuration data to the Monitoring Device, the error handling is specified in the NETCONF protocol specification [RFC4741].

Just like features, deviations and model extensions are announced in NETCONF's <hello> message. A usage example of deviations is given in Section 7.5.

6. YANG Module of the IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model

The YANG module specification of the configuration data model is listed below. It makes use of the common YANG types defined in the modules urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-types and urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-inet-types [RFC6021].

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-ipfix-psamp@2011-03-09.yang"
module ietf-ipfix-psamp {
   namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ipfix-psamp";
   prefix ipfix;
   import ietf-yang-types { prefix yang; }
   import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; }
   organization
    "IETF IPFIX Working Group";
   contact
    "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/ipfix/>
   WG List: <mailto:ipfix@ietf.org>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 56]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                  March 2011
     WG Chair: Nevil Brownlee
              <n.brownlee@auckland.ac.nz>
     WG Chair: Juergen Quittek
              <quittek@neclab.eu>
     Editor:
              Gerhard Muenz
              <mailto:muenz@net.in.tum.de>";
   description
     "IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
     Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
     the document authors. All rights reserved.
     Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
     without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
     to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
     set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
     Relating to IETF Documents
     (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).";
   revision 2011-03-09 {
     description "Version of draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09";
     reference "RFC XXXX: IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model";
   }
   * Features
   feature exporter {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device can be used as
       an Exporter. Exporting Processes can be configured.";
   }
   feature collector {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device can be used as
       a Collector. Collecting Processes can be configured.";
   }
   feature meter {
     description "If supported, Observation Points, Selection
       Processes, and Caches can be configured.";
   }
   feature psampSampCountBased {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
       count-based Sampling. The Selector method sampCountBased can
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 57]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
       be configured.";
    }
    feature psampSampTimeBased {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        time-based Sampling. The Selector method sampTimeBased can
        be configured.";
    }
    feature psampSampRandOutOfN {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        random n-out-of-N Sampling. The Selector method
        sampRandOutOfN can be configured.";
    }
    feature psampSampUniProb {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        uniform probabilistic Sampling. The Selector method
        sampUniProb can be configured.";
    }
    feature psampFilterMatch {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
       property match Filtering. The Selector method filterMatch
        can be configured.";
    }
    feature psampFilterHash {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
       hash-based Filtering. The Selector method filterHash can be
        configured.";
    }
    feature immediateCache {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        Caches generating PSAMP Packet Reports by configuration with
        immediateCache.";
    }
    feature timeoutCache {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        Caches generating IPFIX Flow Records by configuration with
        timeoutCache.";
    }
    feature naturalCache {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        Caches generating IPFIX Flow Records by configuration with
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 58]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                  March 2011
Internet-Draft
       naturalCache.";
   }
   feature permanentCache {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
       Caches generating IPFIX Flow Records by configuration with
       permanentCache.";
   }
   feature udpTransport {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports UDP
       as transport protocol.";
   }
   feature tcpTransport {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports TCP
       as transport protocol.";
   }
   feature fileReader {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports the
       configuration of Collecting Processes as File Readers.";
   }
   feature fileWriter {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports the
       configuration of Exporting Processes as File Writers.";
   }
   * Identities
   /*** Hash function identities ***/
   identity hashFunction {
     description "Base identity for all hash functions used for
       hash-based packet filtering. Identities derived from
       this base are used by the leaf
       /ipfix/selectionProcess/selector/filterHash/hashFunction.";
   ļ
   identity BOB {
     base "hashFunction";
     description "BOB hash function";
     reference "RFC5475, Section 6.2.4.1.";
   identity IPSX {
     base "hashFunction";
     description "IPSX hash function";
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 59]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
     reference "RFC5475, Section 6.2.4.1.";
    identity CRC {
     base "hashFunction";
     description "CRC hash function";
     reference "RFC5475, Section 6.2.4.1.";
    /*** Export mode identities ***/
    identity exportMode {
     description "Base identity for different usages of export
        destinations configured for an Exporting Process.
        Identities derived from this base are used by the leaf
        /ipfix/exportingProcess/exportMode.";
    identity parallel {
     base "exportMode";
     description "Parallel export of Data Records to all
        destinations configured for the Exporting Process.";
    identity loadBalancing {
     base "exportMode";
     description "Load-balancing between the different destinations
        configured for the Exporting Process.";
    identity fallback {
     base "exportMode";
     description "Export to the primary destination (i.e., the first
        SCTP, UDP, TCP, or file destination configured for the
        Exporting Process). If the export to the primary destination
        fails, the Exporting Process tries to export to the secondary
       destination. If the secondary destination fails as well, it
        continues with the tertiary, etc.";
    }
    /*** Options type identities ***/
    identity optionsType {
     description "Base identity for report types exported with
        options. Identities derived from this base are used by the leaf
        /ipfix/exportingProcess/options/optionsType.";
    }
    identity meteringStatistics {
     base "optionsType";
     description "Metering Process Statistics.";
     reference "RFC 5101, Section 4.1.";
    identity meteringReliability {
     base "optionsType";
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 60]
```

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model March 2011
     description "Metering Process Reliability Statistics.";
     reference "RFC 5101, Section 4.2.";
   identity exportingReliability {
     base "optionsType";
     description "Exporting Process Reliability
       Statistics.";
     reference "RFC 5101, Section 4.3.";
   identity flowKeys {
     base "optionsType";
     description "Flow Keys.";
     reference "RFC 5101, Section 4.4.";
   }
   identity selectionSequence {
     base "optionsType";
     description "Selection Sequence and Selector Reports.";
     reference "RFC5476, Sections 6.5.1 and 6.5.2.";
   identity selectionStatistics {
     base "optionsType";
     description "Selection Sequence Statistics Report.";
     reference "RFC5476, Sections 6.5.3.";
   identity accuracy {
     base "optionsType";
     description "Accuracy Report.";
     reference "RFC5476, Section 6.5.4.";
   identity reducingRedundancy {
     base "optionsType";
     description "Enables the utilization of Options Templates to
       reduce redundancy in the exported Data Records.";
     reference "RFC5473.";
   }
   identity extendedTypeInformation {
     base "optionsType";
     description "Export of extended type information for
       enterprise-specific Information Elements used in the
       exported Templates.";
     reference "RFC5610.";
   }
   * Type definitions
   typedef ieNameType {
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 61]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                        March 2011
     type string {
        length "1..max";
       pattern "\S+";
     description "Type for Information Element names. Whitespaces
        are not allowed.";
    }
    typedef ieIdType {
     type uint16 {
        range "1..32767" {
         description "Valid range of Information Element
              identifiers.";
         reference "RFC5102, Section 4.";
        }
      }
     description "Type for Information Element identifiers.";
    }
    typedef nameType {
     type string {
       length "1..max";
       pattern "\S(.*\S)?";
      }
     description "Type for 'name' leafs which are used to identify
       specific instances within lists etc.
       Leading and trailing whitespaces are not allowed.";
    }
    typedef ifNameType {
     type string {
        length "1..255";
      }
     description "This corresponds to the DisplayString textual
       convention of SNMPv2-TC, which is used for ifName in the IF
       MIB module.";
     reference "RFC2863 (ifName).";
    }
    typedef direction {
     type enumeration {
        enum ingress {
         description "This value is used for monitoring incoming
           packets.";
        }
        enum egress {
         description "This value is used for monitoring outgoing
           packets.";
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 62]
```

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model March 2011
       }
       enum both {
        description "This value is used for monitoring incoming and
          outgoing packets.";
       1
     }
     description "Direction of packets going through an interface or
       linecard.";
   }
   typedef transportSessionStatus {
     type enumeration {
       enum inactive {
        description "This value MUST be used for Transport Sessions
          that are specified in the system but currently not active.
          The value can be used for Transport Sessions that are
          backup (secondary) sessions.";
       }
       enum active {
        description "This value MUST be used for Transport Sessions
          that are currently active and transmitting or receiving
          data.";
       }
       enum unknown {
        description "This value MUST be used if the status of the
          Transport Sessions cannot be detected by the device. This
          value should be avoided as far as possible.";
       }
     }
     description "Status of a Transport Session.";
     reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTransportSessionStatus).";
   }
   * Groupings
   grouping observationPointParameters {
     description "Interface as input to Observation Point.";
     leaf observationPointId {
       type uint32;
       config false;
       description "Observation Point ID (i.e., the value of the
         Information Element observationPointId) assigned by the
         Monitoring Device.";
       reference "RFC5102, Section 5.1.10.";
     leaf observationDomainId {
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 63]
```

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
        type uint32;
       mandatory true;
       description "The Observation Domain ID associates the
          Observation Point to an Observation Domain. Observation
          Points with identical Observation Domain ID belong to the
          same Observation Domain.
         Note that this parameter corresponds to
          ipfixObservationPointObservationDomainId in the IPFIX MIB
          module.";
        reference "RFC5101; RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixObservationPointObservationDomainId).";
      leaf-list ifName {
       type ifNameType;
       description "List of names identifying interfaces of the
          Monitoring Device. The Observation Point observes packets at
          the specified interfaces.";
      leaf-list ifIndex {
       type uint32;
       description "List of ifIndex values pointing to entries in the
          ifTable of the IF-MIB module maintained by the Monitoring
          Device. The Observation Point observes packets at the
          specified interfaces.
          This parameter SHOULD only be used if an SNMP agent enables
          access to the corresponding MIB objects in the ifTable.
         Note that this parameter corresponds to
          ipfixObservationPointPhysicalInterface in the IPFIX MIB
          module.";
       reference "RFC 1229; RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixObservationPointPhysicalInterface).";
      leaf-list entPhysicalName {
       type string;
       description "List of names identifying physical entities of the
          Monitoring Device. The Observation Point observes packets at
          the specified entities.";
      }
      leaf-list entPhysicalIndex {
        type uint32;
       description "List of entPhysicalIndex values pointing to entries
          in the entPhysicalTable of the ENTITY-MIB module maintained by
          the Monitoring Device. The Observation Point observes packets
          at the specified entities.
          This parameter SHOULD only be used if an SNMP agent enables
          access to the corresponding MIB objects in the
          entPhysicalTable.
         Note that this parameter corresponds to
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 64]
```

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
          ipfixObservationPointPhysicalEntity in the IPFIX MIB
          module.";
        reference "RFC 4133; RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixObservationPointPhysicalInterface).";
      leaf direction {
        type direction;
        default both;
        description "Direction of packets. If not applicable (e.g., in
          the case of a sniffing interface in promiscuous mode), this
         parameter is ignored.";
      }
    }
   grouping sampCountBasedParameters {
      description "Configuration parameters of a Selector applying
        systematic count-based packet sampling to the packet
        stream.";
      reference "RFC5475, Section 5.1; RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.1.";
      leaf packetInterval {
        type uint32;
        units packets;
       mandatory true;
       description "The number of packets that are consecutively
          sampled between gaps of length packetSpace.
          This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
          samplingPacketInterval.";
       reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.2.";
      leaf packetSpace {
        type uint32;
        units packets;
       mandatory true;
        description "The number of unsampled packets between two
          sampling intervals.
          This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
          samplingPacketSpace.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.3.";
      }
    }
   grouping sampTimeBasedParameters {
      description "Configuration parameters of a Selector applying
        systematic time-based packet sampling to the packet
        stream.";
      reference "RFC5475, Section 5.1; RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.2.";
      leaf timeInterval {
        type uint32;
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 65]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
        units microseconds;
        mandatory true;
        description "The time interval in microseconds during
          which all arriving packets are sampled between gaps
          of length timeSpace.
          This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
          samplingTimeInterval.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.4.";
      leaf timeSpace {
        type uint32;
        units microseconds;
       mandatory true;
       description "The time interval in microseconds during
          which no packets are sampled between two sampling
          intervals specified by timeInterval.
         This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
          samplingTimeInterval.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.5.";
      }
    }
   grouping sampUniProbParameters {
     description "Configuration parameters of a Selector applying
        uniform probabilistic packet sampling (with equal
       probability per packet) to the packet stream.";
      reference "RFC5475, Section 5.2.2.1;
       RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.4.";
      leaf probability {
        type decimal64 {
         fraction-digits 18;
          range "0..1";
        }
        mandatory true;
        description "Probability that a packet is sampled,
          expressed as a value between 0 and 1. The probability
          is equal for every packet.
         This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
          samplingProbability.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.8.";
      }
    }
   grouping filterMatchParameters {
      description "Configuration parameters of a Selector applying
       property match filtering to the packet stream.
        The field to be matched is specified as Information
        Element.";
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 66]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
      reference "RFC5475, Section 6.1; RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.5.";
      choice nameOrId {
        mandatory true;
        description "The field to be matched is specified by
          either the name or the ID of the Information
          Element.";
        leaf ieName {
          type ieNameType;
          description "Name of the Information Element.";
        leaf ieId {
          type ieIdType;
          description "ID of the Information Element.";
        }
      ļ
      leaf ieEnterpriseNumber {
        type uint32;
        default 0;
        description "If this parameter is zero, the Information
          Element is registered in the IANA registry of IPFIX
          Information Elements.
          If this parameter is configured with a non-zero private
          enterprise number, the Information Element is
          enterprise-specific.";
        reference "RFC5102.";
      }
      leaf value {
       type string;
       mandatory true;
       description "Matching value of the Information Element.";
      }
   }
   grouping filterHashParameters {
      description "Configuration parameters of a Selector applying
       hash-based filtering to the packet stream.";
      reference "RFC5475, Section 6.2; RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.6.";
      leaf hashFunction {
        type identityref {
         base "hashFunction";
        }
       default BOB;
        description "Hash function to be applied. According to
          RFC5475, Section 6.2.4.1, 'BOB' must be used in order to
         be compliant with PSAMP.";
      }
      leaf initializerValue {
        type uint64;
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 67]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
        description "Initializer value to the hash function.
          If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device
          arbitrarily chooses an initializer value.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.9.";
      leaf ipPayloadOffset {
        type uint64;
        units octets;
        default 0;
        description "IP payload offset indicating the position of
          the first payload byte considered as input to the hash
          function.
         Default value 0 corresponds to the minimum offset that
         must be configurable according to RFC5476, Section
          6.2.5.6.
         This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
         hashIPPayloadOffset.";
       reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.2.";
      leaf ipPayloadSize {
        type uint64;
        units octets;
        default 8;
        description "Number of IP payload bytes used as input to
          the hash function, counted from the payload offset.
          If the IP payload is shorter than the payload range,
          all available payload octets are used as input.
          Default value 8 corresponds to the minimum IP payload
          size that must be configurable according to RFC5476,
          Section 6.2.5.6.
          This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
          hashIPPayloadSize.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.3.";
      leaf digestOutput {
        type boolean;
        default false;
        description "If true, the output from this Selector is
          included in the Packet Report as a packet digest.
         Therefore, the configured Cache Layout needs to contain
          a digestHashValue field.
          This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
          hashDigestOutput.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.8.";
      }
      leaf outputRangeMin {
        type uint64;
        config false;
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 68]

```
description "Beginning of the hash function's potential
      range.
      This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
      hashOutputRangeMin.";
    reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.4.";
  leaf outputRangeMax {
    type uint64;
    config false;
    description "End of the hash function's potential range.
      This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
      hashOutputRangeMax.";
    reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.5.";
  list selectedRange {
   key name;
   min-elements 1;
    description "List of hash function return ranges for
      which packets are selected.";
    leaf name {
      type nameType;
      description "Key of this list.";
    }
    leaf min {
      type uint64;
      description "Beginning of the hash function's selected
        range.
        This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
        hashSelectedRangeMin.";
      reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.6.";
    leaf max {
      type uint64;
      description "End of the hash function's selected range.
        This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
        hashSelectedRangeMax.";
      reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.7.";
    }
  }
}
grouping sampRandOutOfNParameters {
  description "Configuration parameters of a Selector applying
    n-out-of-N packet sampling to the packet stream.";
  reference "RFC5475, Section 5.2.1; RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.3.";
  leaf size {
    type uint32;
    units packets;
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 69]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
        mandatory true;
        description "The number of elements taken from the parent
          population.
          This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
          samplingSize.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.6.";
      leaf population {
        type uint32;
        units packets;
       mandatory true;
       description "The number of elements in the parent
          population.
         This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
         samplingPopulation.";
        reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.7.";
      }
   }
   grouping selectorParameters {
      description "Configuration and state parameters of a Selector.";
      choice Method {
       mandatory true;
        description "Packet selection method applied by the Selector.";
        leaf selectAll {
         type empty;
         description "Method which selects all packets.";
        container sampCountBased {
          if-feature psampSampCountBased;
         description "Systematic count-based packet sampling.";
          uses sampCountBasedParameters;
        }
        container sampTimeBased {
          if-feature psampSampTimeBased;
         description "Systematic time-based packet sampling.";
         uses sampTimeBasedParameters;
        }
        container sampRandOutOfN {
          if-feature psampSampRandOutOfN;
         description "n-out-of-N packet sampling.";
         uses sampRandOutOfNParameters;
        }
        container sampUniProb {
          if-feature psampSampUniProb;
         description "Uniform probabilistic packet sampling.";
         uses sampUniProbParameters;
        }
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 70]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
        container filterMatch {
          if-feature psampFilterMatch;
          description "Property match filtering.";
          uses filterMatchParameters;
        container filterHash {
          if-feature psampFilterHash;
          description "Hash-based filtering.";
          uses filterHashParameters;
        }
      }
      leaf packetsObserved {
        type yang:counter64;
        config false;
        description "The number of packets observed at the input of
          the Selector.
          If this is the first Selector in the Selection Process,
          this counter corresponds to the total number of packets in
          all Observed Packet Streams at the input of the Selection
          Process. Otherwise, the counter corresponds to the total
         number of packets at the output of the preceding Selector.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          selectorDiscontinuityTime.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixSelectorStatsPacketsObserved).";
      leaf packetsDropped {
        type yang:counter64;
        config false;
        description "The total number of packets discarded by the
          Selector.
          Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          selectorDiscontinuityTime.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixSelectorStatsPacketsDropped).";
      ļ
      leaf selectorDiscontinuityTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        config false;
        description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
          one or more of the Selector counters suffered a
          discontinuity.
          In contrast to ipfixSelectionProcessStatsDiscontinuityTime
          in the IPFIX MIB module, the time is absolute and not
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 71]
```

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
          relative to sysUpTime.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixSelectionProcessStatsDiscontinuityTime).";
      }
    }
   grouping cacheLayoutParameters {
     description "Cache Layout parameters used by immediateCache,
        timeoutCache, naturalCache, and permanentCache.";
      container cacheLayout {
        description "Cache Layout parameters.";
        list cacheField {
         key name;
         min-elements 1;
         description "Superset of fields that are included in the
            Packet Reports or Flow Records generated by the Cache.";
          leaf name {
            type nameType;
            description "Key of this list.";
          }
          choice nameOrId {
           mandatory true;
           description "Name or ID of the Information Element.";
           reference "RFC5102.";
            leaf ieName {
              type ieNameType;
              description "Name of the Information Element.";
            leaf ieId {
              type ieIdType;
              description "ID of the Information Element.";
            }
          }
          leaf ieLength {
            type uint16;
            units octets;
            description "Length of the field in which the Information
              Element is encoded. A value of 65535 specifies a
              variable-length Information Element. For Information
              Elements of integer and float type, the field length MAY
              be set to a smaller value than the standard length of the
              abstract data type if the rules of reduced size encoding
              are fulfilled.
              If not configured by the user, this parameter is set by
              the Monitoring Device.";
            reference "RFC5101, Section 6.2; RFC5102.";
          leaf ieEnterpriseNumber {
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 72]
```

```
type uint32;
        default 0;
        description "If this parameter is zero, the Information
          Element is registered in the IANA registry of IPFIX
          Information Elements.
          If this parameter is configured with a non-zero private
          enterprise number, the Information Element is
          enterprise-specific.
          If the enterprise number is set to 29305, this field
          contains a Reverse Information Element. In this case,
          the Cache MUST generate Data Records in accordance to
          RFC5103.";
        reference "RFC5101; RFC5102; RFC5103.";
      leaf isFlowKey {
        when "(name(../..) != 'immediateCache')
          and
          ((count(../ieEnterpriseNumber) = 0)
          or
          (../ieEnterpriseNumber != 29305))" {
          description "This parameter is not available for
            Reverse Information Elements (which have enterprise
            number 29305) or if the Cache Mode is 'immediate'.";
        }
        type empty;
        description "If present, this is a flow key.";
     }
   }
  }
}
grouping flowCacheParameters {
  description "Configuration and state parameters of a Cache
    generating Flow Records.";
  leaf maxFlows {
    type uint32;
    units flows;
    description "This parameter configures the maximum number of
      Flows in the Cache, which is the maximum number of Flows
      that can be measured simultaneously.
      The Monitoring Device MUST ensure that sufficient resources
      are available to store the configured maximum number of
      Flows.
      If the maximum number of Flows is measured, no additional
      Flows can be measured before any of the existing entries is
      removed. However, traffic which pertains to existing Flows
      can continue to be measured.";
  }
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 73]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                      March 2011
     (name(..) = 'naturalCache')" {
         description "This parameter is only available for
           timeoutCache and naturalCache.";
        }
       type uint32;
       units seconds;
       description "This parameter configures the time in
         seconds after which a Flow is expired even though packets
         matching this Flow are still received by the Cache.
         The parameter value zero indicates infinity, meaning that
         there is no active timeout.
         If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device sets
         this parameter.
         Note that this parameter corresponds to
         ipfixMeteringProcessCacheActiveTimeout in the IPFIX
         MIB module.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8
         (ipfixMeteringProcessCacheActiveTimeout).";
     leaf inactiveTimeout {
       when "(name(..) = 'timeoutCache') or
         (name(..) = 'naturalCache')" {
         description "This parameter is only available for
           timeoutCache and naturalCache.";
        }
       type uint32;
       units seconds;
       description "This parameter configures the time in
         seconds after which a Flow is expired if no more packets
         matching this Flow are received by the Cache.
         The parameter value zero indicates infinity, meaning that
         there is no inactive timeout.
         If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device sets
         this parameter.
         Note that this parameter corresponds to
         ipfixMeteringProcessCacheInactiveTimeout in the IPFIX
         MIB module.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8
         (ipfixMeteringProcessCacheInactiveTimeout).";
     leaf exportInterval {
       when "name(..) = 'permanentCache'" {
         description "This parameter is only available for
           permanentCache.";
        }
       type uint32;
```

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
        units seconds;
        description "This parameter configures the interval (in seconds)
          for periodical export of Flow Records.
          If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device sets
          this parameter.";
      leaf activeFlows {
       type yang:gauge32;
       units flows;
        config false;
       description "The number of Flows currently active in this
          Cache.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixMeteringProcessCacheActiveFlows).";
      ļ
      leaf unusedCacheEntries {
        type yang:gauge32;
       units flows;
        config false;
       description "The number of unused Cache entries in this
         Cache.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixMeteringProcessCacheUnusedCacheEntries).";
      }
    }
   grouping exportingProcessParameters {
      description "Parameters of an Exporting Process.";
      leaf exportMode {
        type identityref {
         base "exportMode";
       default parallel;
       description "This parameter determines to which configured
         destination(s) the incoming Data Records are exported.";
      list destination {
       key name;
       min-elements 1;
       description "List of export destinations.";
        leaf name {
          type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
        }
        choice DestinationParameters {
         mandatory true;
          description "Configuration parameters depend on whether
            SCTP, UDP, or TCP are used as transport protocol, and
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 75]
```

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                              March 2011
Internet-Draft
            whether the destination is a file.";
          container sctpExporter {
            description "SCTP parameters.";
            uses sctpExporterParameters;
          container udpExporter {
            if-feature udpTransport;
            description "UDP parameters.";
            uses udpExporterParameters;
          }
          container tcpExporter {
            if-feature tcpTransport;
            description "TCP parameters.";
            uses tcpExporterParameters;
          }
          container fileWriter {
            if-feature fileWriter;
            description "File Writer parameters.";
            uses fileWriterParameters;
          }
        }
      list options {
       key name;
        description "List of options reported by the Exporting
         Process.";
        leaf name {
         type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
        }
        uses optionsParameters;
      }
   }
   grouping commonExporterParameters {
      description "Parameters of en export destination which are
       common to all transport protocols.";
      leaf ipfixVersion {
        type uint16;
        default 10;
       description "IPFIX version number.";
       reference "RFC 5101.";
      }
      leaf destinationPort {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "If not configured by the user, the Monitoring
          Device uses the default port number for IPFIX, which is
          4739 without transport layer security and 4740 if transport
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 76]
```

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
          layer security is activated.";
      }
     choice indexOrName {
        description "Index or name of the interface as stored in the
          ifTable of IF-MIB.
          If configured, the Exporting Process MUST use the given
          interface to export IPFIX Messages to the export
          destination.
          If omitted, the Exporting Process selects the outgoing
          interface based on local routing decision and accepts
         return traffic, such as transport layer acknowledgments,
          on all available interfaces.";
        reference "RFC 1229.";
        leaf ifIndex {
          type uint32;
         description "Index of an interface as stored in the ifTable
            of IF-MIB.";
         reference "RFC 1229.";
        leaf ifName {
          type string;
          description "Name of an interface as stored in the ifTable
           of IF-MIB.";
         reference "RFC 1229.";
        }
      leaf sendBufferSize {
        type uint32;
        units bytes;
       description "Size of the socket send buffer.
          If not configured by the user, this parameter is set by
          the Monitoring Device.";
      ļ
      leaf rateLimit {
        type uint32;
        units "bytes per second";
        description "Maximum number of bytes per second the Exporting
          Process may export to the given destination. The number of
         bytes is calculated from the lengths of the IPFIX Messages
          exported. If not configured, no rate limiting is performed.";
       reference "RFC5476, Section 6.3.";
      }
     container transportLayerSecurity {
       presence "If transportLayerSecurity is present, DTLS is
          enabled if the transport protocol is SCTP or UDP, and TLS
          is enabled if the transport protocol is TCP.";
        description "Transport layer security configuration.";
        uses transportLayerSecurityParameters;
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 77]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                        March 2011
Internet-Draft
      }
     container transportSession {
       config false;
       description "State parameters of the Transport Session
          directed to the given destination.";
       uses transportSessionParameters;
      }
   }
   grouping sctpExporterParameters {
     description "SCTP specific export destination parameters.";
     uses commonExporterParameters;
     leaf-list sourceIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
       description "List of source IP addresses used by the
          Exporting Process.
          If configured, the specified addresses are eligible local
          IP addresses of the multi-homed SCTP endpoint.
          If not configured, all locally assigned IP addresses are
          eligible local IP addresses.";
       reference "RFC 4960, Section 6.4.";
      leaf-list destinationIPAddress {
       type inet:ip-address;
       min-elements 1;
       description "One or multiple IP addresses of the Collecting
          Process to which IPFIX Messages are sent.
          The user MUST ensure that all configured IP addresses
          belong to the same Collecting Process.
          The Exporting Process tries to establish an SCTP
          association to any of the configured destination IP
          addresses.";
       reference "RFC 4960, Section 6.4.";
      leaf timedReliability {
       type uint32;
       units milliseconds;
       default 0;
       description "Lifetime in milliseconds until an IPFIX
          Message containing Data Sets only is 'abandoned' due to
          the timed reliability mechanism of PR-SCTP.
          If this parameter is set to zero, reliable SCTP
          transport is used for all Data Records.
          Regardless of the value of this parameter, the Exporting
          Process MAY use reliable SCTP transport for Data Sets
          associated with Options Templates.";
       reference "RFC 3758; RFC 4960.";
      }
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 78]
```
}
grouping udpExporterParameters {
  description "Parameters of a UDP export destination.";
  uses commonExporterParameters;
  leaf sourceIPAddress {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description "Source IP address used by the Exporting Process.
    If not configured, the IP address assigned to the outgoing
    interface is used as source IP address.";
  leaf destinationIPAddress {
    type inet:ip-address;
   mandatory true;
   description "IP address of the Collection Process to which
      IPFIX Messages are sent.";
  }
  leaf maxPacketSize {
    type uint16;
    units octets;
    description "This parameter specifies the maximum size of
      IP packets sent to the Collector. If set to zero, the
      Exporting Device MUST derive the maximum packet size
      from path MTU discovery mechanisms.
      If not configured by the user, this parameter is set by
      the Monitoring Device.";
  leaf templateRefreshTimeout {
    type uint32;
    units seconds;
    default 600;
    description "Sets time after which Templates are resent in the
      UDP Transport Session.
      Note that the configured lifetime MUST be adapted to the
      templateLifeTime parameter value at the receiving Collecting
      Process.
      Note that this parameter corresponds to
      ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout in the IPFIX
      MIB module.";
    reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.6; RFC5815, Section 8
      (ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout).";
  }
  leaf optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout {
    type uint32;
    units seconds;
    default 600;
    description "Sets time after which Options Templates are
      resent in the UDP Transport Session.
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 79]

```
Note that the configured lifetime MUST be adapted to the
      optionsTemplateLifeTime parameter value at the receiving
      Collecting Process.
      Note that this parameter corresponds to
      ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout in the
      IPFIX MIB module.";
    reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.6; RFC5815, Section 8
      (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout).";
  leaf templateRefreshPacket {
    type uint32;
    units "IPFIX Messages";
    description "Sets number of IPFIX Messages after which
      Templates are resent in the UDP Transport Session.
     Note that this parameter corresponds to
      ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket in the IPFIX
     MIB module.
      If omitted, Templates are only resent after timeout.";
    reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.6; RFC5815, Section 8
      (ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket).";
  leaf optionsTemplateRefreshPacket {
    type uint32;
    units "IPFIX Messages";
    description "Sets number of IPFIX Messages after which
     Options Templates are resent in the UDP Transport Session
     protocol.
      Note that this parameter corresponds to
      ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket in the
      IPFIX MIB module.
      If omitted, Templates are only resent after timeout.";
    reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.6; RFC5815, Section 8
      (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket).";
  }
}
grouping tcpExporterParameters {
 description "Parameters of a TCP export destination.";
  uses commonExporterParameters;
  leaf sourceIPAddress {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description "Source IP address used by the Exporting Process.
      If not configured by the user, this parameter is set by
      the Monitoring Device to an IP address assigned to the
      outgoing interface.";
  }
  leaf destinationIPAddress {
    type inet:ip-address;
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 80]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
        mandatory true;
        description "IP address of the Collection Process to which
          IPFIX Messages are sent.";
      }
    }
   grouping fileWriterParameters {
      description "File Writer parameters.";
      leaf ipfixVersion {
        type uint16;
       default 10;
       description "IPFIX version number.";
       reference "RFC 5101.";
      ļ
      leaf file {
        type inet:uri;
       mandatory true;
       description "URI specifying the location of the file.";
      leaf bytes {
        type yang:counter64;
        units octets;
        config false;
       description "The number of bytes written by the File Writer.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
         fileWriterDiscontinuityTime.";
      }
      leaf messages {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        config false;
        description "The number of IPFIX Messages written by the File
          Writer.
          Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
          re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          fileWriterDiscontinuityTime.";
      ļ
      leaf discardedMessages {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        config false;
        description "The number of IPFIX Messages that could not be
          written by the File Writer due to internal buffer
          overflows, limited storage capacity etc.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 81]
```

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
          re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          fileWriterDiscontinuityTime.";
      leaf records {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "Data Records";
        config false;
        description "The number of Data Records written by the File
          Writer.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          fileWriterDiscontinuityTime.";
      leaf templates {
        type yang:counter32;
        units "Templates";
        config false;
        description "The number of Template Records (excluding
          Options Template Records) written by the File Writer.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          fileWriterDiscontinuityTime.";
      leaf optionsTemplates {
       type yang:counter32;
        units "Options Templates";
        config false;
        description "The number of Options Template Records written
          by the File Writer.
          Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
          re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          fileWriterDiscontinuityTime.";
      }
      leaf fileWriterDiscontinuityTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        config false;
        description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
          one or more File Writer counters suffered a discontinuity.
          In contrast to discontinuity times in the IPFIX MIB module,
          the time is absolute and not relative to sysUpTime.";
      }
      list template {
        config false;
        description "This list contains the Templates and Options
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 82]
```

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                       March 2011
          Templates that have been written by the File Reader.
          Withdrawn or invalidated (Options) Template MUST be removed
          from this list.";
        uses templateParameters;
      }
   }
   grouping optionsParameters {
     description "Parameters specifying the data export using an
        Options Template.";
      leaf optionsType {
        type identityref {
         base "optionsType";
        }
       mandatory true;
       description "Type of the exported options data.";
      }
      leaf optionsTimeout {
        type uint32;
        units milliseconds;
        description "Time interval for periodic export of the options
         data. If set to zero, the export is triggered when the
          options data has changed.
          If not configured by the user, this parameter is set by the
         Monitoring Device.";
     }
    }
   grouping collectingProcessParameters {
     description "Parameters of a Collecting Process.";
     list sctpCollector {
        key name;
        description "List of SCTP receivers (sockets) on which the
         Collecting Process receives IPFIX Messages.";
        leaf name {
         type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
        }
       uses sctpCollectorParameters;
      list udpCollector {
        if-feature udpTransport;
       key name;
        description "List of UDP receivers (sockets) on which the
          Collecting Process receives IPFIX Messages.";
        leaf name {
          type nameType;
          description "Key of this list.";
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 83]
```

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
        }
        uses udpCollectorParameters;
      list tcpCollector {
        if-feature tcpTransport;
        key name;
        description "List of TCP receivers (sockets) on which the
          Collecting Process receives IPFIX Messages.";
        leaf name {
          type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
        }
        uses tcpCollectorParameters;
      ļ
     list fileReader {
        if-feature fileReader;
       key name;
        description "List of File Readers from which the Collecting
         Process reads IPFIX Messages.";
        leaf name {
         type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
        }
        uses fileReaderParameters;
      }
    }
   grouping commonCollectorParameters {
     description "Parameters of a Collecting Process which are
        common to all transport protocols.";
     leaf localPort {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "If not configured, the Monitoring Device uses the
         default port number for IPFIX, which is 4739 without
          transport layer security and 4740 if transport layer
          security is activated.";
      }
     container transportLayerSecurity {
       presence "If transportLayerSecurity is present, DTLS is enabled
          if the transport protocol is SCTP or UDP, and TLS is enabled
          if the transport protocol is TCP.";
        description "Transport layer security configuration.";
        uses transportLayerSecurityParameters;
      }
      list transportSession {
        config false;
        description "This list contains the currently established
          Transport Sessions terminating at the given socket.";
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 84]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
        uses transportSessionParameters;
      }
    }
   grouping sctpCollectorParameters {
     description "Parameters of a listening SCTP socket at a
        Collecting Process.";
     uses commonCollectorParameters;
     leaf-list localIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "List of local IP addresses on which the
          Collecting Process listens for IPFIX Messages. The IP
          addresses are used as eligible local IP addresses of the
         multi-homed SCTP endpoint.";
        reference "RFC 4960, Section 6.4.";
     }
    }
   grouping udpCollectorParameters {
     description "Parameters of a listening UDP socket at a
        Collecting Process.";
     uses commonCollectorParameters;
      leaf-list localIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "List of local IP addresses on which the Collecting
         Process listens for IPFIX Messages.";
      leaf templateLifeTime {
        type uint32;
        units seconds;
        default 1800;
        description "Sets the lifetime of Templates for all UDP
          Transport Sessions terminating at this UDP socket.
          Templates which are not received again within the configured
          lifetime become invalid at the Collecting Process.
          As specified in RFC5101, the Template lifetime MUST be at
          least three times higher than the templateRefreshTimeout
         parameter value configured on the corresponding Exporting
         Processes.
         Note that this parameter corresponds to
          ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout in the IPFIX
         MIB module.";
        reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.7; RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout).";
      }
      leaf optionsTemplateLifeTime {
        type uint32;
        units seconds;
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 85]
```

```
default 1800;
    description "Sets the lifetime of Options Templates for all
      UDP Transport Sessions terminating at this UDP socket.
      Options Templates which are not received again within the
      configured lifetime become invalid at the Collecting
      Process.
      As specified in RFC5101, the Options Template lifetime MUST
      be at least three times higher than the
      optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout parameter value configured on
      the corresponding Exporting Processes.
     Note that this parameter corresponds to
      ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout in the
      IPFIX MIB module.";
    reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.7; RFC5815, Section 8
      (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout).";
  leaf templateLifePacket {
    type uint32;
    units "IPFIX Messages";
    description "If this parameter is configured, Templates
      defined in a UDP Transport Session become invalid if they
      are neither included in a sequence of more than this number
      of IPFIX Messages nor received again within the period of
      time specified by templateLifeTime.
     Note that this parameter corresponds to
      ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket in the IPFIX
     MIB module.";
    reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.7; RFC5815, Section 8
      (ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket).";
  leaf optionsTemplateLifePacket {
    type uint32;
    units "IPFIX Messages";
    description "If this parameter is configured, Options
      Templates defined in a UDP Transport Session become
      invalid if they are neither included in a sequence of more
      than this number of IPFIX Messages nor received again
      within the period of time specified by
      optionsTemplateLifeTime.
     Note that this parameter corresponds to
      ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket in the
      IPFIX MIB module.";
    reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.7; RFC5815, Section 8
      (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket).";
  }
grouping tcpCollectorParameters {
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 86]

}

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                        March 2011
     description "Parameters of a listening TCP socket at a
        Collecting Process.";
     uses commonCollectorParameters;
     leaf-list localIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "List of local IP addresses on which the Collecting
          Process listens for IPFIX Messages.";
      }
    }
   grouping fileReaderParameters {
     description "File Reader parameters.";
      leaf file {
        type inet:uri;
       mandatory true;
       description "URI specifying the location of the file.";
      }
      leaf bytes {
        type yang:counter64;
        units octets;
        config false;
        description "The number of bytes read by the File Reader.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          fileReaderDiscontinuityTime.";
      leaf messages {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        config false;
       description "The number of IPFIX Messages read by the File
         Reader.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          fileReaderDiscontinuityTime.";
      }
     leaf records {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "Data Records";
        config false;
        description "The number of Data Records read by the File
          Reader.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
          re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          fileReaderDiscontinuityTime.";
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 87]
```

```
leaf templates {
    type yang:counter32;
    units "Templates";
    config false;
    description "The number of Template Records (excluding
      Options Template Records) read by the File Reader.
      Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
      re-initialization of the management system, and at other
      times as indicated by the value of
      fileReaderDiscontinuityTime.";
  }
  leaf optionsTemplates {
    type yang:counter32;
   units "Options Templates";
    config false;
    description "The number of Options Template Records read by
      the File Reader.
      Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
      re-initialization of the management system, and at other
      times as indicated by the value of
      fileReaderDiscontinuityTime.";
  }
  leaf fileReaderDiscontinuityTime {
    type yang:date-and-time;
    config false;
   description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
      one or more File Reader counters suffered a discontinuity.
      In contrast to discontinuity times in the IPFIX MIB module,
      the time is absolute and not relative to sysUpTime.";
  list template {
    config false;
    description "This list contains the Templates and Options
      Templates that have been read by the File Reader.
      Withdrawn or invalidated (Options) Template MUST be removed
      from this list.";
    uses templateParameters;
  }
}
grouping transportLayerSecurityParameters {
  description "Transport layer security parameters.";
  leaf-list localCertificationAuthorityDN {
    type string;
    description "Distinguished names of certification authorities
      whose certificates may be used to identify the local
      endpoint.";
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 88]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
        reference "RFC5280.";
      leaf-list localSubjectDN {
        type string;
        description "Distinguished names which may be used in the
          certificates to identify the local endpoint.";
        reference "RFC5280.";
      leaf-list localSubjectFQDN {
        type inet:domain-name;
        description "Fully qualified domain names which may be used to
          in the certificates to identify the local endpoint.";
        reference "RFC5280.";
      leaf-list remoteCertificationAuthorityDN {
        type string;
        description "Distinguished names of certification authorities
          whose certificates are accepted to authorize remote
          endpoints.";
        reference "RFC5280.";
      leaf-list remoteSubjectDN {
        type string;
        description "Distinguished names which are accepted in
          certificates to authorize remote endpoints.";
       reference "RFC5280.";
      leaf-list remoteSubjectFQDN {
        type inet:domain-name;
        description "Fully qualified domain name which are accepted in
          certificates to authorize remote endpoints.";
        reference "RFC5280.";
      }
    }
   grouping templateParameters {
     description "State parameters of a Template used by an Exporting
        Process or received by a Collecting Process in a specific
        Transport Session. Parameter names and semantics correspond to
        the managed objects in IPFIX-MIB";
     reference "RFC5101; RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateEntry,
        ipfixTemplateDefinitionEntry, ipfixTemplateStatsEntry)";
      leaf observationDomainId {
        type uint32;
        description "The ID of the Observation Domain for which this
          Template is defined.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTemplateObservationDomainId).";
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 89]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
      leaf templateId {
       type uint16 {
         range "256..65535" {
            description "Valid range of Template IDs.";
            reference "RFC5101";
        }
       description "This number indicates the Template Id in the IPFIX
          message.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateId).";
      leaf setId {
       type uint16;
       description "This number indicates the Set ID of the Template.
          Currently, there are two values defined. The value 2 is used
          for Sets containing Template definitions. The value 3 is
          used for Sets containing Options Template definitions.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateSetId).";
      leaf accessTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
       description "Used for Exporting Processes, this parameter
          contains the time when this (Options) Template was last
          sent to the Collector(s) or written to the file.
          Used for Collecting Processes, this parameter contains the
          time when this (Options) Template was last received from the
          Exporter or read from the file.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateAccessTime).";
      leaf templateDataRecords {
       type yang:counter64;
       description "The number of transmitted or received Data
         Records defined by this (Options) Template.
          Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
          re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          templateDiscontinuityTime.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateDataRecords).";
      ļ
      leaf templateDiscontinuityTime {
       type yang:date-and-time;
       description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
          the counter templateDataRecords suffered a discontinuity.
          In contrast to ipfixTemplateDiscontinuityTime in the IPFIX
         MIB module, the time is absolute and not relative to
          sysUpTime.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8
```

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                        March 2011
          (ipfixTemplateDiscontinuityTime).";
      list field {
        description "This list contains the (Options) Template
          fields of which the (Options) Template is defined.
          The order of the list corresponds to the order of the fields
          in the (Option) Template Record.";
        leaf ieId {
          type ieIdType;
          description "This parameter indicates the Information
            Element Id of the field.";
         reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeId);
           RFC5102.";
        ļ
        leaf ieLength {
          type uint16;
         units octets;
         description "This parameter indicates the length of the
            Information Element of the field.";
          reference "RFC5815, Section 8
            (ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeLength); RFC5102.";
        leaf ieEnterpriseNumber {
          type uint32;
          description "This parameter indicates the IANA enterprise
           number of the authority defining the Information Element
            Td.
            If the Information Element is not enterprise-specific,
            this state parameter is zero.";
         reference "RFC5815, Section 8
            (ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeEnterpriseNumber).";
        leaf isFlowKey {
          when "../../setId = 2" {
           description "This parameter is available for non-Options
              Templates (Set ID is 2).";
          }
          type empty;
         description "If present, this is a Flow Key field.";
         reference "RFC5815, Section 8
            (ipfixTemplateDefinitionFlags).";
        }
        leaf isScope {
          when "../../setId = 3" {
           description "This parameter is available for Options
             Templates (Set ID is 3).";
          type empty;
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 91]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
          description "If present, this is a scope field.";
          reference "RFC5815, Section 8
            (ipfixTemplateDefinitionFlags).";
      }
   }
   grouping transportSessionParameters {
     description "State parameters of a Transport Session originating
        from an Exporting or terminating at a Collecting Process.
        Parameter names and semantics correspond to the managed
        objects in IPFIX-MIB.";
     reference "RFC5101; RFC5815, Section 8
        (ipfixTransportSessionEntry,
         ipfixTransportSessionStatsEntry).";
      leaf ipfixVersion {
        type uint16;
        description "Used for Exporting Processes, this parameter
          contains the version number of the IPFIX protocol that the
          Exporter uses to export its data in this Transport Session.
          Hence, it is identical to the value of the configuration
          parameter ipfixVersion of the outer SctpExporter,
          UdpExporter, or TcpExporter node.
          Used for Collecting Processes, this parameter contains the
          version number of the IPFIX protocol it receives for
          this Transport Session. If IPFIX Messages of different
          IPFIX protocol versions are received, this parameter
          contains the maximum version number.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionIpfixVersion).";
      leaf sourceAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "The source address of the Exporter of the
          IPFIX Transport Session.
          If the transport protocol is SCTP, this is one of the
          potentially many IP addresses of the Exporter.
          Preferably, the source IP address of the path which is
          usually selected by the Exporter to send IPFIX Messages to
          the Collector SHOULD be used.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionSourceAddressType,
          ipfixTransportSessionSourceAddress);
         RFC4960, Section 6.4.";
      }
      leaf destinationAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "The destination address of the Collector of
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 92]
```

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
          the IPFIX Transport Session.
          If the transport protocol is SCTP, this is one of the
          potentially many IP addresses of the Collector.
          Preferably, the destination IP address of the path which is
          usually selected by the Exporter to send IPFIX Messages to
          the Collector SHOULD be used.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionDestinationAddressType,
          ipfixTransportSessionDestinationAddress);
         RFC4960, Section 6.4.";
      }
      leaf sourcePort {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "The transport protocol port number of the
          Exporter of the IPFIX Transport Session.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionSourcePort).";
      leaf destinationPort {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "The transport protocol port number of the
         Collector of the IPFIX Transport Session.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionDestinationPort).";
      leaf sctpAssocId {
        type uint32;
        description "The association id used for the SCTP session
         between the Exporter and the Collector of the IPFIX
          Transport Session. It is equal to the sctpAssocId entry
          in the sctpAssocTable defined in the SCTP-MIB.
          This parameter is only available if the transport protocol
          is SCTP and if an SNMP agent on the same Monitoring Device
          enables access to the corresponding MIB objects in the
          sctpAssocTable.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionSctpAssocId);
         RFC3871";
      }
      leaf status {
        type transportSessionStatus;
        description "Status of the Transport Session.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTransportSessionStatus).";
      }
      leaf rate {
        type yang:gauge32;
        units "bytes per second";
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 93]
```

description "The number of bytes per second transmitted by the

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
          Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process.
          This parameter is updated every second.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTransportSessionRate).";
      leaf bytes {
       type yang:counter64;
       units bytes;
       description "The number of bytes transmitted by the
          Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process.
         Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          transportSessionDiscontinuityTime.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTransportSessionBytes).";
      leaf messages {
       type yang:counter64;
       units "IPFIX Messages";
       description "The number of messages transmitted by the
          Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process.
          Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
          re-initialization of the management system, and at other
         times as indicated by the value of
          transportSessionDiscontinuityTime.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionMessages).";
      leaf discardedMessages {
       type yang:counter64;
       units "IPFIX Messages";
       description "Used for Exporting Processes, this parameter
          indicates the number of messages that could not be sent due
          to internal buffer overflows, network congestion, routing
          issues, etc. Used for Collecting Process, this parameter
          indicates the number of received IPFIX Message that are
         malformed, cannot be decoded, are received in the wrong
          order or are missing according to the sequence number.
          Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
         re-initialization of the management system, and at other
          times as indicated by the value of
          transportSessionDiscontinuityTime.";
       reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionDiscardedMessages).";
      }
      leaf records {
       type yang:counter64;
       units "Data Records";
       description "The number of Data Records transmitted by the
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 94]
```

```
March 2011
```

```
Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process.
   Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
   re-initialization of the management system, and at other
    times as indicated by the value of
    transportSessionDiscontinuityTime.";
  reference "RFC5815, Section 8
    (ipfixTransportSessionRecords).";
leaf templates {
 type yang:counter32;
 units "Templates";
 description "The number of Templates transmitted by the
   Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process.
   Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
   re-initialization of the management system, and at other
   times as indicated by the value of
   transportSessionDiscontinuityTime.";
 reference "RFC5815, Section 8
    (ipfixTransportSessionTemplates).";
leaf optionsTemplates {
 type yang:counter32;
 units "Options Templates";
 description "The number of Option Templates transmitted by the
   Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process.
   Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
   re-initialization of the management system, and at other
   times as indicated by the value of
   transportSessionDiscontinuityTime.";
 reference "RFC5815, Section 8
    (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplates).";
leaf transportSessionStartTime {
 type yang:date-and-time;
 description "Timestamp of the start of the given Transport
    Session.
   This state parameter does not correspond to any object in
   the IPFIX MIB module.";
ļ
leaf transportSessionDiscontinuityTime {
 type yang:date-and-time;
 description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
   one or more of the Transport Session counters suffered a
   discontinuity.
   In contrast to ipfixTransportSessionDiscontinuityTime
   in the IPFIX MIB module, the time is absolute and not
    relative to sysUpTime.";
 reference "RFC5815, Section 8
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 95]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model March 2011
         (ipfixTransportSessionDiscontinuityTime).";
     list template {
       description "This list contains the Templates and Options
         Templates that are transmitted by the Exporting Process
         or received by the Collecting Process.
         Withdrawn or invalidated (Options) Template MUST be removed
         from this list.";
       uses templateParameters;
     }
   }
    * Main container
   container ipfix {
     description "Top-level node of the IPFIX/PSAMP configuration
       data model.";
     list collectingProcess {
       if-feature collector;
       key name;
       description "Collecting Process of the Monitoring Device.";
       leaf name {
         type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
       }
       uses collectingProcessParameters;
       leaf-list exportingProcess {
         if-feature exporter;
         type leafref { path "/ipfix/exportingProcess/name"; }
description "Export of received records without any
           modifications. Records are processed by all Exporting
           Processes in the list.";
       }
     }
     list observationPoint {
       if-feature meter;
       key name;
       description "Observation Point of the Monitoring Device.";
       leaf name {
         type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
       }
       uses observationPointParameters;
       leaf-list selectionProcess {
         type leafref { path "/ipfix/selectionProcess/name"; }
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 96]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
          description "Selection Processes in this list process packets
            in parallel.";
        }
      }
      list selectionProcess {
        if-feature meter;
        key name;
        description "Selection Process of the Monitoring Device.";
        leaf name {
          type nameType;
          description "Key of this list.";
        list selector {
         key name;
         min-elements 1;
         ordered-by user;
         description "List of Selectors that define the action of the
            Selection Process on a single packet. The Selectors are
            serially invoked in the same order as they appear in this
            list.";
          leaf name {
            type nameType;
            description "Key of this list.";
          }
         uses selectorParameters;
        list selectionSequence {
          config false;
          description "This list contains the Selection Sequence IDs
            which are assigned by the Monitoring Device to distinguish
            different Selection Sequences passing through the
            Selection Process.
            As Selection Sequence IDs are unique per Observation
            Domain, the corresponding Observation Domain IDs are
            included as well.
            With this information, it is possible to associate
            Selection Sequence (Statistics) Report Interpretations
            exported according to the PSAMP protocol with a Selection
            Process in the configuration data.";
          reference "RFC5476.";
          leaf observationDomainId {
            type uint32;
            description "Observation Domain ID for which the
              Selection Sequence ID is assigned.";
          }
          leaf selectionSequenceId {
            type uint64;
```

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
            description "Selection Sequence ID used in the Selection
              Sequence (Statistics) Report Interpretation.";
          }
        leaf cache {
          type leafref { path "/ipfix/cache/name"; }
          description "Cache which receives the output of the
            Selection Process.";
        }
      }
      list cache {
        if-feature meter;
       key name;
        description "Cache of the Monitoring Device.";
        leaf name {
          type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
        ļ
        leaf dataRecords {
          type yang:counter64;
          units "Data Records";
          config false;
         description "The number of Data Records generated by this
            Cache.
            Discontinuities in the value of this counter can occur at
            re-initialization of the management system, and at other
            times as indicated by the value of
            cacheDiscontinuityTime.";
         reference "RFC5815, Section 8
            (ipfixMeteringProcessDataRecords).";
        }
        leaf cacheDiscontinuityTime {
          type yang:date-and-time;
          config false;
          description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
            the counter dataRecords suffered a discontinuity.
            In contrast to ipfixMeteringProcessDiscontinuityTime
            in the IPFIX MIB module, the time is absolute and not
            relative to sysUpTime.";
         reference "RFC5815, Section 8
            (ipfixMeteringProcessDiscontinuityTime).";
        }
        choice CacheType {
         mandatory true;
          description "Type of Cache and specific parameters.";
          container immediateCache {
            if-feature immediateCache;
```

```
Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 98]
```

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                        March 2011
Internet-Draft
            description "Flow expiration after the first packet;
              generation of Packet Records.";
            uses cacheLayoutParameters;
          container timeoutCache {
            if-feature timeoutCache;
            description "Flow expiration after active and inactive
              timeout; generation of Flow Records.";
            uses flowCacheParameters;
            uses cacheLayoutParameters;
          }
          container naturalCache {
            if-feature naturalCache;
           description "Flow expiration after active and inactive
              timeout, or on natural termination (e.g. TCP FIN, or
              TCP RST) of the Flow; generation of Flow Records.";
            uses flowCacheParameters;
           uses cacheLayoutParameters;
          }
          container permanentCache {
            if-feature permanentCache;
            description "No flow expiration, periodical export with
              time interval exportInterval; generation of Flow
              Records.";
            uses flowCacheParameters;
            uses cacheLayoutParameters;
          }
        leaf-list exportingProcess {
          if-feature exporter;
          type leafref { path "/ipfix/exportingProcess/name"; }
         description "Records are exported by all Exporting Processes
            in the list.";
        }
      }
      list exportingProcess {
        if-feature exporter;
       key name;
        description "Exporting Process of the Monitoring Device.";
        leaf name {
          type nameType;
         description "Key of this list.";
        }
        uses exportingProcessParameters;
      }
   }
  }
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 99]

<CODE ENDS>

7. Examples

This section shows example configurations conforming to the YANG module specified in Section 6.

7.1. PSAMP Device

This configuration example configures two Observation Points capturing ingress traffic at eth0 and all traffic at eth1. Both Observed Packet Streams enter two different Selection Processes. The first Selection Process implements a Composite Selectors of a filter for UDP packets and a random sampler. The second Selection Process implements a Primitive Selector of an ICMP filter. The Selected Packet Streams of both Selection Processes enter the same Cache. The Cache generates a PSAMP Packet Report for every selected packet.

The associated Exporting Process exports to a Collector using PR-SCTP and DTLS. The transport layer security parameters specify that the collector must supply a certificate for the fully qualified domain name collector.example.net. Valid certificates from any certification authority will be accepted. As the destination transport port is omitted, the standard IPFIX-over-DTLS port 4740 is used.

The parameters of the Selection Processes are reported as Selection Sequence Report Interpretations and Selector Report Interpretations [RFC5476]. There will be two Selection Sequence Report Interpretations per Selection Process, one for each Observation Point. Selection Sequence Statistics Report Interpretations are exported every 30 seconds (30000 milliseconds).

<ipfix xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ipfix-psamp">

```
<observationPoint>
  <name>OP at eth0 (ingress)</name>
  <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
  <ifName>eth0</ifName>
  <direction>ingress</direction>
  <selectionProcess>Sampled UDP packets</selectionProcess>
  <selectionProcess>ICMP packets</selectionProcess>
  </observationPoint>
```

```
<name>OP at eth1</name>
  <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 100]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
       <ifName>eth1</ifName>
       <selectionProcess>Sampled UDP packets</selectionProcess>
       <selectionProcess>ICMP packets</selectionProcess>
     </observationPoint>
     <selectionProcess>
       <name>Sampled UDP packets</name>
       <selector>
         <name>UDP filter</name>
         <filterMatch>
           <ieId>4</ieId>
           <value>17</value>
         </filterMatch>
       </selector>
       <selector>
         <name>10-out-of-100 sampler</name>
         <sampRandOutOfN>
           <size>10</size>
           <population>100</population>
         </sampRandOutOfN>
       </selector>
       <cache>PSAMP cache</cache>
     </selectionProcess>
     <selectionProcess>
       <name>ICMP packets</name>
       <selector>
         <name>ICMP filter</name>
         <filterMatch>
           <ieId>4</ieId>
           <value>1</value>
         </filterMatch>
       </selector>
       <cache>PSAMP cache</cache>
     </selectionProcess>
     <cache>
       <name>PSAMP cache</name>
       <immediateCache>
         <cacheLayout>
           <cacheField>
             <name>Field 1: ipHeaderPacketSection</name>
             <ieId>313</ieId>
             <ieLength>64</ieLength>
           </cacheField>
           <cacheField>
             <name>Field 2: observationTimeMilliseconds</name>
             <ieId>322</ieId>
```

March 2011

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 101]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
           </cacheField>
         </cacheLayout>
       </immediateCache>
       <exportingProcess>The only exporter</exportingProcess>
     </cache>
     <exportingProcess>
       <name>The only exporter</name>
       <destination>
         <name>PR-SCTP collector</name>
         <sctpExporter>
           <destinationIPAddress>192.0.2.1</destinationIPAddress>
           <rateLimit>1000000</rateLimit>
           <timedReliability>500</timedReliability>
           <transportLayerSecurity>
             <remoteSubjectFQDN>coll-1.example.net</remoteSubjectFQDN>
           </transportLayerSecurity>
         </sctpExporter>
       </destination>
       <options>
         <name>Options 1</name>
         <optionsType>selectionSequence</optionsType>
         <optionsTimeout>0</optionsTimeout>
       </options>
       <options>
         <name>Options 2</name>
         <optionsType>selectionStatistics</optionsType>
         <optionsTimeout>30000</optionsTimeout>
       </options>
     </exportingProcess>
```

</ipfix>

The above configuration results in one Template and six Options Templates. For the remainder of the example, we assume Template ID 256 for the Template and Template IDs 257 to 262 for the Options Templates. The Template is used to export the Packet Reports and has the following fields:

```
Template ID: 256
ipHeaderPacketSection (ID = 313, length = 64)
observationTimeMilliseconds (ID = 322, length = 8)
```

Two Options Template are used for the Selection Sequence Report Interpretations. The first one has one selectorId field and is used for the Selection Process "ICMP packets". The second one has two selectorId fields to describe the two selectors of the Selection Process "Sampled UDP packets".

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 102]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
     Template ID: 257
      Scope: selectionSequenceId (ID = 301, length = 8)
      observationPointId (ID = 138, length = 4)
      selectorId (ID = 302, length = 4)
     Template ID: 258
      Scope: selectionSequenceId (ID = 301, length = 8)
      observationPointId (ID = 138, length = 4)
      selectorId (ID = 302, length = 4)
     selectorId (ID = 302, length = 4)
  Another Options Template is used to carry the Property Match
  Filtering Selector Report Interpretation for the Selectors "UDP
   filter" and "ICMP filter":
     Template ID: 259
     Scope: selectorId (ID = 302, length = 4)
     selectorAlgorithm (ID = 304, length = 2)
     protocolIdentifier (ID = 4, length = 1)
   Yet another Options Template is used to carry the Random n-out-of-N
   Sampling Selector Report Interpretation for the Selector "10-out-of-
   100 sampler":
     Template ID: 260
     Scope: selectorId (ID = 302, length = 4)
     selectorAlgorithm (ID = 304, length = 2)
     samplingSize (ID = 319, length = 4)
     samplingPopulation (ID = 310, length = 4)
   The last two Options Template are used to carry the Selection
   Sequence Statistics Report Interpretation for the Selection
   Processes, containing the statistics for one and two Selectors,
   respectively:
     Template ID: 261
     Scope: selectionSequenceId (ID = 301, length = 8)
     selectorIdTotalPktsObserved (ID = 318, length = 8)
     selectorIdTotalPktsSelected (ID = 319, length = 8)
     Template ID: 262
      Scope: selectionSequenceId (ID = 301, length = 8)
      selectorIdTotalPktsObserved (ID = 318, length = 8)
      selectorIdTotalPktsSelected (ID = 319, length = 8)
      selectorIdTotalPktsObserved (ID = 318, length = 8)
      selectorIdTotalPktsSelected (ID = 319, length = 8)
  After a short runtime, 100 packets have been observed at the two
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 103]

Obervations Points, including 20 UDP and 5 ICMP packets. 3 of the UDP packets are selected by the random sampler, which results in a total of 8 Packet Reports generated by the Cache. Under these circumstances, the complete configuration and state data of the PSAMP Device may look as follows:

<ipfix xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ipfix-psamp">

```
<observationPoint>
  <name>OP at eth0 (ingress)</name>
  <observationPointId>1</observationPointId>
  <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
  <ifName>eth0</ifName>
 <direction>ingress</direction>
  <selectionProcess>Sampled UDP packets</selectionProcess>
  <selectionProcess>ICMP packets</selectionProcess>
</observationPoint>
<observationPoint>
  <name>OP at eth1</name>
  <observationPointId>2</observationPointId>
  <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
  <ifName>eth1</ifName>
  <direction>both</direction>
  <selectionProcess>Sampled UDP packets</selectionProcess>
  <selectionProcess>ICMP packets</selectionProcess>
</observationPoint>
<selectionProcess>
  <name>Sampled UDP packets</name>
  <selector>
    <name>UDP filter</name>
    <filterMatch>
      <ieId>4</ieId>
      <value>17</value>
    </filterMatch>
    <packetsObserved>100</packetsObserved>
    <packetsDropped>80</packetsDropped>
    <selectorDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:00.00Z
        </selectorDiscontinuityTime>
  </selector>
  <selector>
    <name>10-out-of-100 sampler</name>
    <sampRandOutOfN>
      <size>10</size>
      <population>100</population>
    </sampRandOutOfN>
    <packetsObserved>20</packetsObserved>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 104]

```
<packetsDropped>17</packetsDropped>
    <selectorDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:00.00Z
        </selectorDiscontinuityTime>
  </selector>
  <selectionSequence>
      <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
      <selectionSequenceId>1</selectionSequenceId>
  </selectionSequence>
  <selectionSequence>
      <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
      <selectionSequenceId>2</selectionSequenceId>
  </selectionSequence>
  <cache>PSAMP cache</cache>
</selectionProcess>
<selectionProcess>
 <name>ICMP packets</name>
  <selector>
    <name>ICMP filter</name>
    <filterMatch>
      <ieId>4</ieId>
      <value>1</value>
    </filterMatch>
    <packets0bserved>100</packets0bserved>
    <packetsDropped>95</packetsDropped>
    <selectorDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:00.00Z
        </selectorDiscontinuityTime>
  </selector>
  <selectionSequence>
      <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
      <selectionSequenceId>3</selectionSequenceId>
  </selectionSequence>
  <selectionSequence>
      <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
      <selectionSequenceId>4</selectionSequenceId>
  </selectionSequence>
  <cache>PSAMP cache</cache>
</selectionProcess>
<cache>
  <name>PSAMP cache</name>
  <immediateCache>
    <cacheLayout>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 1: ipHeaderPacketSection</name>
        <ieId>313</ieId>
        <ieLength>64</ieLength>
      </cacheField>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 105]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
           <cacheField>
             <name>Field 2: observationTimeMilliseconds</name>
             <ieId>322</ieId>
           </cacheField>
         </cacheLayout>
       </immediateCache>
       <dataRecords>8</dataRecords>
       <cacheDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:00.00Z
           </cacheDiscontinuityTime>
       <exportingProcess>The only exporter</exportingProcess>
     </cache>
     <exportingProcess>
       <name>The only exporter</name>
       <exportMode>parallel</exportMode>
       <destination>
         <name>PR-SCTP collector</name>
         <sctpExporter>
           <ipfixVersion>10</ipfixVersion>
           <destinationIPAddress>192.0.2.1</destinationIPAddress>
           <destinationPort>4740</destinationPort>
           <sendBufferSize>32768</sendBufferSize>
           <rateLimit>1000000</rateLimit>
           <timedReliability>500</timedReliability>
           <transportLayerSecurity>
             <remoteSubjectFQDN>coll-1.example.net</remoteSubjectFQDN>
           </transportLayerSecurity>
           <transportSession>
             <ipfixVersion>10</ipfixVersion>
             <sourceAddress>192.0.2.100</sourceAddress>
             <destinationAddress>192.0.2.1</destinationAddress>
             <sourcePort>45687</sourcePort>
             <destinationPort>4740</destinationPort>
             <sctpAssocId>1</sctpAssocId>
             <status>active</status>
             <rate>230</rate>
             <bytes>978</bytes>
             <messages>3</messages>
             <records>19</records>
             <templates>1</templates>
             <optionsTemplates>6</optionsTemplates>
             <transportSessionStartTime>2010-03-15T00:00:00.50Z
                 </transportSessionStartTime>
             <template>
               <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
               <templateId>256</templateId>
               <setId>2</setId>
               <accessTime>2010-03-15T00:00:02.15Z</accessTime>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 106]

```
<templateDataRecords>8</templateDataRecords>
  <templateDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:01.10Z
      </templateDiscontinuityTime>
  <field>
    <ieId>313</ieId>
    <ieLength>64</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
  <field>
    <ieId>154</ieId>
   <ieLength>4</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
</template>
<template>
 <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
 <templateId>257</templateId>
 <setId>3</setId>
 <accessTime>2010-03-15T00:00:02.15Z</accessTime>
 <templateDataRecords>2</templateDataRecords>
 <templateDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:01.10Z
      </templateDiscontinuityTime>
 <field>
   <ieId>301</ieId>
   <ieLength>8</ieLength>
   <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
    <isScope/>
 </field>
  <field>
    <ieId>138</ieId>
    <ieLength>4</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
  </field>
 <field>
   <ieId>302</ieId>
   <ieLength>4</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
</template>
<template>
 <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
 <templateId>258</templateId>
 <setId>3</setId>
 <accessTime>2010-03-15T00:00:02.15Z</accessTime>
 <templateDataRecords>2</templateDataRecords>
 <templateDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:01.10Z
      </templateDiscontinuityTime>
 <field>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 107]

```
<ieId>301</ieId>
    <ieLength>8</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
    <isScope/>
 </field>
  <field>
    <ieId>138</ieId>
    <ieLength>4</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
 <field>
    <ieId>302</ieId>
    <ieLength>4</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
 <field>
    <ieId>302</ieId>
    <ieLength>4</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
</template>
<template>
 <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
 <templateId>259</templateId>
 <setId>3</setId>
 <accessTime>2010-03-15T00:00:02.15Z</accessTime>
 <templateDataRecords>2</templateDataRecords>
 <templateDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:01.10Z
      </templateDiscontinuityTime>
  <field>
    <ieId>302</ieId>
    <ieLength>4</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
    <isScope/>
 </field>
 <field>
    <ieId>304</ieId>
    <ieLength>2</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
 <field>
    <ieId>4</ieId>
    <ieLength>1</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
</template>
<template>
 <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 108]

```
<templateId>260</templateId>
 <setId>3</setId>
  <accessTime>2010-03-15T00:00:02.15Z</accessTime>
 <templateDataRecords>1</templateDataRecords>
  <templateDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:01.10Z
      </templateDiscontinuityTime>
  <field>
    <ieId>302</ieId>
    <ieLength>4</ieLength>
   <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
    <isScope/>
 </field>
 <field>
   <ieId>304</ieId>
   <ieLength>2</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
 <field>
    <ieId>309</ieId>
   <ieLength>4</ieLength>
   <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
 <field>
   <ieId>310</ieId>
   <ieLength>4</ieLength>
    <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
</template>
<template>
 <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
 <templateId>261</templateId>
  <setId>3</setId>
  <accessTime>2010-03-15T00:00:03.10Z</accessTime>
  <templateDataRecords>2</templateDataRecords>
 <templateDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:01.10Z
      </templateDiscontinuityTime>
 <field>
   <ieId>301</ieId>
   <ieLength>8</ieLength>
   <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
    <isScope/>
 </field>
 <field>
    <ieId>318</ieId>
   <ieLength>8</ieLength>
   <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
 </field>
 <field>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 109]

```
<ieId>319</ieId>
          <ieLength>8</ieLength>
          <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
        </field>
      </template>
      <template>
        <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
        <templateId>262</templateId>
        <setId>3</setId>
        <accessTime>2010-03-15T00:00:03.10Z</accessTime>
        <templateDataRecords>2</templateDataRecords>
        <templateDiscontinuityTime>2010-03-15T00:00:01.10Z
            </templateDiscontinuityTime>
        <field>
          <ieId>301</ieId>
          <ieLength>8</ieLength>
          <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
          <isScope/>
        </field>
        <field>
          <ieId>318</ieId>
          <ieLength>8</ieLength>
          <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
        </field>
        <field>
          <ieId>319</ieId>
          <ieLength>8</ieLength>
          <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
        </field>
        <field>
          <ieId>318</ieId>
          <ieLength>8</ieLength>
          <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
        </field>
        <field>
          <ieId>319</ieId>
          <ieLength>8</ieLength>
          <ieEnterpriseNumber>0</ieEnterpriseNumber>
        </field>
      </template>
    </transportSession>
  </sctpExporter>
</destination>
<options>
  <name>Options 1</name>
  <optionsType>selectionSequence</optionsType>
  <optionsTimeout>0</optionsTimeout>
</options>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 110]

```
March 2011
```

```
<options>
    <name>Options 2</name>
    <optionsType>selectionStatistics</optionsType>
    <optionsTimeout>30000</optionsTimeout>
    </options>
</exportingProcess>
```

</ipfix>

7.2. IPFIX Device

This configuration example demonstrates the shared usage of a Cache for maintaining Flow Records from two Observation Points belonging to different Observation Domains. Packets are selected using different Sampling techniques: count-based Sampling for the first Observation Point (eth0) and selection of all packets for the second Observation Point (eth1). The Exporting Process sends the Flow Records to a primary destination using SCTP. A UDP Collector is specified as secondary destination.

Exporting Process reliability statistics [RFC5101] are exported periodically every minute (60000 milliseconds). Selection Sequence Report Interpretations and Selector Report Interpretations [RFC5476] are exported once after configuring the Selection Processes. In total, two Selection Sequence Report Interpretations will be exported, one for each Selection Process.

<ipfix xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ipfix-psamp">

```
<observationPoint>
  <name>OP at eth0 (ingress)</name>
  <observationDomainId>123</observationDomainId>
  <iifName>eth0</ifName>
  <direction>ingress</direction>
  <selectionProcess>Count-based packet selection</selectionProcess>
</observationPoint>
```

```
<observationPoint>
  <name>OP at eth1</name>
  <observationDomainId>456</observationDomainId>
  <ifName>eth1</ifName>
  <selectionProcess>All packet selection</selectionProcess>
</observationPoint>
```

```
<selectionProcess>
  <name>Count-based packet selection</name>
  <selector>
        <name>Count-based sampler</name>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 111]

```
<sampCountBased>
      <packetInterval>1</packetInterval>
      <packetSpace>99</packetSpace>
    </sampCountBased>
  </selector>
  <cache>Flow cache</cache>
</selectionProcess>
<selectionProcess>
  <name>All packet selection</name>
  <selector>
    <name>Select all</name>
    <selectAll/>
  </selector>
  <cache>Flow cache</cache>
</selectionProcess>
<cache>
 <name>Flow cache</name>
 <timeoutCache>
    <maxFlows>4096</maxFlows>
    <activeTimeout>5000</activeTimeout>
    <inactiveTimeout>10000</inactiveTimeout>
    <cacheLayout>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 1</name>
        <ieName>sourceIPv4Address</ieName>
        <isFlowKey/>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 2</name>
        <ieName>destinationIPv4Address</ieName>
        <isFlowKey/>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 3</name>
        <ieName>transportProtocol</ieName>
        <isFlowKey/>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 4</name>
        <ieName>sourceTransportPort</ieName>
        <isFlowKey/>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 5</name>
        <ieName>destinationTransportPort</ieName>
        <isFlowKey/>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 112]

```
</cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 6</name>
        <ieName>flowStartMilliSeconds</ieName>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 7</name>
        <ieName>flowEndSeconds</ieName>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 8</name>
        <ieName>octetDeltaCount</ieName>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 9</name>
        <ieName>packetDeltaCount</ieName>
      </cacheField>
    </cacheLayout>
  </timeoutCache>
  <exportingProcess>SCTP export with UDP backup</exportingProcess>
</cache>
<exportingProcess>
 <name>SCTP export with UDP backup</name>
  <exportMode>fallback</exportMode>
  <destination>
    <name>SCTP destination (primary)</name>
    <sctpExporter>
      <destinationPort>4739</destinationPort>
      <destinationIPAddress>192.0.2.1</destinationIPAddress>
    </sctpExporter>
  </destination>
  <destination>
    <name>UDP destination (secondary)</name>
    <udpExporter>
      <destinationPort>4739</destinationPort>
      <destinationIPAddress>192.0.2.2</destinationIPAddress>
      <templateRefreshTimeout>300</templateRefreshTimeout>
      <optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout>300
          </optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout>
    </udpExporter>
  </destination>
  <options>
    <name>Options 1</name>
    <optionsType>selectionSequence</optionsType>
    <optionsTimeout>0</optionsTimeout>
  </options>
  <options>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 113]

```
<name>Options 2</name>
   <optionsType>exportingReliability</optionsType>
   <optionsTimeout>60000</optionsTimeout>
   </options>
</exportingProcess>
```

</ipfix>

7.3. Export of Flow Records and Packet Reports

This configuration example demonstrates the combined export of Flow Records and Packet Reports for a single Observation Point. One Selection Process applies random Sampling to the Observed Packet Stream. Its output is passed to a Cache generating Flow Records. In parallel, the Observed Packet Stream enters a second Selection Process which discards all non-ICMP packets and passes the selected packets to a second Cache for generating Packet Reports. The output of both Caches is exported to a single Collector using SCTP.

<ipfix xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ipfix-psamp">

```
<observationPoint>
  <name>OP at linecard 3</name>
  <observationDomainId>9876</observationDomainId>
  <ifIndex>4</ifIndex>
  <direction>ingress</direction>
  <selectionProcess>Sampling</selectionProcess>
  <selectionProcess>ICMP</selectionProcess>
</observationPoint>
<selectionProcess>
  <name>Sampling</name>
  <selector>
    <name>Random sampler</name>
    <sampUniProb>
      <probability>0.01</probability></probability>
    </sampUniProb>
  </selector>
  <cache>Flow cache</cache>
</selectionProcess>
<selectionProcess>
  <name>ICMP</name>
  <selector>
    <name>ICMP filter</name>
    <filterMatch>
      <ieId>4</ieId>
      <value>1</value>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 114]
```
</filterMatch>
  </selector>
  <cache>Packet reporting</cache>
</selectionProcess>
<cache>
  <name>Flow cache</name>
  <timeoutCache>
    <maxFlows>4096</maxFlows>
    <activeTimeout>5</activeTimeout>
    <inactiveTimeout>10</inactiveTimeout>
    <cacheLayout>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 1</name>
        <ieName>sourceIPv4Address</ieName>
        <isFlowKey/>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 2</name>
        <ieName>destinationIPv4Address</ieName>
        <isFlowKey/>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 6</name>
        <ieName>flowStartMilliSeconds</ieName>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 7</name>
        <ieName>flowEndSeconds</ieName>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 8</name>
        <ieName>octetDeltaCount</ieName>
      </cacheField>
      <cacheField>
        <name>Field 9</name>
        <ieName>packetDeltaCount</ieName>
      </cacheField>
    </cacheLayout>
  </timeoutCache>
  <exportingProcess>Export</exportingProcess>
</cache>
<cache>
  <name>Packet reporting</name>
  <immediateCache>
    <cacheLayout>
      <cacheField>
```

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 115]

```
Internet-Draft
                  IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
             <name>Field 1</name>
             <ieId>313</ieId>
             <ieLength>64</ieLength>
           </cacheField>
           <cacheField>
             <name>Field 2</name>
             <ieId>154</ieId>
           </cacheField>
         </cacheLayout>
       </immediateCache>
       <exportingProcess>Export</exportingProcess>
    </cache>
    <exportingProcess>
       <name>Export</name>
       <destination>
        <name>SCTP collector</name>
         <sctpExporter>
           <destinationIPAddress>192.0.2.1</destinationIPAddress>
           <timedReliability>0</timedReliability>
         </sctpExporter>
       </destination>
       <options>
         <name>Options 1</name>
         <optionsType>selectionSequence</optionsType>
         <optionsTimeout>0</optionsTimeout>
       </options>
     </exportingProcess>
```

</ipfix>

7.4. Collector and File Writer

This configuration example configures a Collector which writes the received data to a file.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 116]

```
Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                       March 2011
   <ipfix xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ipfix-psamp">
     <collectingProcess>
       <name>SCTP collector</name>
       <sctpCollector>
         <name>Listening port 4739</name>
         <localPort>4739</localPort>
        <localIPAddress>192.0.2.1</localIPAddress>
       </sctpCollector>
       <exportingProcess>File writer</exportingProcess>
     </collectingProcess>
     <exportingProcess>
      <name>File writer</name>
      <destination>
        <name>Write to /tmp folder</name>
        <fileWriter>
          <file>file://tmp/collected-records.ipfix</file>
         </fileWriter>
       </destination>
     </exportingProcess>
```

</ipfix>

7.5. Deviations

Assume that a Monitoring Device has only two interfaces ifIndex=1 and ifIndex=2 which can be configured as Observation Points. The Observation Point ID is always identical to the ifIndex.

The following YANG module specifies these deviations.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 117]

```
IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
module my-ipfix-psamp-deviation {
  namespace "urn:my-company:xml:ns:ietf-ipfix-psamp";
  prefix my;
  import ietf-ipfix-psamp { prefix ipfix; }
  deviation /ipfix:ipfix/ipfix:observationPoint/ipfix:entPhysicalIndex {
    deviate not-supported;
  deviation /ipfix:ipfix/ipfix:observationPoint/ipfix:entPhysicalName {
    deviate not-supported;
  deviation /ipfix:ipfix/ipfix:observationPoint/ipfix:ifName {
    deviate not-supported;
  deviation /ipfix:ipfix/ipfix:observationPoint {
    deviate add {
     must "ipfix:ifIndex=1 or ipfix:ifIndex=2";
    }
  }
  deviation
      /ipfix:ipfix/ipfix:observationPoint/ipfix:observationPointId {
    deviate add {
     must "current()=../ipfix:ifIndex";
    }
  }
}
```

```
8. Security Considerations
```

The YANG module defined in this memo is designed to be accessed via the NETCONF protocol [RFC4741]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer and the mandatory to implement secure transport is SSH [RFC4742].

There are a number of data nodes defined in this YANG module which are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e. config true, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g. edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 118]

/ipfix/observationPoint

The configuration parameters in this subtree specify where packets are observed and by which Selection Processes they will be processed. Write access to this subtree allows observing packets at arbitrary interfaces or linecards of the Monitoring Device and may thus lead to the export of sensitive traffic information. /ipfix/selectionProcess

The configuration parameters in this subtree specify for which packets information will be reported in Packet Reports or Flow Records. Write access to this subtree allows changing the subset of packets for which information will be reported and may thus lead to the export of sensitive traffic information.

/ipfix/cache

The configuration parameters in this subtree specify the fields included in Packet Reports or Flow Records. Write access to this subtree allows adding fields which may contain sensitive traffic information, such as IP addresses or parts of the packet payload.

/ipfix/exportingProcess

The configuration parameters in this subtree specify to which Collectors Packet Reports or Flow Records are exported. Write access to this subtree allows exporting potentially sensitive traffic information to illegitimate Collectors. Furthermore, transport layer security parameters can be changed, which may affect the mutual authentication between Exporters and Collectors as well as the encrypted transport of the data.

/ipfix/collectingProcess

The configuration parameters in this subtree may specify that collected Packet Reports and Flow Records are reexported to another Collector or written to a file. Write access to this subtree potentially allows reexporting or storing the sensitive traffic information.

Some of the readable data nodes in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g. via get, get-config or notification) to these data nodes. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

/ipfix/observationPoint

Parameters in this subtree may be sensitive because they reveal information about the Monitoring Device itself and the network infrastructure.

/ipfix/selectionProcess

Parameters in this subtree may be sensitive because they reveal information about the Monitoring Device itself and the observed traffic. For example, the counters packetsObserved and packetsDropped inferring the number of observed packets.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 119]

/ipfix/cache

Parameters in this subtree may be sensitive because they reveal information about the Monitoring Device itself and the observed traffic. For example, the counters activeFlows and dataRecords allow inferring the number of measured Flows or packets.

/ipfix/exportingProcess

Parameters in this subtree may be sensitive because they reveal information about the network infrastructure and the outgoing IPFIX Transport Sessions. For example, it discloses the IP addresses of Collectors as well as the deployed transport layer security configuration, which may facilitate the interception of outgoing IPFIX Messages.

/ipfix/collectingProcess

Parameters in this subtree may be sensitive because they reveal information about the network infrastructure and the incoming IPFIX Transport Sessions. For example, it discloses the IP addresses of Exporters as well as the deployed transport layer security configuration, which may facilitate the interception of incoming IPFIX Messages.

9. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration is requested.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ipfix-psamp Registrant Contact: The IPFIX WG of the IETF. XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [RFC6020].

name: ietf-ipfix-psamp namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ipfix-psamp prefix: ipfix reference: RFCXXXX

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Martin Bjorklund, Andy Bierman, and Ladislav Lhotka for helping specifying the configuration data model in YANG, as well as Atsushi Kobayashi, Andrew Johnson, Lothar Braun, and Brian Trammell for their valuable reviews of this document.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 120]

10. References

- 10.1. Normative References
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
 - [RFC5101] Claise, B., "Specification of the IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Protocol for the Exchange of IP Traffic Flow Information", RFC 5101, January 2008.
 - [RFC5102] Quittek, J., Bryant, S., Claise, B., Aitken, P., and J. Meyer, "Information Model for IP Flow Information Export", RFC 5102, January 2008.
 - [RFC5103] Trammell, B. and E. Boschi, "Bidirectional Flow Export Using IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX)", RFC 5103, January 2008.
 - [RFC5475] Zseby, T., Molina, M., Duffield, N., Niccolini, S., and F. Raspall, "Sampling and Filtering Techniques for IP Packet Selection", RFC 5475, March 2009.
 - [RFC5476] Claise, B., Johnson, A., and J. Quittek, "Packet Sampling (PSAMP) Protocol Specifications", RFC 5476, March 2009.
 - [RFC5477] Dietz, T., Claise, B., Aitken, P., Dressler, F., and G. Carle, "Information Model for Packet Sampling Exports", RFC 5477, March 2009.
 - [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.
 - [RFC6021] Schoenwaelder, J., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6021, October 2010.
 - [UML] "OMG Unified Modeling Language (OMG UML), Superstructure, V2.2", OMG formal/2009-02-02, February 2009.

10.2. Informative References

- [RFC1141] Mallory, T. and A. Kullberg, "Incremental updating of the Internet checksum", RFC 1141, January 1990.
- [RFC2863] McCloghrie, K. and F. Kastenholz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", RFC 2863, June 2000.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 121]

- [RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, May 2008.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
- [RFC3758] Stewart, R., Ramalho, M., Xie, Q., Tuexen, M., and P. Conrad, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Partial Reliability Extension", RFC 3758, May 2004.
- [RFC3871] Jones, G., "Operational Security Requirements for Large Internet Service Provider (ISP) IP Network Infrastructure", RFC 3871, September 2004.
- [RFC3917] Quittek, J., Zseby, T., Claise, B., and S. Zander, "Requirements for IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX)", RFC 3917, October 2004.
- [RFC4133] Bierman, A. and K. McCloghrie, "Entity MIB (Version 3)", RFC 4133, August 2005.
- [RFC4347] Rescorla, E. and N. Modadugu, "Datagram Transport Layer Security", RFC 4347, April 2006.
- [RFC4741] Enns, R., "NETCONF Configuration Protocol", RFC 4741, December 2006.
- [RFC4742] Wasserman, M. and T. Goddard, "Using the NETCONF Configuration Protocol over Secure SHell (SSH)", RFC 4742, December 2006.
- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", RFC 5246, August 2008.
- [RFC5470] Sadasivan, G., Brownlee, N., Claise, B., and J. Quittek, "Architecture for IP Flow Information Export", RFC 5470, March 2009.
- [RFC5472] Zseby, T., Boschi, E., Brownlee, N., and B. Claise, "IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Applicability", RFC 5472, March 2009.
- [RFC5473] Boschi, E., Mark, L., and B. Claise, "Reducing Redundancy

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 122]

in IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) and Packet Sampling (PSAMP) Reports", RFC 5473, March 2009.

[RFC5474] Duffield, N., Chiou, D., Claise, B., Greenberg, A., Grossglauser, M., and J. Rexford, "A Framework for Packet Selection and Reporting", RFC 5474, March 2009.

- [RFC5610] Boschi, E., Trammell, B., Mark, L., and T. Zseby, "Exporting Type Information for IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Information Elements", RFC 5610, July 2009.
- [RFC5655] Trammell, B., Boschi, E., Mark, L., Zseby, T., and A. Wagner, "Specification of the IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) File Format", RFC 5655, October 2009.
- [RFC5815] Dietz, T., Kobayashi, A., Claise, B., and G. Muenz, "Definitions of Managed Objects for IP Flow Information Export", RFC 5815, April 2010.
- [RFC6110] Lhotka, L., "Mapping YANG to Document Schema Definition Languages and Validating NETCONF Content", RFC 6110, February 2011.
- [I-D.ietf-ipfix-psamp-mib] Dietz, T., Claise, B., and J. Quittek, "Definitions of Managed Objects for Packet Sampling", draft-ietf-ipfix-psamp-mib-03 (work in progress), March 2011.

[I-D.ietf-ipfix-export-per-sctp-stream] Claise, B., Aitken, P., Johnson, A., and G. Muenz, "IPFIX Export per SCTP Stream" draft-ietf-ipfix-export-per-sctp-stream-08 (work in progress), May 2010.

[W3C.REC-xml-20040204] Sperberg-McQueen, C., Maler, E., Yergeau, F., Paoli, J., and T. Bray, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Third Edition)", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xml-20040204, February 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-20040204>.

[W3C.REC-xmlschema-0-20041028] Walmsley, P. and D. Fallside, "XML Schema Part 0: Primer Second Edition", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xmlschema-0-20041028, October 2004,

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-0-20041028>.

Muenz, et al. draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-09.txt [Page 123]

Internet-Draft IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model March 2011 [YANG-WEB] Bjoerklund, M., "YANG WebHome", Homepage http://www.yang-central.org, March 2011. [IANA-IPFIX] "IANA Registry of IPFIX Information Elements", Homepage http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipfix/ ipfix.xhtml. Authors' Addresses Gerhard Muenz Technische Universitaet Muenchen Department of Informatics Chair for Network Architectures and Services (18) Boltzmannstr. 3 Garching D-85748 Germany Email: muenz@net.in.tum.de URI: http://www.net.in.tum.de/~muenz Benoit Claise Cisco Systems, Inc. De Kleetlaan 6a bl Diegem 1831 Belgium Phone: +32 2 704 5622 Email: bclaise@cisco.com Paul Aitken Cisco Systems, Inc. 96 Commercial Quay Commercial Street Edinburgh EH6 6LX United Kingdom Phone: +44 131 561 3616 Email: paitken@cisco.com

Internet Engineering Task Force Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: September 12, 2011 A. Bierman Brocade M. Bjorklund Tail-f Systems March 11, 2011

Network Configuration Protocol Access Control Model draft-ietf-netconf-access-control-03

Abstract

The standardization of network configuration interfaces for use with the NETCONF protocol requires a structured and secure operating environment, which promotes human usability and multi-vendor interoperability. There is a need for standard mechanisms to restrict NETCONF protocol access for particular users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF operations and content. This document discusses requirements for a suitable access control model, and provides one solution which meets these requirements.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 12, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 1]

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | | | | | 4 |
|---|-----|-----|---|-----|----|
| 1.1. Terminology | | | | | 4 |
| 1.1.1. Requirements Notation | | | | | 4 |
| 1.1.2. NETCONF Terms | | | | | 4 |
| 1.1.3. YANG Terms | | | | | 5 |
| 1.1.4. NACM Terms | | | | | 5 |
| 2. Access Control Requirements | | | | | 6 |
| 2.1. Protocol Control Points | | | | | 6 |
| 2.2. Simplicity | | | | | 7 |
| 2.3. Procedural Interface | | | | | 7 |
| 2.4. Datastore Access | | | | | 7 |
| 2.4.1. Access Rights | | | | | 8 |
| 2.4.2. <pre><pre>config> Operations</pre></pre> | | | | | 8 |
| 2.4.3. <edit-config> Operation</edit-config> | | | | | 8 |
| 2.4.4. <copy-config> Operation</copy-config> | | | | | 9 |
| 2.5. Users and Groups | | | | | 10 |
| 2.6. Maintenance | | | | | 10 |
| 2.7. Configuration Capabilities | | | | | 10 |
| 2.8. Identifying Security Holes | | | | | 11 |
| 2.9. Data Shadowing | | | | | 12 |
| 2.10. NETCONF Specific Requirements | | | | | 12 |
| 3. NETCONF Access Control Model (NACM) | | | | | 14 |
| 3.1. Introduction | | | | | 14 |
| 3.1.1. Features | | | | | 14 |
| 3.1.2. External Dependencies | | | | | 15 |
| 3.1.3. Message Processing Model | | | | | 15 |
| 3.2 Model Components | ••• | ••• | • | ••• | 17 |
| 3 2 1 Harrs | ••• | ••• | • | ••• | 17 |
| 3 2 2 Groups | ••• | ••• | • | ••• | 18 |
| 3 2 3 Sections | • • | • • | • | ••• | 18 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ••• | • • | • | ••• | 10 |
| 3.2.4. ACCESS PERMISSIONS | ••• | • • | • | ••• | 10 |
| 3.2.5. Global Enforcement Controls | • • | • • | • | • • | 19 |
| 3.2.6. Access Control Rules | • • | • • | • | ••• | 19 |
| 3.3. Access Control Enforcement Procedures | ••• | • • | • | ••• | 19 |
| 3.3.1. Initial Operation | | | • | | 19 |
| 3.3.2. Session Establishment | | | | | 20 |
| 3.3.3. 'access-denied' Error Handling | | | | | 20 |
| 3.3.4. Incoming RPC Message Validation | | | | | 20 |
| 3.3.5. Data Node Access Validation | | | | | 23 |
| 3.3.6. Outgoing <rpc-reply> Authorization</rpc-reply> | | | | | 26 |
| | | • • | - | • | |

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 2]

| 3.3.7. Outgoing <notification> Authorization</notification> |
|---|
| 3.4. Data Model Definitions |
| 3.4.1. High Level Procedures |
| 3.4.2. Data Organization |
| 3.4.3. YANG Module |
| 3.5. IANA Considerations |
| 3.6. Security Considerations |
| 4. References |
| 4 1 Normative References 44 |
| 4.2 Informative References 44 |
| Internative Reservations |
| |
| A.I. <groups> Example</groups> |
| A.2. <module-rule> Example</module-rule> |
| A.3. <rpc-rule> Example</rpc-rule> |
| A.4. <data-rule> Example</data-rule> |
| A.5. <notification-rule> Example</notification-rule> |
| Appendix B. Change Log |
| |
| |
| B.2. 01-02 |
| B.3. 00-01 |
| в.4. 00 |
| Authors' Addresses |
| |

NACM

1. Introduction

The NETCONF protocol does not provide any standard mechanisms to restrict the operations and content that each user is authorized to use.

There is a need for inter-operable management of the controlled access to operator selected portions of the available NETCONF content within a particular server.

This document addresses access control mechanisms for the Operation and Content layers of NETCONF, as defined in [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis], and [RFC5277]. It contains three main sections:

- 1. Access Control Requirements
- 2. NETCONF Access Control Model (NACM)
- 3. YANG Data Model (ietf-netconf-acm.yang)
- 1.1. Terminology
- 1.1.1. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

1.1.2. NETCONF Terms

The following terms are defined in [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis] and are not redefined here:

- o client
- o datastore
- o operation
- o protocol operation
- o server
- o session
- o user

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 4]

Internet-Draft

NACM

1.1.3. YANG Terms

The following terms are defined in [RFC6020] and are not redefined here:

o data node

1.1.4. NACM Terms

The following terms are used throughout this documentation:

- access control: A security feature provided by the NETCONF server, which allows an operator to restrict access to a subset of all NETCONF protocol operations and data, based on various criteria.
- access control model (ACM): A conceptual model used to configure and monitor the access control procedures desired by the operator to enforce a particular access control policy.
- access control rule: The conceptual criteria used to determine if a particular NETCONF protocol operation will be permitted or denied.

authentication: The process of verifying a user's identity.

superuser: The special administrative user account which is given unlimited NETCONF access, and is exempt from all access control enforcement. NACM

2. Access Control Requirements

2.1. Protocol Control Points

The NETCONF protocol allows new operations to be added at any time, and the YANG data modeling language supports this feature. It is not possible to design an ACM for NETCONF which only focuses on a static set of operations, like some other protocols. Since few assumptions can be made about an arbitrary protocol operation, the NETCONF architectural server components need to be protected at several conceptual control points.



Figure 1

The following access control points are defined:

- protocol operation: Configurable permission to invoke specific protocol operations is required. Wildcard or multiple target mechanisms to reduce configuration and effort are also required.
- NETCONF datastore: Configurable permission to read and/or alter specific data nodes within any conceptual datastore is required. Wildcard or multiple target mechanisms to reduce configuration and effort are also required.
- RPC Reply Content: Configurable permission to read specific data nodes within any conceptual RPC output section is required. Unauthorized data is silently omitted from the reply, instead of dropping the reply or sending an 'access-denied' error.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 6]

Notification Content: Configurable permission to receive specific notification event types is required.

2.2. Simplicity

Experience has shown that a complicated ACM will not be widely deployed, because it is too hard to use. The key factor that is ignored in such solutions is the concept of 'localized cost'. It needs to be easy to do simple things, and hard to do complex things, instead of hard to do everything.

Configuration of the access control system needs to be simple to use. Simple and common tasks need to be easy to configure, and require little expertise or domain-specific knowledge. Complex tasks are possible using additional mechanisms, which may require additional expertise.

A single set of access control rules SHOULD be able to control all types of NETCONF protocol operation invocation, all conceptual datastore access, and all NETCONF session output.

Default access control policy needs to be as secure as possible.

Protocol access SHOULD be defined with a small and familiar set of permissions, while still allowing full control of NETCONF datastore access.

Access control does not need to be applied to NETCONF <hello> messages.

2.3. Procedural Interface

The NETCONF protocol uses a procedural interface model, and an extensible set of protocol operations. Access control for any possible protocol operation is required.

It MUST be possible to configure the ACM to permit or deny access to specific NETCONF operations.

YANG modules SHOULD be designed so that different access levels for input parameters to protocol operations is not required.

2.4. Datastore Access

It MUST be possible to control access to specific nodes and sub-trees within the conceptual NETCONF datastore.

In order for a user to obtain access to a particular datastore node,

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 7]

the user MUST be authorized to have the same requested access to the specified node, and all of its ancestors.

The same access control rules apply to all conceptual datastores. For example, the candidate configuration or the running configuration.

Only the standard NETCONF datastores (candidate, running, and startup) are controlled by the ACM. Local or remote files or datastores accessed via the <url> parameter are optional to support.

The non-volatile startup configuration needs to be loaded into the running configuration without applying any access control rules.

2.4.1. Access Rights

A small set of hard-wired datastore access rights is needed to control access to all possible NETCONF datastore operations, including vendor extensions to the standard operation set.

The familiar 'CRUDX' model can support all NETCONF operations:

- o Create: Allows the client to add a new data node instance to a datastore.
- o Read: Allows the client to read a data node instance from a datastore, or receive the notification event type.
- o Update: Allows the client to update an existing data node instance in a datastore.
- o Delete: Allows the client to delete a data node instance from a datastore.
- o eXec: Allows the client to execute the protocol operation.
- 2.4.2. <get> and <get-config> Operations

Data nodes to which the client does not have 'read' access, either directly or via wildcard access, are silently omitted from the <rpc-reply> message.

2.4.3. <edit-config> Operation

The NACM access rights are not directly coupled to the <edit-config> "operation" attribute, although they are similar. Instead, a NACM access right applies to all operations which would result in a particular access operation to the target datastore. This section

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 8]

describes how these access rights apply to the specific datastore operations supported by the <edit-config> operation.

If the effective operation is 'none' (i.e., default-operation='none') for a particular data node, then no access control is applied to that data node.

A 'create', 'merge', or 'replace' operation on a datastore node which would result in the creation of a new data node instance, for which the user does not have 'create' access permission, is rejected with an 'access-denied' error.

A 'merge' or 'replace' operation on a datastore node which would result in the modification of an existing data node instance, for which the user does not have 'update' access permission, is rejected with an 'access-denied' error.

A 'replace', 'delete', or 'remove' operation on a datastore node which would result in the deletion of an existing data node instance, for which the user does not have 'delete' access permission, is rejected with an 'access-denied' error.

A 'merge' operation may include data nodes which do not alter portions of the existing datastore. For example, a container or list nodes may be present for naming purposes, which do not actually alter the corresponding datastore node. These unaltered data nodes within the scope of a 'merge' operation are ignored by the server, and do not require any access rights by the client.

A 'merge' operation may include data nodes, but not include particular child data nodes that are present in the datastore. These missing data nodes within the scope of a 'merge' operation are ignored by the server, and do not require any access rights by the client.

The contents of specific restricted datastore nodes MUST NOT be exposed in any <rpc-error> elements within the reply.

2.4.4. <copy-config> Operation

Access control for the <copy-config> operation requires special consideration because the operator is replacing the entire target datastore. Read access to the entire source datastore, and write access to the entire target datastore is needed for this operation to succeed.

A client MUST have access to every datastore node, even ones that are not present in the source configuration data.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 9]

For example, consider a common use-case such as a simple backup and restore procedure. The operator (client) MUST have full read access to the datastore in order to receive a complete copy of its contents. If not, the server will simply omit these sub-trees from the reply. If that copy is later used to restore the server datastore, the server will interpret the missing nodes as a request to delete those nodes, and return an error.

2.5. Users and Groups

The server MUST obtain a user name from the underlying NETCONF transport, such as an SSH user name.

It MUST be possible to specify access control rules for a single user or a configurable group of users.

A configurable superuser account may be needed which bypasses all access control rules. This could be needed in case the access control rules are mis-configured, and all access is denied by mistake.

The ACM MUST support the concept of administrative groups, to support the well-established distinction between a root account and other types of less-privileged conceptual user accounts. These groups MUST be configurable by the operator.

It MUST be possible to delegate the user-to-group mapping to a central server, such as RADIUS [RFC2865] [RFC5607]. Since authentication is performed by the NETCONF transport layer, and RADIUS performs authentication and service authorization at the same time, it MUST be possible for the underlying NETCONF transport to report a set of group names associated with the user to the server.

2.6. Maintenance

It SHOULD be possible to disable part or all of the access control model without deleting any configuration. By default, only the 'superuser' SHOULD be able to perform this task.

It SHOULD be possible to configure a 'superuser' account so that all access control is disabled for just this user. This allows the access control rules to always be modified without completely disabling access control for all users.

2.7. Configuration Capabilities

Suitable control and monitoring mechanisms are needed to allow an operator to easily manage all aspects of the ACM behavior. A

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 10]

standard data model, suitable for use with the <edit-config> operation MUST be available for this purpose.

Access control rules to restrict operations on specific sub-trees within the configuration datastore MUST be supported. Existing mechanisms can be used to identify the sub-tree(s) for this purpose.

2.8. Identifying Security Holes

One of the most important aspects of the data model documentation, and biggest concerns during deployment, is the identification of security-sensitive content. This applies to operations in NETCONF, not just data and notifications.

It is mandatory for security-sensitive objects to be documented in the Security Considerations section of an RFC. This is nice, but it is not good enough, for the following reasons:

- o This documentation-only approach forces operators to study the RFC and determine if there are any potential security holes introduced by a new YANG module.
- o If any security holes are identified, then the operator can study some more RFC text, and determine how to close the security hole(s).
- o The ACM on each server can be configured to close the security holes, e.g., require privileged access to read or write the specific data identified in the Security Considerations section.
- If the ACM is not pre-configured, then there will be a time window of vulnerability, after the new module is loaded, and before the new access control rules for that module are configured, enabled, and debugged.

Often, the operator just wants to disable default access to the secure content, so no inadvertent or malicious changes can be made to the server. This allows the default rules to be more lenient, without significantly increasing the security risk.

A data model designer needs to be able to use machine-readable statements to identify NETCONF content which needs to be protected by default. This will allow client and server tools to automatically close data-model specific security holes, by denying access to sensitive data unless the user is explicitly authorized to perform the requested operation.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 11]

NACM

2.9. Data Shadowing

One of the more complicated security administration problems is identifying data nodes which shadow or mirror the content of another data node. An access control rule to prevent read operations for a particular node may be insufficient to prevent access to the data node with the copied value.

If the YANG leafref data type is used, then this data shadowing can be detected by applications (and the server stack), and prevented.

If the description statement, other documentation, or no documentation exists to identify a data shadow problem, then it may not be detected.

Since NETCONF allows any vendor operation to be added to the protocol, there is no way to reliably identify all of the operations that may expose copies of sensitive data nodes in <rpc-reply> messages.

A NETCONF server MUST ensure that unauthorized access to its conceptual datastores and non-configuration data nodes is prevented.

It is beyond the scope of this document to define access control enforcement procedures for underlying device instrumentation that may exist to support the NETCONF server operation. An operator can identify each operation that the server provides, and decide if it needs any access control applied to it.

Proprietary protocol operations SHOULD be properly documented by the vendor, so it is clear to operators what data nodes (if any) are affected by the operation, and what information (if any) is returned in the <rpc-reply> message.

2.10. NETCONF Specific Requirements

The server MUST be able to identify the specific protocol access request at the 4 access control points defined above.

The server MUST be able to identify any datastore access request, even for proprietary operations.

A client MUST always be authorized to invoke the <close-session> operation, defined in [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis].

A client MUST always be authorized to receive the <replayComplete> and <notificationComplete> notification events, defined in [RFC5277]

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 12]

The set of module name strings used within one particular server MUST be unique.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 13]

- 3. NETCONF Access Control Model (NACM)
- 3.1. Introduction

This section provides a high-level overview of the access control model structure. It describes the NETCONF protocol message processing model, and the conceptual access control requirements within that model.

3.1.1. Features

The NACM data model provides the following features:

- o Independent control of RPC, data, and notification access.
- o Very simple access control rules configuration data model which is easy to use.
- The concept of a 'superuser' type of account is supported, but configuration such an account is beyond the scope of this document. If the server supports a 'superuser' account, then it MUST be able to determine the actual user name for this account. A session associated with the superuser account will bypass all access control enforcement.
- o A simple and familiar set of datastore permissions is used.
- Support for YANG security tagging (e.g., nacm:secure extension) allows default security modes to automatically exclude sensitive data.
- o Separate default access modes for read, write, and execute permissions.
- o Access control rules are applied to configurable groups of users.
- o The entire ACM can be disabled during operation, in order to debug operational problems.
- o Access control rules are simple to configure.
- o The number of denied protocol operation requests and denied datastore write requests can be monitored by the client.
- o Simple unconstrained YANG instance identifiers are used to configure access control rules for specific data nodes.

NACM

3.1.2. External Dependencies

The NETCONF [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis] protocol is used for all management purposes within this document. It is expected that the mandatory transport mapping NETCONF Over SSH [I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc4742bis] is also supported by the server, and that the server has access to the user name associated with each session.

The YANG Data Modeling Language [RFC6020] is used to define the NETCONF data models specified in this document. The YANG instanceidentifier data type is used to configure data-node-specific access control rules.

3.1.3. Message Processing Model

The following diagram shows the NETCONF message flow model, including the points at which access control is applied, during NETCONF message processing.



Figure 2

The following high-level sequence of conceptual processing steps is executed for each received <rpc> message, if access control enforcement is enabled:

 Access control is applied to all <rpc> messages (except <closesession>) received by the server, individually, for each active session, unless the session is associated with the 'superuser' account.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 16]

- o If the session is authorized to execute the specified RPC operation, then processing continues, otherwise the request is rejected with an 'access-denied' error.
- o If the configuration datastore or conceptual state data is accessed by the protocol operation, then the data node access MUST be authorized. If the session is authorized to perform the requested operation on the requested data, then processing continues.

The following sequence of conceptual processing steps is executed for each generated notification event, if access control enforcement is enabled:

- o Server instrumentation generates a conceptual notification, for a particular subscription.
- o The notification access control enforcer checks the notification event type, and if it is one which the session is not authorized to read, then the notification is dropped for that subscription.
- 3.2. Model Components

This section defines the conceptual components related to access control model.

3.2.1. Users

A 'user' is the conceptual entity, which is associated with the access permissions granted to a particular session. A user is identified by a string which MUST be unique within the server.

As described in [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis], the user name string is derived from the transport layer during session establishment. If the transport layer cannot authenticate the user, the session is terminated.

The server MAY support a 'superuser' administrative user account, which will bypass all access control enforcement. This is useful for restricting initial access and repairing a broken access control configuration. This account may be configurable to use a specific user, or disabled completely. Some systems have factory-selected superuser account names. There is no need to standardize the exact user name for the superuser account. If no such account exists, then all NETCONF access will be controlled by NACM.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 17]

Internet-Draft

NACM

3.2.2. Groups

Access to a specific NETCONF operation is granted to a session, associated with a group, not a user.

A group is identified by its name. All group names MUST be unique within the server.

A group member is identified by a user name string.

The same user may be configured in multiple groups.

3.2.3. Sessions

A session is simply a NETCONF session, which is the entity which is granted access to specific NETCONF operations.

A session is associated with a single user name for the lifetime of the session.

3.2.4. Access Permissions

The access permissions are the NETCONF protocol specific set of permissions that have been assigned to a particular session.

The same access permissions MUST stay in effect for the processing of a particular message.

The server MUST use the access control rules in effect at the time the message is processed.

The access control model treats protocol operation execution separately from configuration datastore access and outgoing messages:

create: Permission to create conceptual server data.

update: Permission to modify existing conceptual server data.

delete: Permission to delete existing conceptual server data.

exec: Permission to invoke an protocol operation.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 18]

3.2.5. Global Enforcement Controls

A global on/off switch is provided to enable or disable all access control enforcement.

An on/off switch is provided to enable or disable default access to invoke protocol operations.

An on/off switch is provided to enable or disable default permission to receive data in replies and notifications.

An on/off switch is provided to enable or disable default access to alter configuration data.

3.2.6. Access Control Rules

There are 4 types of rules available in NACM:

- module rule: Controls access for definitions in a specific module, identified by its name.
- protocol operation rule: Controls access for a specific protocol operation, identified by its module and name.
- data node rule: Controls access for a specific data node, identified by its path location within the conceptual XML document for the data node.
- notification rule: Controls access for a specific notification event type, identified by its module and name.
- 3.3. Access Control Enforcement Procedures

There are seven separate phases that need to be addressed, four of which are related to the NETCONF message processing model. In addition, the initial start-up mode for a NETCONF server, session establishment, and 'access-denied' error handling procedures also need to be considered.

3.3.1. Initial Operation

Upon the very first start-up of the NETCONF server, the access control configuration will probably not be present. If not, a server MUST NOT allow any write access to any session role except 'superuser' type of account in this state.

There is no requirement to enforce access control rules before or while the non-volatile configuration data is processed and loaded

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 19]

NACM

into the running configuration.

3.3.2. Session Establishment

The access control model applies specifically to the well-formed XML content transferred between a client and a server, after session establishment has been completed, and after the <hello> exchange has been successfully completed.

A server SHOULD NOT include any sensitive information in any <capability> elements within the <hello> exchange.

Once session establishment is completed, and a user identity has been authenticated, the NETCONF transport layer reports the username and a possibly empty set of group names associated with the user to the NETCONF server. The NETCONF server will enforce the access control rules, based on the supplied user identity, group names, and the configuration data stored on the server.

3.3.3. 'access-denied' Error Handling

The 'access-denied' error-tag is generated when the access control system denies access to either a request to invoke a protocol operation or a request to perform a particular operation on the configuration datastore.

A server MUST NOT include any sensitive information in any <errorinfo> elements within the <rpc-error> response.

3.3.4. Incoming RPC Message Validation

The diagram below shows the basic conceptual structure of the access control processing model for incoming NETCONF <rpc> messages, within a server.

[Page 20]

NACM



Figure 3

Access control begins with the message dispatcher. Only well-formed XML messages will be processed by the server.

After the server validates the <rpc> element, and determines the namespace URI and the element name of the protocol operation being requested, the RPC access control enforcer verifies that the session is authorized to invoke the protocol operation.

The protocol operation is authorized by following these steps:

1. If the <enable-nacm> parameter is set to 'false', then the protocol operation is permitted.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 21]

- 2. If the session is associated with the 'superuser' account, then the protocol operation is permitted.
- 3. If the requested operation is the NETCONF <close-session> operation, then the protocol operation is permitted.
- 4. Check all the <group> entries for ones that contain a <username> entry that matches the user name for the session making the request. Add to these groups the set of groups provided by the transport layer.
- 5. If no groups are found:
 - * If the requested protocol operation is associated with a YANG module advertised in the server capabilities, and the rpc statement contains a nacm:secure or nacm:very-secure extension, then the protocol operation is denied.
 - * If the <exec-default> parameter is set to 'permit', then permit the protocol operation, otherwise deny the request.
- 6. Check if there are any matching <rpc-rule> entries for the requested protocol operation. Any matching rules are processed in user-defined order, in case there are multiple <rpc-rule> entries for the requested protocol operation.
- 7. If an <rpc-rule> entry is found, then check the <allowed-rights> bits field for the entry, otherwise continue. The 'exec' bit MUST be present in the <allowed-rights> bits field for an <rpc-rule>, so it is not used in this procedure.
- If the <rpc-rule> entry is considered a match, then the 'nacmaction' leaf is checked. If is equal to 'permit', then the protocol operation is permitted, otherwise it is denied.
- 9. Check if there are any matching <module-rule> entries for the same module as the requested protocol operation. Any matching rules are processed in user-defined order, in case there are multiple <module-rule> entries for the module containing the requested protocol operation.
- 10. If a <module-rule> entry is found, then check the <allowedrights> bits field for the entry, otherwise continue. If the 'exec' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the RPC rule is considered a match. otherwise it is not considered to match the request.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 22]

- 11. If the <module-rule> entry is considered a match, then the 'nacm-action' leaf is checked. If is equal to 'permit', then the protocol operation is permitted, otherwise it is denied.
- 12. If the requested operation is identified an a nacm:secure or nacm:very-secure protocol operation, then the protocol operation is denied.
- If the <exec-default> parameter is set to 'permit', then permit the protocol operation, otherwise the protocol operation is denied.

If the session is not authorized to invoke the protocol operation then an <rpc-error> is generated with the following information:

error-tag: access-denied

error-path: /rpc/method-QName, where 'method-QName' is a qualified name identifying the actual protocol operation name. For example, '/rpc/edit-config' represents the <edit-config> operation in the NETCONF base namespace.

If the configuration datastore is accessed, either directly or as a side effect of the protocol operation, then the server MUST intercept the operation and make sure the session is authorized to perform the requested operation on the specified data.

3.3.5. Data Node Access Validation

If a data node within a configuration datastore is accessed, or a conceptual non-configuration node is accessed, then the server MUST ensure that the client session is authorized to perform the requested operation create, read, update, or delete operation on the specified data node.

The data node access request is authorized by following these steps:

- 1. If the <enable-nacm> parameter is set to 'false', then the data node access request is permitted.
- 2. If the session is associated with the 'superuser' account, then the data node access request is permitted.
- 3. Check all the <group> entries for ones that contain a <username> entry that matches the user name for the session making the request. Add to these groups the set of groups provided by the transport layer.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 23]

- 4. If no groups are found:
 - * If the requested data node is associated with a YANG module advertised in the server capabilities, and the data definition statement or any of its ancestors contains a nacm: secure or nacm:very-secure extension, then the data node access request is denied.
 - * For a read request, if the <read-default> parameter is set to 'permit', then permit the data node access request, otherwise deny the request. For a read operation, this means that the requested node is not included in the rpc-reply.
 - * For a write request, if the <write-default> parameter is set to 'permit', then permit the data node access request, otherwise deny the request.
- 5. Check if there are any matching <data-rule> entries for the requested data node access request. Any matching rules are processed in user-defined order, in case there are multiple <data-rule> entries for the requested data node.
- If an <data-rule> entry is found, then check the <allowedrights> bits field for the entry, otherwise continue.
 - For a creation operation, if the 'create' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the entry is considered to be a match.
 - For a read operation, if the 'read' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field, then the entry is considered to be a match.
 - 3. For an update (e.g., 'merge' or 'replace') operation, if the 'update' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the entry is considered to be a match.
 - 4. For a deletion (e.g., 'delete') operation, if the 'delete' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the entry is considered to be a match.
- 7. If the <data-rule> entry is considered a match, then the 'nacmaction' leaf is checked. If it is equal to 'permit', then the data operation is permitted, otherwise it is denied. For 'read' operations, 'denied' means the requested data is not returned in the reply.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 24]

- 8. Check if there are any matching <module-rule> entries for the same module as the requested data node. Any matching rules are processed in user-defined order, in case there are multiple <module-rule> entries for the module containing the requested data node.
- 9. If a <module-rule> entry is found, then check the <allowedrights> bits field for the entry, otherwise continue.
 - 1. For a creation operation, if the 'create' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the entry is considered to be a match.
 - For a read operation, if the 'read' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field, then the entry is considered to be a match.
 - 3. For an update (e.g., 'merge' or 'replace') operation, if the 'update' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the entry is considered to be a match.
 - 4. For a deletion (e.g., 'delete') operation, if the 'delete' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the entry is considered to be a match.
- 10. If the <module-rule> entry is considered a match, then the 'nacm-action' leaf is checked. If it is equal to 'permit', then the data operation is permitted, otherwise it is denied. For 'read' operations, 'denied' means the requested data is not returned in the reply.
- 11. For a read request, if the requested data node is identified an a nacm:very-secure definition, then the requested data node is not included in the reply.
- 12. For a write request, if the requested data node is identified an a nacm:secure or nacm:very-secure definition, then the data node access request is denied.
- 13. For a read request, if the <read-default> parameter is set to 'permit', then include the requested data in the reply, otherwise do not include the requested data in the reply.
- 14. For a write request, if the <write-default> parameter is set to 'permit', then permit the data node access request, otherwise deny the request.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 25]
3.3.6. Outgoing <rpc-reply> Authorization

The <rpc-reply> message MUST be checked by the server to make sure no unauthorized data is contained within it. If so, the restricted data MUST be removed from the message before it is sent to the client.

For protocol operations which do not access any data nodes, then any client authorized to invoke the protocol operation is also authorized to receive the <rpc-reply> for that protocol operation.

3.3.7. Outgoing <notification> Authorization

The <notification> message MUST be checked by the server to make sure no unauthorized data is contained within it. If so, the restricted data MUST be removed from the message before it is sent to the client.

Configuration of access control rules specifically for descendent nodes of the notification event type element are outside the scope of this document. If the session is authorized to receive the notification event type, then it is also authorized to receive any data it contains.

The following figure shows the conceptual message processing model for outgoing <notification> messages.



Figure 4

The generation of a notification event for a specific subscription is authorized by following these steps:

- 1. If the <enable-nacm> parameter is set to 'false', then the notification event is permitted.
- 2. If the session is associated with the 'superuser' account, then the notification event is permitted.
- If the requested operation is the NETCONF <replayComplete> or <notificationComplete> event type, then the notification event is permitted.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 27]

- 4. Check all the <group> entries for ones that contain a <username> entry that matches the user name for the session that started the notification subscription. Add to these groups the set of groups provided by the transport layer.
- 5. If no groups are found:
 - * If the requested notification is associated with a YANG module advertised in the server capabilities, and the notification statement contains a nacm:secure or nacm:verysecure extension, then the notification event is dropped for the associated subscription.
 - * If the <read-default> parameter is set to 'permit', then permit the notification event, otherwise drop this event type for the associated subscription.
- 6. Check if there are any matching <notification-rule> entries for the specific notification event type being delivered to the subscription. Any matching rules are processed in user-defined order, in case there are multiple <notification-rule> entries for the requested notification event type.
- 7. If a <notification-rule> entry is found, then check the <allowed-rights> bits field for the entry, otherwise continue. If the 'read' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the notification event type is permitted, otherwise it is dropped for the associated subscription.
- 8. Check if there are any matching <module-rule> entries for the same module as the notification event type. Any matching rules are processed in user-defined order, in case there are multiple <module-rule> entries for the module containing the notification event type.
- 9. If a <module-rule> entry is found, then check the <allowedrights> bits field for the entry, otherwise continue. If the 'read' bit is present in the <allowed-rights> bits field then the notification event type is permitted, otherwise it is dropped for the associated subscription.
- 10. If the requested event type is identified an a nacm:very-secure notification definition, then the notification event type is denied.
- 11. If the <read-default> parameter is set to 'permit', then permit the notification event type, otherwise it is dropped for the associated subscription.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 28]

3.4. Data Model Definitions

This section defines the semantics of the conceptual data structures found in the data model in Section 3.4.

3.4.1. High Level Procedures

There are some high level management procedures that an administrator needs to consider before using this access control model:

- 1. Configure the global settings.
- 2. Configure one or more user groups.
- 3. Configure zero or more access control rules for specific modules.
- 4. Configure zero or more access control rules for specific protocol operations.
- 5. Configure zero or more access control rules for data node access.
- 6. Configure zero or more access control rules for notification event type access.
- 3.4.2. Data Organization

The top-level element is called <nacm>, and it is defined in the 'ietf-netconf-acm' module namespace.

There are several data structures defined as child nodes of the <nacm> element:

- leaf <enable-nacm>: On/off boolean switch to enable or disable
 access control enforcement.
- leaf <read-default>: Enumeration to permit or deny default read
 access requests.
- leaf <write-default>: Enumeration to permit or deny default write
 access requests.
- leaf <exec-default>: Enumeration to permit or deny default protocol
 operation execution requests.
- leaf <denied-rpcs>: Read-only counter of the number of times the server has denied an RPC operation request, since the last reboot of the server.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 29]

- leaf <denied-data-writes>: Read-only counter of the number of times
 the server has denied a data node write request, since the last
 reboot of the server.
- container <groups>: Configures the groups used within the access
 control system.
 - list <group>: A list of user names belonging to the same administrative group.
- container <rules>: Configures the access control rules used within
 the server.
 - list <module-rule>: Configures the access control rules for a
 specific module.
 - list <rpc-rule>: Configures the access control rules for protocol
 operation invocation.

3.4.3. YANG Module

The following YANG module is provided to specify the normative NETCONF content that MUST by supported by the server.

The ietf-netconf-acm YANG module imports typedefs from [RFC6021].

```
// RFC Ed.: please update the date to the date of publication
<CODE BEGINS> file="ietf-netconf-acm@2011-03-11.yang"
```

module ietf-netconf-acm {

namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-acm";

```
prefix "nacm";
```

```
import ietf-yang-types {
   prefix yang;
}
```

```
organization
```

```
"IETF NETCONF (Network Configuration) Working Group";
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 30]

contact "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netconf/>
WG List: <mailto:netconf@ietf.org> WG Chair: Mehmet Ersue <mailto:mehmet.ersue@nsn.com> WG Chair: Bert Wijnen <mailto:bertietf@bwijnen.net> Editor: Andy Bierman <mailto:andy.bierman@brocade.com> Editor: Martin Bjorklund <mailto:mbj@tail-f.com>"; description "NETCONF Server Access Control Model. Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved. Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info). This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices."; // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and // remove this note // RFC Ed.: remove this note // Note: extracted from draft-ietf-netconf-access-control-03.txt // RFC Ed.: please update the date to the date of publication revision "2011-03-11" { description "Initial version"; reference "RFC XXXX: Network Configuration Protocol Access Control Model"; } * Extension statements

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 31]

```
* /
extension secure {
 description
    "Used to indicate that the data model node
    represents a sensitive security system parameter.
    If present, and the NACM module is enabled
     (i.e., /nacm/enable-nacm object equals 'true'),
     the NETCONF server will only allow
    the designated 'superuser' to have write or execute
    default nacm-rights-type for the node. An explicit access
    control rule is required for all other users.
    The 'secure' extension MAY appear within a data, rpc,
    or notification node definition. It is ignored
    otherwise.";
}
extension very-secure {
 description
    "Used to indicate that the data model node
    controls a very sensitive security system parameter.
    If present, and the NACM module is enabled
     (i.e., /nacm/enable-nacm object equals 'true'),
    the NETCONF server will only allow
     the designated 'superuser' to have read, write, or execute
    default nacm-rights-type for the node. An explicit access
    control rule is required for all other users.
    The 'very-secure' extension MAY appear within a data, rpc,
     or notification node definition. It is ignored
    otherwise.";
}
 * Derived types
 */
typedef nacm-user-name-type {
 type string {
   length "1..max";
  }
 description
   "General Purpose User Name string.";
}
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 32]

```
March 2011
```

```
typedef nacm-matchall-string-type {
 type string {
   pattern "\*";
 description
    "The string containing a single asterisk '*' is used
     to conceptually represent all possible values
     for the particular leaf using this data type.";
}
typedef nacm-rights-type {
 type union {
    type nacm-matchall-string-type;
    type bits {
     bit create {
        description
          "Create access allowed to all specified data.
           Any protocol operation that creates a
           new instance of the specified data is a create
           operation.";
     bit read {
       description
          "Read access allowed to all specified data.
          Any protocol operation or notification that
          returns data to an application is a read
           operation.";
      bit update {
        description
          "Update access allowed to all specified data.
           Any protocol operation that alters an existing
           data node is an update operation.";
      }
     bit delete {
       description
          "Delete access allowed to all specified data.
          Any protocol operation that removes a datastore
           node instance is a delete operation.";
      }
     bit exec {
        description
          "Execution access to the specified RPC operation.
           Any RPC operation invocation is an exec operation.";
      }
   }
  }
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 33]

```
description
    "NETCONF Access Rights.
    The string '*' indicates that all possible access
    rights apply to the access rule. Otherwise, only
    the specific access rights represented by the bit names
     that are present apply to the access rule.";
}
typedef nacm-group-name-type {
  type string {
   length "1..max";
   pattern "[^\*].*";
 description
    "Name of administrative group that can be
    assigned to the user, and specified in
    an access control rule.";
}
typedef nacm-action-type {
 type enumeration {
   enum permit {
     description
       "Requested action is permitted.";
    }
   enum deny {
     description
        "Requested action is denied.";
   }
  }
 description
    "Action taken by the server when a particular
    rule matches.";
}
typedef schema-instance-identifier {
  type yang:xpath1.0;
 description
    "Path expression used to represent a special
    schema-instance identifier string.
    A schema-instance-identifier value is an
    unrestricted YANG instance-identifier expression.
    All the same rules as an instance-identifier apply
    except predicates for keys are optional. If a key
    predicate is missing, then the schema-instance-identifier
    represents all possible server instances for that key.
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 34]

```
This XPath expression is evaluated in the following context:
       o The set of namespace declarations are those in scope on
          the leaf element where this type is used.
       o The set of variable bindings contains one variable,
          'USER', which contains the name of user of the current
          session.
       o The function library is the core function library, but
          note that due to the syntax restrictions of an
          instance-identifier, no functions are allowed.
       o The context node is the root node in the data tree.";
}
container nacm {
 nacm:very-secure;
 description
    "Parameters for NETCONF Access Control Model.";
  leaf enable-nacm {
   type boolean;
    default true;
   description
      "Enable or disable all NETCONF access control
       enforcement. If 'true', then enforcement
       is enabled. If 'false', then enforcement
       is disabled.";
  }
  leaf read-default {
    type nacm-action-type;
    default "permit";
   description
      "Controls whether read access is granted if
      no appropriate rule is found for a
      particular read request.";
  }
  leaf write-default {
    type nacm-action-type;
    default "deny";
    description
      "Controls whether create, update, or delete access
      is granted if no appropriate rule is found for a
      particular write request.";
```

```
Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 35]
```

[Page 36]

```
}
leaf exec-default {
  type nacm-action-type;
 default "permit";
 description
    "Controls whether exec access is granted if no appropriate
     rule is found for a particular RPC operation request.";
}
leaf denied-rpcs {
  type yang:zero-based-counter32;
  config false;
 mandatory true;
 description
    "Number of times an RPC operation request was denied
     since the server last restarted.";
}
leaf denied-data-writes {
  type yang:zero-based-counter32;
  config false;
 mandatory true;
 description
    "Number of times a request to alter a data node
    was denied, since the server last restarted.";
}
container groups {
 description
    "NETCONF Access Control Groups.";
  list group {
   key name;
   description
      "One NACM Group Entry.";
    leaf name {
      type nacm-group-name-type;
      description
        "Group name associated with this entry.";
    }
    leaf-list user-name {
      type nacm-user-name-type;
      description
        "Each entry identifies the user name of
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011

```
a member of the group associated with
               this entry.";
          }
        }
      }
      container rules {
        description
          "NETCONF Access Control Rules.";
        grouping common-rule-parms {
          description
            "Common rule parameters.";
          leaf rule-name {
            type string {
              length "1..256";
            }
            description
              "Arbitrary name assigned to the
              access control rule.";
          }
          leaf allowed-rights {
            type nacm-rights-type;
            description
              "List of access rights granted to
               specified administrative groups for the
               content specified by the associated path.";
          }
          leaf-list allowed-group {
            type union {
              type nacm-matchall-string-type;
              type nacm-group-name-type;
            }
            min-elements 1;
            description
              "List of administrative groups which will be
               assigned the associated access rights
               for the content specified by the associated path.
               The string '*' indicates that all configured
               administrative groups apply to the entry.";
          }
          leaf nacm-action {
            type nacm-action-type;
                                                                [Page 37]
Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011
```

[Page 38]

```
mandatory true;
    description
      "The access control action associated with the
       rule. If a rule is determined to match a
       particular request, then this object is used
       to determine whether to permit or deny the
       request.";
  }
  leaf comment {
    type string {
      length "1..4095";
    }
    description
      "A textual description of the access rule.";
  }
}
list module-rule {
  key "module-name rule-name";
  ordered-by user;
  description
    "One Module Access Rule.
     Rules are processed in user-defined order. A module rule
     is considered a match if the XML namespace for the
     specified module name matches the XML namespace used
     within a NETCONF PDU, and the administrative group
     associated with the requesting session is specified in the
     'allowed-group' leaf-list, and the requested operation
is included in the 'allowed-rights' leaf.";
  leaf module-name {
    type string;
    description
      "Name of the module associated with this rule.";
  }
  uses common-rule-parms {
    refine allowed-rights {
      mandatory true;
    }
  }
}
list rpc-rule {
  key "module-name rpc-name rule-name";
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011

```
ordered-by user;
          description
             "One RPC Operation Access Rule.
             Rules are processed in user-defined order. An RPC rule is
              considered a match if the module name of the requested RPC
             operation matches 'module-name', the requested RPC operation matches 'rpc-name', and an administrative group
             associated with the session user is listed in the
              'allowed-group' leaf-list. The 'allowed-rights' leaf
              is ignored by the server if it is present.
             Only the 'exec' bit can possibly cause
             a match for an RPC rule.";
          leaf module-name {
            type string;
            description
              "Name of the module defining this RPC operation.";
          }
          leaf rpc-name {
            type string;
            description
              "Name of the RPC operation.";
          }
          uses common-rule-parms;
        }
        list data-rule {
          key "rule-name";
          ordered-by user;
          description
             "One Data Access Control Rule.
             Rules are processed in user-defined order. A data rule is
             considered to match when the path expression identifies
              the same node that is being accessed in the NETCONF
             datastore, and the administrative group associated with the
             session is identified in the 'allowed-group' leaf-list,
             and the requested operation is included in the
              'allowed-rights' leaf.";
          leaf path {
            type schema-instance-identifier;
            mandatory true;
Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011
                                                                  [Page 39]
```

```
description
      "Schema Instance Identifier associated with the data node
       controlled by this rule.
       Configuration data or state data instance identifiers
       start with a top-level data node. A complete instance
       identifier is required for this type of path value.
       The special value '/' refers to all possible datastore
       contents.";
  }
 uses common-rule-parms {
   refine allowed-rights {
     mandatory true;
    }
  }
}
list notification-rule {
 key "module-name
      notification-name
       rule-name";
  ordered-by user;
  description
    "One Notification Access Rule.
     A notification is considered a match if the module name of
     the requested event type matches
     'module-name', the requested event type
     matches the 'notification-name', and the administrative
     group associated with the requesting session is listed in
     the 'allowed-group' leaf-list. If the 'allowed-rights'
     leaf is present, it is ignored by the server.
     Only the 'read' bit can possibly cause
     a match for a notification rule.";
  leaf module-name {
    type string;
    description
      "Name of the module defining this
      notification event type.";
  }
  leaf notification-name {
    type string;
    description
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 40]

```
"Name of the notification event.";
}
uses common-rule-parms;
}
}
```

<CODE ENDS>

Figure 5

3.5. IANA Considerations

There are two actions that are requested of IANA: This document registers one URI in "The IETF XML Registry". Following the format in [RFC3688], the following has been registered.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-acm Registrant Contact: The IESG. XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers one module in the "YANG Module Names" registry. Following the format in [RFC6020], the following has been registered.

name: ietf-netconf-acm namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-acm prefix: nacm reference: RFC XXXX // RFC Ed.: Replace XXX with actual RFC number // and remove this note

3.6. Security Considerations

This entire document discusses access control requirements and mechanisms for restricting NETCONF protocol behavior within a given session.

Configuration of the access control system is highly sensitive to system security. A server may choose not to allow any user configuration to some portions of it, such as the global security level, or the groups which allowed access to system resources.

This document incorporates the optional use of a 'superuser' account,

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 41]

which can be used to bypass access control enforcement. It is suggested that the 'root' account not be used for NETCONF over SSH servers, because 'root' SSH logins SHOULD be disabled in the SSH server.

If the server chooses to allow user configuration of the access control system, then only sessions using the 'superuser' administrative user SHOULD be allowed to have write access to the data model.

If the server chooses to allow user retrieval of the access control system configuration, then only sessions using the 'superuser' administrative user SHOULD be allowed to have read access to the data model.

There is a risk that invocation of non-standard protocol operations will have undocumented side effects. An administrator needs to construct access control rules such that the configuration datastore is protected from such side effects. Also, such protocol operations SHOULD never be invoked by a session using the 'superuser' administrative user.

There is a risk that non-standard protocol operations, or even the standard <get> operation, may return data which 'aliases' or 'copies' sensitive data from a different data object. In this case, the namespace and/or the element name will not match the values for the sensitive data, which is then fully or partially copied into a different namespace and/or element. An administrator needs to avoid using data models which use this practice.

An administrator needs to restrict write access to all configurable objects within this data model. It is suggested that only sessions using the 'superuser' administrative role be permitted to configure the data model defined in this document.

If write access is allowed for configuration of access control rules, then care needs to be taken not to disrupt the access control enforcement.

An administrator needs to restrict read access to the following objects within this data model, which reveal access control configuration which could be considered sensitive.

- o enable-nacm
- o read-default

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 42]

- o write-default
- o exec-default
- o groups
- o rules

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 43]

Internet-Draft

NACM

4. References

4.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
- [RFC5277] Chisholm, S. and H. Trevino, "NETCONF Event Notifications", RFC 5277, July 2008.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.
- [RFC6021] Schoenwaelder, J., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6021, October 2010.
- [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis] Enns, R., Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., and A. Bierman, "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", draft-ietf-netconf-4741bis-09 (work in progress), February 2011.

[I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc4742bis]

Wasserman, M. and T. Goddard, "Using the NETCONF Configuration Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", draft-ietf-netconf-rfc4742bis-07 (work in progress), February 2011.

4.2. Informative References

- [RFC2865] Rigney, C., Willens, S., Rubens, A., and W. Simpson, "Remote Authentication Dial In User Service (RADIUS)", RFC 2865, June 2000.
- [RFC5607] Nelson, D. and G. Weber, "Remote Authentication Dial-In User Service (RADIUS) Authorization for Network Access Server (NAS) Management", RFC 5607, July 2009.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 44]

Appendix A. Usage Examples

The following XML snippets are provided as examples only, to demonstrate how NACM can be configured to perform some access control tasks.

A.1. <groups> Example

There needs to be at least one <group> entry in order for any of the access control rules to be useful.

The following XML shows arbitrary groups, and is not intended to represent any particular use-case.

```
<nacm xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-acm">
  <groups>
    <group>
     <name>admin</name>
     <user-name>admin</user-name>
      <user-name>andy</user-name>
    </group>
    <group>
      <name>monitor</name>
      <user-name>wilma</user-name>
      <user-name>bam-bam</user-name>
    </group>
    <group>
      <name>guest</name>
      <user-name>guest</user-name>
      <user-name>guest@example.com</user-name>
    </group>
```

```
</groups>
</nacm>
```

This example shows 3 groups:

- 1. The nacm:admin group contains 2 users named 'admin' and 'andy'.
- The nacm:monitor group contains 2 users named 'wilma' and 'bambam'.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 45]

Internet-Draft

 The nacm:guest group contains 2 users named 'guest' and 'guest@example.com'.

```
A.2. <module-rule> Example
```

Module rules are used to control access to all the content defined in a specific module. These rules are checked after none of the specific rules (i.e., rpc-rule, data-rule, or notification-rule) matched the current access request.

```
<nacm xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-acm">
  <rules>
    <module-rule>
      <module-name>ietf-netconf-monitoring</module-name>
      <rule-name>mod-1</rule-name>
      <allowed-rights>*</allowed-rights>
      <allowed-group>guest</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>deny</nacm-action>
      <comment>
          Do not allow guests any access to the netconf
          monitoring information.
      </comment>
    </module-rule>
    <module-rule>
      <module-name>ietf-netconf-monitoring</module-name>
      <rule-name>mod-2</rule-name>
      <allowed-rights>read</allowed-rights>
      <allowed-group>monitor</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>permit</nacm-action>
      <comment>
          Allow the monitor group read access to the netconf
          monitoring information.
      </comment>
    </module-rule>
    <module-rule>
      <module-name>*</module-name>
      <rule-name>mod-3</rule-name>
      <allowed-rights>exec</allowed-rights>
      <allowed-group>monitor</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>permit</nacm-action>
      <comment>
          Allow the monitor group to invoke any of the
          supported server operations.
      </comment>
    </module-rule>
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 46]

Internet-Draft

```
<module-rule>
   <module-name>*</module-name>
   <rule-name>mod-4</rule-name>
   <allowed-rights>*</allowed-rights>
   <allowed-group>admin</allowed-group>
   <nacm-action>permit</nacm-action>
   <comment>
        Allow the admin group complete access to all
        operations and data.
   </comment>
   </module-rule>
</rules>
```

```
</nacm>
```

This example shows 4 module rules:

mod-1: This rule prevents the guest group from reading any monitoring information in the ietf-netconf-monitoring YANG module.

- mod-2: This rule allows the monitor group to read the ietf-netconfmonitoring YANG module.
- mod-3: This rule allows the monitor group to invoke any protocol operation supported by the server.
- mod-4: This rule allows the admin group complete access to all content in the server. No subsequent rule will match for the admin group, because of this module rule.
- A.3. <rpc-rule> Example

RPC rules are used to control access to a specific protocol operation.

```
<nacm xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-acm">
  <rules>
    <rpc-rule>
      <module-name>ietf-netconf</module-name>
      <rpc-name>kill-session</rpc-name>
      <rule-name>rpc-1</rule-name>
      <allowed-group>monitor</allowed-group>
      <allowed-group>guest</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>deny</nacm-action>
      <comment>
       Do not allow the monitor or guest group
        to kill another session.
      </comment>
    </rpc-rule>
    <rpc-rule>
      <module-name>ietf-netconf</module-name>
      <rpc-name>delete-config</rpc-name>
      <rule-name>rpc-2</rule-name>
      <allowed-group>monitor</allowed-group>
      <allowed-group>guest</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>deny</nacm-action>
      <comment>
       Do not allow monitor or guest group
       to delete any configurations.
      </comment>
    </rpc-rule>
    <rpc-rule>
      <module-name>ietf-netconf</module-name>
      <rpc-name>edit-config</rpc-name>
      <rule-name>rpc-3</rule-name>
      <allowed-group>monitor</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>permit</nacm-action>
      <comment>
       Allow the monitor group to edit the configuration.
      </comment>
    </rpc-rule>
  </rules>
</nacm>
```

This example shows 3 protocol operation rules:

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 48]

- rpc-1: This rule prevents the monitor or guest groups from invoking the NETCONF <kill-session> protocol operation.
- rpc-2: This rule prevents the monitor or guest groups from invoking the NETCONF <delete-config> protocol operation.
- A.4. <data-rule> Example

Data rules are used to control access to specific (config and nonconfig) data nodes within the NETCONF content provided by the server.

```
<nacm xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-acm">
  <rules>
    <data-rule>
      <rule-name>data-1</rule-name>
      <path>/nacm</path>
      <allowed-rights>*</allowed-rights>
      <allowed-group>guest</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>deny</nacm-action>
      <comment>
       Deny the guest group any access to the /nacm data.
      </comment>
    </data-rule>
    <data-rule>
      <rule-name>data-acme-config</rule-name>
      <path xmlns:acme="http://example.com/ns/netconf">
        /acme:acme-netconf/acme:config-parameters
      </path>
      <allowed-rights>read create update delete</allowed-rights>
      <allowed-group>monitor</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>permit</nacm-action>
      <comment>
       Allow the monitor group complete access to the acme
       netconf configuration parameters. Showing long form
       of 'allowed-rights' instead of shorthand.
      </comment>
    </data-rule>
    <data-rule>
      <rule-name>dummy-itf</rule-name>
      <path xmlns:acme="http://example.com/ns/itf">
        /acme:interfaces/acme:interface[acme:name='dummy']
```

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 49]

```
</path>
      <allowed-rights>read update</allowed-rights>
      <allowed-group>monitor</allowed-group>
      <allowed-group>guest</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>permit</nacm-action>
      <comment>
        Allow the monitor and guest groups read
        and update access to the dummy interface.
      </comment>
    </data-rule>
    <data-rule>
      <rule-name>admin-itf</rule-name>
      <path xmlns:acme="http://example.com/ns/itf">
       /acme:interfaces/acme:interface
      </path>
      <allowed-rights>*</allowed-rights>
      <allowed-group>admin</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>permit</nacm-action>
      <comment>
       Allow admin full access to all acme interfaces.
       This is an example of an unreachable rule,
       because the admin group already has full access
       to all modules (see rule 'mod-4').
       All 'module-rule' entries will be checked
       before this 'data-rule' entry is checked.
      </comment>
    </data-rule>
  </rules>
</nacm>
```

This example shows 4 data rules:

data-1: This rule denies the guest group any access to the <nacm>
 sub-tree. Note that the default namespace is only applicable
 because this sub-tree is defined in the same namespace as the
 <data-rule> element.

data-acme-config: This rule gives the monitor group read-write access to the acme <config-parameters>.

dummy-itf: This rule gives the monitor and guest groups read-update access to the acme <interface>. entry named 'dummy'. This entry cannot be created or deleted by these groups, just altered.

Bierman & Bjorklund Expires September 12, 2011 [Page 50]

```
admin-itf: This rule gives the admin group read-write access to all
acme <interface>. entries. This is an example of an unreachable
rule because the 'mod-3' rule already gives the admin group full
access to this data.
```

```
A.5. <notification-rule> Example
```

Notification rules are used to control access to a specific notification event type.

```
<nacm xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-acm">
  <rules>
   <notification-rule>
      <module-name>acme-system</module-name>
      <notification-name>sys-config-change</notification-name>
      <rule-name>notif-1</rule-name>
     <allowed-group>monitor</allowed-group>
      <allowed-group>guest</allowed-group>
      <nacm-action>deny</nacm-action>
      <comment>
       Do not allow the guest or monitor groups
       to receive config change events.
      </comment>
    </notification-rule>
  </rules>
</nacm>
```

This example shows 1 notification rule:

notif-1: This rule prevents the monitor or guest groups from receiving the acme <sys-config-change> event type.

Internet-Draft

NACM

Appendix B. Change Log

-- RFC Ed.: remove this section before publication.

B.1. 02-03

Fixed improper usage of RFC 2119 keywords.

Changed term usage of 'database' to 'datastore'.

Clarified that 'secure' and 'very-secure' extensions only apply if the /nacm/enable-nacm object is 'true'.

B.2. 01-02

Removed authentication text and objects.

Changed module name from ietf-nacm to ietf-netconf-acm.

Updated NETCONF and YANG terminology.

Removed open issues section.

Changed some must to MUST in requirements section.

B.3. 00-01

Updated YANG anf YANG Types references.

Updated module namespace URI to standard format.

Updated module header meta-data to standard format.

Filled in IANA section.

B.4. 00

Initial version cloned from draft-bierman-netconf-access-control-02.txt.

[Page 52]

Authors' Addresses

Andy Bierman Brocade

Email: andy.bierman@brocade.com

Martin Bjorklund Tail-f Systems

Email: mbj@tail-f.com

NETCONF Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: September 10, 2011 A. Bierman Brocade March 9, 2011

Network Configuration Protocol System Notifications draft-ietf-netconf-system-notifications-03

Abstract

The NETCONF protocol provides mechanisms to manipulate configuration datastores. However, client applications often need to be aware of common NETCONF system events such as a change in NETCONF capabilities, which may impact management applications. Standard mechanisms are needed to support the monitoring of the NETCONF system events within the NETCONF server. This document defines a YANG module which allows a NETCONF client to receive notifications for some common events.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 10, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

Bierman

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 1]

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | 3 |
|---|--------|
| 1.1. Terminology | 3 |
| 2. YANG Module for NETCONF System Notifications | 3 |
| 2.1. Overview | 3 |
| 2.1.1. Notifications | 4 |
| 2.2. Definitions | 4 |
| 3. IANA Considerations | 11 |
| 4. Security Considerations | 11 |
| 5. Normative References | 11 |
| Appendix A. Change Log | 12 |
| A.1. 02-03 | 12 |
| A.2. 01-02 | 13 |
| A.3. 00-01 | 13 |
| A.4. 00 | 13 |
| Author's Address | 13 |

Bierman

Expires September 10, 2011 [Page 2]

1. Introduction

The NETCONF protocol [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis] provides mechanisms to manipulate configuration datastores. However, client applications often need to be aware of common NETCONF system events such as a change in NETCONF capabilities, which may impact management applications. Standard mechanisms are needed to support the monitoring of the NETCONF system events within the NETCONF server. This document defines a YANG module [RFC6020] which allows a NETCONF client to receive notifications for some common events.

1.1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

The following terms are defined in [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis]: o client o datastore o operation o server The following terms are defined in [RFC5277]: o event o stream o subscription The following term is defined in [RFC6020]: o data node

- 2. YANG Module for NETCONF System Notifications
- 2.1. Overview

The YANG module defined within this document specifies a small number of notification event messages for use within the 'NETCONF' stream, and accessible to clients via the subscription mechanism in [RFC5277].

These notifications pertain to the NETCONF portion of the managed system, not the entire system.

The YANG language is defined in [RFC6020].

Bierman

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

2.1.1. Notifications

This module defines some events for the 'NETCONF' stream to notify a client application that the NETCONF server state has changed.

- o netconf-config-change: Generated when the NETCONF server detects
 that the <running> or <startup> configuration datastore has
 changed. Summarizes each edit being reported.
- netconf-capability-change: Generated when the NETCONF server capabilities are changed. Indicates which capabilities have been added, deleted, and/or modified.
- o netconf-session-start: Generated when a NETCONF session is started. Indicates the identity of the user that started the session.
- o netconf-session-end: Generated when a NETCONF server detects that a session has terminated. Indicates the identity of the user that owned the session, and why the session was terminated.
- o netconf-confirmed-commit: Generated when a NETCONF confirmedcommit event occurs. Indicates the current state of the confirmed-commit operation in progress.

2.2. Definitions

```
<CODE BEGINS> file="ietf-netconf-system-notifications@2011-03-08.yang"
 module ietf-netconf-system-notifications {
   namespace
      "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-system-notifications";
    prefix ncsys;
    import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; }
    import ietf-netconf { prefix nc; }
    organization
     "IETF NETCONF (Network Configuration Protocol) Working Group";
    contact
     "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netconf/>
      WG List: <mailto:netconf@ietf.org>
      WG Chair: Bert Wijnen
                <mailto:bertietf@bwijnen.net>
      WG Chair: Mehmet Ersue
                <mailto:mehmet.ersue@nsn.com>
Bierman
                       Expires September 10, 2011
                                                                [Page 4]
```

```
NETCONF System Notifications
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
     Editor: Andy Bierman
              <mailto:andy.bierman@brocade.com>";
   description
     "This module defines an YANG data model for use with the
     NETCONF protocol that allows the NETCONF client to
     receive common NETCONF system notification events.
     Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
     the document authors. All rights reserved.
     Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
     without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
     to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
     set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
     Relating to IETF Documents
     (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
     This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
     the RFC itself for full legal notices.";
    // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this note
    // RFC Ed.: remove this note
    // Note: extracted from
    // draft-ietf-netconf-system-notifications-03.txt
   revision 2011-03-08 {
     description
        "Initial version.";
     reference
       "RFC XXXX: NETCONF System Notifications";
    }
    // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
    // RFC number and remove this note
  grouping common-session-parms {
    leaf username {
      description
        "Name of the user for the session.";
      type string;
      mandatory true;
     }
    leaf session-id {
      description
         "Identifier of the session.
         A non-NETCONF session will be identified by the value zero.";
```

Bierman Expires September 10, 2011 [Page 5]

```
Internet-Draft
                     NETCONF System Notifications
                                                              March 2011
       type nc:session-id-or-zero-type;
      mandatory true;
     }
    leaf source-host {
      description
         "Address of the remote host for the session.";
       type inet:ip-address;
    }
   }
  grouping changed-by-parms {
    container changed-by {
       description
         "Indicates who caused this change.
         If caused by internal action, then the
         empty leaf 'server' will be present.
         If caused by a management session, then
         the name, remote host address, and session ID
         of the session that made the change will be reported.";
       choice server-or-user {
        mandatory true;
        leaf server {
           type empty;
           description
             "If present, the change was caused
             by the server.";
         }
         case by-user {
          uses common-session-parms;
       } // choice server-or-user
    } // container changed-by-parms;
   }
  notification netconf-config-change {
    description
       "Generated when the NETCONF server detects that the
        <running> or <startup> configuration datastore has changed.";
    uses changed-by-parms;
    leaf target-datastore {
       type enumeration {
        enum running {
```

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 6]

Bierman

```
Internet-Draft
                   NETCONF System Notifications
                                                     March 2011
          description "The running datastore has changed.";
         }
        enum startup {
          description "The startup datastore has changed";
       }
      description
        "Indicates which configuration datastore has changed.";
      default "running";
     }
    list edit {
      description
         "An edit record will be present for each distinct
        edit operation on the target datastore.";
       leaf target {
        type instance-identifier;
        description
           "Topmost node associated with the configuration change.
           A server SHOULD set this object to the node within
           the datastore which is being altered. A server MAY
           set this object to one of the ancestors of the actual
           node that was changed, or omit this object, if the
           exact node is not known.";
       }
      leaf operation {
        type nc:edit-operation-type;
        description
            "Type of edit operation performed.
            A server MUST set this object to the NETCONF edit
            operation performed on the target datastore.";
      }
    } // list edit
   } // notification netconf-config-change
  notification netconf-capability-change {
    description
       "Generated when a <capability> is added, deleted,
       or modified.";
    uses changed-by-parms;
    leaf-list added-capability {
      type inet:uri;
      description
```

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 7]

Bierman
```
NETCONF System Notifications
                                                    March 2011
Internet-Draft
         "List of capabilities that have just been added.";
    }
    leaf-list deleted-capability {
      type inet:uri;
      description
         "List of capabilities that have just been deleted.";
     }
    leaf-list modified-capability {
      type inet:uri;
      description
         "List of capabilities that have just been modified.
         A capability is considered to be modified if the
         base URI for the capability has not changed, but
         one or more of the parameters encoded at the end of
         the capability URI has changed.
         The new modified value of the complete URI is returned.";
   } // notification netconf-capability-change
  notification netconf-session-start {
    description
       "Generated when a new NETCONF session is started.";
    uses common-session-parms;
   } // notification netconf-session-start
  notification netconf-session-end {
    description
       "Generated when a NETCONF session is terminated.";
    uses common-session-parms;
     leaf killed-by {
      when "../termination-reason = 'killed'";
      type nc:session-id-type;
      description
         "Session ID that issued the <kill-session>
         if the session was terminated by this operation.";
     }
    leaf termination-reason {
      type enumeration {
        enum "closed" {
          value 0;
          description
```

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 8]

Bierman

```
Internet-Draft
                    NETCONF System Notifications
                                                             March 2011
             "The session was terminated with
             the NETCONF <close-session> operation.";
         }
         enum "killed" {
          value 1;
          description
             "The session was terminated with
             the NETCONF <kill-session> operation.";
         }
         enum "dropped" {
          value 2;
          description
             "The session was terminated because
             the transport layer connection was
            unexpectedly closed.";
         }
         enum "timeout" {
          value 3;
          description
             "The session was terminated because
             of inactivity, either waiting for
             the <hello> or <rpc> messages.";
         }
         enum "bad-hello" {
          value 4;
          description
             "The client's <hello> message was invalid.";
         }
         enum "other" {
          value 5;
          description
             "The session was terminated for
             some other reason.";
         }
       }
      mandatory "true";
       description "Reason the session was terminated.";
     }
   } // notification netconf-session-end
  notification netconf-confirmed-commit {
    description
       "Generated when a confirmed-commit event occurs.";
    reference
       "I-D draft-ietf-netconf-4741bis section 8.4";
    uses common-session-parms {
```

Bierman

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 9]

```
NETCONF System Notifications
                                                              March 2011
Internet-Draft
       when "../confirm-event != 'timeout'";
     }
     leaf confirm-event {
       description
         "Indicates the event that caused the notification.";
       type enumeration {
         enum "start" {
           value 0;
           description
             "The confirm-commit procedure has started.";
         }
         enum "cancel" {
           value 1;
           description
             "The confirm-commit procedure has been canceled,
             due to the session being terminated, or an
             explicit <cancel-commit> operation.";
         }
         enum "timeout" {
           value 2;
           description
             "The confirm-commit procedure has been canceled,
             due to the confirm-timeout interval expiring.
             The common session parameters will not be present
             in this sub-mode.";
         }
         enum "extend" {
           value 3;
           description
             "The confirm-commit timeout has been extended.";
         }
         enum "complete" {
           value 4;
           description
             "The confirm-commit procedure has been completed.";
         }
       }
       mandatory "true";
     }
     leaf timeout {
       when
        "../confirm-event = 'start' or ../confirm-event = 'extend'";
       description
        "The configured timeout value if the event type
         is 'start' or 'extend'. This value represents the
         the approximate number of seconds from the event
Bierman
                       Expires September 10, 2011
                                                                [Page 10]
```

```
Internet-Draft NETCONF System Notifications March 2011
    time when the 'timeout' event might occur.";
    units "seconds";
    type uint32;
    }
    // notification netconf-confirmed-commit
}
```

```
<CODE ENDS>
```

3. IANA Considerations

This document registers one XML namespace URN in the 'IETF XML registry', following the format defined in [RFC3688].

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-system-notifications

Registrant Contact: The NETCONF WG of the IETF.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers one module name in the 'YANG Module Names' registry, defined in $[{\tt RFC6020}]$.

name: ietf-netconf-system-notifications
prefix: ncsys
namespace:
urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-system-notifications
RFC: XXXX // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX and remove this comment

4. Security Considerations

This document defines a YANG module for reporting of particular system events. Although unlikely, it is possible that data obtained from this module could be used in an attack of some kind, although no specific information in this module is considered sensitive.

TBD: follow Security Consideration guidelines from new template text.

- 5. Normative References
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

Bierman

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 11]

- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
- [RFC5277] Chisholm, S. and H. Trevino, "NETCONF Event Notifications", RFC 5277, July 2008.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.
- [RFC6021] Schoenwaelder, J., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6021, October 2010.

[I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis]

Enns, R., Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., and A. Bierman, "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", draft-ietf-netconf-4741bis-09 (work in progress), February 2011.

Appendix A. Change Log

- -- RFC Ed.: remove this section before publication.
- A.1. 02-03

Renamed module back to NETCONF system notifications. The module is now ietf-netconf-system-notifications. The namespace and prefix are now changed as well.

Leaf user-name is now username, and is now mandatory, to be consistent with netconf monitoring module.

Leaf remote-host is now source-host to be consistent with netconf monitoring module.

The changed-by choice (server-or-user) is now mandatory.

The netconf-config-change description was updated and leaf target-database is now named target-datastore.

Term 'database' changed to term 'datastore' in text.

netconf-confirmed-commit: changed uses common-session-parms to use when-stmt not refine-stmt.

netconf-capability-change: updated description text.

Bierman

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 12]

A.2. 01-02

Renamed module NETCONF Events instead of NETCONF system notifications. Note that ietf-netconf-notifications is being reserved for the XML content defined in RFC 5277.

Made changes based on mailing list comments and latest WG consensus.

Filled in IANA section.

A.3. 00-01

Removed sys-startup notification.

Make changed-by into a grouping, and added usage to sys-config-change notification.

Added target-database leaf to sys-config-change to distinguish between running and startup changes.

Removed 'bad-start' from termination-reason leaf in sys-session-end notification.

A.4. 00

Initial version, based on draft-bierman-netconf-system-monitoring-00.txt.

Author's Address

Andy Bierman Brocade

Email: andy.bierman@brocade.com

Bierman

Expires September 10, 2011

[Page 13]

NETCONF Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: May 15, 2011 A. Bierman Brocade B. Lengyel Ericsson November 11, 2010

With-defaults capability for NETCONF draft-ietf-netconf-with-defaults-14

Abstract

The NETCONF protocol defines ways to read and edit configuration data from a NETCONF server. In some cases, part of this data may not be set by the NETCONF client, but rather a default value known to the server is used instead. In many situations the NETCONF client has a priori knowledge about default data, so the NETCONF server does not need to save it in a NETCONF configuration datastore or send it to the client in a retrieval operation reply. In other situations the NETCONF client will need this data from the server. Not all server implementations treat this default data the same way. This document defines a capability-based extension to the NETCONF protocol that allows the NETCONF client to identify how defaults are processed by the server, and also defines new mechanisms for client control of server processing of default data.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 15, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 1]

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | . 4 |
|---|-------|
| 1.1. Terminology | . 4 |
| 1.2. Defaults Handling Behavior | . 5 |
| 1.3. Client Controlled Retrieval of Default Data | . 5 |
| 2. Defaults Handling Basic Modes | . 6 |
| 2.1. 'report-all' Basic Mode | . 6 |
| 2.1.1. 'report-all' Basic Mode Retrieval | . 7 |
| 2.1.2. 'report-all' <with-defaults> Retrieval</with-defaults> | . 7 |
| 2.1.3. 'report-all' <edit-config> and <copy-config></copy-config></edit-config> | |
| Behavior | . 7 |
| 2.2. 'trim' Basic Mode | . 7 |
| 2.2.1. 'trim' Basic Mode Retrieval | . 7 |
| 2.2.2. 'trim' <with-defaults> Retrieval</with-defaults> | . 7 |
| 2.2.3. 'trim' <edit-config> and <copy-config> Behavior</copy-config></edit-config> | . 8 |
| 2.3. 'explicit' Basic Mode | . 8 |
| 2.3.1. 'explicit' Basic Mode Retrieval | . 8 |
| 2.3.2. 'explicit' <with-defaults> Retrieval</with-defaults> | . 8 |
| 2.3.3. 'explicit' <edit-config> and <copy-config> Behavior</copy-config></edit-config> | . 8 |
| 3. Retrieval of Default Data | . 9 |
| 3.1. 'report-all' Retrieval Mode | . 9 |
| 3.2. 'trim' Retrieval Mode | . 9 |
| 3.3. 'explicit' Retrieval Mode | . 9 |
| 3.4. 'report-all-tagged' Retrieval Mode | . 10 |
| 4. With-defaults Capability | . 10 |
| 4.1. Overview | . 10 |
| 4.2. Dependencies | . 11 |
| 4.3. Capability Identifier | . 11 |
| 4.4. New Operations | . 11 |
| 4.5. Modifications to Existing Operations | . 11 |
| 4.5.1. <get>, <get-config>, and <copy-config> Operations .</copy-config></get-config></get> | . 11 |
| 4.5.2. <edit-config> Operation</edit-config> | . 13 |
| 4.5.3. Other Operations | . 13 |
| 4.6 Interactions with Other Capabilities | 14 |
| 5. YANG Module for the <with-defaults> Parameter</with-defaults> | . 14 |
| 6. XSD for the 'default' Attribute | . 17 |
| | • - / |

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011

[Page 2]

Internet-Draft with-defaults November 2010

| 7 TA | | | ц. 2. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10 |
|--------------|---|------|---------|------|------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------|
| /. IA | NA CONSIG | uera | . L L (| SUF. | 3 | · | · | • | · | · | · | · | · | · | • | • | · | · | · | · | · | • | · | · | · | • | 19 |
| 8. Se | curity C | onsı | ae: | rat | 210 | ns | 5 | · | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | 19 |
| 9. AC | knowledg | emen | ts | • | • | · | · | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | 19 |
| 10. No | rmative 1 | Reie | re | nce | es , | · | · | · | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | · | · | · | · | · | • | • | · | · | • | 20 |
| Append | ix A. U | sage | E | xan | npl | .es | 5 | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 20 |
| A.1. | Example | e YA | NG | Мс | οdι | le | 2 | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 20 |
| A.2. | Exampl | e Da | ta | Se | et | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 22 |
| A.3. | Protoc | ol O | pe | rat | cio | n | Εx | ar | np] | lea | 5 | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 23 |
| Α. | 3.1. <w< td=""><td>ith-</td><td>de</td><td>faı</td><td>ılt</td><td>:s></td><td>• =</td><td>-</td><td>'re</td><td>epo</td><td>ort</td><td>2-8</td><td>al]</td><td>. '</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>23</td></w<> | ith- | de | faı | ılt | :s> | • = | - | 're | epo | ort | 2-8 | al] | . ' | • | • | | | | | | • | • | | | • | 23 |
| Α. | 3.2. <w< td=""><td>ith-</td><td>de</td><td>faι</td><td>ılt</td><td>:s></td><td>• =</td><td>-</td><td>'re</td><td>epo</td><td>ort</td><td>2-a</td><td>al]</td><td>_ – t</td><td>cag</td><td>gge</td><td>ed '</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td></w<> | ith- | de | faι | ılt | :s> | • = | - | 're | epo | ort | 2 -a | al] | _ – t | cag | gge | ed ' | | | | | | | | | | 24 |
| Α. | 3.3. <w< td=""><td>ith-</td><td>de</td><td>fαι</td><td>ılt</td><td>:s></td><td>• =</td><td>-</td><td>'tı</td><td>rir</td><td>n ′</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>27</td></w<> | ith- | de | fαι | ılt | :s> | • = | - | 'tı | rir | n ′ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 27 |
| Α. | 3.4. <w< td=""><td>ith-</td><td>de</td><td>fαι</td><td>ılt</td><td>:s></td><td>• =</td><td>-</td><td>'ez</td><td>kp]</td><td>lid</td><td>cit</td><td>_ '</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>28</td></w<> | ith- | de | fαι | ılt | :s> | • = | - | 'ez | kp] | lid | cit | _ ' | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 28 |
| Append | ix B. Cl | hang | e I | Log | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29 |
| B.1. | 13-14 | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29 |
| в.2. | 12-13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29 |
| в.3. | 11-12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29 |
| в.4. | 10-11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29 |
| В.5. | 09-10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29 |
| B.6. | 08-09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29 |
| B.7. | 07-08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 30 |
| B 8 | 06-07 | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | - | 30 |
| в9 | 05-06 | ••• | • | • | · | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | 30 |
| B 10 | 04 - 05 | ••• | • | • | · | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | 31 |
| B.10 B.11 | 03-04 | • • | · | • | · | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | · | · | · | · | · | · | • | • | · | · | • | 31 |
| D.11 D.12 | . 03 04 | • • | • | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | • | • | · | • | · | • | · | · | • | • | • | 21 |
| D.12 D 12 | . 02-03 | • • | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 27 |
| D.13 D 14 | . 01-02 | ••• | • | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | • | • | • | · | • | · | • | • | · | • | • | • | 24 |
| B.14 D.15 | . 00-01 | • • | • | • | · | · | · | · | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | · | • | • | • | • | • | • | 3⊿ 22 |
| B.15 | 00 . | ••• | • | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | · | • | • | · | • | · | • | · | · | • | • | • | 3∠ 20 |
| Author | s' Addre | sses | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 32 |

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 3]

with-defaults

1. Introduction

The NETCONF protocol [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis] defines ways to read configuration and state data from a NETCONF server. Part of the configuration data may not be set by the NETCONF client, but rather by a default value from the data model. In many situations the NETCONF client has a priori knowledge about default data, so the NETCONF server does not need to send it to the client. A priori knowledge can be obtained, e.g., a document formally describing the data models supported by the NETCONF server.

It can be important for a client to know exactly how a server implementation will handle default data. There are subtle differences in some protocol operations where the defaults handling behavior of the server will affect the outcome of the operation.

1.1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Data model schema: A document or set of documents describing the data models supported by the NETCONF server.

- Management Application: A computer program running outside the NETCONF server that configures or supervises the NETCONF server. A management application can reach the device e.g. via NETCONF, command line interface (CLI) or Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP).
- Schema default data: Data specified in the data model schema as default, that is set or used by the device whenever the NETCONF client or other management application/user does not provide a specific value for the relevant data node. Schema default data may or may not be stored as part of a configuration datastore, depending on the basic mode used by a particular server.
- Default data: Conceptual data containing a default value. Default data is not kept in a datastore. Not all servers use the same criteria to decide if a data node is actually instantiated in a datastore. If a data node is not present in a datastore, and a schema default definition is in use by the server instead, then it is considered to be a default data node.

Default value: A default value is a value for a data node instance that is conceptually in use by the server, when the data node instance does not exist. Explicitly set data: Data that is set to any value by a NETCONF client or other management application by the way of an explicit management operation, including any data model schema default value. Any value set by the NETCONF server which is not the schema defined default value is also considered explicitly set data.

<with-defaults> retrieval: Refers to a protocol operation which includes the <with-default> parameter to control the handling of default data.

The following terms are defined in [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis]: o client

- o datastore
- o operation
- o server

The following term is defined in [RFC6020]: o data node

1.2. Defaults Handling Behavior

The defaults handling behavior used by a server will impact NETCONF protocol operations in two ways:

- 1. Data retrieval: A server is normally allowed to exclude data nodes which it considers to contain the default value. The actual nodes omitted depends on the defaults handling behavior used by the server.
- Create and delete operations: The <edit-config> 'operation' attribute can be used to create and/or delete specific data nodes. These operations depend on whether the target node currently exists or not. The server's defaults handling behavior will determine whether the requested node currently exists in the configuration datastore or not.

1.3. Client Controlled Retrieval of Default Data

A networking device may have a large number of default values. Often the default values are specifically defined with a reasonable value, documented and well-known, so that the management user does not need to handle them. For these reasons it is quite common for networking devices to suppress the output of parameters having the default value.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 5]

However, there are use-cases when a NETCONF client will need the default data from the server:

- The management application often needs a single, definitive and complete set of configuration values that determine how the networking device works.
- o Documentation about default values can be unreliable or unavailable.
- o Some management applications might not have the capabilities to correctly parse and interpret formal data models.
- o Human users might want to understand the received data without consultation of the documentation.

In all these cases, the NETCONF client will need a mechanism to retrieve default data from a NETCONF server.

This document defines a NETCONF protocol capability to identify the server defaults handling behavior, an XML attribute to identify default data, and a YANG module extension to the NETCONF protocol that allows the NETCONF client to control whether default data is returned by the server.

2. Defaults Handling Basic Modes

Not all server implementations treat default data in the same way. Instead of forcing a single implementation strategy, this document allows a server to advertise a particular style of defaults handling, and the client can adjust accordingly.

NETCONF servers report default data in different ways. This document specifies three standard defaults handling basic modes that a server implementor may choose from:

- o report-all
- o trim
- o explicit

A server MUST select one of the three basic modes defined in this section for handling default data.

2.1. 'report-all' Basic Mode

A server which uses the 'report-all' basic mode does not consider any data node to be default data, even schema default data.

with-defaults

2.1.1. 'report-all' Basic Mode Retrieval

When data is retrieved from a server using the 'report-all' basic mode, and the <with-defaults> parameter is not present, all data nodes MUST be reported.

2.1.2. 'report-all' <with-defaults> Retrieval

If the 'report-all' basic mode is used by the server, then the server MUST support the <with-defaults> parameter with a value equal to 'report-all', as specified in Section 3.1.

2.1.3. 'report-all' <edit-config> and <copy-config> Behavior

The server MUST consider every data node to exist, even those containing a schema default value. A valid 'create' operation attribute for a data node that contains its schema default value MUST fail with a 'data-exists' error-tag. A valid 'delete' operation attribute for a data node that contains its schema default value MUST succeed, even though the data node is immediately replaced by the server with the default value.

A server which uses the 'report-all' basic-mode has no concept of a default node, so the 'report-all-tagged' <with-defaults> retrieval mode is not relevant. There will never be any tagged nodes, since there are no nodes which are omitted in a basic-mode retrieval operation. If the 'default' attribute is present in any configuration data, the server MUST return an <rpc-error> response with an 'unknown-attribute' error-tag.

2.2. 'trim' Basic Mode

A server which uses the 'trim' basic mode MUST consider any data node set to its schema default value to be default data.

2.2.1. 'trim' Basic Mode Retrieval

When data is retrieved from a server using the 'trim' basic mode, and the <with-defaults> parameter is not present, data nodes MUST NOT be reported if they contain the schema default value. Non-configuration data nodes containing the schema default value MUST NOT be reported.

2.2.2. 'trim' <with-defaults> Retrieval

If the 'trim' basic mode is used by the server, then the server MUST support the <with-defaults> parameter with a value equal to 'trim', as specified in Section 3.2.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 7]

with-defaults November 2010

2.2.3. 'trim' <edit-config> and <copy-config> Behavior

The server MUST consider any data node that does not contain its schema default value to exist. A valid 'create' operation attribute for a data node that has a schema default value defined MUST succeed. A valid 'delete' operation attribute for a missing data node that has a schema default value MUST fail. The server MUST return an <rpcerror> response with a 'data-missing' error-tag.

If a client sets a data node to its schema default value, using any valid operation, it MUST succeed, although the data node MUST NOT be saved in the NETCONF configuration datastore. This has the same effect as removing the data node and treating it as default data.

If the server supports the 'report-all-tagged' value for the <withdefaults> parameter, then the 'default' attribute MUST be accepted in configuration input, as described in Section 4.5.1 and Section 4.5.2.

2.3. 'explicit' Basic Mode

A server which uses the 'explicit' basic mode MUST consider any data node that is not explicitly set data to be default data.

2.3.1. 'explicit' Basic Mode Retrieval

When data is retrieved from a server using the 'explicit' basic mode, and the <with-defaults> parameter is not present, data nodes MUST be reported if explicitly set by the client, even if they contain the schema default value. Non-configuration data nodes containing the schema default value MUST be reported.

2.3.2. 'explicit' <with-defaults> Retrieval

If the 'explicit' basic mode is used by the server, the server MUST support the <with-defaults> parameter with a value equal to 'explicit', as specified in Section 3.3.

2.3.3. 'explicit' <edit-config> and <copy-config> Behavior

The server considers any data node that is explicitly set data to exist. A valid 'create' operation attribute for a data node that has been set by a client to its schema default value MUST fail with a 'data-exists' error-tag. A valid 'create' operation attribute for a data node that has been set by the server to its schema default value MUST succeed. A valid 'delete' operation attribute for a data node that has been set by a client to its schema default value MUST succeed. A valid 'delete' operation attribute for a data node that has been set by the server to its schema default value MUST fail with

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 8] a 'data-missing' error-tag.

If the server supports the 'report-all-tagged' retrieval mode in its :with-defaults capability, then the 'default' attribute MUST be accepted in configuration input. If all NETCONF <edit-config> or <copy-config> parameters are valid, then the server will treat a tagged data node (i.e., the 'default' attribute set to 'true' or '1') as a request to return that node to default data. If this request is valid within the context of the requested NETCONF operation, then the data node is removed and returned to its default value. The data node within the NETCONF message MUST contain a value in this case, which MUST be equal to the schema default value. If not, the server MUST return an <rpc-error> response with a 'invalid-value' error-tag.

3. Retrieval of Default Data

This document defines a new parameter, called <with-defaults>, which can be added to specific NETCONF operation request messages to control how retrieval of default data is treated by the server.

A server which implements this specification MUST accept the <withdefaults> parameter containing the enumeration for any of the defaults handling modes it supports. The <with-defaults> parameter contains one of the four enumerations defined in this section.

3.1. 'report-all' Retrieval Mode

When data is retrieved with a <with-defaults> parameter equal to 'report-all', all data nodes MUST be reported, including any data nodes considered to be default data by the server.

3.2. 'trim' Retrieval Mode

When data is retrieved with a <with-defaults> parameter equal to 'trim', data nodes MUST NOT be reported if they contain the schema default value. Non-configuration data nodes containing the schema default value MUST NOT be reported.

3.3. 'explicit' Retrieval Mode

When data is retrieved with a <with-defaults> parameter equal to 'explicit', a data node which was set by a client to its schema default value MUST be reported. A conceptual data node which would be set by the server to the schema default value MUST NOT be reported. Non-configuration data nodes containing the schema default value MUST be reported.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 9]

with-defaults

3.4. 'report-all-tagged' Retrieval Mode

In addition to the basic modes, a special variant of the 'report-all' basic mode is available called 'report-all-tagged'. This mode MUST be supported on a server if the 'also-supported' parameter in the :with-defaults capability contains the 'report-all-tagged' option. Refer to Section 4 for encoding details for this capability.

In this mode the server returns all data nodes, just like the 'report-all' mode, except a data node that is considered by the server to contain default data will include an XML attribute to indicate this condition. This is useful for an application to determine which nodes are considered to contain default data by the server, within a single retrieval operation.

A server which supports 'report-all-tagged' MUST also accept the 'default' XML attribute within configuration input to the <editconfig> or <copy-config> operations. Refer to Section 6 for XML encoding details of the 'default' XML attribute.

- 4. With-defaults Capability
- 4.1. Overview

The :with-defaults capability indicates which defaults handling basic mode is supported by the server. It may also indicate support for additional defaults retrieval modes. These retrieval modes allow a NETCONF client to control whether default data is returned by the server. The capability affects both configuration and state data (while acknowledging that the usage of default values for state data is less prevalent). Sending of default data is controlled for each individual operation separately.

A NETCONF server implementing the :with-defaults capability:

- o MUST indicate its basic mode behavior by including the 'basicmode' parameter in the capability URI, as defined in Section 4.3.
- o MUST support the YANG module defined in Section 5 for the defaults handling mode indicated by the 'basic-mode' parameter.
- SHOULD support the YANG module in Section 5 for the defaults handling mode identified by the 'report-all' or 'report-alltagged' enumeration value.
- o If the 'report-all-tagged' defaults handling mode is supported, then the 'default' attribute MUST be supported.
- o MAY support the YANG module in Section 5 for additional defaults handling modes.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 10]

with-defaults

4.2. Dependencies

None

4.3. Capability Identifier

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:with-defaults:1.0

The identifier MUST have a parameter: "basic-mode". This indicates how the server will treat default data, as defined in Section 2. The allowed values of this parameter are 'report-all', 'trim', and 'explicit', as defined in Section 2.

The identifier MAY have another parameter: "also-supported". This parameter indicates which additional enumeration values (besides the basic-mode enumeration), the server will accept for the <with-defaults> parameter in Section 5. The value of the parameter is a comma separated list of one or more modes that are supported beside the mode indicated in the 'basic-mode' parameter. Possible modes are 'report-all', 'report-all-tagged', 'trim', and 'explicit', as defined in Section 3.

Note that this protocol capability URI is separate from the YANG module capability URI for the YANG module in Section 5. A server which implements this module MUST also advertise a YANG module capability URI according to the rules specified in [RFC6020].

Examples:

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:with-defaults:1.0?basicmode=explicit

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:with-defaults:1.0?basicmode=explicit&also-supported=report-all,report-all-tagged

4.4. New Operations

None

4.5. Modifications to Existing Operations

4.5.1. <get>, <get-config>, and <copy-config> Operations

A new <with-defaults> XML element is added to the input for the <get>, <get-config> and <copy-config> operations. If the <withdefaults> element is present, it controls the reporting of default data. The server MUST return default data in the NETCONF <rpc-reply> messages according to the value of this element, if the server

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 11]

with-defaults

supports the specified retrieval mode.

This parameter only controls these specified retrieval operations, and does not impact any other operations or the non-volatile storage of configuration data.

The <with-defaults> element is defined in the XML namespace for the ietf-netconf-with-defaults.yang module in Section 5, not the XML namespace for the <get>, <get-config> and <copy-config> operations.

Allowed values of the with-defaults element are taken from the 'withdefaults-type' typedef in Section 5. The allowed values for a particular server are restricted to the values that the server indicates it supports within the :with-defaults capability, in the 'basic-mode' and 'also-supported' parameters.

If an unsupported value is used, the NETCONF server MUST return an <rpc-error> response with an 'invalid-value' error-tag.

If the <with-defaults> element is not present, the server MUST follow its basic mode behavior as indicated by the :with-defaults capability identifier's 'basic-mode' parameter, defined in Section 4.3.

The <get> and <get-config> operations support a separate filtering mechanism, using the <filter> parameter. The defaults filtering is conceptually done before the <filter> parameter is processed. For example, if the <with-defaults> parameter is equal to 'report-all', then the <filter> parameter is conceptually applied to all data nodes and all default data.

The <copy-config> operation is only affected by the <with-defaults> parameter if the target of the operation is specified with the <url> parameter. If the target is a NETCONF configuration datastore (i.e., running, candidate or startup), the <with-defaults> parameter has no effect. The server MUST use its basic mode when copying data to a NETCONF configuration datastore. If the <with-defaults> parameter is present in this case, it MUST be silently ignored by the server.

If the server supports the 'report-all-tagged' mode, then the 'default' attribute defined in Section 6 also impacts the <copyconfig> operation. If the 'default' attribute is present and set to 'true' or '1', then the server MUST treat the new data node as a request to return that node to its default value (i.e., remove it from the configuration datastore). The data node within the NETCONF message MUST contain a value in this case, which MUST be equal to the schema default value. If not, the server MUST return an <rpc-error> response with a 'invalid-value' error-tag.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 12]

with-defaults

4.5.2. <edit-config> Operation

The <edit-config> operation has several editing modes. The 'create' and 'delete' editing operations are affected by the defaults handling basic mode. The other enumeration values for the NETCONF operation attribute are not affected.

If the operation attribute contains the value 'create', and the data node already exists in the target configuration datastore, then the server MUST return an <rpc-error> response with a 'invalid-value' error-tag.

If the client sets a data node to its schema default value, the server MUST accept the request if it is valid. The server MUST keep or discard the new value based on its defaults handling basic mode. For the 'trim' basic mode, all schema default values are discarded, otherwise a client-provided schema default value is saved in a NETCONF configuration datastore.

If the server supports the 'report-all-tagged' mode, then the 'default' attribute defined in Section 6 also impacts the <editconfig> operation. If the 'default' attribute is present and set to 'true' or 'l', then the server MUST treat the new data node as a request to return that node to its default value (i.e., remove it from the configuration datastore). The data node within the NETCONF message MUST contain a value in this case, which MUST be equal to the schema default value. If not, the server MUST return an <rpc-error> response with a 'invalid-value' error-tag.

If the 'default' attribute is present, then the effective operation for the target data node MUST be 'create', 'merge' or 'replace'. If not, then the server MUST return an <rpc-error> response with an 'invalid-value' error-tag. For example, if 'create' is the effective operation, then the create request must be valid on its own (e.g., current data node MUST NOT exist). The procedure for determining the effective operation is defined in [I-D.ietf-netconf-474lbis]. It is derived from the 'default-operation' parameter and/or any operation attributes that are present in the data node or any of its ancestor nodes, within the <edit-config> request.

4.5.3. Other Operations

Other operations that return configuration data SHOULD also handle default data according to the rules set in this document, and explicitly state this in their documentation. If this is not specified in the document defining the respective operation, the default handling rules described herein do not affect these operations.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 13]

```
4.6. Interactions with Other Capabilities
```

None

5. YANG Module for the <with-defaults> Parameter

The following YANG module defines the addition of the with-defaults element to the <get>, <get-config>, and <copy-config> operations. The YANG language is defined in [RFC6020]. The above operations are defined in YANG in [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis]. Every NETCONF server which supports the :with-defaults capability MUST implement this YANG module.

<CODE BEGINS> file="ietf-netconf-with-defaults@2010-11-11.yang" module ietf-netconf-with-defaults { namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-with-defaults"; prefix ncwd; import ietf-netconf { prefix nc; } organization "IETF NETCONF (Network Configuration Protocol) Working Group"; contact "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netconf/> WG List: <mailto:netconf@ietf.org> WG Chair: Bert Wijnen <mailto:bertietf@bwijnen.net> WG Chair: Mehmet Ersue <mailto:mehmet.ersue@nsn.com> Editor: Andy Bierman <mailto:andy.bierman@brocade.com> Editor: Balazs Lengyel <mailto:balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com>"; description "This module defines an extension to the NETCONF protocol that allows the NETCONF client to control how default Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 14]

```
values are handled by the server in particular NETCONF operations.
      Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
      the document authors. All rights reserved.
      Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
      without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
      to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
      set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
      Relating to IETF Documents
      (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
     This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
      the RFC itself for full legal notices.";
    // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this note
    // RFC Ed.: remove this note
    // Note: extracted from draft-ietf-netmod-with-defaults-14.txt
    revision 2010-11-11 {
      description
        "Initial version.";
     reference
       "RFC XXXX: With-defaults capability for NETCONF";
    }
    // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
    // RFC number and remove this note
    typedef with-defaults-mode {
      description
         "Possible modes to report default data.";
       reference
          "RFC XXXX; section 3.";
          // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
          // RFC number and remove this note
       type enumeration {
          enum report-all {
              description
                "All default data is reported.";
              reference
                "RFC XXXX; section 3.1";
                // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
                // RFC number and remove this note
          }
          enum report-all-tagged {
              description
Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011
                                                               [Page 15]
```

```
Internet-Draft
                             with-defaults
                                                          November 2010
                "All default data is reported.
                 Any nodes considered to be default data
                 will contain a 'default' XML attribute,
                 set to 'true' or '1'.";
              reference
                "RFC XXXX; section 3.4";
                // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
                // RFC number and remove this note
          }
          enum trim {
              description
                "Values are not reported if they contain the default.";
              reference
                "RFC XXXX; section 3.2";
                // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
                // RFC number and remove this note
          }
          enum explicit {
              description
                "Report values that contain the definition of
                explicitly set data.";
              reference
                "RFC XXXX; section 3.3";
                // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
                // RFC number and remove this note
         }
     }
   }
   grouping with-defaults-parameters {
     description
        "Contains the <with-defaults> parameter for control
        of defaults in NETCONF retrieval operations.";
     leaf with-defaults {
        description
          "The explicit defaults processing mode requested.";
        reference
          "RFC XXXX; section 4.6.1";
```

```
// extending the get-config operation
Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 16]
```

// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
// RFC number and remove this note

type with-defaults-mode;

}

```
with-defaults
                                                           November 2010
Internet-Draft
    augment /nc:get-config/nc:input {
        description
          "Adds the <with-defaults> parameter to the
           input of the NETCONF <get-config> operation.";
        reference
          "RFC XXXX; section 4.6.1";
          // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
          // RFC number and remove this note
        uses with-defaults-parameters;
    }
    // extending the get operation
    augment /nc:get/nc:input {
        description
          "Adds the <with-defaults> parameter to
           the input of the NETCONF <get> operation.";
        reference
          "RFC XXXX; section 4.6.1";
          // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
          // RFC number and remove this note
        uses with-defaults-parameters;
    }
    // extending the copy-config operation
    augment /nc:copy-config/nc:input {
        description
          "Adds the <with-defaults> parameter to
           the input of the NETCONF <copy-config> operation.";
        reference
          "RFC XXXX; section 4.6.1";
          // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual
          // RFC number and remove this note
        uses with-defaults-parameters;
    }
 }
 <CODE ENDS>
6. XSD for the 'default' Attribute
   The following XML Schema document [W3C.REC-xml-20081126] defines the
   'default' attribute, described within this document. This XSD is
Bierman & Lengyel
                         Expires May 15, 2011
                                                                [Page 17]
```

with-defaults

November 2010

[Page 18]

only relevant if the server supports the 'report-all-tagged' defaults retrieval mode.

The 'default' attribute uses the XSD data type 'boolean'. In accordance with Section 3.2.2.1 of XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes, the allowable lexical representations for the xs:boolean datatype are the strings "0" and "false" for the concept of false and the strings "1" and "true" for the concept of true. Implementations MUST support both styles of lexical representation.

```
<CODE BEGINS> file="defaults.xsd"
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"</pre>
           xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:default:1.0"
           targetNamespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:default:1.0"
           elementFormDefault="qualified"
           attributeFormDefault="unqualified"
           xml:lang="en">
  <xs:annotation>
   <xs:documentation>
      This schema defines the syntax for the 'default' attribute
      described within this document.
    </xs:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
  <!--
   default attribute
  <xs:attribute name="default" type="xs:boolean" default="false">
   <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
       This attribute indicates whether the data node represented
       by the XML element containing this attribute is considered
       by the server to be default data. If set to 'true' or '1' then
       the data node is default data. If 'false' or '0', then the
       data node is not default data.
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:attribute>
</xs:schema>
<CODE ENDS>
```

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011

with-defaults

7. IANA Considerations

This document registers the following capability identifier URN in the 'Network Configuration Protocol Capability URNs registry':

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:with-defaults:1.0

Note that the capability URN is compliant to [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis] section 10.3.

This document registers two XML namespace URNs in the 'IETF XML registry', following the format defined in [RFC3688].

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:default:1.0 URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-with-defaults

Registrant Contact: The NETCONF WG of the IETF.

XML: N/A, the requested URIs are XML namespaces.

This document registers one module name in the 'YANG Module Names' registry, defined in [RFC6020] .

name: ietf-netconf-with-defaults
prefix: ncwd
namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-with-defaults
RFC: XXXX // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX and remove this comment

8. Security Considerations

This document defines an extension to existing NETCONF protocol operations. It does not introduce any new or increased security risks into the management system.

The 'with-defaults' capability gives clients control over the retrieval of default data from a NETCONF datastore. The security consideration of [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis] apply to this document as well.

9. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Martin Bjorklund, Sharon Chisholm, Phil Shafer, Juergen Schoenwaelder, Kent Watsen, Washam Fan and many other members of the NETCONF WG for providing important input to this document.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 19]

with-defaults

November 2010

- 10. Normative References
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
 - [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
 - [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.
 - [I-D.ietf-netconf-4741bis] Enns, R., Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., and A. Bierman, "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", draft-ietf-netconf-4741bis-06 (work in progress), October 2010.

[W3C.REC-xml-20081126]

Maler, E., Yergeau, F., Sperberg-McQueen, C., Paoli, J., and T. Bray, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fifth Edition)", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation RECxml-20081126, November 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2008/REC-xml-20081126>.

[W3C.REC-xmlschema-0-20041028]

Walmsley, P. and D. Fallside, "XML Schema Part 0: Primer Second Edition", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xmlschema-0-20041028, October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-0-20041028>.

Appendix A. Usage Examples

A.1. Example YANG Module

The following YANG module defines an example interfaces table to demonstrate how the <with-defaults> parameter behaves for a specific data model.

Note that this is not a real module, and implementation of this module is not required for conformance to the :with-defaults capability, defined in Section 4. This module is not to be registered with IANA, and is not considered to be a code component. It is intentionally very terse, and includes few descriptive statements.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 20]

```
module example {
     namespace "http://example.com/ns/interfaces";
     prefix exam;
     typedef status-type {
        description "Interface status";
        type enumeration {
          enum ok;
          enum 'waking up';
          enum 'not feeling so good';
          enum 'better check it out';
         enum 'better call for help';
        }
        default ok;
     }
     container interfaces {
         description "Example interfaces group";
         list interface {
           description "Example interface entry";
           key name;
           leaf name {
             description
               "The administrative name of the interface.
                This is an identifier which is only unique
                within the scope of this list, and only
                within a specific server.";
             type string {
               length "1 .. max";
             }
           }
           leaf mtu {
             description
               "The maximum transmission unit (MTU) value assigned to
               this interface.";
             type uint32;
             default 1500;
           }
           leaf status {
             description
               "The current status of this interface.";
             type status-type;
Bierman & Lengyel
                    Expires May 15, 2011
                                                                [Page 21]
```

```
config false;
        }
    }
}
```

A.2. Example Data Set

The following data element shows the conceptual contents of the example server for the protocol operation examples in the next section. This includes all the configuration data nodes, non-configuration data nodes, and default leafs.

```
<data xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces">
    <interface>
      <name>eth0</name>
      <mtu>8192</mtu>
      <status>up</status>
    </interface>
    <interface>
      <name>eth1</name>
      <mtu>1500</mtu>
      <status>up</status>
    </interface>
    <interface>
      <name>eth2</name>
      <mtu>9000</mtu>
      <status>not feeling so good</status>
    </interface>
    <interface>
      <name>eth3</name>
      <mtu>1500</mtu>
      <status>waking up</status>
    </interface>
  </interfaces>
</data>
```

In this example, the 'mtu' field for each interface entry is set in the following manner:

```
Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 22]
```

| + | + | ++ |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| name | set by | mtu |
| <pre>+ eth0 eth1 eth2 eth3 +</pre> | client server client client | 8192 1500 9000 1500 |

A.3. Protocol Operation Examples

The following examples shows some <get> operations using the 'withdefaults' element. The data model used for these examples is defined in Appendix A.1.

The client is retrieving all the data nodes within the 'interfaces' object, filtered with the <with-defaults> parameter.

A.3.1. <with-defaults> = 'report-all'

The behavior of the <with-defaults> parameter handling for the value 'report-all' is demonstrated in this example.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011

[Page 23]

```
Internet-Draft
                             with-defaults
                                                           November 2010
    <rpc message-id="101"
        xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <qet>
        <filter type="subtree">
          <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces"/>
        </filter>
        <with-defaults
        xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-with-defaults">
          report-all
        </with-defaults>
      </get>
    </rpc>
    <rpc-reply message-id="101"
               xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <data>
        <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces">
          <interface>
            <name>eth0</name>
            <mtu>8192</mtu>
            <status>up</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth1</name>
            <mtu>1500</mtu>
            <status>up</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth2</name>
            <mtu>9000</mtu>
            <status>not feeling so good</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth3</name>
            <mtu>1500</mtu>
            <status>waking up</status>
          </interface>
        </interfaces>
      </data>
    </rpc-reply>
```

A.3.2. <with-defaults> = 'report-all-tagged'

The behavior of the <with-defaults> parameter handling for the value 'report-all-tagged' is demonstrated in this example. A 'tagged' data node is an element that contains the 'default' XML attribute, set to

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 24]

Internet-Draft with-defaults November 2010

'true' or '1'.

The actual data nodes tagged by the server depends on the defaults handling basic mode used by the server. Only the data nodes that are considered to be default data will be tagged.

In this example, the server's basic mode is equal to 'trim', so all data nodes that would contain the schema default value are tagged. If the server's basic mode is 'explicit', then only data nodes that are not explicitly set data are tagged. If the server's basic mode is 'report-all', then no data nodes are tagged.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 25]

```
Internet-Draft
                             with-defaults
                                                           November 2010
    <rpc message-id="102"
        xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <qet>
        <filter type="subtree">
          <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces"/>
        </filter>
        <with-defaults
        xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-with-defaults">
          report-all-tagged
        </with-defaults>
      </get>
    </rpc>
    <rpc-reply message-id="102"
               xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
               xmlns:wd="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:default:1.0">
      <data>
        <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces">
          <interface>
            <name>eth0</name>
            <mtu>8192</mtu>
            <status wd:default="true">up</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth1</name>
            <mtu wd:default="true">1500</mtu>
            <status wd:default="true">up</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth2</name>
            <mtu>9000</mtu>
            <status>not feeling so good</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth3</name>
            <mtu wd:default="true">1500</mtu>
            <status>waking up</status>
          </interface>
        </interfaces>
      </data>
    </rpc-reply>
```

```
November 2010
Internet-Draft
                            with-defaults
A.3.3. <with-defaults> = 'trim'
   The behavior of the <with-defaults> parameter handling for the value
   'trim' is demonstrated in this example.
    <rpc message-id="103"
         xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <get>
        <filter type="subtree">
          <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces"/>
        </filter>
        <with-defaults
         xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-with-defaults">
          trim
        </with-defaults>
      </get>
    </rpc>
    <rpc-reply message-id="103"
               xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <data>
        <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces">
          <interface>
            <name>eth0</name>
            <mtu>8192</mtu>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth1</name>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth2</name>
            <mtu>9000</mtu>
            <status>not feeling so good</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth3</name>
            <status>waking up</status>
          </interface>
        </interfaces>
      </data>
    </rpc-reply>
```

```
Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 27]
```

```
Internet-Draft
                             with-defaults
                                                           November 2010
A.3.4. <with-defaults> = 'explicit'
   The behavior of the <with-defaults> parameter handling for the value
   'explicit' is demonstrated in this example.
    <rpc message-id="104"
         xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <get>
        <filter type="subtree">
          <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces"/>
        </filter>
        <with-defaults
         xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-with-defaults">
          explicit
        </with-defaults>
      </get>
    </rpc>
    <rpc-reply message-id="104"
               xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
      <data>
        <interfaces xmlns="http://example.com/ns/interfaces">
          <interface>
            <name>eth0</name>
            <mtu>8192</mtu>
            <status>up</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth1</name>
            <status>up</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth2</name>
            <mtu>9000</mtu>
            <status>not feeling so good</status>
          </interface>
          <interface>
            <name>eth3</name>
            <mtu>1500</mtu>
            <status>waking up</status>
          </interface>
        </interfaces>
      </data>
    </rpc-reply>
```

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 28]

with-defaults

Appendix B. Change Log

-- RFC Ed.: remove this section before publication.

B.1. 13-14

Removed reference to RFC 4741 and using 4741bis instead.

B.2. 12-13

Removed with-defaults capability conformance section.

Changed 'wd:default' to 'default'.

Added normative reference to XSD.

Clarified conditional support for with-defaults enumerations, based on capability parameters.

Clarified that all xs:boolean encoding values must be supported.

Clarified purpose of also-supported parameter in capability URI.

B.3. 11-12

Made editorial clarifications based on AD review.

B.4. 10-11

Changed term 'database' to 'configuration datastore' or generic 'datastore'.

B.5. 09-10

Changed term 'datastore' to 'database'.

Added term 'default value'.

Clarified verbage for data node containing a default value.

B.6. 08-09

Removed non-volatile server requirements.

Moved some text from basic-mode section into the the retrieval modes section.

Added description and reference statements to the YANG module.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 29]
Many bugfixes and clarifications, based on WGLC review comments.

B.7. 07-08

Added report-all-tagged mode.

Changed conformance so report-all or report-all-tagged mode SHOULD be supported.

Clarified capability requirements for each mode, for edit-config and NV storage requirements.

Changed rpc-error details for unsupported with-defaults value.

Added XSD for wd:default attribute

Expanded example to show report-all-tagged for a basic-mode=trim server.

B.8. 06-07

Removed text in capability identifier section about adding YANG module capability URI parameters.

Changed YANG module namespace to match YANG format, and updated examples to use this new namespace.

Fixed some typos.

B.9. 05-06

Removed ':1.0' from capability URI.

Removed open issues section because all known issues are closed.

Moved examples to a separate appendix, and expanded them.

Added example.yang as an appendix to properly explain the examples used within the document.

Replaced normative term 'SHALL' with 'MUST' to be consistent within this document.

Clarified <with-defaults> behavior for non-configuration data nodes.

Clarified various sections based on WGLC comments on mailing list.

Removed some unused terms.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 30]

Reversed the order of the change log sections so the most recent changes are shown first.

B.10. 04-05

Updated I-D and YANG module boiler-plate.

Removed redundant 'with-defaults' YANG feature.

Changed definition of 'explicit' mode to match the YANG definition

Removed XSD because the YANG is normative and the XSD is unconstrained, and does not properly extend the 3 affected NETCONF operations.

Made the YANG module a normative section instead of non-normative appendix.

Changed YANG from an informative to a normative reference,

Changed 4741bis from an informative to a normative reference because the YANG module imports the ietf-netconf module in order to augment some operations.

Updated capability requirements to include YANG module capability parameters.

Added a description statement to the with-defaults leaf definition.

Update open issues section; ready to close all open issues.

B.11. 03-04

Clarifications

Added non-netconf interfaces to the definition of explicitly set default data

B.12. 02-03

Clarifications

YAM added

Use the same URN for the capability and the XML namespace to accommodate YANG, and avoid two separate URN/URIs being advertised in the HELLO message, for such a small function.

Bierman & Lengyel Expires May 15, 2011 [Page 31]

Internet-Draft

with-defaults

B.13. 01-02

report-all made mandatory

Placeholder for YAM added, XSD will be removed when 4741 provides the NETCONF YAM $% \left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}}\right) =\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}}\right) \left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{A}}^$

with-defaults is valid for state data as well (if state data has a defined default which might not be so frequent). The definition of explicit was modified for state data.

B.14. 00-01

Changed value set of with-default capability and element

Added version to URI

B.15. -00

Created from draft-bierman-netconf-with-defaults-01.txt

It was decided by the NETCONF mailing list, that with-defaults should be a sub-element of each affected operation. While this violates the XSD of RFC4741 this is acceptable and follows the ideas behind NETCONF and YANG.

Hopefully it will be clarified in the 4741bis RFC whether such extensions are allowed.

Authors' Addresses

Andy Bierman Brocade

Email: andy.bierman@brocade.com

Balazs Lengyel Ericsson Budapest, Hungary

Email: balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com

Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Informational Expires: March 27, 2011 P. Shafer Juniper Networks September 23, 2010

An Architecture for Network Management using NETCONF and YANG draft-ietf-netmod-arch-10

Abstract

The Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) gives access to native capabilities of the devices within a network, defining methods for manipulating configuration databases, retrieving operational data, and invoking specific operations. YANG provides the means to define the content carried via NETCONF, both data and operations. Using both technologies, standard modules can be defined to give interoperability and commonality to devices, while still allowing devices to express their unique capabilities.

This document describes how NETCONF and YANG help build network management applications that meet the needs of network operators.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 27, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 1]

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 2]

Internet-Draft NETMODARCH September 2010

Table of Contents

| 1. | Origins of NETCONF and YANG | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
|------|-----------------------------------|----|----|-----|-----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| 2. | Elements of the Architecture | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | 6 |
| 2 | 1. NETCONF | | | | | • | | | • | • | | | • | • | | б |
| | 2.1.1. NETCONF Transport Mappings | | • | | | • | • | • | • | • | | | | • | • | 8 |
| 2 | 2. YANG | | | | | • | | | • | • | | | • | • | | 9 |
| | 2.2.1. Constraints | | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 11 |
| | 2.2.2. Flexibility | | | | | • | | | • | • | | | • | • | | 12 |
| | 2.2.3. Extensibility Model | • | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 12 |
| 2. | 3. YANG Translations | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 13 |
| | 2.3.1. YIN | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 14 |
| | 2.3.2. DSDL (RELAX NG) | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 14 |
| 2. | 4. YANG Types | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 15 |
| 2. | 5. IETF Guidelines | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 15 |
| 3. | Working with YANG | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 16 |
| 3 . | 1. Building NETCONF- and YANG-bas | ed | Sc | olι | ıti | on | S | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 16 |
| 3. | 2. Addressing Operator Requiremen | ts | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 17 |
| 3. | 3. Roles in Building Solutions . | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 20 |
| | 3.3.1. Modeler | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 20 |
| | 3.3.2. Reviewer | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 20 |
| | 3.3.3. Device Developer | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 20 |
| | 3.3.4. Application Developer | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 21 |
| 4. | Modeling Considerations | • | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 24 |
| 4 | 1. Default Values | • | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 24 |
| 4 | 2. Compliance | | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 25 |
| 4 | 3. Data Distinctions | | | | | • | | | • | • | | | • | • | | 26 |
| | 4.3.1. Background | | | | | • | | | • | • | | | • | • | | 26 |
| | 4.3.2. Definitions | | | | | • | | | • | • | | | • | • | | 27 |
| | 4.3.3. Implications | | | | | • | | | • | | | | | | | 28 |
| 4 | 4. Direction | | | | | • | | | • | | | | | | | 29 |
| 5. | Security Considerations | | | | | • | | | • | | | | | | | 30 |
| б. | IANA Considerations | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | 31 |
| 7. | Normative References | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | 32 |
| Auth | nor's Address | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34 |

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011 [Page 3]

1. Origins of NETCONF and YANG

Networks are increasing in complexity and capacity, as well as the density of the services deployed upon them. Uptime, reliability, and predictable latency requirements drive the need for automation. The problems with network management are not simple. They are complex and intricate. But these problems must be solved for networks to meet the stability needs of existing services while incorporating new services in a world where the growth of networks is exhausting the supply of qualified networking engineers.

In June of 2002, Internet Architecture Board (IAB) held a workshop on Network Management ([RFC3535]). The members of this workshop made a number of observations and recommendations for the IETF's consideration concerning the issues operators were facing in their network management-related work as well as issues they were having with the direction of the IETF activities in this area.

The output of this workshop was focused on current problems. The observations were reasonable and straight forward, including the need for transactions, rollback, low implementation costs, and the ability to save and restore the device's configuration data. Many of the observations give insight into the problems operators were having with existing network management solutions, such as the lack of full coverage of device capabilities and the ability to distinguish between configuration data and other types of data.

Based on these directions, the NETCONF working group was formed and the Network Configuration (NETCONF) protocol was created. This protocol defines a simple mechanism where network management applications, acting as clients, can invoke operations on the devices, which act as servers. The NETCONF specification ([RFC4741]) defines a small set of operations, but goes out of its way to avoid making any requirements on the data carried in those operations, preferring to allow the protocol to carry any data. This "data model agnostic" approach allows data models to be defined independently.

But lacking a means of defining data models, the NETCONF protocol was not usable for standards-based work. Existing data modeling languages such as the XML Schema Language (XSD) ([W3CXSD]) and the Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) ([ISODSDL]) were considered, but were rejected because the problem domains have little natural overlap. Defining a data model or protocol that is encoded in XML is a distinct problem from defining an XML document. The use of NETCONF operations place requirements on the data content that are not shared with the static document problem domain addressed by schema languages like XSD or RELAX NG.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 4]

In 2007 and 2008, the issue of a data modeling language for NETCONF was discussed in the OPS and APPS areas of IETF 70 and 71, and a design team was tasked with creating a requirements document (expired I-D draft-presuhn-rcdml-03.txt). After discussing the available options at the CANMOD BoF at IETF71, the community wrote a charter for the NETMOD working group. An excellent description of this time period is available at

http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/ietf/current/msg51644.html

In 2008 and 2009, the NETMOD working group produced a specification for YANG ([RFCYANG]) as a means for defining data models for NETCONF, allowing both standard and proprietary data models to be published in a form that is easily digestible by human readers and satisfies many of the issues raised in the IAB NM workshop. This brings NETCONF to a point where is can be used to develop standard data models within the IETF.

YANG allows a modeler to create a data model, to define the organization of the data in that model, and to define constraints on that data. Once published, the YANG module acts as a contract between the client and server, with both parties understanding how their peer will expect them to behave. A client knows how to create valid data for the server, and knows what data will be sent from the server. A server knows the rules that govern the data and how it should behave.

YANG also incorporates a level of extensibility and flexibility not present in other model languages. New modules can augment the data hierarchies defined in other modules, seamlessly adding data at appropriate places in the existing data organization. YANG also allows new statements to be defined, allowing the language itself to be expanded in a consistent way.

This document presents an architecture for YANG, describing how YANGrelated technologies work and how solutions built on them can address the network management problem domain.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 5]

2. Elements of the Architecture

2.1. NETCONF

NETCONF defines an XML-based remote procedure call (RPC) mechanism that leverages the simplicity and availability of high-quality XML parsers. XML gives a rich, flexible, hierarchical, standard representation of data that matches the needs of networking devices. NETCONF carries configuration data and operations as requests and replies using RPCs encoded in XML over a connection-oriented transport.

XML's hierarchical data representation allows complex networking data to be rendered in a natural way. For example, the following configuration places interfaces in OSPF areas. The <ospf> element contains a list of <area> elements, each of which contain a list of <interface> elements. The <name> element identifies the specific area or interface. Additional configuration for each area or interface appears directly inside the appropriate element.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 6]

```
<ospf xmlns="http://example.org/netconf/ospf">
  <area>
    <name>0.0.0.0</name>
    <interface>
      <name>ge-0/0/0.0</name>
      <!-- The priority for this interface -->
      <priority>30</priority>
      <metric>100</metric>
      <dead-interval>120</dead-interval>
    </interface>
    <interface>
      <name>ge-0/0/1.0</name>
      <metric>140</metric>
    </interface>
  </area>
  <area>
    <name>10.1.2.0</name>
    <interface>
      <name>ge-0/0/2.0</name>
      <metric>100</metric>
    </interface>
    <interface>
      <name>ge-0/0/3.0</name>
      <metric>140</metric>
      <dead-interval>120</dead-interval>
    </interface>
  </area>
</ospf>
```

NETCONF includes mechanisms for controlling configuration datastores. Each datastore is a specific collection of configuration data that can be used as source or target of the configuration-related operations. The device can indicate whether it has a distinct "startup" configuration datastore, whether the current or "running" datastore is directly writable, or whether there is a "candidate" configuration datastore where configuration changes can be made that will not affect the device until a "commit-configuration" operation is invoked.

NETCONF defines operations that are invoked as RPCs from the client (the application) to the server (running on the device). The following table lists some of these operations:

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 7]

Internet-Draft

NETMODARCH

| 0peration | Description |
|---|---|
| commit copy-config delete-config edit-config get-config lock unlock | Commits the "candidate" configuration to "running" Copy one configuration datastore to another Delete a configuration datastore Change the contents of a configuration datastore Retrieve all or part of a configuration datastore Prevent changes to a datastore from another party Release a lock on a datastore |

NETCONF's "capability" mechanism allows the device to announce the set of capabilities that the device supports, including protocol operations, datastores, data models, and other abilities. These are announced during session establishment as part of the <hello> message. A client can inspect the hello message to determine what the device is capable of and how to interact with the device to perform the desired tasks.

NETCONF also defines a means of sending asynchronous notifications from the server to the client, described in [RFC5277].

In addition, NETCONF can fetch state data, receive notifications, and invoke additional RPC methods defined as part of a capability. Complete information about NETCONF can be found in [RFC4741].

2.1.1. NETCONF Transport Mappings

NETCONF can run over any transport protocol that meets the requirements defined in RFC4741, including

- o connection-oriented operation
- o authentication
- o integrity
- o confidentiality

[RFC4742] defines an mapping for the SSH ([RFC4251]) protocol, which is the mandatory transport protocol. Others include SOAP ([RFC4743]), BEEP ([RFC4744]), and TLS ([RFC5539]).

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 8]

2.2. YANG

YANG is a data modeling language for NETCONF. It allows the description of hierarchies of data nodes ("nodes") and the constraints that exist among them. YANG defines data models and how to manipulate those models via NETCONF protocol operations.

Each YANG module defines a data model, uniquely identified by a namespace URI. These data models are extensible in a manner that allows tight integration of standard data models and proprietary data models. Models are built from organizational containers, lists of data nodes and data node forming leafs of the data tree.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 9]

```
Internet-Draft
                                 NETMODARCH
                                                                  September 2010
       module example-ospf {
            namespace "http://example.org/netconf/ospf";
            prefix ospf;
            import network-types { // Access another module's def'ns
                prefix nett;
            }
            container ospf { // Declare the top-level tag
    list area { // Declare a list of "area" nodes
        key name; // The key "name" identifies list members
                     leaf name {
                          type nett:area-id;
                      }
                     list interface {
                          key name;
                          leaf name {
                              type nett:interface-name;
                          leaf priority {
                              description "Designated router priority";
                               type uint8; // The type is a constraint on
                                             // valid values for "priority".
                          leaf metric {
                              type uint16 {
                                  range 1..65535;
                               }
                          }
                          leaf dead-interval {
                              units seconds;
                              type uint16 {
                                  range 1..65535;
                               }
                          }
               }
```

A YANG module defines a data model in terms of the data, its hierarchical organization, and the constraints on that data. YANG defines how this data is represented in XML and how that data is used in NETCONF operations.

The following table briefly describes some common YANG statements:

Shafer

}

}

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 10]

Internet-Draft

NETMODARCH

| | + |
|--|---|
| Statement | Description |
| augment choice container extension feature grouping key leaf leaf-list list notification rpc typedef uses | Extends existing data hierarchies Defines mutually exclusive alternatives Defines a layer of the data hierarchy Allows new statements to be added to YANG Indicates parts of the model are optional Groups data definitions into reusable sets Defines the key leafs for lists Defines a leaf node in the data hierarchy A leaf node that can appear multiple times A hierarchy that can appear multiple times Defines input and output parameters for an RPC operation Defines a new type Incorporates the contents of a "grouping" |
| | ++ |

2.2.1. Constraints

YANG allows the modeler to add constraints to the data model to prevent impossible or illogical data. These constraints give clients information about the data being sent from the device, and also allow the client to know as much as possible about the data the device will accept, so the client can send correct data. These constraints apply to configuration data, but can also be used for rpc and notification data.

The principal constraint is the "type" statement, which limits the contents of a leaf node to that of the named type. The following table briefly describes some other common YANG constraints:

| + Statement | Description |
|---------------------|--|
| <pre> length</pre> | Limits the length of a string |
| mandatory | Requires the node appear |
| max-elements | Limits the number of instances in a list |
| min-elements | Limits the number of instances in a list |
| must | XPath expression must be true |
| pattern | Regular expression must be satisfied |
| range | Value must appear in range |
| reference | Value must appear elsewhere in the data |
| unique | Value must be unique within the data |
| when | Node is only present when XPath expression is true |

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 11]

The "must" and "when" statements use XPath ([W3CXPATH]) expressions to specify conditions that are semantically evaluated against the data hierarchy, but neither the client nor the server are required to implement the XPath specification. Instead they can use any means to ensure these conditions are met.

2.2.2. Flexibility

YANG uses the "union" type and the "choice" and "feature" statements to give modelers flexibility in defining their data models. The "union" type allows a single leaf to accept multiple types, like an integer or the word "unbounded":

```
type union {
   type int32;
   type enumeration {
        enum "unbounded";
    }
}
```

The "choice" statement lists a set of mutually exclusive nodes, so a valid configuration can choose any one node (or case). The "feature" statement allows the modeler to identify parts of the model which can be optional, and allows the device to indicate whether it implements these optional portions.

The "deviation" statement allows the device, to indicate parts of a YANG module which the device does not faithfully implement. While devices are encouraged to fully abide according to the contract presented in the YANG module, real world situations may force the device to break the contract. Deviations give a means of declaring this limitation, rather than leaving it to be discovered via run-time errors.

2.2.3. Extensibility Model

XML includes the concept of namespaces, allowing XML elements from different sources to be combined in the same hierarchy without risking collision. YANG modules define content for specific namespaces, but one module may augment the definition of another module, introducing elements from that module's namespace into the first module's hierarchy.

Since one module can augment another module's definition, hierarchies of definitions are allowed to grow, as definitions from multiple sources are added to the base hierarchy. These augmentations are qualified using the namespace of the source module, helping to avoid issues with name conflicts as the modules change over time.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 12]

```
For example, if the above OSPF configuration were the standard, a
vendor module may augment this with vendor-specific extensions.
    module vendorx-ospf {
        namespace "http://vendorx.example.com/ospf";
        prefix vendorx;
        import example-ospf {
            prefix ospf;
        }
        augment /ospf:ospf/ospf:area/ospf:interfaces {
            leaf no-neighbor-down-notification {
                type empty;
                description "Don't inform other protocols about"
                          + " neighbor down events";
            }
        }
    }
```

The <no-neighbor-down-notification> element is then placed in the vendorx namespace:

```
<ospf xmlns="http://example.org/netconf/ospf"
    xmlns:vendorx=""http://vendorx.example.com/ospf">
    <area>
        <name>0.0.0.0</name>
        <interface>
            <name>ge-0/0/0.0</name>
            <priority>30</priority>
            <vendorx:no-neighbor-down-notification/>
            </interface>
        </area>
</ospf>
```

Augmentations are seamlessly integrated with base modules, allowing them to be fetched, archived, loaded, and deleted within their natural hierarchy. If a client application asks for the configuration for a specific OSPF area, it will receive the subhierarchy for that area, complete with any augmented data.

```
2.3. YANG Translations
```

The YANG data modeling language is the central piece of a group of related technologies. The YANG language itself, described in

ShaferExpires March 27, 2011[Page 13]

[RFCYANG], defines the syntax of the language and its statements, the meaning of those statements, and how to combine them to build the hierarchy of nodes that describe a data model.

That document also defines the "on the wire" XML content for NETCONF operations on data models defined in YANG modules. This includes the basic mapping between YANG data tree nodes and XML elements, as well as mechanisms used in <edit-config> content to manipulate that data, such as arranging the order of nodes within a list.

YANG uses a syntax that is regular and easily described, primarily designed for human readability. YANG's syntax is friendly to email, diff, patch, and the constraints of RFC formatting.

2.3.1. YIN

In some environments, incorporating a YANG parser may not be an acceptable option. For those scenarios, an XML grammar for YANG is defined as YIN (YANG Independent Notation). YIN allows the use of XML parsers which are readily available in both open source and commercial versions. Conversion between YANG and YIN is direct, loss-less and reversible. YANG statements are converted to XML elements, preserving the structure and content of YANG, but enabling the use of off-the-shelf XML parsers rather than requiring the integration of a YANG parser. YIN maintains complete semantic equivalence with YANG.

2.3.2. DSDL (RELAX NG)

Since NETCONF content is encoded in XML, it is natural to use XML schema languages for their validation. To facilitate this, YANG offers a standardized mapping of YANG modules into Document Schema Description Languages ([RFCYANGDSDL]), of which RELAX NG is a major component.

DSDL is considered to be the best choice as a standard schema language because it addresses not only grammar and datatypes of XML documents but also semantic constraints and rules for modifying the information set of the document.

In addition, DSDL offers formal means for coordinating multiple independent schemas and specifying how to apply the schemas to the various parts of the document. This is useful since YANG content is typically composed of multiple vocabularies.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 14]

Internet-Draft NETMODARCH

2.4. YANG Types

YANG supports a number of builtin types, and allows additional types to be derived from those types in an extensible manner. New types can add additional restrictions to allowable data values.

A standard type library for use by YANG is available [RFCYANGTYPES]. These YANG modules define commonly used data types for IETF-related standards.

2.5. IETF Guidelines

A set of additional guidelines are defined that indicate desirable usage for authors and reviewers of standards track specifications containing YANG data model modules ([RFCYANGUSAGE]). These guidelines should be used as a basis for reviews of other YANG data model documents.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 15]

3. Working with YANG

3.1. Building NETCONF- and YANG-based Solutions

In the typical YANG-based solution, the client and server are driven by the content of YANG modules. The server includes the definitions of the modules as meta-data that is available to the NETCONF engine. This engine processes incoming requests, uses the meta-data to parse and verify the request, performs the requested operation, and returns the results to the client.



To use YANG, YANG modules must be defined to model the specific problem domain. These modules are then loaded, compiled, or coded into the server.

The sequence of events for the typical client/server interaction may

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 16]

be as follows:

- o A client application ([C]) opens a NETCONF session to the server (device) ([S])
- o [C] and [S] exchange <hello> messages containing the list of capabilities supported by each side, allowing [C] to learn the modules supported by [S]
- o [C] builds and sends an operation defined in the YANG module, encoded in XML, within NETCONF's <rpc> element
- o [S] receives and parses the <rpc> element
- o [S] verifies the contents of the request against the data model defined in the YANG module
- o [S] performs the requested operation, possibly changing the configuration datastore
- o [S] builds the response, containing the response, any requested
 data, and any errors
- o [S] sends the response, encoded in XML, within NETCONF's
 <rpc-reply> element
- o [C] receives and parses the <rpc-reply> element
- o [C] inspects the response and processes it as needed

Note that there is no requirement for the client or server to process the YANG modules in this way. The server may hard code the contents of the data model, rather than handle the content via a generic engine. Or the client may be targeted at the specific YANG model, rather than being driven generically. Such a client might be a simple shell script that stuffs arguments into an XML payload template and sends it to the server.

3.2. Addressing Operator Requirements

NETCONF and YANG address many of the issues raised in the IAB NM workshop.

o Ease of use: YANG is designed to be human friendly, simple and readable. Many tricky issues remain due to the complexity of the problem domain, but YANG strives to make them more visible and easier to deal with.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 17]

- Configuration and state data: YANG clearly divides configuration data from other types of data.
- o Transactions: NETCONF provides a simple transaction mechanism.
- Generation of deltas: A YANG module gives enough information to generate the delta needed to change between two configuration data sets.
- o Dump and restore: NETCONF gives the ability to save and restore configuration data. This can also performed for a specific YANG module.
- Network-wide configuration: NETCONF supports robust network-wide configuration transactions via the commit and confirmed-commit capability. When a change is attempted that affects multiple devices, these capabilities simplifies the management of failure scenarios, resulting in the ability to have transactions that will dependably succeed or fail atomically.
- o Text-friendly: YANG modules are very text friendly, as is the data they define.
- Configuration handling: NETCONF addresses the ability to distinguish between distributing configuration data and activating it.
- o Task-oriented: A YANG module can define specific tasks as RPC operations. A client can choose to invoke the RPC operation or to access any underlying data directly.
- o Full coverage: YANG modules can be defined that give full coverage to all the native abilities of the device. Giving this access avoids the need to resort to the command line interface (CLI) using tools such as Expect ([SWEXPECT]).
- o Timeliness: YANG modules can be tied to CLI operations, so all native operations and data are immediately available.
- Implementation difficulty: YANG's flexibility enables modules that can be more easily implemented. Adding "features" and replacing "third normal form" with a natural data hierarchy should reduce complexity.
- Simple data modeling language: YANG has sufficient power to be usable in other situations. In particular, on-box API and native CLI can be integrated to achieve simplification of the infrastructure.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 18]

- Internationalization: YANG uses UTF-8 ([RFC3629]) encoded unicode characters.
- Event correlation: YANG integrates RPC operations, notification, configuration and state data, enabling internal references. For example, a field in a notification can be tagged as pointing to a BGP peer, and the client application can easily find that peer in the configuration data.
- o Implementation costs: Significant effort has been made to keep implementation costs as low as possible.
- Human friendly syntax: YANG's syntax is optimized for the reader, specifically the reviewer on the basis that this is the most common human interaction.
- Post-processing: Use of XML will maximize the opportunities for post-processing of data, possibly using XML-based technologies like XPath ([W3CXPATH], XQuery ([W3CXQUERY]), and XSLT ([W3CXSLT]).
- Semantic mismatch: Richer, more descriptive data models will reduce the possibility of semantic mismatch. With the ability to define new primitives, YANG modules will be more specific in content, allowing more enforcement of rules and constraints.
- Security: NETCONF runs over transport protocols secured by SSH or TLS, allowing secure communications and authentication using welltrusted technology. The secure transport can use existing key and credential management infrastructure, reducing deployment costs.
- Reliable: NETCONF and YANG are solid and reliable technologies.
 NETCONF is connection based, and includes automatic recovery mechanisms when the connection is lost.
- Delta friendly: YANG-based models support operations that are delta friendly. Add, change, insert, and delete operations are all well defined.
- o Method-oriented: YANG allows new RPC operations to be defined, including an operation name, which is essentially a method. The input and output parameters of the RPC operations are also defined in the YANG module.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 19]

3.3. Roles in Building Solutions

Building NETCONF- and YANG-based solutions requires interacting with many distinct groups. Modelers must understand how to build useful models that give structure and meaning to data while maximizing the flexibility of that data to "future proof" their work. Reviewers need to quickly determine if that structure is accurate. Device developers need to code that data model into their devices, and application developers need to code their applications to take advantage of that data model. There are a variety of strategies for performing each piece of this work. This section discusses some of those strategies.

3.3.1. Modeler

The modeler defines a data model based on their in-depth knowledge of the problem domain being modeled. This model should be as simple as possible, but should balance complexity with expressiveness. The organization of the model should target not only the current model, but should allow for extensibility from other modules and for adaptability to future changes.

Additional modeling issues are discussed in Section 4.

3.3.2. Reviewer

The reviewer role is perhaps the most important and the time reviewers are willing to give is precious. To help the reviewer, YANG stresses readability, with a human-friendly syntax, natural data hierarchy, and simple, concise statements.

3.3.3. Device Developer

The YANG model tells the device developer what data is being modeled. The developer reads the YANG models and writes code that supports the model. The model describes the data hierarchy and associated constraints, and the description and reference material helps the developer understand how to transform the models view into the device's native implementation.

3.3.3.1. Generic Content Support

The YANG model can be compiled into a YANG-based engine for either the client or server side. Incoming data can be validated, as can outgoing data. The complete configuration datastore may be validated in accordance with the constraints described in the data model.

Serializers and deserializers for generating and receiving NETCONF

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 20]

content can be driven by the meta-data in the model. As data is received, the meta-data is consulted to ensure the validity of incoming XML elements.

3.3.3.2. XML Definitions

The YANG module dictates the XML encoding for data sent via NETCONF. The rules that define the encoding are fixed, so the YANG module can be used to ascertain whether a specific NETCONF payload is obeying the rules.

3.3.4. Application Developer

The YANG module tells the application developer what data can be modeled. Developers can inspect the modules and take one of three distinct views. In this section, we will consider them and the impact of YANG on their design. In the real world, most applications are a mixture of these approaches.

3.3.4.1. Hard Coded

An application can be coded against the specific, well-known contents of YANG modules, implementing their organization, rules, and logic directly with explicit knowledge. For example, a script could be written to change the domain name of a set of devices using a standard YANG module that includes such a leaf node. This script takes the new domain name as an argument and inserts it into a string containing the rest of the XML encoding as required by the YANG module. This content is then sent via NETCONF to each of the devices.

This type of application is useful for small, fixed problems where the cost and complexity of flexibility is overwhelmed by the ease of hard coding direct knowledge into the application.

3.3.4.2. Bottom Up

An application may take a generic, bottom up approach to configuration, concentrating on the device's data directly and treating that data without specific understanding.

YANG modules may be used to drive the operation of the YANG equivalent of a "MIB Browser". Such an application manipulates the device's configuration data based on the data organization contained in the YANG module. For example, a GUI may present a straightforward visualization where elements of the YANG hierarchy are depicted in a hierarchy of folders or GUI panels. Clicking on a line expands to the contents of the matching XML hierarchy.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 21]

This type of GUI can easily be built by generating XSLT stylesheets from the YANG data models. An XSLT engine can then be used to turn configuration data into a set of web pages.

The YANG modules allow the application to enforce a set of constraints without understanding the semantics of the YANG module.

3.3.4.3. Top Down

In contrast to the bottom-up approach, the top-down approach allows the application to take a view of the configuration data which is distinct from the standard and/or proprietary YANG modules. The application is free to construct its own model for data organization and to present this model to the user. When the application needs to transmit data to a device, the application transforms its data from the problem-oriented view of the world into the data needed for that particular device. This transformation is under the control and maintenance of the application, allowing the transformation to be changed and updated without affecting the device.

For example, an application could be written that models VPNs in a network-oriented view. The application would need to transform these high-level VPN definitions into the configuration data that would be handed to any particular device within a VPN.

Even in this approach, YANG is useful since it can be used to model the VPN. For example, the following VPN straw-man models a list of VPNs, each with a protocol, a topology, a list of member interfaces, and a list of classifiers.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 22]

```
list example-bgpvpn {
    key name;
    leaf name { ... }
    leaf protocol {
       type enumeration {
            enum bgpvpn;
            enum 12vpn;
        }
    }
    leaf topology {
        type enumeration {
            enum hub-n-spoke;
            enum mesh;
        }
    }
    list members {
        key "device interface";
        leaf device { ... }
        leaf interface { ... }
    }
    list classifiers {
      . . .
    }
}
```

The application can use such a YANG module to drive its operation, building VPN instances in a database and then pushing the configuration for those VPNs to individual devices using either a standard device model (e.g. example-bgpvpn.yang) or by transforming that standard device content into some proprietary format for devices that do not support that standard.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 23]

4. Modeling Considerations

This section discusses considerations the modeler should be aware of while developing models in YANG.

4.1. Default Values

The concept of default values is simple, but their details, representation, and interaction with configuration data can be difficult issues. NETCONF leaves default values as a data model issue, and YANG gives flexibility to the device implementation in terms of how default values are handled. The requirement is that the device "MUST operationally behave as if the leaf was present in the data tree with the default value as its value". This gives the device implementation choices in how default values are handled.

One choice is to view the configuration as a set of instructions for how the device should be configured. If a data value that is given as part of those instructions is the default value, then it should be retained as part of the configuration, but if it is not explicitly given, then the value is not considered to be part of configuration.

Another choice is to trim values that are identical to the default values, implicitly removing them from the configuration datastore. The act of setting a leaf to its default value effectively deletes that leaf.

The device could also choose to report all default values, regardless of whether they were explicitly set. This choice eases the work of a client that needs default values, but may significantly increase the size of the configuration data.

These choices reflect the default handling schemes of widely deployed networking devices and supporting them allows YANG to reduce implementation and deployment costs of YANG-based models.

When the client retrieves data from the device, it must be prepared to handle the absence of leaf nodes with the default value, since the server is not required to send such leaf elements. This permits the device to implement either of the first two default handling schemes given above.

Regardless of the implementation choice, the device can support the "with-defaults" capability ([RFCWITHDEFAULTS]) and give the client the ability to select the desired handling of default values.

When evaluating the XPath expressions for constraints like "must" and "when", the evaluation context for the expressions will include any

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 24]

appropriate default values, so the modeler can depend on consistent behavior from all devices.

4.2. Compliance

In developing good data models, there are many conflicting interests the data modeler must keep in mind. Modelers need to be aware of five issues with models and devices:

- o usefulness
- o compliance
- o flexibility
- o extensibility
- o deviations

For a model to be interesting, it must be useful, solving a problem in a more direct or more powerful way than can be accomplished without the model. The model should maximize the usefulness of the model with in the problem domain.

Modelers should build models that maximize the number of devices that can faithfully implement the model. If the model is drawn too narrowly, or includes too many assumptions about the device, then the difficulty and cost of accurately implementing the model will lead to low quality implementations, interoperability issues, and will reduce the value of the model.

Modelers can use the "feature" statement in their models to give the device some flexibility by partitioning their model and allowing the device to indicate which portions of the model are implemented on the device. For example, if the model includes some a "logging" feature , a device with no storage facilities for the log can tell the client that it does not support this feature of the model.

Models can be extended via the "augment" statement, and the modeler should consider how their model is likely to be extended. These augmentations can be defined by vendors, applications, or standards bodies.

Deviations are a means of allowing the devices to indicate where its implementation is not in full compliance with the model. For example, once a model is published, an implementer may decide to make a particular node configurable, where the standard model describes it as state data. The implementation reports the value normally and may

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 25]

declare a deviation that this device behaves in a different manner than the standard. Applications capable of discovering this deviation can make allowances, but applications that do not discover the deviation can continue treating the implementation as if it were compliant.

Rarely, implementations may make decisions that prevent compliance with the standard. Such occasions are regrettable, but they remain a part of reality, and modelers and application writers ignore them at their own risk. An implementation that emits an integer leaf as "cow" would be difficult to manage, but applications should expect to encounter such misbehaving devices in the field.

Despite this, both client and server should view the YANG module as a contract, with both sides agreeing to abide by the terms. The modeler should be explicit about the terms of such a contract, and both client and server implementations should strive to faithfully and accurately implement the data model described in the YANG module.

4.3. Data Distinctions

The distinction between configuration data, operational state data, and statistics is important to understand for data model writers and people who plan to extend the NETCONF protocol. This section first discusses some background and then provides a definition and some examples.

4.3.1. Background

During the IAB NM workshop, operators did formulate the following two requirements:

- It is necessary to make a clear distinction between configuration data, data that describes operational state and statistics. Some devices make it very hard to determine which parameters were administratively configured and which were obtained via other mechanisms such as routing protocols.
- 3. It is required to be able to fetch separately configuration data, operational state data, and statistics from devices, and to be able to compare these between devices.

The NETCONF protocol defined in RFC 4741 distinguishes two types of data, namely configuration data and state data:

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 26]

Configuration data is the set of writable data that is required to transform a system from its initial default state into its current state.

State data is the additional data on a system that is not configuration data such as read-only status information and collected statistics.

NETCONF does not follow the distinction formulated by the operators between configuration data, operational state data, and statistical data, since it considers state data to include both statistics and operational state data.

4.3.2. Definitions

Below is a definition for configuration data, operational state data, and statistical data. The definition borrows from previous work.

- o Configuration data is the set of writable data that is required to transform a system from its initial default state into its current state. [RFC4741]
- Operational state data is a set of data that has been obtained by the system at runtime and influences the system's behaviour similar to configuration data. In contrast to configuration data, operational state is transient and modified by interactions with internal components or other systems via specialized protocols.
- Statistical data is the set of read-only data created by a system itself. It describes the performance of the system and its components.

The following examples help to clarify the difference between configuration data, operational state data and statistical data.

4.3.2.1. Example 1: IP Routing Table

IP routing tables can contain entries that are statically configured (configuration data) as well as entries obtained from routing protocols such as OSPF (operational state data). In addition, a routing engine might collect statistics like how often a particular routing table entry has been used.

4.3.2.2. Example 2: Interfaces

Network interfaces usually come with a large number of attributes that are specific to the interface type and in some cases specific to the cable plugged into an interface. Examples are the maximum

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 27]

transmission unit of an interface or the speed detected by an Ethernet interface.

In many deployments, systems use the interface attributes detected when an interface is initialized. As such, these attributes constitute operational state. However, there are usually provisions to overwrite the discovered attributes with static configuration data, like for example configuring the interface MTU to use a specific value or forcing an Ethernet interface to run at a given speed.

The system will record statistics (counters) measuring the number of packets, bytes, and errors received and transmitted on each interface.

4.3.2.3. Example 3: Account Information

Systems usually maintain static configuration information about the accounts on the system. In addition, systems can obtain information about accounts from other sources (e.g. LDAP, NIS) dynamically, leading to operational state data. Information about account usage are examples of statistic data.

Note that configuration data supplied to a system in order to create a new account might be supplemented with additional configuration information determined by the system when the account is being created (such as a unique account id). Even though the system might create such information, it usually becomes part of the static configuration of the system since this data is not transient.

4.3.3. Implications

The primary focus of YANG is configuration data. There is no single mechanism defined for the separation of operational state data and statistics since NETCONF treats them both as state data. This section describes several different options for addressing this issue.

4.3.3.1. Data Models

The first option is to have data models that explicitly differentiate between configuration data and operational state data. This leads to duplication of data structures and might not scale well from a modeling perspective.

For example, the configured duplex value and the operational duplex value would be distinct leafs in the data model.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 28]

4.3.3.2. Additional Operations to Retrieve Operational State

The NETCONF protocol can be extended with new protocol operations that specifically allow the retrieval of all operational state, e.g. by introducing a <get-ops> operation (and perhaps also a <get-stats> operation).

4.3.3.3. Introduction of an Operational State Datastore

Another option could be to introduce a new "configuration" data store that represents the operational state. A <get-config> operation on the <operational> data store would then return the operational state determining the behaviour of the box instead of its static and explicit configuration state.

4.4. Direction

At this time, the only viable solution is to distinctly model the configuration and operational values. The configuration leaf would indicate the desired value, as given by the user, and the operational leaf would indicate the current value, as observed on the device.

In the duplex example, this would result in two distinct leafs being defined, "duplex" and "op-duplex", one with "config true" and one with "config false".

In some cases, distinct leafs would be used, but in others, distinct lists might be used. Distinct lists allows the list to be organized in different ways, with different constraints. Keys, sorting, and constraint statements like must, unique, or when may differ between configuration data and operational data.

For example, configured static routes might be a distinct list from the operational routing table, since the use of keys and sorting might differ.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 29]

Internet-Draft NETMODARCH September 2010

5. Security Considerations

This document discusses an architecture for network management using NETCONF and YANG. It has no security impact on the Internet.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011 [Page 30]

Internet-Draft NETMODARCH September 2010

6. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011 [Page 31]

- 7. Normative References
 - [ISODSDL] International Organization for Standardization, "Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) - Part 1: Overview", ISO/IEC 19757-1, November 2004.
 - [RFC3535] Schoenwaelder, J., "Overview of the 2002 IAB Network Management Workshop", RFC 3535, May 2003.
 - [RFC3629] Yergeau, F., "UTF-8, a transformation format of ISO 10646", STD 63, RFC 3629, November 2003.
 - [RFC4251] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, "The Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Architecture", RFC 4251, January 2006.
 - [RFC4741] Enns, R., "NETCONF Configuration Protocol", RFC 4741, December 2006.
 - [RFC4742] Wasserman, M. and T. Goddard, "Using the NETCONF Configuration Protocol over Secure SHell (SSH)", RFC 4742, December 2006.
 - [RFC4743] Goddard, T., "Using NETCONF over the Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP)", RFC 4743, December 2006.
 - [RFC4744] Lear, E. and K. Crozier, "Using the NETCONF Protocol over the Blocks Extensible Exchange Protocol (BEEP)", RFC 4744, December 2006.
 - [RFC5277] Chisholm, S. and H. Trevino, "NETCONF Event Notifications", RFC 5277, July 2008.

 - [RFCWITHDEFAULTS]

Bierman, A. and B. Lengyel, "With-defaults capability for NETCONF", draft-ietf-netconf-with-defaults-11.txt (work in progress).

[RFCYANG] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A data modeling language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", draft-ietf-netmod-yang-13 (work in progress).

[RFCYANGDSDL]

Lhotka, L., Mahy, R., and S. Chishom, "Mapping YANG to Document Schema Definition Languages and Validating NETCONF Content", draft-ietf-netmod-dsdl-map-07 (work in

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 32]
NETMODARCH

progress).

[RFCYANGTYPES]

Schoenwaelder, J., "Common YANG Data Types", draft-ietf-netmod-yang-types-09.txt (work in progress).

[RFCYANGUSAGE]

Bierman, A., "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of YANG Data Model Documents", draft-ietf-netmod-yang-usage-10.txt (work in progress).

[SWEXPECT]

"The Expect Home Page", <http://expect.sourceforge.net/>.

[W3CXPATH]

DeRose, S. and J. Clark, "XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xpath-19991116, November 1999, <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116>.

[W3CXQUERY]

Boag, S., "XQuery 1.0: An XML Query Language", W3C WD WDxquery-20050915, September 2005.

- [W3CXSD] Walmsley, P. and D. Fallside, "XML Schema Part 0: Primer Second Edition", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xmlschema-0-20041028, October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-0-20041028>.
- [W3CXSLT] Clark, J., "XSL Transformations (XSLT) Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xslt-19991116, November 1999, <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xslt-19991116>.

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 33]

Internet-Draft NETMODARCH

Author's Address

Phil Shafer Juniper Networks

Email: phil@juniper.net

Shafer

Expires March 27, 2011

[Page 34]

NETMOD Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: April 24, 2011 L. Lhotka, Ed. CESNET October 21, 2010

Mapping YANG to Document Schema Definition Languages and Validating NETCONF Content draft-ietf-netmod-dsdl-map-10

Abstract

This document specifies the mapping rules for translating YANG data models into Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL), a coordinated set of XML schema languages standardized as ISO/IEC 19757. The following DSDL schema languages are addressed by the mapping: RELAX NG, Schematron and DSRL. The mapping takes one or more YANG modules and produces a set of DSDL schemas for a selected target document type - datastore content, NETCONF message etc. Procedures for schema-based validation of such documents are also discussed.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 24, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 1]

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| 1 | . Intr | oduct | on | | • | | 6 |
|---|---------|--------|--|--|---|--|----|
| 2 | . Term | inolog | y and Notation | | | | 8 |
| | 2.1. | Gloss | ary of New Terms | | | | 11 |
| 3 | . Obje | ctive | and Motivation | | | | 12 |
| 4 | . DSDL | Scher | a Languages | | | | 14 |
| | 4.1. | RELA | NG | | | | 14 |
| | 4.2. | Scher | natron | | | | 15 |
| | 4.3. | Docur | ent Semantics Renaming Language (DSRL) | | | | 16 |
| 5 | . Addi | tional | Annotations | | | | 17 |
| | 5.1. | Dubl | n Core Metadata Elements | | | | 17 |
| | 5.2. | RELA | NG DTD Compatibility Annotations | | | | 17 |
| | 5.3. | NETM | D-Specific Annotations | | | | 18 |
| б | . Over | view d | of the Mapping | | | | 20 |
| 7 | . NETC | ONF Co | ntent Validation | | | | 22 |
| 8 | . Desig | gn Coi | siderations | | | | 23 |
| | 8.1. | Hybr | d Schema | | | | 23 |
| | 8.2. | Modu | arity | | | | 25 |
| | 8.3. | Granu | larity | | | | 27 |
| | 8.4. | Hand | ing of XML Namespaces | | | | 27 |
| 9 | . Mapp | ing Y | NG Data Models to the Hybrid Schema . | | | | 29 |
| | 9.1. | Occu | rence Rules for Data Nodes | | | | 29 |
| | 9.1. | 1. | Optional and Mandatory Nodes | | | | 30 |
| | 9.1. | 2. | Implicit Nodes | | | | 31 |
| | 9.2. | Mapp | ng YANG Groupings and Typedefs | | | | 32 |
| | 9.2. | 1. | YANG Refinements and Augments | | | | 33 |
| | 9.2. | 2. | Type Derivation Chains | | | | 36 |
| | 9.3. | Trans | lation of XPath Expressions | | | | 38 |
| | 9.4. | YANG | Language Extensions | | | | 39 |
| 1 | 0. Mapp | ing Y | NG Statements to the Hybrid Schema . | | | | 41 |
| | 10.1. | The | anyxml' Statement | | | | 41 |
| | 10.2. | The | argument' Statement | | | | 42 |
| | 10.3. | The | augment' Statement | | | | 43 |
| | 10.4. | The | base' Statement | | | | 43 |
| | 10.5. | The | belongs-to' Statement | | | | 43 |
| | 10.6. | The | bit' Statement | | | | 43 |
| | 10.7. | The | case' Statement | | | | 43 |
| | 10.8. | The | choice' Statement | | | | 43 |
| | 10.9. | The | config' Statement | | | | 44 |
| | 10.10. | The | contact' Statement | | | | 44 |
| | | | | | | | |

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 2]

| 10 11 | Tho | (container) Statement |
|--------|---------|--|
| 10.11. | The | (defeult/ Chetement |
| 10.12. | The | (derault Statement |
| 10.13. | The | description Statement |
| 10.14. | The | 'deviation' Statement |
| 10.15. | The | 'enum' Statement |
| 10.16. | The | 'error-app-tag' Statement |
| 10.17. | The | 'error-message' Statement |
| 10.18. | The | 'extension' Statement |
| 10.19. | The | 'feature' Statement |
| 10.20. | The | 'grouping' Statement |
| 10.21. | The | 'identity' Statement |
| 10.22. | The | 'if-feature' Statement |
| 10.23. | The | 'import' Statement |
| 10.24. | The | 'include' Statement |
| 10 25 | The | 'input' Statement 49 |
| 10.25. | The | 'key' Statement 40 |
| 10.20. | The | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$ |
| 10.27. | The | /leaf ligt/ Ctatement |
| 10.20. | The mba | /length/ Statement |
| 10.29. | The | |
| 10.30. | The | |
| 10.31. | The | 'mandatory' Statement |
| 10.32. | The | 'max-elements' Statement |
| 10.33. | The | 'min-elements' Statement |
| 10.34. | The | 'module' Statement |
| 10.35. | The | 'must' Statement |
| 10.36. | The | 'namespace' Statement 53 |
| 10.37. | The | 'notification' Statement |
| 10.38. | The | 'ordered-by' Statement |
| 10.39. | The | 'organization' Statement |
| 10.40. | The | 'output' Statement |
| 10.41. | The | 'path' Statement |
| 10.42. | The | 'pattern' Statement |
| 10.43. | The | 'position' Statement |
| 10.44. | The | 'prefix' Statement |
| 10.45. | The | 'presence' Statement |
| 10.46. | The | 'range' Statement |
| 10.47. | The | 'reference' Statement |
| 10 48 | The | 'require-instance' Statement 55 |
| 10 49 | The | 'revision' Statement 55 |
| 10.10. | The | 'rng' Statement 55 |
| 10.50. | The | 'status' Statement 56 |
| 10.51. | The | /gubmodulo/ Ctatement |
| 10.52. | The | Submodule Statement |
| 10.53. | Ine | |
| 10.53 | 5.⊥. | Ine "empty" Type 5/ The "bashes" 5/ |
| 10.53 | 5.2. | The "Doolean" Type |
| 10.53 | 5.3. | The "pinary" Type |
| 10.53 | 3.4. | The "bits" Type |
| 10.53 | 3.5. | The "enumeration" and "union" Types 58 |

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 3]

| 10.53 | 3.6. | The "identityref" Type | 58 |
|-----------|-------------------|---|-------|
| 10.53 | 3.7. | The "instance-identifier" Type | 59 |
| 10.53 | 3.8. | The "leafref" Type | 59 |
| 10.53 | 3.9. | The Numeric Types | 59 |
| 10.53 | 3.10 | . The "string" Type | 61 |
| 10.53 | 3.11 | . Derived Types | 62 |
| 10.54. | The | 'typedef' Statement | 63 |
| 10.55. | The | 'unique' Statement | 63 |
| 10.56. | The | 'units' Statement | 64 |
| 10.57. | The | 'uses' Statement | 64 |
| 10 58 | The | 'value' Statement | 64 |
| 10 59 | The | 'when' Statement | 64 |
| 10.55. | The | (vang-version) Statement | 64 |
| 10.00. | The | /win_olomont/ Statement | 61 |
| 10.01. | 1110 1 m m m m | yIII-Element Statement | 65 |
| 11 1 1 | | LITE HYDEIG SCHEMA LO DSDL | 05 |
| 11.1. | Gene | erating RELAX NG Schemas for various Document types . | 05 |
| 11.2. | Map | ping Semantic Constraints to Schematron | 66 |
| 11.2 | • ⊥ • | Constraints on Mandatory Choice | 69 |
| 11.3. | . Mapp | ping Default Values to DSRL | .70 |
| 12. Mappi | ing 1 | NETMOD-specific Annotations to DSDL Schema | |
| Langı | lages | 5 | 75 |
| 12.1. | The | @nma:config Annotation | 75 |
| 12.2. | The | @nma:default Annotation | 75 |
| 12.3. | The | <nma:error-app-tag> Annotation</nma:error-app-tag> | 75 |
| 12.4. | The | <nma:error-message> Annotation</nma:error-message> | 75 |
| 12.5. | The | @if-feature Annotation | 75 |
| 12.6. | The | @nma:implicit Annotation | 76 |
| 12.7. | The | <pre><nma:instance-identifier> Annotation</nma:instance-identifier></pre> | 76 |
| 12.8. | The | @nma:key Annotation | 76 |
| 12.9. | The | @nma:leaf-list Annotation | 76 |
| 12.10. | The | @nma:leafref Annotation | 77 |
| 12.11. | The | @nma:min-elements Annotation | 77 |
| 12.12. | The | @nma:max-elements Annotation | 77 |
| 12.13. | The | <pre><nma:must> Annotation</nma:must></pre> | 77 |
| 12 14 | The | <pre><nma:ordered-by> Annotation</nma:ordered-by></pre> | 78 |
| 12 15 | The | <pre>cnma:status> Annotation</pre> | 78 |
| 12.15. | The | @nma:unique Annotation | 78 |
| 12.10. | The | @nma:when Annotation | 78 |
| 12 TANA | Cond | enma. When Annocacion | 70 |
| 14 Coqui | | Congiderationg | 00 |
| 14. Secur | LLLY | | 00 |
| 15. Contr | | | 8 T 8 |
| 16. Ackno | owied | agments | 82 |
| 17. Refei | rence | | 83 |
| 17.1. | Norr | native References | 83 |
| 17.2. | Info | prmative References | 84 |
| Appendix | Α. | RELAX NG Schema for NETMOD-Specific Annotations | 86 |
| Appendix | в. | Schema-Independent Library | 91 |
| Appendix | С. | Mapping DHCP Data Model - A Complete Example | 92 |

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 4]

| C.1. | Input YA | ANG Modu] | Le . | | | | | | | | | | | | | 92 |
|----------|----------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|-------|-----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| C.2. | Hybrid S | Schema . | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 94 |
| C.3. | Final DS | SDL Schen | nas . | | | | | | | | | | | | | 99 |
| C.3. | l. Mai | in RELAX | NG Sc | chema | a foi | c <no< td=""><td>:get</td><td>:></td><td>Re</td><td>pl</td><td>У</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100</td></no<> | :get | :> | Re | pl | У | | | | | 100 |
| C.3. | 2. REI | LAX NG SC | chema | - G] | Lobal | l Nan | ned I | Pat | te | rn | L | | | | | |
| | Def | finitions | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 102 |
| C.3. | 3. Sch | nematron | Scher | na fo | or <r< td=""><td>nc:ge</td><td>et> F</td><td>Rep</td><td>ly</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>104</td></r<> | nc:ge | et> F | Rep | ly | | | | | | | 104 |
| C.3.4 | 4. DSF | RL Schema | a for | <nc3< td=""><td>get</td><td>> Rep</td><td>ply</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>106</td></nc3<> | get | > Rep | ply | | | | | | | | | 106 |
| Appendix | D. Char | nge Log , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 107 |
| D.1. | Changes | Between | Vers | ions | -07 | and | -08 | | | | | | | | | 107 |
| D.2. | Changes | Between | Vers | ions | -06 | and | -07 | | | | | | | | | 107 |
| D.3. | Changes | Between | Vers | ions | -05 | and | -06 | | | | | | | | | 107 |
| D.4. | Changes | Between | Vers | ions | -04 | and | -05 | | • | | | • | • | • | | 108 |
| D.5. | Changes | Between | Vers | ions | -03 | and | -04 | | • | | | • | • | • | | 108 |
| D.6. | Changes | Between | Vers | ions | -02 | and | -03 | | | | | | | | | 109 |
| D.7. | Changes | Between | Vers | ions | -01 | and | -02 | | • | | | • | • | • | | 110 |
| D.8. | Changes | Between | Vers | ions | -00 | and | -01 | | • | | | • | • | • | | 110 |
| Author's | Address | | | • • | | • • | • • | | • | | • | • | • | • | • | 112 |

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 5]

Internet-Draft

1. Introduction

The NETCONF Working Group has completed a base protocol used for configuration management [RFC4741]. This base specification defines protocol bindings and an XML container syntax for configuration and management operations, but does not include a data modeling language or accompanying rules for how to model configuration and state information carried by NETCONF. The IETF Operations Area has a long tradition of defining data for SNMP Management Information Bases (MIB) modules [RFC1157] using the Structure of Management Information (SMI) language [RFC2578] to model its data. While this specific modeling approach has a number of well-understood problems, most of the data modeling features provided by SMI are still considered extremely important. Simply modeling the valid syntax without the additional semantic relationships has caused significant interoperability problems in the past.

The NETCONF community concluded that a data modeling framework is needed to support ongoing development of IETF and vendor-defined management information modules. The NETMOD Working Group was chartered to design a modeling language defining the semantics of operational data, configuration data, event notifications and operations, with focus on "human-friendliness", i.e., readability and ease of use. The result is the YANG data modeling language [RFC6020], which now serves for the normative description of NETCONF data models.

Since NETCONF uses XML for encoding its messages, it is natural to express the constraints on NETCONF content using standard XML schema languages. For this purpose, the NETMOD WG selected the Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) that is being standardized as ISO/ IEC 19757 [DSDL]. The DSDL framework comprises a set of XML schema languages that address grammar rules, semantic constraints and other data modeling aspects, but also, and more importantly, do it in a coordinated and consistent way. While it is true that some DSDL parts have not been standardized yet and are still work in progress, the three parts that the YANG-to-DSDL mapping relies upon - Regular Language for XML Next Generation (RELAX NG), Schematron and Document Schema Renaming Language (DSRL) - already have the status of an ISO/ IEC International Standard and are supported in a number of software tools.

This document contains a specification of a mapping that translates YANG data models to XML schemas utilizing a subset of the DSDL schema languages. The mapping procedure is divided into two steps: In the first step, the structure of the data tree, signatures of remote procedure call (RPC) operations and notifications is expressed as the so-called "hybrid schema" - a single RELAX NG schema with annotations

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 6]

representing additional data model information (metadata, documentation, semantic constraints, default values etc.). The second step then generates a coordinated set of DSDL schemas that can be used for validating specific XML documents such as client requests, server responses or notifications, perhaps also taking into account additional context such as active capabilities or features.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 7]

| Int | cerr | net-Draft | Mapping | YANG to | DSDL | October 2010 |
|-----|-------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 2. | Τe | erminology and Notat: | Lon | | | |
| | The "SF doc | e key words "MUST", ' HOULD", "SHOULD NOT" cument are to be inte | 'MUST NOI , "RECOMM erpreted | ", "REQ IENDED", as desc: | UIRED", "SHAI "MAY", and ' ribed in [RFC | LL", "SHALL NOT", 'OPTIONAL" in this C2119]. |
| | The | e following terms are | e defined | l in [RF | C4741]: | |
| | 0 | client | | | | |
| | 0 | datastore | | | | |
| | 0 | message | | | | |
| | 0 | operation | | | | |
| | 0 | server | | | | |
| | The | e following terms are | e defined | l in [RF0 | 26020]: | |
| | 0 | augment | | | | |
| | 0 | base type | | | | |
| | 0 | built-in type | | | | |
| | 0 | configuration data | | | | |
| | 0 | container | | | | |
| | 0 | data model | | | | |
| | 0 | data node | | | | |
| | 0 | data tree | | | | |
| | 0 | derived type | | | | |
| | 0 | device deviation | | | | |
| | 0 | extension | | | | |
| | 0 | feature | | | | |
| | 0 | grouping | | | | |
| | 0 | instance identifier | | | | |

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 8]

Internet-Draft

- o leaf-list
- o list
- o mandatory node
- o module
- o RPC
- o RPC operation
- o schema node
- o schema tree
- o state data
- o submodule
- o top-level data node
- o uses

The following terms are defined in [XML-INFOSET]:

- o attribute
- o document
- o document element
- o document type declaration (DTD)
- o element
- o information set
- o namespace
- In the text, the following typographic conventions are used:
- o YANG statement keywords are delimited by single quotes.
- o XML element names are delimited by "<" and ">" characters.
- o Names of XML attributes are prefixed by the "@" character.

Lhotka Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 9]

| Internet-Draft | Mapping YANG to DSDL | October 2010 |
|--|--|---------------|
| o Other literal value | es are delimited by double quotes. | |
| XML elements names are prefixes corresponding | e always written with explicit name g to the following XML vocabularies | space ;: |
| "a" DTD compatibility | annotations [RNG-DTD]; | |
| "dc" Dublin Core meta | adata elements [RFC5013]; | |
| "dsrl" Document Semar | tics Renaming Language [DSRL]; | |
| "en" NETCONF event no | otifications [RFC5277]; | |
| "nc" NETCONF protocol | [RFC4741]; | |
| "nma" NETMOD-specific | schema annotations (see Section 5 | i.3); |
| "nmf" NETMOD-specific | : XPath extension functions (see Se | ection 12.7); |
| "rng" RELAX NG [RNG]; | | |
| "sch" ISO Schematron | [Schematron]; | |
| "xsd" W3C XML Schema | [XSD]. | |
| The following table sh URIs. | nows the mapping of these prefixes | to namespace |

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 10]

| + Prefix | Namespace URI |
|----------------|---|
| a | http://relaxng.org/ns/compatibility/annotations/1.0 |
| dc | http://purl.org/dc/terms |
| dsrl | http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/dsrl |
| en | urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:1.0 |
| nc | urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0 |
| nma | urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1 |
| nmf | urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:xpath-extensions:1 |
| rng | http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0 |
| sch | http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron |
| xsd + | http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema |

Table 1: Used namespace prefixes and corresponding URIs

- 2.1. Glossary of New Terms
 - o ancestor datatype: Any datatype a given datatype is (transitively) derived from.
 - o ancestor built-in datatype: The built-in datatype that is at the start of the type derivation chain for a given datatype.
 - o hybrid schema: A RELAX NG schema with annotations, which embodies the same information as the source YANG module(s). See Section 8.1 for details.
 - o implicit node: A data node that, if it is not instantiated in a data tree, may be added to the information set of that data tree (configuration, RPC input or output, notification) without changing the semantics of the data tree.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

3. Objectives and Motivation

The main objective of this work is to complement YANG as a data modeling language with validation capabilities of DSDL schema languages, namely RELAX NG, Schematron and DSRL. This document describes the correspondence between grammatical, semantic and data type constraints expressed in YANG and equivalent DSDL patterns and rules. The ultimate goal is to be able to capture all substantial information contained in YANG modules and express it in DSDL schemas. While the mapping from YANG to DSDL described in this document may in principle be invertible, the inverse mapping from DSDL to YANG is beyond the scope of this document.

XML-based information models and XML-encoded data appear in several different forms in various phases of YANG data modeling and NETCONF workflow - configuration datastore contents, RPC requests and replies, and notifications. Moreover, RPC operations are characterized by an inherent diversity resulting from selective availability of capabilities and features. YANG modules can also define new RPC operations. The mapping should be able to accommodate this variability and generate schemas that are specifically tailored to a particular situation and thus considerably more effective for validation than generic all-encompassing schemas.

In order to cope with this variability, we assume that the DSDL schemas will be generated on demand for a particular purpose from the available collection of YANG modules and their lifetime will be relatively short. In other words, we don't envision that any collection of DSDL schemas will be created and maintained over an extended period of time in parallel to YANG modules.

The generated schemas are primarily intended as input to existing XML schema validators and other off-the-shelf tools. However, the schemas may also be perused by developers and users as a formal representation of constraints on a particular XML-encoded data object. Consequently, our secondary goal is to keep the schemas as readable as possible. To this end, the complexity of the mapping is distributed into two steps:

1. The first step maps one or more YANG modules to the so-called hybrid schema, which is a single RELAX NG schema that describes grammatical constraints for the main data tree as well as for RPC operations and notifications. Semantic constraints and other information appearing in the input YANG modules is recorded in the hybrid schema in the form of foreign namespace annotations. The output of the first step can thus be considered a virtually complete equivalent of the input YANG modules.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 12]

2. In the second step, the hybrid schema from step 1 is transformed further to a coordinated set of fully conformant DSDL schemas containing constraints for a particular data object and a specific situation. The DSDL schemas are intended mainly for machine validation using off-the-shelf tools.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 13]

Internet-Draft

4. DSDL Schema Languages

Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) is a framework of schema languages that is being developed as the International Standard ISO/ IEC 19757 [DSDL]. Unlike other approaches to XML document validation, most notably W3C XML Schema Definition (XSD) [XSD], the DSDL framework adheres to the principle of "small languages": Each of the DSDL constituents is a stand-alone schema language with a relatively narrow purpose and focus. Together, these schema languages may be used in a coordinated way to accomplish various validation tasks.

The mapping described in this document uses three of the DSDL schema languages, namely RELAX NG [RNG], Schematron [Schematron] and DSRL [DSRL].

4.1. RELAX NG

RELAX NG (pronounced "relaxing") is an XML schema language for grammar-based validation and Part 2 of the ISO/IEC DSDL family of standards [RNG]. Like the W3C XML Schema language [XSD], it is able to describe constraints on the structure and contents of XML documents. However, unlike the DTD [XML] and XSD schema languages, RELAX NG intentionally avoids any infoset augmentation such as defining default values. In the DSDL architecture, the particular task of defining and applying default values is delegated to another schema language, DSRL (see Section 4.3).

As its base datatype library, RELAX NG uses the W3C XML Schema Datatype Library [XSD-D], but unlike XSD, other datatype libraries may be used along with it or even replace it if necessary.

RELAX NG is very liberal in accepting annotations from other namespaces. With a few exceptions, such annotations may be placed anywhere in the schema and need no encapsulating elements such as <xsd:annotation> in XSD.

RELAX NG schemas can be represented in two equivalent syntaxes: XML and compact. The compact syntax is described in Annex C of the RELAX NG specification [RNG-CS], which was added to the standard in 2006 (Amendment 1). Automatic bidirectional conversions between the two syntaxes can be accomplished using several tools, for example Trang [Trang].

For its terseness and readability, the compact syntax is often the preferred form for publishing RELAX NG schemas whereas validators and other software tools usually work with the XML syntax. However, the compact syntax has two drawbacks:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 14]

- External annotations make the compact syntax schema considerably less readable. While in the XML syntax the annotating elements and attributes are represented in a simple and uniform way (XML elements and attributes from foreign namespaces), the compact syntax uses as many as four different syntactic constructs: documentation, grammar, initial and following annotations. Therefore, the impact of annotations on readability is often much stronger for the compact syntax than it is for the XML syntax.
- o In a computer program, it is more difficult to generate the compact syntax than the XML syntax. While a number of software libraries exist that make it easy to create an XML tree in the memory and then serialize it, no such aid is available for the compact syntax.

For these reasons, the mapping specification in this document uses exclusively the XML syntax. Where appropriate, though, the schemas resulting from the translation MAY be presented in the equivalent compact syntax.

RELAX NG elements are qualified with the namespace URI "http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0". The namespace of the W3C Schema Datatype Library is "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-datatypes".

4.2. Schematron

Schematron is Part 3 of DSDL that reached the status of a full ISO/ IEC standard in 2006 [Schematron]. In contrast to the traditional schema languages such as DTD, XSD or RELAX NG, which are based on the concept of a formal grammar, Schematron utilizes a rule-based approach. Its rules may specify arbitrary conditions involving data from different parts of an XML document. Each rule consists of three essential components:

- o context an XPath expression that defines the set of locations
 where the rule is to be applied;
- o assert or report condition another XPath expression that is evaluated relative to the location matched by the context expression;
- o human-readable message that is displayed when the assert condition is false or report condition is true.

The difference between the assert and report condition is that the former is positive in that it states a condition that a valid document has to satisfy, whereas the latter specifies an error

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 15]

condition.

Schematron draws most of its expressive power from XPath [XPath] and Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations (XSLT) [XSLT]. ISO Schematron allows for dynamic query language binding so that the following XML query languages can be used: STX, XSLT 1.0, XSLT 1.1, EXSLT, XSLT 2.0, XPath 1.0, XPath 2.0 and XQuery 1.0 (this list may be extended in the future).

Human-readable error messages are another feature that sets Schematron apart from other common schema languages. The messages may even contain XPath expressions that are evaluated in the actual context and thus refer to information items in the XML document being validated.

Another feature of Schematron that is used by the mapping are abstract patterns. These work essentially as macros and may also contain parameters which are supplied when the abstract pattern is used.

Schematron elements are qualified with namespace URI "http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron".

4.3. Document Semantics Renaming Language (DSRL)

DSRL (pronounced "disrule") is Part 8 of DSDL that reached the status of a full ISO/IEC standard in 2008 [DSRL]. Unlike RELAX NG and Schematron, DSRL is allowed to modify XML information set of the validated document. While DSRL is primarily intended for renaming XML elements and attributes, it can also define default values for XML attributes and default contents for XML elements or subtrees so that the default contents are inserted if they are missing in the validated documents. The latter feature is used by the YANG-to-DSDL mapping for representing YANG default contents consisting of leaf nodes with default values and their ancestor non-presence containers.

DSRL elements are qualified with namespace URI "http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/dsrl".

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 16]

5. Additional Annotations

Besides the DSDL schema languages, the mapping also uses three sets of annotations that are added as foreign-namespace attributes and elements to RELAX NG schemas.

Two of the annotation sets - Dublin Core elements and DTD compatibility annotations - are standard vocabularies for representing metadata and documentation, respectively. Although these data model items are not used for formal validation, they quite often carry important information for data model implementers. Therefore, they SHOULD be included in the hybrid schema and MAY also appear in the final validation schemas.

The third set are NETMOD-specific annotations. They are specifically designed for the hybrid schema and convey semantic constraints and other information that cannot be expressed directly in RELAX NG. In the second mapping step, these annotations are converted to Schematron and DSRL rules.

5.1. Dublin Core Metadata Elements

Dublin Core is a system of metadata elements that was originally created for describing metadata of World Wide Web resources in order to facilitate their automated lookup. Later it was accepted as a standard for describing metadata of arbitrary resources. This specification uses the definition from [RFC5013].

Dublin Core elements are qualified with namespace URI "http://purl.org/dc/terms".

5.2. RELAX NG DTD Compatibility Annotations

DTD compatibility annotations are a part of the RELAX NG DTD Compatibility specification [RNG-DTD]. YANG-to-DSDL mapping uses only the <a:documentation> annotation for representing YANG 'description' and 'reference' texts.

Note that there is no intention to make the resulting schemas DTDcompatible, the main reason for using these annotations is technical: they are well supported and adequately formatted by several RELAX NG tools.

DTD compatibility annotations are qualified with namespace URI "http://relaxng.org/ns/compatibility/annotations/1.0".

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 17]

5.3. NETMOD-Specific Annotations

NETMOD-specific annotations are XML elements and attributes qualified with the namespace URI "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1" which appear in various locations of the hybrid schema. YANG statements are mapped to these annotations in a straightforward way. In most cases, the annotation attributes and elements have the same name as the corresponding YANG statement.

Table 2 lists alphabetically the names of NETMOD-specific annotation attributes (prefixed with "@") and elements (in angle brackets) along with a reference to the section where their use is described. Appendix A contains a RELAX NG schema for this annotation vocabulary.

| + annotation | section | note |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------|
| @nma:config | 10.9 | |
| <pre>nma:data></pre> | 8.1 | 4 |
| @nma:default | 10.12 | |
| <pre></pre> | 10.16 | 1 |
| <pre>nma:error-message></pre> | 10.17 | 1 |
| @nma:if-feature | 10.22 | |
| @nma:implicit | 10.11, 10.7, 10.12 | |
| <pre></pre> | 8.1 | 4 |
| <pre></pre> | 10.53.7 | 2 |
| @nma:key | 10.26 | |
| @nma:leaf-list | 10.28 | |
| @nma:leafref | 10.53.8 | |
| @nma:mandatory | 10.8 | |
| @nma:max-elements | 10.28 | |
| @nma:min-elements | 10.28 | |

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 18]

| Mapping | YANG | to | DSDL |
|---------|------|----|------|
| Mapping | THIO | υU | |

| @nma:module | 10.34 | |
|---|-------|-------------|
| <nma:must></nma:must> | 10.35 | 3 |
| <nma:notification></nma:notification> | 8.1 | 4 |
| <nma:notifications></nma:notifications> | 8.1 | 4 |
| @nma:ordered-by | 10.38 | |
| <nma:output></nma:output> | 8.1 | 4 |
| <nma:rpc></nma:rpc> | 8.1 | 4 |
| <nma:rpcs></nma:rpcs> | 8.1 | 4 |
| @nma:status | 10.51 | |
| @nma:unique | 10.55 | |
| @nma:units | 10.56 | |
| @nma:when + | 10.59 | ++ |

Table 2: NETMOD-specific annotations

Notes:

Internet-Draft

- 1. Appears only as a subelement of <nma:must>.
- 2. Has an optional attribute @require-instance.
- 3. Has a mandatory attribute @assert and two optional subelements <nma:error-app-tag> and <nma:error-message>.
- 4. Marker element in the hybrid schema.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 19]

Internet-Draft

6. Overview of the Mapping

This section gives an overview of the YANG-to-DSDL mapping, its inputs and outputs. Figure 1 presents an overall structure of the mapping:



Figure 1: Structure of the mapping

The mapping procedure is divided into two steps:

- 1. Transformation T in the first step maps one or more YANG modules to the hybrid schema (see Section 8.1). Constraints that cannot be expressed directly in RELAX NG (list key definitions, 'must' statements etc.) and various documentation texts are recorded in the schema as foreign-namespace annotations.
- 2. In the second step, the hybrid schema may be transformed in multiple ways to a coordinated set of DSDL schemas that can be used for validating a particular data object in a specific context. Figure 1 shows three simple possibilities as examples. In the process, appropriate parts of the hybrid schema are extracted and specific annotations transformed to equivalent, but usually more complex, Schematron patterns, DSRL element maps etc.

An implementation of the mapping algorithm MUST accept one or more valid YANG modules as its input. It is important to be able to process multiple YANG modules together since multiple modules may be negotiated for a NETCONF session and the contents of the configuration datastore is then obtained as the union of data trees specified by the individual modules, which may also lead to multiple root nodes of the datastore hierarchy. In addition, the input

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 20]

modules may be further coupled by the 'augment' statement in which one module augments the data tree of another module.

It is also assumed that the algorithm has access, perhaps on demand, to all YANG modules that the input modules import (directly or transitively).

Other information contained in input YANG modules, such as semantic constraints and default values, are recorded in the hybrid schema as annotations - XML attributes or elements qualified with namespace URI "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1". Metadata describing the YANG modules are mapped to Dublin Core annotations elements (Section 5.1). Finally, documentation strings are mapped to <a:documentation> elements belonging to the DTD compatibility vocabulary (Section 5.2).

The output of the second step is a coordinated set of three DSDL schemas corresponding to a specific data object and context:

- o RELAX NG schema describing the grammatical and datatype
 constraints;
- o Schematron schema expressing other constraints such as uniqueness of list keys or user-specified semantic rules;
- o DSRL schema containing the specification of default contents.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 21]

7. NETCONF Content Validation

This section describes how the schemas generated by the YANG-to-DSDL mapping are supposed to be applied for validating XML instance documents such as the contents of a datastore or various NETCONF messages.

The validation proceeds in the following steps, which are also illustrated in Figure 2:

- The XML instance document is checked for grammatical and data 1. type validity using the RELAX NG schema.
- 2. Default values for leaf nodes have to be applied and their ancestor containers added where necessary. It is important to add the implicit nodes before the next validation step because YANG specification [RFC6020] requires that the data tree against which XPath expressions are evaluated already has all defaults filled-in. Note that this step modifies the information set of the validated XML document.
- 3. The semantic constraints are checked using the Schematron schema.



Figure 2: Outline of the validation procedure

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 22]

8. Design Considerations

YANG data models could in principle be mapped to the DSDL schemas in a number of ways. The mapping procedure described in this document uses several specific design decisions that are discussed in the following subsections.

8.1. Hybrid Schema

As was explained in Section 6, the first step of the mapping produces an intermediate document - the hybrid schema, which specifies all constraints for the entire data model in a single RELAX NG schema.

Every input YANG module corresponds to exactly one embedded grammar in the hybrid schema. This separation of input YANG modules allows each embedded grammar to include named pattern definitions into its own namespace, which is important for mapping YANG groupings (see Section 9.2 for additional details).

In addition to grammatical and datatype constraints, YANG modules provide other important information that cannot be expressed in a RELAX NG schema: semantic constraints, default values, metadata, documentation and so on. Such information items are represented in the hybrid schema as XML attributes and elements belonging to the namespace with the following URI:

"urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1". A complete list of these annotations is given in Section 5.3, detailed rules about their use are then contained in the following sections.

YANG modules define data models not only for configuration and state data but also for (multiple) RPC operations [RFC4741] and/or event notifications [RFC5277]. In order to be able to capture all three types of data models in one schema document, the hybrid schema uses special markers that enclose sub-schemas for configuration and state data, individual RPC operations (both input and output part) and individual notifications.

The markers are the following XML elements in the namespace of NETMOD-specific annotations (URI urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1):

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 23]

| + Element name | Role |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| nma:data | encloses configuration and state data |
| nma:rpcs | encloses all RPC operations |
| nma:rpc | encloses an individual RPC operation |
| nma:input | encloses an RPC request |
| nma:output | encloses an RPC reply |
| nma:notifications | encloses all notifications |
| nma:notification | encloses an individual notification |

Table 3: Marker elements in the hybrid schema

```
For example, consider a data model formed by two YANG modules
 "example-a" and "example-b" that define nodes in the namespaces
 "http://example.com/ns/example-a" and
 "http://example.com/ns/example-b". Module "example-a" defines
 configuration/state data, RPC methods and notifications, whereas
 "example-b" defines only configuration/state data. The hybrid schema
can then be schematically represented as follows:
<grammar xmlns="http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0"</pre>
        xmlns:nma="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1"
        xmlns:exa="http://example.com/ns/example-a"
        xmlns:exb="http://example.com/ns/example-b"
        datatypeLibrary="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-datatypes">
  <start>
   <grammar nma:module="example-a"
            ns="http://example.com/ns/example-a">
      <start>
        <nma:data>
          ... configuration and state data defined in "example-a"...
        </nma:data>
        <nma:rpcs>
          <nma:rpc>
            <nma:input>
              <element name="exa:myrpc">
                . . .
              </element>
            </nma:input>
            <nma:output>
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 24]

```
. . .
            </nma:output>
          </nma:rpc>
          . . .
        </nma:rpcs>
        <nma:notifications>
          <nma:notification>
            <element name="exa:mynotif">
               . . .
            </element>
          </nma:notification>
          . . .
        </nma:notifications>
      </start>
      ...local named pattern definitions of example-a...
    </grammar>
    <grammar nma:module="example-b"
            ns="http://example.com/ns/example-a">
      <start>
        <nma:data>
          ... configuration and state data defined in "example-b"...
        </nma:data>
        <nma:rpcs/>
        <nma:notifications/>
      </start>
      ...local named pattern definitions of example-b...
    </grammar>
  </start>
  ...global named pattern definitions...
</grammar>
```

A complete hybrid schema for the data model of a DHCP server is given in Appendix C.2.

```
8.2. Modularity
```

Both YANG and RELAX NG offer means for modularity, i.e., for splitting the contents of a full schema into separate modules and combining or reusing them in various ways. However, the approaches taken by YANG and RELAX NG differ. Modularity in RELAX NG is suitable for ad hoc combinations of a small number of schemas whereas YANG assumes a large set of modules similar to SNMP MIB modules. The following differences are important:

 In YANG, whenever module A imports module B, it gets access to the definitions (groupings and typedefs) appearing at the top level of module B. However, no part of data tree from module B is imported along with it. In contrast, the <rng:include> pattern in RELAX NG

Lhotka Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 25]

imports both definitions of named patterns and the entire schema tree from the included schema.

o The names of imported YANG groupings and typedefs are qualified with the namespace of the imported module. On the other hand, the names of data nodes contained inside the imported groupings, when used within the importing module, become part of the importing module's namespace. In RELAX NG, the names of patterns are unqualified and so named patterns defined in both the importing and imported module share the same flat namespace. The contents of RELAX NG named patterns may either keep the namespace of the schema where they are defined or inherit the namespace of the importing module, analogically to YANG. However, in order to achieve the latter behavior, the definitions of named patterns must be included from an external schema which has to be prepared in a special way (see [Vli04], Chapter 11).

In order to map, as much as possible, the modularity of YANG to RELAX NG, a validating RELAX NG schema (the result of the second mapping step) has to be split into two files, one of them containing all global definitions that are mapped from top-level YANG groupings appearing in all input YANG module. This RELAX NG schema MUST NOT define any namespace via the @ns attribute.

The other RELAX NG schema file then defines actual data trees mapped from input YANG modules, each of them enclosed in an own embedded grammar. Those embedded grammars in which at least one of the global definitions is used MUST include the first schema with definitions and also MUST define the local namespace using the @ns attribute. This way, the global definitions can be used inside different embedded grammar, each time accepting a different local namespace.

Named pattern definition that are mapped from non-top-level YANG groupings MUST be placed inside the embedded grammar corresponding to the YANG module where the grouping is defined.

In the hybrid schema, we need to distinguish the global and nonglobal named pattern definitions while still keeping the hybrid schema in one file. This is accomplished in the following way:

- o Every global definition MUST be placed as a child of the the outer <rng:grammar> element (the document root of the hybrid schema).
- Every non-global definitions MUST be placed as a child of the corresponding embedded <rng:grammar> element.

YANG also allows for splitting a module into a number of submodules. However, as submodules have no impact on the scope of identifiers and

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 26]

namespaces, the modularity based on submodules is not mapped in any way. The contents of submodules is therefore handled as if the submodule text appeared directly in the main module.

8.3. Granularity

RELAX NG supports different styles of schema structuring: One extreme, often called "Russian Doll", specifies the structure of an XML instance document in a single hierarchy. The other extreme, the flat style, uses a similar approach as the Data Type Definition (DTD) schema language - every XML element corresponds to a named pattern definition. In practice, some compromise between the two extremes is usually chosen.

YANG supports both styles in principle, too, but in most cases the modules are organized in a way closer to the "Russian Doll" style, which provides a better insight into the structure of the configuration data. Groupings are usually defined only for contents that are prepared for reuse in multiple places via the 'uses' statement. In contrast, RELAX NG schemas tend to be much flatter, because finer granularity is also needed in RELAX NG for extensibility of the schemas - it is only possible to replace or modify schema fragments that are factored out as named patterns. For YANG this is not an issue since its 'augment' and 'refine' statements can delve, by using path expressions, into arbitrary depths of existing structures.

In general, it not feasible to map YANG's powerful extension mechanisms to those available in RELAX NG. For this reason, the mapping essentially keeps the granularity of the original YANG data model: YANG groupings and definitions of derived types usually have direct counterparts in definitions of named patterns in the resulting RELAX NG schema.

8.4. Handling of XML Namespaces

Most modern XML schema languages, including RELAX NG, Schematron and DSRL, support schemas for so-called compound XML documents which contain elements from multiple namespaces. This is useful for our purpose since the YANG-to-DSDL mapping allows for multiple input YANG modules, which naturally leads to compound document schemas.

RELAX NG offers two alternatives for defining the target namespaces in the schema:

1. First possibility is the traditional XML way via the @xmlns:xxx attribute.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 27]

2. One of the target namespace URIs may be declared using the @ns attribute.

In both the hybrid schema and validation RELAX NG schemas generated in the second step, the namespaces MUST be declared as follows:

- The root <rng:grammar> MUST have @xmlns:xxx attributes declaring prefixes of all namespaces that are used in the data model. The prefixes SHOULD be identical to those defined in the 'prefix' statements. An implementation of the mapping MUST resolve all collisions in the prefixes defined by different input modules, if there are any.
- 2. Each embedded <rng:grammar> element MUST declare the namespace of the corresponding module using the @ns attribute. This way, the names of nodes defined by global named patterns are able to adopt the local namespace of each embedded grammar, as explained in Section 8.2.

This setup is illustrated by the example at the end of Section 8.1.

DSRL schemas may declare any number of target namespaces via the standard XML attributes xmlns:xxx.

In contrast, Schematron requires all used namespaces to be defined in the <sch:ns> subelements of the document element <sch:schema>.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 28]

9. Mapping YANG Data Models to the Hybrid Schema

This section explains the main principles governing the first step of the mapping. Its result is the hybrid schema which is described in Section 8.1.

A detailed specification of the mapping of individual YANG statements is contained in the following Section 10.

9.1. Occurrence Rules for Data Nodes

In DSDL schema languages, occurrence constraints for a node are always localized together with that node. In a RELAX NG schema, for example, <rng:optional> pattern appears as the parent element of the pattern defining a leaf or non-leaf element. Similarly, DSRL specifies default contents separately for every single node, be it a leaf or non-leaf element.

For leaf nodes in YANG modules, the occurrence constraints are also easily inferred from the substatements of 'leaf'. On the other hand, for a YANG container it is often necessary to examine its entire subtree in order to determine the container's occurrence constraints.

Therefore, one of the goals of the first mapping step is to infer the occurrence constraints for all data nodes and mark accordingly the corresponding <rng:element> patterns in the hybrid schema so that any transformation procedure in the second mapping step can simply use this information and need not examine the subtree again.

First, it has to be decided whether a given data node must always be present in a valid configuration. If so, such a node is called mandatory, otherwise it is called optional. This constraint is closely related to the notion of mandatory nodes in Section 3.1 in [RFC6020]. The only difference is that this document also considers list keys to be mandatory.

The other occurrence constraint has to do with the semantics of the 'default' statement and the possibility of removing empty nonpresence containers. As a result, the information set of a valid configuration may be modified by adding or removing certain leaf or container elements without changing the meaning of the configuration. In this document, such elements are called implicit. In the hybrid schema, they can be identified as RELAX NG patterns having either @nma:default or @nma:implicit attribute.

Note that both occurrence constraints apply to containers at the top level of the data tree, and then also to other containers under the additional condition that their parent node exists in the instance

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 29]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                            October 2010
Internet-Draft
  document. For example, consider the following YANG fragment:
       container outer {
           presence 'Presence of "outer" means something.';
           container c1 {
               leaf foo {
                   type uint8;
                   default 1;
               }
           }
           container c2 {
               leaf-list bar {
                   type uint8;
                   min-elements 0;
               }
           }
           container c3 {
               leaf baz {
                   type uint8;
                   mandatory true;
               }
```

Here, container "outer" has the 'presence' substatement, which means that it is optional and not implicit. If "outer" is not present in a configuration, its child containers are not present as well. However, if "outer" does exist, it makes sense to ask which of its child containers are optional and which are implicit. In this case, "cl" is optional and implicit, "c2" is optional but not implicit and "c3" is mandatory (and therefore not implicit).

The following subsections give precise rules for determining whether a container is optional or mandatory and whether it is implicit. In order to simplify the recursive definition of these occurrence characteristics, it is useful to define them also for other types of YANG schema nodes, i.e., leaf, list, leaf-list and anyxml and choice.

9.1.1. Optional and Mandatory Nodes

}

}

The decision whether a given node is mandatory or optional is governed by the following rules:

o Leaf, anyxml and choice nodes are mandatory if they contain the substatement "mandatory true;". For a choice node this means that at least one node from exactly one case branch must exist.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 30]

- o In addition, a leaf node is mandatory if it is declared as a list key.
- o A list or leaf-list node is mandatory if it contains the 'minelements' substatement with an argument value greater than zero.
- A container node is mandatory if its definition does not contain the 'presence' substatement and at least one of its child nodes is mandatory.

A node which is not mandatory is said to be optional.

In RELAX NG, definitions of nodes that are optional must be explicitly wrapped in the <rng:optional> element. The mapping MUST use the above rules to determine whether a YANG node is optional and if so, insert the <rng:optional> element in the hybrid schema.

However, alternatives in <rng:choice> MUST NOT be defined as optional in the hybrid schema. If a choice in YANG is not mandatory, <rng: optional> MUST be used to wrap the entire <rng:choice> pattern.

9.1.2. Implicit Nodes

The following rules are used to determine whether a given data node is implicit:

- o List, leaf-list and anyxml nodes are never implicit.
- A leaf node is implicit if and only if it has a default value, defined either directly or via its datatype.
- A container node is implicit if and only if it does not have the 'presence' substatement, none of its children are mandatory and at least one child is implicit.

In the hybrid schema, all implicit containers, as well as leafs that obtain their default value from a typedef and don't have the @nma: default attribute, MUST be marked with @nma:implicit attribute having the value of "true".

Note that Section 7.9.3 in [RFC6020] specifies other rules that must be taken into account when deciding whether a given container or leaf appearing inside a case of a choice is ultimately implicit or not. Specifically, a leaf or container under a case can be implicit only if the case appears in the argument of the choice's 'default' statement. However, this is not sufficient by itself but also depends on the particular instance XML document, namely on the presence or absence of nodes from other (non-default) cases. The

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 31]

details are explained in Section 11.3.

9.2. Mapping YANG Groupings and Typedefs

YANG groupings and typedefs are generally mapped to RELAX NG named patterns. There are, however, several caveats that the mapping has to take into account.

First of all, YANG typedefs and groupings may appear at all levels of the module hierarchy and are subject to lexical scoping, see Section 5.5 in [RFC6020]. Second, top-level symbols from external modules may be imported as qualified names represented using the external module namespace prefix and the name of the symbol. In contrast, named patterns in RELAX NG (both local and imported via the <rng: include> pattern) share the same namespace and within a grammar they are always global - their definitions may only appear at the top level as children of the <rng:grammar> element. Consequently, whenever YANG groupings and typedefs are mapped to RELAX NG named pattern definitions, their names MUST be disambiguated in order to avoid naming conflicts. The mapping uses the following procedure for mangling the names of groupings and type definitions:

- Names of groupings and typedefs appearing at the top level of the YANG module hierarchy are prefixed with the module name and two underscore characters ("__").
- Names of other groupings and typedefs, i.e., those that do not appear at the top level of a YANG module, are prefixed with the module name, double underscore, and then the names of all ancestor data nodes separated by double underscore.
- o Finally, since the names of groupings and typedefs in YANG have different namespaces, an additional underscore character is added to the beginning of the mangled names of all groupings.

An additional complication is caused by the YANG rules for subelement ordering (see, e.g., Section 7.5.7 in [RFC6020]): In RPC input and output parameters, subelements must follow the order specified in the data model, otherwise the order is arbitrary. Consequently, if a grouping is used both in RPC input/output parameters and elsewhere, it MUST be mapped to two different named pattern definitions - one with fixed order and the other with arbitrary order. To distinguish them, the "__rpc" suffix MUST be appended to the version with fixed order.

EXAMPLE. Consider the following YANG module which imports the standard module "ietf-inet-types" [RFC6021]:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 32]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                            October 2010
Internet-Draft
  module example1 {
       namespace "http://example.com/ns/example1";
       prefix ex1;
       typedef vowels {
           type string {
               pattern "[aeiouy]*";
           }
       }
       grouping "grpl"
           leaf "void" {
               type "empty";
           }
       }
       container "cont" {
           leaf foo {
               type vowels;
           }
          uses "grp1";
       }
   }
  The hybrid schema generated by the first mapping step will then
   contain the following two (global) named pattern definitions:
   <rng:define name="example1__vowels">
     <rng:data type="string">
       <rng:param name="pattern">[aeiouy]*</rng:param>
     </rng:data>
   </rng:define>
   <rng:define name="_example1__grp1">
     <rng:optional>
       <rng:element name="void">
         <rng:empty/>
       </rng:element>
     </rng:optional>
   </rng:define>
9.2.1. YANG Refinements and Augments
```

YANG groupings represent a similar concept as named pattern definitions in RELAX NG and both languages also offer mechanisms for their subsequent modification. However, in RELAX NG the definitions themselves are modified whereas YANG provides two substatements of 'uses' which modify expansions of groupings:

o 'refine' statement allows for changing parameters of a schema node inside the grouping referenced by the parent 'uses' statement;

Lhotka Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 33]
o 'augment' statement can be used for adding new schema nodes to the grouping contents.

Both 'refine' and 'augment' statements are quite powerful in that they can address, using XPath-like expressions as their arguments, schema nodes that are arbitrarily deep inside the grouping contents. In contrast, modifications of named pattern definitions in RELAX NG are applied exclusively at the topmost level of the named pattern contents. In order to achieve a modifiability of named patterns comparable to YANG, a RELAX NG schema would have to be extremely flat (cf. Section 8.3) and very difficult to read.

Since the goal of the mapping described in this document is to generate ad hoc DSDL schemas, we decided to avoid these complications and instead expand the grouping and refine and/or augment it "in place". In other words, every 'uses' statement which has 'refine' and/or 'augment' substatements is replaced by the contents of the corresponding grouping, the changes specified in the 'refine' and 'augment' statements are applied and the resulting YANG schema fragment is mapped as if the 'uses'/'grouping' indirection wasn't there.

If there are further 'uses' statements inside the grouping contents, they may require expansion, too: it is necessary if the contained 'uses'/'grouping' pair lies on the "modification path" specified in the argument of a 'refine' or 'augment' statement.

EXAMPLE. Consider the following YANG module:

```
module example2 {
    namespace "http://example.com/ns/example2";
    prefix ex2;
    grouping leaves {
        uses fr;
        uses es;
    }
    grouping fr {
        leaf feuille {
           type string;
        }
    }
    grouping es {
       leaf hoja {
            type string;
    }
    uses leaves;
}
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 34]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                              October 2010
Internet-Draft
   The resulting hybrid schema contains three global named pattern
  definitions corresponding to the three groupings, namely
   <rng:define name="_example2__leaves">
     <rng:interleave>
       <rng:ref name="_example2__fr"/><rng:ref name="_example2__es"/>
     </rng:interleave>
   </rng:define>
   <rng:define name="_example2__fr">
     <rng:optional>
       <rng:element name="feuille">
         <rng:data type="string"/>
       </rng:element>
     </rng:optional>
   </rng:define>
   <rng:define name="_example2__es">
     <rng:optional>
       <rng:element name="hoja">
         <rng:data type="string"/>
       </rng:element>
     </rng:optional>
   </rng:define>
   and the configuration data part of the hybrid schema is a single
   named pattern reference:
   <nma:data>
     <rng:ref name="_example2__leaves"/>
   </nma:data>
  Now assume that the "uses leaves" statement contains a 'refine'
   substatement, for example:
  uses leaves {
      refine "hoja" {
          default "alamo";
       }
   }
  The resulting hybrid schema now contains just one named pattern
  definition - "_example2__fr". The other two groupings "leaves" and
   "es" have to be expanded because they both lie on the "modification
  path", i.e., contain the leaf "hoja" that is being refined. The
   configuration data part of the hybrid schema now looks like this:
```

```
Lhotka
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 35]

```
Internet-Draft Mapping YANG to DSDL October 2010
<nma:data>
    <rng:interleave>
        <rng:ref name="_example2__fr"/>
        <rng:optional>
        <rng:element name="ex2:hoja" nma:default="alamo">
              <rng:data type="string"/>
              </rng:element>
              </rng:optional>
        </rng:optional>
        </rng:optional>
        </rng:interleave>
        </nma:data>
```

9.2.2. Type Derivation Chains

RELAX NG has no equivalent of the type derivation mechanism in YANG that allows to restrict a built-in type (perhaps in multiple steps) by adding new constraints. Whenever a derived YANG type is used without restrictions - as a substatement of either 'leaf' or another 'typedef' - then the 'type' statement is mapped simply to a named pattern reference <rng:ref>, and the type definition is mapped to a RELAX NG named pattern definition <rng:define>. However, if any restrictions are specified as substatements of the 'type' statement, the type definition MUST be expanded at that point so that only the ancestor built-in type appears in the hybrid schema, restricted with facets that correspond to the combination of all restrictions found along the type derivation chain and also in the 'type' statement.

EXAMPLE. Consider this YANG module:

```
module example3 {
    namespace "http://example.com/ns/example3";
    prefix ex3;
    typedef dozen {
        type uint8 {
            range 1..12;
        }
    }
    leaf month {
        type dozen;
    }
}
The 'type' statement in "leaf month" has no restrictions and is
therefore merped simply to the reference ergine.com/ns/example3";
```

therefore mapped simply to the reference <rng:ref
name="example3__dozen"/> and the corresponding named pattern is
defined as follows:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 36]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                           October 2010
Internet-Draft
   <rng:define name="example3__dozen">
     <rng:data type="unsignedByte">
       <rng:param name="minInclusive">1</rng:param>
       <rng:param name="maxInclusive">12</rng:param>
     </rng:data>
   </rng:define>
  Assume now that the definition of leaf "month" is changed to
   leaf month {
      type dozen {
          range 7..max;
       }
   }
  The output RELAX NG schema then will not contain any named pattern
  definition and the leaf "month" will be mapped directly to
   <rng:element name="ex3:month">
    <rng:data type="unsignedByte">
      <rng:param name="minInclusive">7</rng:param>
      <rng:param name="maxInclusive">12</rng:param>
    </rng:data>
```

```
</rng:element>
```

The mapping of type derivation chains may be further complicated by the presence of the 'default' statement in type definitions. In the simple case, when a type definition containing the 'default' statement is used without restrictions, the 'default' statement is mapped to the @nma:default attribute attached to the <rng:define> element.

However, if that type definition has to be expanded due to restrictions, the @nma:default annotation arising from the expanded type or ancestor types in the type derivation chain MUST be attached to the pattern where the expansion occurs. If there are multiple 'default' statements in consecutive steps of the type derivation, only the 'default' statement that is closest to the expanded type is used.

EXAMPLE. Consider this variation of the last example:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 37]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                          October 2010
Internet-Draft
  module example3bis {
      namespace "http://example.com/ns/example3bis";
      prefix ex3bis;
      typedef dozen {
          type uint8 {
              range 1..12;
           }
          default 7;
      leaf month {
          type dozen;
       }
   }
  The 'typedef' statement in this module is mapped to the following
  named pattern definition:
   <rng:define name="example3bis dozen" @nma:default="7">
     <rng:data type="unsignedByte">
      <rng:param name="minInclusive">1</rng:param>
       <rng:param name="maxInclusive">12</rng:param>
     </rng:data>
   </rng:define>
   If the "dozen" type is restricted when used in the leaf "month"
  definition as in the previous example, the "dozen" type has to be
   expanded and @nma:default becomes an attribute of the <ex3bis:month>
   element definition:
  <rng:element name="ex3bis:month" @nma:default="7">
```

```
<rng:data type="unsignedByte">
    <rng:param name="minInclusive">7</rng:param>
    <rng:param name="maxInclusive">12</rng:param>
    </rng:data>
</rng:element>
```

However, if the definition of the leaf "month" itself contained the 'default' substatement, the default specified for the "dozen" type would be ignored.

9.3. Translation of XPath Expressions

YANG uses full XPath 1.0 syntax [XPath] for the arguments of 'must', 'when' and 'path' statements. As the names of data nodes defined in a YANG module always belong to the namespace of that YANG module, YANG adopted a simplification similar to the concept of default namespace in XPath 2.0: node names in XPath expressions needn't carry a namespace prefix inside the module where they are defined and the

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 38]

local module's namespace is assumed.

Consequently, all XPath expressions MUST be translated into a fully conformant XPath 1.0 expression: Every unprefixed node name MUST be prepended with the local module's namespace prefix as declared by the 'prefix' statement.

XPath expressions appearing inside top-level groupings require special attention because all unprefixed node names contained in them must adopt the namespace of each module where the grouping is used (cf. Section 8.2. In order to achieve this, the local prefix MUST be represented using the variable "\$pref" in the hybrid schema. A Schematron schema which encounters such an XPath expression then supplies an appropriate value for this variable via a parameter to an abstract pattern to which the YANG grouping is mapped (see Section 11.2).

For example, XPath expression "/dhcp/max-lease-time" appearing in a YANG module with the "dhcp" prefix will be translated to

- o "\$pref:dhcp/\$pref:max-lease-time", if the expression is inside a
 top-level grouping;
- o "dhcp:dhcp:max-lease-time", otherwise.

YANG also uses other XPath-like expressions, namely key identifiers and "descendant schema node identifiers" (see the ABNF production for and "descendant-schema-nodeid" in Section 12 of [RFC6020]). These expressions MUST be translated by adding local module prefixes as well.

9.4. YANG Language Extensions

YANG allows for extending its own language in-line by adding new statements with keywords from special namespaces. Such extensions first have to be declared using the 'extension' statement and then they can be used as the standard YANG statements, from which they are distinguished by a namespace prefix qualifying the extension keyword. RELAX NG has a similar extension mechanism - XML elements and attributes with names from foreign namespaces may be inserted at almost any place of a RELAX NG schema.

YANG language extensions may or may not have a meaning in the context of DSDL schemas. Therefore, an implementation MAY ignore any or all of the extensions. However, an extension that is not ignored MUST be mapped to XML element(s) and/or attribute(s) that exactly match the YIN form of the extension, see Section 11.1 in [RFC6020].

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 39]

```
Internet-Draft
                         Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                 October 2010
  EXAMPLE. Consider the following extension defined by the "acme"
  module:
  extension documentation-flag {
      argument number;
   }
  This extension can then be used in the same or another module, for
   instance like this:
   leaf folio {
      acme:documentation-flag 42;
      type string;
   }
  If this extension is honored by the mapping, it will be mapped to
   <rng:element name="acme:folio">
     <acme:documentation-flag number="42"/>
     <rng:data type="string"/>
   </rng:element>
  Note that the 'extension' statement itself is not mapped in any way.
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 40]

10. Mapping YANG Statements to the Hybrid Schema

Each subsection in this section is devoted to one YANG statement and provides the specification of how the statement is mapped to the hybrid schema. The subsections are sorted alphabetically by the statement keyword.

Each YANG statement is mapped to an XML fragment, typically a single element or attribute but it may also be a larger structure. The mapping procedure is inherently recursive, which means that after finishing a statement the mapping continues with its substatements, if there are any, and a certain element of the resulting fragment becomes the parent of other fragments resulting from the mapping of substatements. Any changes to this default recursive procedure are explicitly specified.

YANG XML encoding rules translate to the following rules for ordering multiple subelements:

- 1. Within the <nma:rpcs> subtree (i.e., for input and output parameters of an RPC operation) the order of subelements is fixed and their definitions in the hybrid schema MUST follow the order specified in the source YANG module.
- 2. When mapping the 'list' statement, all keys MUST come before any other subelements and in the same order as they are declared in the 'key' statement. The order of the remaining (non-key) subelements is not specified, so their definitions in the hybrid schema MUST be enclosed in the <rng:interleave> element.
- 3. Otherwise, the order of subelements is arbitrary and, consequently, all definitions of subelements in the hybrid schema MUST be enclosed in the <rng:interleave> element.

The following conventions are used in this section:

- o The argument of the statement being mapped is denoted by ARGUMENT.
- The element in the RELAX NG schema that becomes the parent of the 0 resulting XML fragment is denoted by PARENT.
- 10.1. The 'anyxml' Statement

This statement is mapped to <rng:element> element and ARGUMENT with prepended local namespace prefix becomes the value of its @name attribute. The contents of <rng:element> are

<rng:ref name="__anyxml__"/>

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 41]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                           October 2010
Internet-Draft
  Substatements of the 'anyxml' statement, if any, MAY be mapped to
  additional children of the <rng:element> element.
  If at least one 'anyxml' statement occurs in any of the input YANG
  modules, the following pattern definition MUST be added exactly once
   to the RELAX NG schema as a child of the root <rng:grammar> element
   (cf. [Vli04], p. 172):
   <rng:define name="__anyxml__">
     <rng:zeroOrMore>
      <rng:choice>
        <rng:attribute>
           <rng:anyName/>
         </rng:attribute>
         <rng:element>
          <rng:anyName/>
          <rng:ref name="__anyxml__"/>
         </rng:element>
         <rng:text/>
       </rng:choice>
     </rng:zeroOrMore>
   </rng:define>
  EXAMPLE: YANG statement in a module with namespace prefix "yam"
   anyxml data {
      description "Any XML content allowed here.";
   }
   is mapped to the following fragment:
   <rng:element name="yam:data">
       <a:documentation>Any XML content allowed here</a:documentation>
       <rng:ref name="__anyxml__"/>
   </rng:element>
  An anyxml node is optional if there is no "mandatory true;"
  substatement. The <rng:element> element then MUST be wrapped in
   <rng:optional>, except when the 'anyxml' statement is a child of the
```

10.2. The 'argument' Statement

(see Section 9.1.1 for details).

This statement is not mapped to the output schema, but see the rules for handling extensions in Section 9.4.

'choice' statement and thus forms a shorthand case for that choice

| Lhotka | Expires April 24, | 2011 | [Page 42] |
|--------|-------------------|------|-----------|
|--------|-------------------|------|-----------|

10.3. The 'augment' Statement

As a substatement of 'uses', this statement is handled as a part of 'uses' mapping, see Section 10.57.

At the top level of a module or submodule, the 'augment' statement is used for augmenting the schema tree of another YANG module. If the augmented module is not processed within the same mapping session, the top-level 'augment' statement MUST be ignored. Otherwise, the contents of the statement are added to the foreign module with the namespace of the module where the 'augment' statement appears.

10.4. The 'base' Statement

This statement is ignored as a substatement of 'identity' and handled within the 'identityref' type if it appears as a substatement of that type definition, see Section 10.53.6.

10.5. The 'belongs-to' Statement

This statement is not used since the processing of submodules is always initiated from the main module, see Section 10.24.

10.6. The 'bit' Statement

This statement is handled within the "bits" type, see Section 10.53.4.

10.7. The 'case' Statement

This statement is mapped to <rng:group> or <rng:interleave> element, depending on whether the statement belongs to an definition of an RPC operation or not. If the argument of a sibling 'default' statement equals to ARGUMENT, @nma:implicit attribute with the value of "true" MUST be added to that <rng:group> or <rng:interleave> element. The @nma:implicit attribute MUST NOT be used for nodes at the top-level of a non-default case (see Section 7.9.3 in [RFC6020]).

10.8. The 'choice' Statement

This statement is mapped to <rng:choice> element.

If 'choice' has the 'mandatory' substatement with the value of "true", the attribute @nma:mandatory MUST be added to the <rng: choice> element with the value of ARGUMENT. This case may require additional handling, see Section 11.2.1. Otherwise, if "mandatory true;" is not present, the <rng:choice> element MUST be wrapped in <rng:optional>.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 43]

The alternatives in <rng:choice> - mapped from either the 'case' statement or a shorthand case - MUST NOT be defined as optional.

10.9. The 'config' Statement

This statement is mapped to @nma:config attribute and ARGUMENT becomes its value.

10.10. The 'contact' Statement

This statement SHOULD NOT be used by the mapping since the hybrid schema may be mapped from multiple YANG modules created by different authors. The hybrid schema contains references to all input modules in the Dublin Core elements <dc:source>, see Section 10.34. The original YANG modules are the authoritative sources of the authorship information.

10.11. The 'container' Statement

Using the rules specified in Section 9.1.1, the mapping algorithm MUST determine whether the statement defines an optional container, and if so, insert the <rng:optional> element and make it the new PARENT.

The container defined by this statement is then mapped to the <rng: element> element, which becomes a child of PARENT and uses ARGUMENT with prepended local namespace prefix as the value of its @name attribute.

Finally, using the rules specified in Section 9.1.2, the mapping algorithm MUST determine whether the container is implicit, and if so, add the attribute @nma:implicit with the value of "true" to the <rng:element> element.

10.12. The 'default' Statement

If this statement is a substatement of 'leaf', it is mapped to the @nma:default attribute of PARENT and ARGUMENT becomes its value.

As a substatement of 'typedef', the 'default' statement is also mapped to the @nma:default attribute with the value of ARGUMENT. The placement of this attribute depends on whether or not the type definition has to be expanded when it is used:

o If the type definition is not expanded, @nma:default becomes an attribute of the <rng:define> pattern resulting from the parent 'typedef' mapping.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 44]

 Otherwise, @nma:default becomes an attribute of the ancestor RELAX NG pattern inside which the expansion takes place.

Details and an example are given in Section 9.2.2.

Finally, as a substatement of 'choice', the 'default' statement identifies the default case and is handled within the 'case' statement, see Section 10.7. If the default case uses the shorthand notation where the 'case' statement is omitted, the @nma:implicit attribute with the value of "true" is either attached to the node representing the default case in the shorthand notation or, alternatively, an extra <rng:group> element MAY be inserted and the @nma:implicit attribute attached to it. In the latter case, the net result is the same as if the 'case' statement wasn't omitted for the default case.

EXAMPLE. The following 'choice' statement in a module with namespace prefix "yam"

```
choice leaves {
   default feuille;
    leaf feuille { type empty; }
    leaf hoja { type empty; }
}
is either mapped directly to
<rng:choice>
 <rng:element name="yam:feuille" nma:implicit="true">
   <rng:empty/>
  </rng:element>
  <rng:element name="yam:hoja">
    <rng:empty/>
  </rng:element/>
</rng:choice>
or the default case may be wrapped in an extra <rng:group>:
<rng:choice>
 <rng:group nma:implicit="true">
   <rng:element name="yam:feuille">
     <rng:empty/>
    </rng:element>
  </rng:group>
  <rng:element name="yam:hoja">
    <rng:empty/>
  </rng:element/>
</rng:choice>
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 45]

Internet-Draft Mapping YANG to DSDL

October 2010

10.13. The 'description' Statement

This statement is mapped to the DTD compatibility element <a:documentation> and ARGUMENT becomes its text.

In order to get properly formatted in the RELAX NG compact syntax, this element SHOULD be inserted as the first child of PARENT.

10.14. The 'deviation' Statement

This statement is ignored. However, it is assumed that all deviations are known beforehand and the corresponding changes have already been applied to the input YANG modules.

10.15. The 'enum' Statement

This statement is mapped to <rng:value> element and ARGUMENT becomes its text. All substatements except 'status' are ignored because the <rng:value> element cannot contain annotation elements, see [RNG], section 6.

10.16. The 'error-app-tag' Statement

This statement is ignored unless it is a substatement of 'must'. Τn the latter case it is mapped to the <nma:error-app-tag> element. See also Section 10.35.

10.17. The 'error-message' Statement

This statement is ignored unless it is a substatement of 'must'. Τn the latter case it is mapped to the <nma:error-message> element. See also Section 10.35.

10.18. The 'extension' Statement

This statement is ignored. However, extensions to the YANG language MAY be mapped as described in Section 9.4.

10.19. The 'feature' Statement

This statement is ignored.

10.20. The 'grouping' Statement

This statement is mapped to a RELAX NG named pattern definition <rng: define>, but only if the grouping defined by this statement is used without refinements and augments in at least one of the input modules. In this case, the named pattern definition becomes a child

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 46]

of the <rng:grammar> element and its name is ARGUMENT mangled according to the rules specified in Section 9.2.

As explained in Section 8.2, a named pattern definition MUST be placed $% \left({{{\left[{{{\rm{AS}}} \right]}_{\rm{AS}}}_{\rm{AS}}} \right)$

- o as a child of the root <rng:grammar> element if the corresponding grouping is defined at the top level of an input YANG module;
- o otherwise as a child of the embedded <rng:grammar> element corresponding to the module in which the grouping is defined.

Whenever a grouping is used with refinements and/or augments, it is expanded so that the refinements and augments may be applied in place to the prescribed schema nodes. See Section 9.2.1 for further details and an example.

An implementation MAY offer the option of mapping all 'grouping' statements as named pattern definitions in the output RELAX NG schema even if they are not referenced. This is useful for mapping YANG "library" modules that typically contain only 'typedef' and/or 'grouping' statements.

10.21. The 'identity' Statement

This statement is mapped to the following named pattern definition which is placed as a child of the root <rng:grammar> element:

where

PREFIX is the prefix used in the hybrid schema for the namespace of the module where the current identity is defined.

IDENTITY1 is the name of of the named pattern corresponding to an identity which is derived from the current identity. Exactly one <rng:ref> element MUST be present for every such identity.

EXAMPLE ([RFC6020], Section 7.16.3). The identities in the input YANG modules

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 47]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                               October 2010
Internet-Draft
  module crypto-base {
     namespace "http://example.com/crypto-base";
     prefix "crypto";
     identity crypto-alg {
       description
         "Base identity from which all crypto algorithms
          are derived.";
       }
   }
  module des {
     namespace "http://example.com/des";
     prefix "des";
     import "crypto-base" {
      prefix "crypto";
     identity des {
       base "crypto:crypto-alg";
       description "DES crypto algorithm";
     }
     identity des3 {
      base "crypto:crypto-alg";
       description "Triple DES crypto algorithm";
     }
   }
  will be mapped to the following named pattern definitions:
   <define name="___crypto_crypto-alg">
     <choice>
       <value type="QName">crypto:crypto-alg</value>
       <ref name="__des_des3"/>
<ref name="__des_des3"/>
     </choice>
   </define>
   <define name="___des_des">
     <value type="QName">des:des</value>
   </define>
   <define name="__des_des3">
     <value type="QName">des:des3</value>
   </define>
10.22. The 'if-feature' Statement
```

ARGUMENT together with arguments of all sibling 'if-feature' statements (with added prefixes, if missing) MUST be collected in a space-separated list which becomes the value of the @nma:if-feature attribute. This attribute is attached to PARENT.

| Lhotka | Expires April 24 | , 2011 | [Page | 48] |
|--------|------------------|--------|-------|-----|
| | | | | |

10.23. The 'import' Statement

This statement is not specifically mapped. The module whose name is in ARGUMENT has to be parsed so that the importing module is able to use its top-level groupings, typedefs and identities, and also augment the data tree of the imported module.

If the 'import' statement has the 'revision' substatement, the corresponding revision of the imported module MUST be used. The mechanism for finding a given module revision is outside the scope of this document.

10.24. The 'include' Statement

This statement is not specifically mapped. The submodule whose name is in ARGUMENT has to be parsed and its contents mapped exactly as if the submodule text appeared directly in the main module text.

If the 'include' statement has the 'revision' substatement, the corresponding revision of the submodule MUST be used. The mechanism for finding a given submodule revision is outside the scope of this document.

10.25. The 'input' Statement

This statement is handled within 'rpc' statement, see Section 10.50.

10.26. The 'key' Statement

This statement is mapped to @nma:key attribute. ARGUMENT MUST be translated so that every key is prefixed with the namespace prefix of The result of this translation then becomes the the local module. value of the @nma:key attribute.

10.27. The 'leaf' Statement

This statement is mapped to the <rng:element> element and ARGUMENT with prepended local namespace prefix becomes the value of its @name attribute.

If the leaf is optional, i.e., if there is no "mandatory true;" substatement and the leaf is not declared among the keys of an enclosing list, then the <rng:element> element MUST be enclosed in <rng:optional>, except when the 'leaf' statement is a child of the 'choice' statement and thus represents a shorthand case for that choice (see Section 9.1.1 for details).

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 49]

10.28. The 'leaf-list' Statement

This statement is mapped to a block enclosed by either <rng: zeroOrMore> or <rng:oneOrMore> element depending on whether the argument of 'min-elements' substatement is "0" or positive, respectively (it is zero by default). This <rng:zeroOrMore> or <rng: oneOrMore> element becomes the PARENT.

<rng:element> is then added as a child element of PARENT and ARGUMENT
with prepended local namespace prefix becomes the value of its @name
attribute. Another attribute, @nma:leaf-list, MUST also be added to
this <rng:element> element with the value of "true". If the 'leaflist' statement has the 'min-elements' substatement and its argument
is greater than one, additional attribute @nma:min-elements is
attached to <rng:element> and the argument of 'min-elements' becomes
the value of this attribute. Similarly, if there is the 'maxelements' substatement and its argument value is not "unbounded",
attribute @nma:max-elements' becomes the value of this attribute.

EXAMPLE. A leaf-list appearing in a module with the namespace prefix "yam"

10.29. The 'length' Statement

This statement is handled within the "string" type, see Section 10.53.10.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 50]

10.30. The 'list' Statement

This statement is mapped exactly as the 'leaf-list' statement, see Section 10.28. The only difference is that the @nma:leaf-list annotation either MUST NOT be present or MUST have the value of "false".

When mapping the substatements of 'list', the order of children of the list element MUST be specified so that list keys, if there are any, always appear in the same order as they are defined in the 'key' substatement and before other children, see [RFC6020], Section 7.8.5. In particular, if a list key is defined in a grouping but the list node itself is not a part of the same grouping, and the position of the 'uses' statement would violate the above ordering requirement, the grouping MUST be expanded, i.e., the 'uses' statement replaced by the grouping contents.

For example, consider the following YANG fragment of a module with the prefix "yam":

```
grouping keygrp {
   leaf clef {
     type uint8;
   }
}
list foo {
   key clef;
   leaf bar {
     type string;
   }
   leaf baz {
     type string;
   }
   uses keygrp;
}
is mapped to the following RELAX NG fragment:
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 51]

```
<rrg:zeroOrMore>
<rrg:element name="yam:foo" nma:key="yam:clef">
<rrg:element name="yam:clef">
<rrg:data type="unsignedByte"/>
</rrg:element>
<rrg:interleave>
<rrg:element name="yam:bar">
<rrg:data type="string"/>
</rrg:element>
<rrg:element name="yam:baz">
<rrg:element>
</rrg:element name="yam:baz">
</rrg:element>
</rrg:element>
</rrg:element>
</rrg:element>
```

Note that the "keygrp" grouping is expanded and the definition of "yam:clef" is moved before the <rng:interleave> pattern.

10.31. The 'mandatory' Statement

Internet-Draft

This statement may appear as a substatement of 'leaf', 'choice' or 'anyxml' statement. If ARGUMENT is "true", the parent data node is mapped as mandatory, see Section 9.1.1.

As a substatement of 'choice', this statement is also mapped to the @nma:mandatory attribute which is added to PARENT. The value of this attribute is the argument of the parent 'choice' statement.

10.32. The 'max-elements' Statement

This statement is handled within 'leaf-list' or 'list' statements, see Section 10.28.

10.33. The 'min-elements' Statement

This statement is handled within 'leaf-list' or 'list' statements, see Section 10.28.

10.34. The 'module' Statement

Lhotka

This statement is mapped to an embedded <rng:grammar> pattern having the @nma:module attribute with the value of ARGUMENT. In addition, a <dc:source> element SHOULD be created as a child of this <rng: grammar> element and contain ARGUMENT as a metadata reference to the input YANG module. See also Section 10.49.

Substatements of the 'module' statement MUST be mapped so that

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 52]

- o statements representing configuration/state data are mapped to descendants of the <nma:data> element;
- o statements representing the contents of RPC requests or replies are mapped to descendants of the <nma:rpcs> element;
- o statements representing the contents of event notifications are mapped to descendants of the <nma:notifications> element.
- 10.35. The 'must' Statement

This statement is mapped to the <nma:must> element. It has one mandatory attribute @assert (with no namespace) which contains ARGUMENT transformed into a valid XPath expression (see Section 9.3). The <nma:must> element may have other subelements resulting from mapping the 'error-app-tag' and 'error-message' substatements. Other substatements of 'must', i.e., 'description' and 'reference', are ignored.

EXAMPLE. YANG statement in the "dhcp" module
must 'current() <= ../max-lease-time' {
 error-message
 "The default-lease-time must be less than max-lease-time";
}
is mapped to
<nma:must assert="current()<=../dhcp:max-lease-time">
 <nma:must assert="current()<=../dhcp:max-lease-time">
 <nma:must assert="current()<=../dhcp:max-lease-time">
 </nma:must assert="current()<=../dhcp:max-lease-time">

10.36. The 'namespace' Statement

This statement is mapped simultaneously in two ways:

- To the @xmlns:PREFIX attribute of the root <rng:grammar> element where PREFIX is the namespace prefix specified by the sibling 'prefix' statement. ARGUMENT becomes the value of this attribute.
- To the @ns attribute of PARENT, which is an embedded <rng: grammar> pattern. ARGUMENT becomes the value of this attribute.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 53]

10.37. The 'notification' Statement

This statement is mapped to the following subtree of the <nma: notifications> element in the hybrid schema (where PREFIX is the prefix of the local YANG module):

Substatements of 'notification' are mapped under <rng:element name="PREFIX:ARGUMENT">.

10.38. The 'ordered-by' Statement

This statement is mapped to @nma:ordered-by attribute and ARGUMENT becomes the value of this attribute. See Section 10.28 for an example.

10.39. The 'organization' Statement

This statement is ignored by the mapping because the hybrid schema may be mapped from multiple YANG modules authored by different parties. The hybrid schema SHOULD contain references to all input modules in the Dublin Core <dc:source> elements, see Section 10.34. The original YANG modules are the authoritative sources of the authorship information.

10.40. The 'output' Statement

This statement is handled within the 'rpc' statement, see Section 10.50.

10.41. The 'path' Statement

This statement is handled within the "leafref" type, see Section 10.53.8.

10.42. The 'pattern' Statement

This statement is handled within the "string" type, see Section 10.53.10.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 54]

10.43. The 'position' Statement

This statement is ignored.

10.44. The 'prefix' Statement

This statement is handled within the sibling 'namespace' statement, see Section 10.36, or within the parent 'import' statement, see Section 10.23. As a substatement of 'belongs-to' (in submodules), the 'prefix' statement is ignored.

10.45. The 'presence' Statement

This statement influences the mapping of the parent container (Section 10.11): the parent container definition MUST be wrapped in <rng:optional>, regardless of its contents. See also Section 9.1.1.

10.46. The 'range' Statement

This statement is handled within numeric types, see Section 10.53.9.

10.47. The 'reference' Statement

This statement is mapped to <a:documentation> element and its text is set to ARGUMENT prefixed with "See: ".

10.48. The 'require-instance' Statement

This statement is handled within "instance-identifier" type (Section 10.53.7).

10.49. The 'revision' Statement

The mapping uses only the most recent instance of the 'revision' statement, i.e., one with the latest date in ARGUMENT, which specifies the current revision of the input YANG module [RFC6020]. This date SHOULD be recorded, together with the name of the YANG module, in the corresponding Dublin Core <dc:source> element (see Section 10.34), for example in this form:

<dc:source>YANG module 'foo', revision 2010-03-02</dc:source>

The 'description' substatement of 'revision' is ignored.

10.50. The 'rpc' Statement

This statement is mapped to the following subtree in the RELAX NG schema (where PREFIX is the prefix of the local YANG module):

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 55]

Mapping YANG to DSDL

Internet-Draft

```
<nma:rpc>
<nma:input>
<rng:element name="PREFIX:ARGUMENT">
... mapped contents of 'input' ...
</rng:element>
</nma:input>
<nma:output">
... mapped contents of 'output' ...
</nma:output>
</nma:rpc>
```

As indicated in the schema fragment, contents of the 'input' substatement (if any) are mapped under <rng:element name="PREFIX: ARGUMENT">. Similarly, contents of the 'output' substatement are mapped under <nma:output>. If there is no 'output' substatement, the <nma:output> element MUST NOT be present.

The <nma:rpc> element is a child of <nma:rpcs>.

10.51. The 'status' Statement

This statement MAY be ignored. Otherwise, it is mapped to @nma: status attribute and ARGUMENT becomes its value.

10.52. The 'submodule' Statement

This statement is not specifically mapped. Its substatements are mapped as if they appeared directly in the module the submodule belongs to.

10.53. The 'type' Statement

Most YANG built-in datatypes have an equivalent in the XSD datatype library [XSD-D] as shown in Table 4.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 56]

| + YANG type | XSD type | Meaning |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| int8 | byte | 8-bit integer value |
| int16 | short | 16-bit integer value |
| int32 | int | 32-bit integer value |
| int64 | long | 64-bit integer value |
| uint8 | unsignedByte | 8-bit unsigned integer value |
| uint16 | unsignedShort | 16-bit unsigned integer value |
| uint32 | unsignedInt | 32-bit unsigned integer value |
| uint64 | unsignedLong | 64-bit unsigned integer value |
| string | string | character string |
| binary | base64Binary | binary data in base64 encoding |

Table 4: YANG built-in datatypes with equivalents in the W3C XML Schema Type Library

Two important datatypes of the XSD datatype library - "dateTime" and "anyURI" - are not built-in types in YANG but instead are defined as derived types in the standard modules [RFC6021]: "date-and-time" in the "ietf-yang-types" module and "uri" in the "ietf-inet-types" module. However, the formal restrictions in the YANG type definitions are rather weak. Therefore, implementations of the YANGto-DSDL mapping SHOULD detect these derived types in source YANG modules and map them to "dateType" and "anyURI", respectively.

Details about the mapping of individual YANG built-in types are given in the following subsections.

10.53.1. The "empty" Type

This type is mapped to <rng:empty/>.

10.53.2. The "boolean" Type

This built-in type does not allow any restrictions and is mapped to the following XML fragment:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 57]

Mapping YANG to DSDL

October 2010

<rng:choice> <rng:value>true</rng:value> <rng:value>false</rng:value> </rng:choice>

Note that the XSD "boolean" type cannot be used here because it allows, unlike YANG, an alternative numeric representation of boolean values: 0 for "false" and 1 for "true".

10.53.3. The "binary" Type

This built-in type does not allow any restrictions and is mapped simply by inserting <rng:data> element whose @type attribute value is set to "base64Binary" (see also Table 4).

10.53.4. The "bits" Type

This type is mapped to <rng:list> and for each 'bit' substatement the following XML fragment is inserted as a child of <rng:list>:

```
<rng:optional>
<rng:value>bit_name</rng:value>
</rng:optional>
```

where bit_name is the name of the bit as found in the argument of a 'bit' substatement.

10.53.5. The "enumeration" and "union" Types

These types are mapped to the <rng:choice> element.

10.53.6. The "identityref" Type

This type is mapped to the following named pattern reference:

<rng:ref name="__PREFIX_BASE"/>

where PREFIX: BASE is the qualified name of the identity appearing in the argument of the 'base' substatement.

For example, assume that module "des" in Section 10.21 contains the following leaf definition:

```
leaf foo {
  type identityref {
    base crypto:crypto-alg;
  }
}
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 58]

This leaf would then be mapped to the following element pattern:

<element name="des:foo">
 <ref name="__crypto_crypto-alg"/>
</element>

10.53.7. The "instance-identifier" Type

This type is mapped to <rng:data> element with @type attribute set to "string". In addition, an empty <nma:instance-identifier> element MUST be inserted as a child of PARENT.

The argument of the 'require-instance' substatement, if it exists, becomes the value of the @require-instance attribute of the <nma: instance-identifier> element.

10.53.8. The "leafref" Type

This type is mapped exactly as the type of the leaf given in the argument of 'path' substatement. However, if the type of the referred leaf defines a default value, this default value MUST be ignored by the mapping.

In addition, @nma:leafref attribute MUST be added to PARENT. The argument of the 'path' substatement, translated according to Section 9.3, is set as the value of this attribute.

10.53.9. The Numeric Types

YANG built-in numeric types are "int8", "int16", "int32", "int64", "uint8", "uint16", "uint32", "uint64" and "decimal64". They are mapped to <rng:data> element with @type attribute set to ARGUMENT translated according to Table 4 above.

An exception is the "decimal64" type, which is mapped to the "decimal" type of the XSD datatype library. Its precision and number of fractional digits are controlled with the following facets, which MUST always be present:

- o "totalDigits" facet set to the value of 19.
- o "fractionDigits" facet set to the argument of the 'fractiondigits' substatement.

The fixed value of "totalDigits" corresponds to the maximum of 19 decimal digits for 64-bit integers.

For example, the statement

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 59]

```
Internet-Draft
                         Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                          October 2010
   type decimal64 {
      fraction-digits 2;
   is mapped to the following RELAX NG datatype:
   <rng:data type="decimal">
    <rng:param name="totalDigits">19</rng:param>
     <rng:param name="fractionDigits">2</rng:param>
   </rng:data>
  All numeric types support the 'range' restriction, which is mapped as
   follows:
   If the range expression consists of just a single range LO..HI, then
   it is mapped to a pair of datatype facets
         <rng:param name="minInclusive">LO</rng:param>
   and
         <rng:param name="maxInclusive">HI</rng:param>
  If the range consists of a single number, the values of both facets
  are set to this value. If LO is equal to the string "min", the
   "minInclusive" facet is omitted. If HI is equal to the string "max",
  the "maxInclusive" facet is omitted.
   If the range expression has multiple parts separated by "|", then the
  parent <rng:data> element must be repeated once for every range part
   and all such <rng:data> elements are wrapped in <rng:choice> element.
   Each <rng:data> element contains the "minInclusive" and
   "maxInclusive" facets for one part of the range expression as
  described in the previous paragraph.
  For the "decimal64" type, the "totalDigits" and "fractionDigits" must
  be repeated inside each of the <rng:data> elements.
  For example,
   type int32 {
      range "-6378..0|42|100..max";
   is mapped to the following RELAX NG fragment:
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 60]

```
<rng:choice>
  <rng:data type="int">
      <rng:data type="int">
      <rng:param name="minInclusive">-6378</rng:param>
      <rng:param name="maxInclusive">0</rng:param>
      </rng:data>
      <rng:data type="int">
      <rng:data type="int">
      <rng:param name="minInclusive">42</rng:param>
      </rng:data>
      <rng:data type="int">
      <rng:param name="maxInclusive">42</rng:param>
      </rng:data>
      </rng:data>
      </rng:data
      </rng:data type="int">
      </rng:data>
      </rng:data>
      </rng:data type="int">
      </rng:data>
      </rng:data type="int">
      </rng:data>
      </rng:data type="int">
      </rng:choice>
```

See Section 9.2.2 for further details on mapping the restrictions.

10.53.10. The "string" Type

Internet-Draft

This type is mapped to <rng:data> element with the @type attribute set to "string".

The 'length' restriction is handled analogically to the 'range' restriction for the numeric types (Section 10.53.9):

- If the length expression has just a single range, then
- o if the length range consists of a single number LENGTH, the following datatype facet is inserted:

<rng:param name="length">LENGTH</rng:param>.

o Otherwise the length range is of the form LO..HI, i.e., it consists of both the lower and upper bound. The following two datatype facets are then inserted:

<rng:param name="minLength">LO</rng:param>

and

<rng:param name="maxLength">HI</rng:param>

If LO is equal to the string "min", the "minLength" facet is omitted. If HI is equal to the string "max", the "maxLength" facet is omitted.

If the length expression has of multiple parts separated by "|", then the parent <rng:data> element must be repeated once for every range part and all such <rng:data> elements are wrapped in <rng:choice>

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 61]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                           October 2010
Internet-Draft
   element. Each <rng:data> element contains the "length" or
   "minLength" and "maxLength" facets for one part of the length
   expression as described in the previous paragraph.
   Every 'pattern' restriction of the "string" datatype is mapped to the
   "pattern" facet
   <rng:param name="pattern">...</rng:param>
  with text equal to the argument of the 'pattern' statement. All such
   "pattern" facets must be repeated inside each copy of the <rng:data>
   element, i.e., once for each length range.
  For example,
   type string {
      length "1|3..8";
      pattern "[A-Z][a-z]*";
   }
   is mapped to the following RELAX NG fragment:
   <rng:choice>
    <rng:data type="string">
      <rng:param name="length">1</rng:param>
       <rng:param name="pattern">[A-Z][a-z]*</rng:param>
     </rng:data>
     <rng:data type="string">
      <rng:param name="minLength">3</rng:param>
       <rng:param name="maxLength">8</rng:param>
       <rng:param name="pattern">[A-Z][a-z]*</rng:param>
     </rng:data>
```

```
10.53.11. Derived Types
```

</rng:choice>

Lhotka

If the 'type' statement refers to a derived type, it is mapped in one of the following ways depending on whether it contains any restrictions as its substatements:

1. Without restrictions, the 'type' statement is mapped simply to the <rng:ref> element, i.e., a reference to a named pattern. If the RELAX NG definition of this named pattern has not been added to the hybrid schema yet, the corresponding type definition MUST be found and its mapping installed as a subelement of either the root or an embedded <rng:grammar> element, see Section 10.54. Even if a given derived type is used more than once in the input YANG modules, the mapping of the corresponding 'typedef' MUST be

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 62]

Internet-Draft Mapping YANG to DSDL

installed only once.

2. If any restrictions are present, the ancestor built-in type for the given derived type must be determined and the mapping of this base type MUST be used. Restrictions appearing at all stages of the type derivation chain MUST be taken into account and their conjunction added to the <rng:data> element which defines the basic type.

See Section 9.2.2 for more details and an example.

10.54. The 'typedef' Statement

This statement is mapped to a RELAX NG named pattern definition <rng: define>, but only if the type defined by this statement is used without restrictions in at least one of the input modules. In this case, the named pattern definition becomes a child of either the root or an embedded <rng:grammar> element, depending on whether the 'typedef' statement appears at the top level of a YANG module or not. The name of this named pattern definition is set to ARGUMENT mangled according to the rules specified in Section 9.2.

Whenever a derived type is used with additional restrictions, the ancestor built-in type for the derived type is used instead with restrictions (facets) that are a combination of all restrictions specified along the type derivation chain. See Section 10.53.11 for further details and an example.

An implementation MAY offer the option of recording all 'typedef' statements as named patterns in the output RELAX NG schema even if they are not referenced. This is useful for mapping YANG "library" modules containing only 'typedef' and/or 'grouping' statements.

10.55. The 'unique' Statement

This statement is mapped to @nma:unique attribute. ARGUMENT MUST be translated so that every node identifier in each of its components is prefixed with the namespace prefix of the local module, unless the prefix is already present. The result of this translation then becomes the value of the @nma:unique attribute.

For example, assuming that the local module prefix is "ex",

unique "foo ex:bar/baz"

is mapped to the following attribute/value pair:

nma:unique="ex:foo ex:bar/ex:baz"

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 63]

10.56. The 'units' Statement

This statement is mapped to @nma:units attribute and ARGUMENT becomes its value.

10.57. The 'uses' Statement

If this statement has neither 'refine' nor 'augment' substatements, it is mapped to <rng:ref> element, i.e., a reference to a named pattern, and the value of its @name attribute is set to ARGUMENT mangled according to Section 9.2. If the RELAX NG definition of the referenced named pattern has not been added to the hybrid schema yet, the corresponding grouping MUST be found and its mapping installed as a subelement of <rng:grammar>, see Section 10.20.

Otherwise, if the 'uses' statement has any 'refine' or 'augment' substatements, the corresponding grouping must be looked up and its contents inserted under PARENT. See Section 9.2.1 for further details and an example.

10.58. The 'value' Statement

This statement is ignored.

10.59. The 'when' Statement

This statement is mapped to @nma:when attribute and ARGUMENT, translated according to Section 9.3, becomes it value.

10.60. The 'yang-version' Statement

This statement is not mapped to the output schema. However, an implementation SHOULD check that it is compatible with the YANG version declared by the statement (currently version 1). In the case of a mismatch, the implementation SHOULD report an error and terminate.

10.61. The 'yin-element' Statement

This statement is not mapped to the output schema, but see the rules for extension handling in Section 9.4.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 64]

Internet-Draft

Mapping YANG to DSDL

11. Mapping the Hybrid Schema to DSDL

As explained in Section 6, the second step of the YANG-to-DSDL mapping takes the hybrid schema and transforms it to various DSDL schemas capable of validating instance XML documents. As an input parameter, this step takes, in the simplest case, just a specification of the NETCONF XML document type that is to be validated. These document types can be, for example, the contents of a datastore, a reply to <nc:get> or <nc:get-config>, contents of other RPC requests/replies and event notifications, and so on.

The second mapping step has to accomplish the following three general tasks:

- 1. Extract the parts of the hybrid schema that are appropriate for the requested document type. For example, if a <nc:get> reply is to be validated, the subtree under <nma:data> has to be selected.
- 2. The schema must be adapted to the specific encapsulating XML elements mandated by the RPC layer. These are, for example, <nc: rpc> and <nc:data> elements in the case of a <nc:get> reply or <en:notification> for a notification.
- 3. Finally, NETMOD-specific annotations that are relevant for the schema language of the generated schema must be mapped to the corresponding patterns or rules.

These three tasks are together much simpler than the first mapping step and can be effectively implemented using XSL transformations [XSLT].

The following subsections describe the details of the second mapping step for the individual DSDL schema languages. Section 12 then contains a detailed specification for the mapping of all NETMODspecific annotations.

11.1. Generating RELAX NG Schemas for Various Document Types

With one minor exception, obtaining a validating RELAX NG schema from the hybrid schema only means taking appropriate parts of the hybrid schema and assembling them in a new RELAX NG grammar, perhaps after removing all unwanted annotations.

The structure of the resulting RELAX NG schema is similar to that of the hybrid schema: The root grammar contains embedded grammars, one for each input YANG module. However, as explained in Section 8.2, global named pattern definitions (children of the root <rng:grammar> element) MUST be moved to a separate schema file.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 65]

Depending on the XML document type that is the target for validation, such as <nc:get>/<nc:get-config> reply, RPC operations or notifications, patterns defining corresponding top-level information items MUST be added, such as <nc:rpc-reply> with the @message-id attribute and so on.

In order to avoid copying common named pattern definitions for common NETCONF elements and attributes to every single output RELAX NG file, such schema-independent definitions SHOULD be collected in a library file which is then included by the validating RELAX NG schemas. Appendix B has the listing of such a library file.

The minor exception mentioned above is the annotation @nma:config, which must be observed if the target document type is a reply to <nc: get-config>. In this case, each element definition that has this attribute with the value of "false" MUST be removed from the schema together with its descendants. See Section 12.1 for more details.

11.2. Mapping Semantic Constraints to Schematron

Schematron schemas tend to be much flatter and more uniform compared to RELAX NG. They have exactly four levels of XML hierarchy: <sch: schema>, <sch:pattern>, <sch:rule> and <sch:assert> or <sch:report>.

In a Schematron schema generated by the second mapping step, the basic unit of organization is a rule represented by the <sch:rule> element. The following NETMOD-specific annotations from the hybrid schema (henceforth called "semantic annotations") are mapped to corresponding Schematron rules: <nma:must>, @nma:key, @nma:unique, @nma:max-elements, @nma:min-elements, @nma:when, @nma:leafref, @nma: leaf-list, and also @nma:mandatory appearing as an attribute of <rng: choice> (see Section 11.2.1).

Each input YANG module is mapped to a Schematron pattern whose @id attribute is set to the module name. Every <rng:element> pattern containing at least one of the above-mentioned semantic annotations is then mapped to a Schematron rule:

<sch:rule context="XELEM">

</sch:rule>

. . .

The value of the mandatory @context attribute of <sch:rule> (denoted as XELEM) MUST be set to the absolute path of the context element in the data tree. The <sch:rule> element contains the mappings of all contained semantic annotations in the form of Schematron asserts or reports.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 66]

Semantic annotations appearing inside a named pattern definition (i.e., having <rng:define> among its ancestors) require special treatment because they may be potentially used in different contexts. This is accomplished by using Schematron abstract patterns that use the "\$pref" variable in place of the local namespace prefix. The value of the @id attribute of such an abstract pattern MUST be set to the name of the named pattern definition which is being mapped (i.e., the mangled name of the original YANG grouping).

When the abstract pattern is instantiated, the values of the following two parameters MUST be provided:

- o pref: the actual namespace prefix,
- o start: XPath expression defining the context in which the grouping is used.

EXAMPLE. Consider the following YANG module:

```
module example4 {
  namespace "http://example.com/ns/example4";
  prefix ex4;
  uses sorted-leaf-list;
  grouping sorted-leaf-list {
    leaf-list sorted-entry {
      must "not(preceding-sibling::sorted-entry > .)" {
        error-message "Entries must appear in ascending order.";
        }
        type uint8;
    }
}
```

The resulting Schematron schema for a reply to <nc:get> is then as follows:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 67]

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<sch:schema xmlns:sch="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron">
  <sch:ns uri="http://example.com/ns/example4" prefix="ex4"/>
  <sch:ns uri="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
         prefix="nc"/>
  <sch:pattern abstract="true"
               id="_example4__sorted-leaf-list">
    <sch:rule context="$start/$pref:sorted-entry">
      <sch:report
          test=". = preceding-sibling::$pref:sorted-entry">
        Duplicate leaf-list entry "<sch:value-of select="."/>".
      </sch:report>
      <sch:assert
         test="not(preceding-sibling::$pref:sorted-entry > .)">
       Entries must appear in ascending order.
      </sch:assert>
    </sch:rule>
  </sch:pattern>
  <sch:pattern id="example4"/>
  <sch:pattern id="id2573371" is-a="_example4__sorted-leaf-list">
    <sch:param name="start" value="/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data"/>
    <sch:param name="pref" value="ex4"/>
  </sch:pattern>
</sch:schema>
```

The "sorted-leaf-list" grouping from the input module is mapped to an abstract pattern with an @id value of "_example4__sorted-leaf-list" in which the 'must' statement corresponds to the <sch:assert> element. The abstract pattern is the instantiated by the pattern with an @id value of "id2802112" which sets the "start" and "pref" parameters to appropriate values.

Note that another Schematron element, <sch:report>, was automatically added, checking for duplicate leaf-list entries.

The mapping from the hybrid schema to Schematron proceeds in the following steps:

- First, the active subtree(s) of the hybrid schema must be selected depending on the requested target document type. This procedure is identical to the RELAX NG case, including the handling of @nma:config if the target document type is <nc:getconfig> reply.
- 2. Namespaces of all input YANG modules, together with the namespaces of base NETCONF ("nc" prefix) or notifications ("en" prefix) MUST be declared using the <sch:ns> element, for example

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 68]

<sch:ns uri="http://example.com/ns/example4" prefix="ex4"/>

- 3. One pattern is created for every input module. In addition, an abstract pattern is created for every named pattern definition containing one or more semantic annotations.
- 4. A <sch:rule> element is created for each element pattern containing semantic annotations.
- Every such annotation is then mapped to an <sch:assert> or <sch: report> element which is installed as a child of the <sch:rule> element.
- 11.2.1. Constraints on Mandatory Choice

In order to fully represent the semantics of YANG's 'choice' statement with the "mandatory true;" substatement, the RELAX NG grammar has to be combined with a special Schematron rule.

EXAMPLE. Consider the following module:

```
module example5 {
    namespace "http://example.com/ns/example5";
    prefix ex5;
    choice foobar {
        mandatory true;
        case foo {
            leaf foo1 {
                type uint8;
            leaf foo2 {
                type uint8;
            }
        leaf bar {
            type uint8;
        }
    }
}
```

In this module, all three leaf nodes in both case branches are optional but because of the "mandatory true;" statement, at least one of them must be present in a valid configuration. The 'choice' statement from this module is mapped to the following fragment of the RELAX NG schema:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 69]
```
<rng:choice>
  <rng:interleave>
    <rnq:optional>
      <rng:element name="ex5:foo1">
        <rng:data type="unsignedByte"/>
      </rng:element>
    </rng:optional>
    <rng:optional>
      <rng:element name="ex5:foo2">
        <rng:data type="unsignedByte"/>
      </rng:element>
    </rng:optional>
  </rng:interleave>
  <rng:element name="ex5:bar">
    <rng:data type="unsignedByte"/>
  </rng:element>
</rng:choice>
```

In the second case branch, the "ex5:bar" element is defined as mandatory so that this element must be present in a valid configuration if this branch is selected. However, the two elements in the first branch "foo" cannot be both declared as mandatory since each of them alone suffices for a valid configuration. As a result, the above RELAX NG fragment would successfully validate configurations where none of the three leaf elements are present.

Therefore, mandatory choices, which can be recognized in the hybrid schema as <rng:choice> elements with the @nma:mandatory annotation, have to be handled in a special way: For each mandatory choice where at least one of the cases contains more than one node, a Schematron rule MUST be added enforcing the presence of at least one element from any of the cases. (RELAX NG schema guarantees that elements from different cases cannot be mixed together, that all mandatory nodes are present etc.).

For the example module above, the Schematron rule will be as follows:

<sch:rule context="/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data"> <sch:assert test="ex5:fool or ex5:foo2 or ex5:bar"> Node(s) from at least one case of choice "foobar" must exist. </sch:assert> </sch:rule>

11.3. Mapping Default Values to DSRL

DSRL is the only component of DSDL which is allowed to change the information set of the validated XML document. While DSRL also has other functions, YANG-to-DSDL mapping uses it only for specifying and

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 70]

applying default contents. For XML instance documents based on YANG data models, insertion of default contents may potentially take place for all implicit nodes identified by the rules in Section 9.1.2.

In DSRL, the default contents of an element are specified using the <dsrl:default-content> element, which is a child of <dsrl:elementmap>. Two sibling elements of <dsrl:default-content> determine the context for the application of the default contents, see [DSRL]:

- o <dsrl:parent> element contains an XSLT pattern specifying the parent element; the default contents are applied only if the parent element exists in the instance document.
- o <dsrl:name> contains the XML name of the element which, if missing or empty, is inserted together with the contents of <dsrl:defaultcontent>.

The <dsrl:parent> element is optional in a general DSRL schema but, for the purpose of the YANG-to-DSDL mapping, this element MUST be always present, in order to guarantee a proper application of default contents.

DSRL mapping only deals with <rng:element> patterns in the hybrid schema that define implicit nodes (see Section 9.1.2). Such element patterns are distinguished by having NETMOD-specific annotation attributes @nma:default or @nma:implicit, i.e., either

<rng:element name="ELEM" nma:default="DEFVALUE">
...
</rng:element>

or

<rng:element name="ELEM" nma:implicit="true"> ... </rng:element>

The former case applies to leaf nodes having the 'default' substatement, but also to leaf nodes that obtain their default value from a typedef, if this typedef is expanded according to the rules in Section 9.2.2 so that the @nma:default annotation is attached directly to the leaf's element pattern.

The latter case is used for all implicit containers (see Section 9.1) and for leafs that obtain the default value from a typedef and don't have the @nma:default annotation.

In the simplest case, both element patterns are mapped to the

Lhotka Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 71]

following DSRL element map:

Internet-Draft

```
<dsrl:element-map>
<dsrl:parent>XPARENT</dsrl:parent>
<dsrl:name>ELEM</dsrl:name>
<dsrl:default-content>DEFCONT</dsrl:default-content>
</dsrl:element-map>
```

where XPARENT is the absolute XPath of ELEM's parent element in the data tree and DEFCONT is constructed as follows:

- o If the implicit node ELEM is a leaf and has the @nma:default attribute, DEFCONT is set to the value of this attribute (denoted above as DEFVALUE).
- o If the implicit node ELEM is a leaf and has the @nma:implicit attribute with the value of "true", the default value has to be determined from the @nma:default attribute of the definition of ELEM's type (perhaps recursively) and used in place of DEFCONT in the above DSRL element map. See also Section 9.2.2.
- o Otherwise, the implicit node ELEM is a container and DEFCONT is constructed as an XML fragment containing all descendant elements of ELEM that have either @nma:implicit or @nma:default attribute.

In addition, when mapping the default case of a choice, it has to be guaranteed that the default contents are not applied if any node from any non-default case is present. This is accomplished by setting <dsrl:parent> in a special way:

<dsrl:parent>XPARENT[not (ELEM1|ELEM2|...|ELEMn)]</dsrl:parent>

where ELEM1, ELEM2, ... ELEMn are the names of all top-level nodes from all non-default cases. The rest of the element map is exactly as before.

EXAMPLE. Consider the following YANG module:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 72]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL October 2010
Internet-Draft
  module example6 {
    namespace "http://example.com/ns/example6";
    prefix ex6;
    container outer {
      leaf leaf1 {
        type uint8;
        default 1;
      }
      choice one-or-two {
        default "one";
        container one {
          leaf leaf2 {
           type uint8;
           default 2;
          }
        }
        leaf leaf3 {
         type uint8;
         default 3;
        }
      }
    }
  }
```

The DSRL schema generated for the "get-reply" target document type will be:

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 73]

```
Internet-Draft
                          Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                           October 2010
   <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
   <dsrl:maps xmlns:dsrl="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/dsrl"
              xmlns:ex6="http://example.com/ns/example6"
              xmlns:nc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
     <dsrl:element-map>
       <dsrl:parent>/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data</dsrl:parent>
       <dsrl:name>ex6:outer</dsrl:name>
       <dsrl:default-content>
         <ex6:leaf1>1</ex6:leaf1>
         <ex6:one>
           <ex6:leaf2>2</ex6:leaf2>
         </ex6:one>
       </dsrl:default-content>
     </dsrl:element-map>
     <dsrl:element-map>
       <dsrl:parent>/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/ex6:outer</dsrl:parent>
       <dsrl:name>ex6:leaf1</dsrl:name>
       <dsrl:default-content>1</dsrl:default-content>
     </dsrl:element-map>
     <dsrl:element-map>
       <dsrl:parent>
         /nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/ex6:outer[not(ex6:leaf3)]
       </dsrl:parent>
       <dsrl:name>ex6:one</dsrl:name>
       <dsrl:default-content>
         <ex6:leaf2>2</ex6:leaf2>
       </dsrl:default-content>
     </dsrl:element-map>
     <dsrl:element-map>
       <dsrl:parent>
         /nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/ex6:outer/ex6:one
       </dsrl:parent>
       <dsrl:name>ex6:leaf2</dsrl:name>
       <dsrl:default-content>2</dsrl:default-content>
     </dsrl:element-map>
   </dsrl:maps>
```

Note that the default value for "leaf3" defined in the YANG module is ignored because "leaf3" represents a non-default alternative of a choice and as such never becomes an implicit element.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 74]

12. Mapping NETMOD-specific Annotations to DSDL Schema Languages

This section contains the mapping specification for the individual NETMOD-specific annotations. In each case, the result of the mapping must be inserted into an appropriate context of the target DSDL schema as described in Section 11. The context is determined by the element pattern in the hybrid schema to which the annotation is attached. In the rest of this section, CONTELEM will denote the name of this context element properly qualified with its namespace prefix.

12.1. The @nma:config Annotation

If this annotation is present with the value of "false", the following rules MUST be observed for DSDL schemas of <nc:get-config> reply:

- o When generating RELAX NG, the contents of the CONTELEM definition MUST be changed to <rng:notAllowed>.
- o When generating Schematron or DSRL, the CONTELEM definition and all its descendants in the hybrid schema MUST be ignored.
- 12.2. The @nma:default Annotation

This annotation is used for generating the DSRL schema as described in Section 11.3.

12.3. The <nma:error-app-tag> Annotation

This annotation currently has no mapping defined.

12.4. The <nma:error-message> Annotation

This annotation is handled within <nma:must>, see Section 12.13.

12.5. The @if-feature Annotation

The information about available features MAY be supplied as an input parameter to an implementation. In this case, the following changes MUST be performed for all features that are considered unavailable:

- o When generating RELAX NG, the contents of the CONTELEM definition MUST be changed to <rng:notAllowed>.
- o When generating Schematron or DSRL, the CONTELEM definition and all its descendants in the hybrid schema MUST be ignored.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 75]

12.6. The @nma:implicit Annotation

This annotation is used for generating the DSRL schema as described in Section 11.3.

12.7. The <nma:instance-identifier> Annotation

If this annotation element has the @require-instance attribute with the value of "false", it is ignored. Otherwise it is mapped to the following Schematron assert:

<sch:assert test="nmf:evaluate(.)">
 The element pointed to by "CONTELEM" must exist.
</sch:assert>

The nmf:evaluate() function is an XSLT extension function (see Extension Functions in [XSLT]) that evaluates an XPath expression at run time. Such an extension function is available in Extended XSLT (EXSLT) or provided as a proprietary extension by some XSLT processors, for example Saxon.

12.8. The @nma:key Annotation

Assume this annotation attribute contains "k_1 k_2 \dots k_n", i.e., specifies n children of CONTELEM as list keys. The annotation is then mapped to the following Schematron report:

<sch:report test="CONDITION">
 Duplicate key of list "CONTELEM"
</sch:report>

where CONDITION has this form: preceding-sibling::CONTELEM[C_1 and C_2 and ... and C_n]

Each sub-expression C_i, for i=1,2,...,n, specifies the condition for violated uniqueness of the key k_i , namely

k_i=current()/k_i

12.9. The @nma:leaf-list Annotation

This annotation is mapped to the following Schematron rule which detects duplicate entries of a leaf-list:

```
<sch:report
test=". = preceding-sibling::PREFIX:sorted-entry">
Duplicate leaf-list entry "<sch:value-of select="."/>".
</sch:report>
```

Lhotka Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 76]

See Section 11.2 for a complete example.

12.10. The @nma:leafref Annotation

This annotation is mapped to the following assert:

<sch:assert test="PATH=.">
Leaf "PATH" does not exist for leafref value "VALUE"
</sch:assert>

where PATH is the value of @nma:leafref and VALUE is the value of the context element in the instance document for which the referred leaf doesn't exist.

12.11. The @nma:min-elements Annotation

This annotation is mapped to the following Schematron assert:

<sch:assert test="count(../CONTELEM)>=MIN">
 List "CONTELEM" - item count must be at least MIN
</sch:assert>

where MIN is the value of @nma:min-elements.

12.12. The @nma:max-elements Annotation

This annotation is mapped to the following Schematron assert:

<sch:assert test="count(../CONTELEM)<=MAX or preceding-sibling::../CONTELEM"> Number of list items must be at most MAX </sch:assert>

where MAX is the value of @nma:min-elements.

12.13. The <nma:must> Annotation

This annotation is mapped to the following Schematron assert:

<sch:assert test="EXPRESSION"> MESSAGE </sch:assert>

where EXPRESSION is the value of the mandatory @assert attribute of <nma:must>. If the <nma:error-message> subelement exists, MESSAGE is set to its contents, otherwise it is set to the default message "Condition EXPRESSION must be true".

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 77]

Mapping YANG to DSDL

12.14. The <nma:ordered-by> Annotation

This annotation currently has no mapping defined.

12.15. The <nma:status> Annotation

This annotation currently has no mapping defined.

12.16. The @nma:unique Annotation

The mapping of this annotation is almost identical as for @nma:key, see Section 12.8, with two small differences:

- o The value of @nma:unique is a list of descendant schema node identifiers rather than simple leaf names. However, the XPath expressions specified in Section 12.8 work without any modifications if the descendant schema node identifiers are substituted for k_1, k_2, ..., k_n.
- o The message appearing as the text of <sch:report> is different: "Violated uniqueness for list CONTELEM".
- 12.17. The @nma:when Annotation

This annotation is mapped to the following Schematron assert:

<sch:assert test="EXPRESSION">
 Node "CONTELEM" is only valid when "EXPRESSION" is true.
</sch:assert>

where EXPRESSION is the value of @nma:when.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 78]

| Internet-Draft | Mapping YANG | to DSDL | October | 2010 |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|------|
| 13. IANA Considerations | | | | |
| This document requests URIs in the IETF XML re | the following egistry [RFC36 | y two registrations o 588]: | f namespa | ce |
| URI: urn:ietf:params:x | ml:ns:netmod:c | lsdl-annotations:1 | | |
| Registrant Contact: The | e IESG. | | | |
| XML: N/A, the requested | d URI is an XM | 1L namespace. | | |
| | | | | |
| URI: urn:ietf:params:x | ml:ns:netmod:> | <pre>wpath-extensions:1</pre> | | |
| Registrant Contact: The | e IESG. | | | |
| XML: N/A, the requested | d URI is an XM | IL namespace. | | |

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 79]

Internet-Draft Mapping YANG to DSDL October 2010

14. Security Considerations

This document defines a procedure that maps data models expressed in the YANG language to a coordinated set of DSDL schemas. The procedure itself has no security impact on the Internet.

DSDL schemas obtained by the mapping procedure may be used for validating the contents of NETCONF messages or entire datastores and thus provide additional validity checks above those performed by NETCONF server and client implementations supporting YANG data models. The strictness of this validation is directly derived from the source YANG modules that the validated XML data adhere to.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 80]

15. Contributors

The following people contributed significantly to the initial version of this document:

- o Rohan Mahy
- o Sharon Chisholm (Ciena)

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 81]

16. Acknowledgments

The editor wishes to thank the following individuals who provided helpful suggestions and/or comments on various versions of this document: Andy Bierman, Martin Bjorklund, Jirka Kosek, Juergen Schoenwaelder and Phil Shafer.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 82]

Mapping YANG to DSDL

October 2010

17. References

17.1. Normative References

- [DSDL] ISO/IEC, "Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) -Part 1: Overview", ISO/IEC 19757-1, November 2004.
- [DSRL] ISO/IEC, "Information Technology Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) - Part 8: Document Semantics Renaming Language - DSRL", ISO/IEC 19757-8:2008(E), December 2008.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
- [RFC4741] Enns, R., "NETCONF Configuration Protocol", RFC 4741, December 2006.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, September 2010.
- [RNG] ISO/IEC, "Information Technology Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) - Part 2: Regular-Grammar-Based Validation - RELAX NG. Second Edition.", ISO/ IEC 19757-2:2008(E), December 2008.
- [RNG-CS] ISO/IEC, "Information Technology Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) - Part 2: Regular-Grammar-Based Validation - RELAX NG. AMENDMENT 1: Compact Syntax", ISO/IEC 19757-2:2003/Amd. 1:2006(E), January 2006.
- [RNG-DTD] Clark, J., Ed. and M. Murata, Ed., "RELAX NG DTD Compatibility", OASIS Committee Specification 3 December 2001, December 2001.

[Schematron] ISO/IEC, "Information Technology - Document Schema Definition Languages (DSDL) - Part 3: Rule-Based Validation - Schematron", ISO/IEC 19757-3:2006(E), June 2006.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 83]

[XML] Bray, T., Paoli, J., Sperberg-McQueen, C., Maler, E., and F. Yergeau, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fifth Edition)", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation RECxml-20081126, November 2008, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2006/REC-xml-20060816>.

[XML-INFOSET]

Tobin, R. and J. Cowan, "XML Information Set (Second Edition)", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation RECxml-infoset-20040204, February 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-infoset-20040204>.

- [XPath] Clark, J. and S. DeRose, "XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xpath-19991116, November 1999, <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116>.
- [XSD-D] Biron, P. and A. Malhotra, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes Second Edition", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xmlschema-2-20041028, October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-2-20041028>.
- [XSLT] Clark, J., "XSL Transformations (XSLT) Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xslt-19991116, November 1999.

17.2. Informative References

- [DHCPtut] Bjorklund, M., "DHCP Tutorial", November 2007, <http:// www.yang-central.org/twiki/bin/view/Main/DhcpTutorial>.
- [RFC1157] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., and J. Davin, "Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 15, RFC 1157, May 1990.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Ed., Perkins, D., Ed., and J. Schoenwaelder, Ed., "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.
- [RFC5277] Chisholm, S. and H. Trevino, "NETCONF Event Notifications", RFC 5277, July 2008.
- [Trang] Clark, J., "Trang: Multiformat schema converter based on RELAX NG", 2008, <http://www.thaiopensource.com/relaxng/trang.html>.

Lhotka Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 84]

[Vli04] van der Vlist, E., "RELAX NG", O'Reilly , 2004.

- [XSD] Thompson, H., Beech, D., Maloney, M., and N. Mendelsohn, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures Second Edition", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xmlschema-1-20041028, October 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xmlschema-1-20041028>.
- Bjorklund, M. and L. Lhotka, "pyang: An extensible YANG [pyang] validator and converter in Python", 2010, <http://code.google.com/p/pyang/>.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 85]

```
Internet-Draft
                          Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                           October 2010
Appendix A. RELAX NG Schema for NETMOD-Specific Annotations
   This appendix defines the content model for all NETMOD-specific
   annotations in the form of RELAX NG named pattern definitions.
  <CODE BEGINS> file "nmannot.rng"
  <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
  <grammar xmlns="http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0"</pre>
           xmlns:nma="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1"
           datatypeLibrary="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-datatypes">
  <define name="config-attribute">
    <attribute name="nma:config">
      <data type="boolean"/>
    </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="data-element">
    <element name="nma:data">
      <ref name="__anyxml__"/>
    </element>
  </define>
  <define name="default-attribute">
    <attribute name="nma:default">
      <data type="string"/>
    </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="error-app-tag-element">
    <element name="nma:error-app-tag">
      <text/>
    </element>
  </define>
  <define name="error-message-element">
    <element name="nma:error-message">
      <text/>
    </element>
  </define>
  <define name="if-feature-attribute">
    <attribute name="nma:if-feature">
      <list>
        <data type="QName"/>
      </list>
    </attribute>
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 86]

```
Internet-Draft
                          Mapping YANG to DSDL
  </define>
  <define name="implicit-attribute">
   <attribute name="nma:implicit">
      <data type="boolean"/>
    </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="instance-identifier-element">
   <element name="nma:instance-identifier">
      <optional>
       <attribute name="nma:require-instance">
          <data type="boolean"/>
        </attribute>
      </optional>
    </element>
  </define>
  <define name="key-attribute">
   <attribute name="nma:key">
      <list>
        <data type="QName"/>
      </list>
   </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="leaf-list-attribute">
   <attribute name="nma:leaf-list">
      <data type="boolean"/>
   </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="leafref-attribute">
   <attribute name="nma:leafref">
     <data type="string"/>
   </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="mandatory-attribute">
   <attribute name="nma:mandatory">
     <data type="Name"/>
   </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="max-elements-attribute">
   <attribute name="nma:max-elements">
      <data type="nonNegativeInteger"/>
   </attribute>
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 87]

October 2010

```
Internet-Draft
                          Mapping YANG to DSDL
  </define>
  <define name="min-elements-attribute">
    <attribute name="nma:min-elements">
      <data type="nonNegativeInteger"/>
    </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="module-attribute">
    <attribute name="nma:module">
      <data type="Name"/>
    </attribute>
  </define>
  <define name="must-element">
    <element name="nma:must">
      <attribute name="assert">
        <data type="string"/>
      </attribute>
      <interleave>
        <optional>
          <ref name="error-app-tag-element"/>
        </optional>
        <optional>
          <ref name="error-message-element"/>
        </optional>
      </interleave>
    </element>
  </define>
  <define name="notifications-element">
    <element name="nma:notifications">
      <zeroOrMore>
        <element name="nma:notification">
          <ref name="__anyxml__"/>
        </element>
      </zeroOrMore>
    </element>
  </define>
  <define name="rpcs-element">
    <element name="nma:rpcs">
      <zeroOrMore>
        <element name="nma:rpc">
          <interleave>
            <element name="nma:input">
              <ref name="___anyxml___"/>
            </element>
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 88]

```
<optional>
            <element name="nma:output">
              <ref name="__anyxml__"/>
            </element>
          </optional>
        </interleave>
      </element>
    </zeroOrMore>
  </element>
</define>
<define name="ordered-by-attribute">
  <attribute name="nma:ordered-by">
    <choice>
      <value>user</value>
      <value>system</value>
    </choice>
  </attribute>
</define>
<define name="status-attribute">
  <optional>
    <attribute name="nma:status">
     <choice>
        <value>current</value>
        <value>deprecated</value>
        <value>obsolete</value>
      </choice>
    </attribute>
  </optional>
</define>
<define name="unique-attribute">
  <optional>
    <attribute name="nma:unique">
      <list>
        <data type="token"/>
      </list>
    </attribute>
  </optional>
</define>
<define name="units-attribute">
  <optional>
    <attribute name="nma:units">
      <data type="string"/>
    </attribute>
  </optional>
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 89]

```
Internet-Draft
                          Mapping YANG to DSDL
  </define>
  <define name="when-attribute">
    <optional>
      <attribute name="nma:when">
        <data type="string"/>
      </attribute>
    </optional>
  </define>
  <define name="__anyxml__">
<zeroOrMore>
      <choice>
        <attribute>
         <anyName/>
        </attribute>
        <element>
         <anyName/>
         <ref name="__anyxml__"/>
        </element>
        <text/>
      </choice>
    </zeroOrMore>
  </define>
  </grammar>
```

<CODE ENDS>

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 90]

October 2010

Appendix B. Schema-Independent Library

In order to avoid copying the common named pattern definitions to every RELAX NG schema generated in the second mapping step, the definitions are collected in a library file - schema-independent library - which is included by the validating schemas under the file name "relaxng-lib.rng" (XML syntax) and "relaxng-lib.rnc" (compact syntax). The included definitions cover patterns for common elements from base NETCONF [RFC4741] and event notifications [RFC5277].

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "relaxng-lib.rng"
```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>

```
<grammar xmlns="http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0"
    xmlns:nc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
    xmlns:en="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:1.0"
    datatypeLibrary="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-datatypes">
```

```
<define name="message-id-attribute">
   <attribute name="message-id">
        <data type="string">
        <param name="maxLength">4095</param>
        </data>
        </data>
   </dattribute>
</define>
```

```
<define name="ok-element">
  <element name="nc:ok">
      <empty/>
      </element>
```

```
<define name="eventTime-element">
    <element name="en:eventTime">
        <data type="dateTime"/>
        </element>
        </define>
</grammar>
```

<CODE ENDS>

</define>

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 91]

Appendix C. Mapping DHCP Data Model - A Complete Example

This appendix demonstrates both steps of the YANG-to-DSDL mapping applied to the "canonical" DHCP tutorial [DHCPtut] data model. The input YANG module is shown in Appendix C.1 and the output schemas in the following two subsections.

The hybrid schema was obtained by the "dsdl" plugin of the pyang tool [pyang] and the validating DSDL schemas were obtained by XSLT stylesheets that are also part of pyang distribution.

Due to the limit of 72 characters per line, a few long strings required manual editing, in particular the regular expression patterns for IP addresses etc. These were replaced by the placeholder string "... regex pattern ...". Also, line breaks were added to several documentation strings and Schematron messages. Other than that, the results of the automatic translations were not changed.

C.1. Input YANG Module

```
module dhcp {
  namespace "http://example.com/ns/dhcp";
  prefix dhcp;
  import ietf-yang-types { prefix yang; }
  import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; }
  organization
    "yang-central.org";
  description
    "Partial data model for DHCP, based on the config of
     the ISC DHCP reference implementation.";
  container dhcp {
    description
      "configuration and operational parameters for a DHCP server.";
    leaf max-lease-time {
      type uint32;
      units seconds;
      default 7200;
    }
    leaf default-lease-time {
      type uint32;
      units seconds;
      must '. <= ../max-lease-time' {</pre>
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 92]

```
Internet-Draft
                        Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                          October 2010
          error-message
            "The default-lease-time must be less than max-lease-time";
        }
        default 600;
       }
      uses subnet-list;
      container shared-networks {
        list shared-network {
          key name;
          leaf name {
           type string;
          }
          uses subnet-list;
        }
      }
      container status {
        config false;
        list leases {
          key address;
          leaf address {
           type inet:ip-address;
           }
          leaf starts {
            type yang:date-and-time;
           }
          leaf ends {
            type yang:date-and-time;
           }
          container hardware {
            leaf type {
              type enumeration {
                enum "ethernet";
                enum "token-ring";
                enum "fddi";
              }
            }
            leaf address {
              type yang:phys-address;
            }
          }
        }
      }
    }
```

```
Lhotka
```

Expires April 24, 2011

```
Internet-Draft
                          Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                            October 2010
     grouping subnet-list {
       description "A reusable list of subnets";
       list subnet {
         key net;
         leaf net {
           type inet:ip-prefix;
         }
         container range {
           presence "enables dynamic address assignment";
           leaf dynamic-bootp {
             type empty;
             description
               "Allows BOOTP clients to get addresses in this range";
           }
           leaf low {
             type inet:ip-address;
             mandatory true;
           }
           leaf high {
             type inet:ip-address;
             mandatory true;
           }
         }
         container dhcp-options {
           description "Options in the DHCP protocol";
           leaf-list router {
             type inet:host;
             ordered-by user;
             reference "RFC 2132, sec. 3.8";
           }
           leaf domain-name {
             type inet:domain-name;
             reference "RFC 2132, sec. 3.17";
           }
         }
         leaf max-lease-time {
           type uint32;
           units seconds;
           default 7200;
         }
       }
     }
   }
C.2. Hybrid Schema
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 94]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                             October 2010
Internet-Draft
   <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
   <grammar
       xmlns="http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0"
       xmlns:nma="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1"
       xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/terms"
       xmlns:a="http://relaxng.org/ns/compatibility/annotations/1.0"
       xmlns:dhcp="http://example.com/ns/dhcp"
       datatypeLibrary="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-datatypes">
    <dc:creator>Pyang 1.0a, DSDL plugin</dc:creator>
    <dc:date>2010-06-17</dc:date>
    <start>
     <grammar nma:module="dhcp" ns="http://example.com/ns/dhcp">
      <dc:source>YANG module 'dhcp'</dc:source>
      <start>
       <nma:data>
        <optional>
         <element nma:implicit="true" name="dhcp:dhcp">
          <interleave>
           <a:documentation>
            configuration and operational parameters for a DHCP server.
           </a:documentation>
           <optional>
            <element nma:default="7200"</pre>
                     name="dhcp:max-lease-time"
                     nma:units="seconds">
             <data type="unsignedInt"/>
            </element>
           </optional>
           <optional>
            <element nma:default="600"</pre>
                     name="dhcp:default-lease-time"
                     nma:units="seconds">
             <data type="unsignedInt"/>
             <nma:must assert=". &lt;= ../dhcp:max-lease-time">
              <nma:error-message>
               The default-lease-time must be less than max-lease-time
              </nma:error-message>
             </nma:must>
            </element>
           </optional>
           <ref name="_dhcp__subnet-list"/>
           <optional>
            <element name="dhcp:shared-networks">
             <zeroOrMore>
              <element nma:key="dhcp:name"</pre>
                       name="dhcp:shared-network">
               <element name="dhcp:name">
```

Expires April 24, 2011

<data type="string"/>

[Page 95]

```
</element>
    <ref name="_dhcp__subnet-list"/>
  </element>
 </zeroOrMore>
</element>
</optional>
<optional>
 <element name="dhcp:status" nma:config="false">
  <zeroOrMore>
   <element nma:key="dhcp:address"</pre>
           name="dhcp:leases">
    <element name="dhcp:address">
    <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address"/>
    </element>
    <interleave>
     <optional>
      <element name="dhcp:starts">
      <ref name="ietf-yang-types__date-and-time"/>
      </element>
     </optional>
     <optional>
      <element name="dhcp:ends">
       <ref name="ietf-yang-types__date-and-time"/>
      </element>
     </optional>
     <optional>
      <element name="dhcp:hardware">
       <interleave>
        <optional>
         <element name="dhcp:type">
          <choice>
           <value>ethernet</value>
           <value>token-ring</value>
           <value>fddi</value>
          </choice>
         </element>
        </optional>
        <optional>
         <element name="dhcp:address">
          <ref name="ietf-yang-types__phys-address"/>
         </element>
        </optional>
       </interleave>
      </element>
     </optional>
    </interleave>
   </element>
  </zeroOrMore>
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 96]

```
Internet-Draft
                          Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                           October 2010
           </element>
           </optional>
          </interleave>
        </element>
        </optional>
       </nma:data>
       <nma:rpcs/>
       <nma:notifications/>
      </start>
     </grammar>
   </start>
   <define name="ietf-yang-types___phys-address">
     <data type="string">
     <param name="pattern">([0-9a-fA-F]{2}(:[0-9a-fA-F]{2})*)?</param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types__ipv6-address">
     <data type="string">
      <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
     <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types__ip-prefix">
     <choice>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ipv4-prefix"/>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ipv6-prefix"/>
     </choice>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types__host">
     <choice>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address"/>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__domain-name"/>
     </choice>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-yang-types___date-and-time">
     <data type="string">
      <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="_dhcp__subnet-list">
     <a:documentation>A reusable list of subnets</a:documentation>
     <zeroOrMore>
      <element nma:key="net" name="subnet">
       <element name="net">
        <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-prefix"/>
       </element>
       <interleave>
       <optional>
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 97]

```
<element name="range">
  <interleave>
   <optional>
    <element name="dynamic-bootp">
     <a:documentation>
     Allows BOOTP clients to get addresses in this range
     </a:documentation>
    <empty/>
    </element>
   </optional>
   <element name="low">
   <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address"/>
   </element>
   <element name="high">
   <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address"/>
   </element>
 </interleave>
</element>
</optional>
<optional>
 <element name="dhcp-options">
 <interleave>
   <a:documentation>
   Options in the DHCP protocol
   </a:documentation>
   <zeroOrMore>
    <element nma:leaf-list="true" name="router"</pre>
            nma:ordered-by="user">
     <a:documentation>
     See: RFC 2132, sec. 3.8
     </a:documentation>
     <ref name="ietf-inet-types__host"/>
    </element>
   </zeroOrMore>
   <optional>
    <element name="domain-name">
     <a:documentation>
      See: RFC 2132, sec. 3.17
     </a:documentation>
     <ref name="ietf-inet-types__domain-name"/>
    </element>
   </optional>
  </interleave>
 </element>
</optional>
<optional>
<element nma:default="7200" name="max-lease-time"</pre>
          nma:units="seconds">
```

Internet-Draft

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 98]

```
<data type="unsignedInt"/>
      </element>
     </optional>
   </interleave>
  </element>
  </zeroOrMore>
 </define>
 <define name="ietf-inet-types__domain-name">
  <data type="string">
  <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
  <param name="minLength">1</param>
  <param name="maxLength">253</param>
 </data>
 </define>
 <define name="ietf-inet-types__ipv4-prefix">
 <data type="string">
  <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
 </data>
 </define>
 <define name="ietf-inet-types__ipv4-address">
  <data type="string">
  <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
 </data>
 </define>
 <define name="ietf-inet-types__ipv6-prefix">
 <data type="string">
  <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
  <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
 </data>
 </define>
 <define name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address">
  <choice>
  <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ipv4-address"/>
  <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ipv6-address"/>
 </choice>
</define>
</grammar>
```

C.3. Final DSDL Schemas

Internet-Draft

This appendix contains DSDL schemas that were obtained from the hybrid schema in Appendix C.2 by XSL transformations. These schemas can be directly used for validating a reply to unfiltered <nc:get> with the contents corresponding to the DHCP data model.

The RELAX NG schema (in two parts, as explained in Section 8.2) also includes the schema-independent library from Appendix B.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 99]

```
C.3.1. Main RELAX NG Schema for <nc:get> Reply
   <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
   <qrammar
       xmlns="http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0"
       xmlns:nma="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1"
       xmlns:dhcp="http://example.com/ns/dhcp"
       datatypeLibrary="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-datatypes"
       ns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
    <include href="relaxng-lib.rng"/>
    <start>
     <element name="rpc-reply">
      <ref name="message-id-attribute"/>
      <element name="data">
       <interleave>
        <grammar ns="http://example.com/ns/dhcp">
         <include href="dhcp-gdefs.rng"/>
         <start>
          <optional>
           <element name="dhcp:dhcp">
            <interleave>
             <optional>
              <element name="dhcp:max-lease-time">
               <data type="unsignedInt"/>
              </element>
             </optional>
             <optional>
              <element name="dhcp:default-lease-time">
               <data type="unsignedInt"/>
              </element>
             </optional>
             <ref name="_dhcp__subnet-list"/>
             <optional>
              <element name="dhcp:shared-networks">
               <zeroOrMore>
                <element name="dhcp:shared-network">
                 <element name="dhcp:name">
                  <data type="string"/>
                 </element>
                 <ref name="_dhcp__subnet-list"/>
                </element>
               </zeroOrMore>
              </element>
             </optional>
             <optional>
              <element name="dhcp:status">
               <zeroOrMore>
                <element name="dhcp:leases">
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 100]

```
<element name="dhcp:address">
              <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address"/>
             </element>
             <interleave>
              <optional>
               <element name="dhcp:starts">
                <ref name="ietf-yang-types__date-and-time"/>
               </element>
              </optional>
              <optional>
               <element name="dhcp:ends">
                <ref name="ietf-yang-types__date-and-time"/>
               </element>
              </optional>
              <optional>
               <element name="dhcp:hardware">
                <interleave>
                 <optional>
                  <element name="dhcp:type">
                   <choice>
                    <value>ethernet</value>
                    <value>token-ring</value>
                    <value>fddi</value>
                   </choice>
                  </element>
                 </optional>
                 <optional>
                  <element name="dhcp:address">
                   <ref name="ietf-yang-types__phys-address"/>
                  </element>
                 </optional>
                </interleave>
               </element>
              </optional>
             </interleave>
            </element>
           </zeroOrMore>
          </element>
         </optional>
        </interleave>
       </element>
      </optional>
     </start>
    </grammar>
   </interleave>
  </element>
 </element>
</start>
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 101]

Mapping YANG to DSDL

```
</grammar>
```

Internet-Draft

```
C.3.2. RELAX NG Schema - Global Named Pattern Definitions
   <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
   <grammar
       xmlns="http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0"
       xmlns:nma="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netmod:dsdl-annotations:1"
       xmlns:dhcp="http://example.com/ns/dhcp"
       datatypeLibrary="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-datatypes">
    <define name="ietf-yang-types___phys-address">
     <data type="string">
      <param name="pattern">
       ([0-9a-fA-F]{2}(:[0-9a-fA-F]{2})*)?
      </param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types ipv6-address">
     <data type="string">
      <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types__ip-prefix">
     <choice>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ipv4-prefix"/>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ipv6-prefix"/>
     </choice>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types__host">
     <choice>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address"/>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__domain-name"/>
     </choice>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-yang-types___date-and-time">
     <data type="string">
      <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="_dhcp__subnet-list">
     <zeroOrMore>
      <element name="subnet">
       <element name="net">
        <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-prefix"/>
       </element>
       <interleave>
        <optional>
         <element name="range">
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 102]

```
<interleave>
       <optional>
        <element name="dynamic-bootp">
         <empty/>
        </element>
       </optional>
       <element name="low">
        <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address"/>
       </element>
       <element name="high">
        <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address"/>
       </element>
      </interleave>
     </element>
    </optional>
    <optional>
     <element name="dhcp-options">
      <interleave>
       <zeroOrMore>
        <element name="router">
         <ref name="ietf-inet-types__host"/>
        </element>
       </zeroOrMore>
       <optional>
        <element name="domain-name">
         <ref name="ietf-inet-types__domain-name"/>
        </element>
       </optional>
      </interleave>
     </element>
    </optional>
    <optional>
     <element name="max-lease-time">
      <data type="unsignedInt"/>
     </element>
    </optional>
   </interleave>
 </element>
</zeroOrMore>
</define>
<define name="ietf-inet-types__domain-name">
<data type="string">
 <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
 <param name="minLength">1</param>
 <param name="maxLength">253</param>
</data>
</define>
<define name="ietf-inet-types__ipv4-prefix">
```

Internet-Draft

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 103]

```
Mapping YANG to DSDL
                                                             October 2010
Internet-Draft
     <data type="string">
      <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types__ipv4-address">
     <data type="string">
      <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types__ipv6-prefix">
     <data type="string">
      <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
      <param name="pattern">... regex pattern ...</param>
     </data>
    </define>
    <define name="ietf-inet-types__ip-address">
     <choice>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ipv4-address"/>
      <ref name="ietf-inet-types__ipv6-address"/>
     </choice>
    </define>
   </grammar>
C.3.3. Schematron Schema for <nc:get> Reply
  <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
  <sch:schema xmlns:sch="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/schematron">
   <sch:ns uri="http://example.com/ns/dhcp" prefix="dhcp"/>
   <sch:ns uri="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0" prefix="nc"/>
   <sch:pattern abstract="true" id="_dhcp__subnet-list">
    <sch:rule context="$start/$pref:subnet">
     <sch:report test="preceding-sibling::$pref:subnet
                        [$pref:net=current()/$pref:net]">
      Duplicate key "net"
     </sch:report>
    </sch:rule>
    <sch:rule
      context="$start/$pref:subnet/$pref:dhcp-options/$pref:router"></pref:subnet/$pref:dhcp-options/$pref:router">
     <sch:report test=".=preceding-sibling::router">
      Duplicate leaf-list value "<sch:value-of select="."/>"
     </sch:report>
    </sch:rule>
   </sch:pattern>
   <sch:pattern id="dhcp">
    <sch:rule
      context="/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp/dhcp:default-lease-time">
     <sch:assert test=". &lt;= ../dhcp:max-lease-time">
      The default-lease-time must be less than max-lease-time
```

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 104]

```
</sch:assert>
  </sch:rule>
  <sch:rule context="/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp/
                    dhcp:shared-networks/dhcp:shared-network">
  <sch:report test="preceding-sibling::dhcp:shared-network
                     [dhcp:name=current()/dhcp:name]">
   Duplicate key "dhcp:name"
  </sch:report>
  </sch:rule>
  <sch:rule context="/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp/
                     dhcp:status/dhcp:leases">
  <sch:report test="preceding-sibling::dhcp:leases"
                     [dhcp:address=current()/dhcp:address]">
   Duplicate key "dhcp:address"
  </sch:report>
 </sch:rule>
 </sch:pattern>
 <sch:pattern id="id2768196" is-a="_dhcp__subnet-list">
 <sch:param name="start" value="/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp"/>
 <sch:param name="pref" value="dhcp"/>
 </sch:pattern>
 <sch:pattern id="id2768215" is-a="_dhcp__subnet-list">
 <sch:param name="start"
            value="/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp/
                   dhcp:shared-networks/dhcp:shared-network"/>
 <sch:param name="pref" value="dhcp"/>
 </sch:pattern>
</sch:schema>
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 105]
```
C.3.4. DSRL Schema for <nc:get> Reply
```

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<dsrl:maps
   xmlns:dsrl="http://purl.oclc.org/dsdl/dsrl"
   xmlns:dhcp="http://example.com/ns/dhcp"
   xmlns:nc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
 <dsrl:element-map>
  <dsrl:parent>/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data</dsrl:parent>
  <dsrl:name>dhcp:dhcp</dsrl:name>
  <dsrl:default-content>
  <dhcp:max-lease-time>7200</dhcp:max-lease-time>
  <dhcp:default-lease-time>600</dhcp:default-lease-time>
 </dsrl:default-content>
 </dsrl:element-map>
 <dsrl:element-map>
 <dsrl:parent>/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp</dsrl:parent>
 <dsrl:name>dhcp:max-lease-time</dsrl:name>
 <dsrl:default-content>7200</dsrl:default-content>
 </dsrl:element-map>
 <dsrl:element-map>
 <dsrl:parent>/nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp</dsrl:parent>
 <dsrl:name>dhcp:default-lease-time</dsrl:name>
 <dsrl:default-content>600</dsrl:default-content>
 </dsrl:element-map>
 <dsrl:element-map>
 <dsrl:parent>
  /nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp:subnet
 </dsrl:parent>
 <dsrl:name>dhcp:max-lease-time</dsrl:name>
 <dsrl:default-content>7200</dsrl:default-content>
 </dsrl:element-map>
 <dsrl:element-map>
  <dsrl:parent>
   /nc:rpc-reply/nc:data/dhcp:dhcp/dhcp:shared-networks/
  dhcp:shared-network/dhcp:subnet
  </dsrl:parent>
  <dsrl:name>dhcp:max-lease-time</dsrl:name>
  <dsrl:default-content>7200</dsrl:default-content>
 </dsrl:element-map>
</dsrl:maps>
```

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

Internet-Draft

Mapping YANG to DSDL

Appendix D. Change Log

RFC Editor: remove this section upon publication as an RFC.

- D.1. Changes Between Versions -07 and -08
 - o Edits based on Gen-ART review.
 - o Added formal templates in Section 13.
 - o Created the "Contributors" section and moved the former co-authors there.
 - o Indicated the location of both global and local named pattern definitions in the example hybrid schema in Section 8.1.
 - o Added reference to EXSLT "evaluate" function.
- D.2. Changes Between Versions -06 and -07
 - o Mapping of 'description', 'reference' and 'units' to the hybrid schema is now mandatory.
 - o Improvements and fixes of the text based on the AD review
- D.3. Changes Between Versions -05 and -06
 - o Terminology change: "conceptual tree schema" -> "hybrid schema".
 - Changed sectioning markers in the hybrid schema into plain NETMODspecific annotations. Hence the former "nmt" namespace is not used at all.
 - Added the following NETMOD-specific annotations: @nma:if-feature, @nma:leaf-list, @nma:mandatory, @nma:module, removed @nma: presence.
 - Changed the structure of RELAX NG schemas by using embedded grammars and declaration of namespaces via @ns. This was necessary for enabling the "chameleon" behavior of global definitions.
 - o Schematron validation phases are not used.
 - o If an XPath expression appears inside a top-level grouping, the local prefix must be represented using the variable \$pref. (This is related to the previous item.)

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 107]

- o DHCP example: All RNG schemas are only in the XML syntax. Added RNG with global definitions.
- o Added [XML-INFOSET] to normative references.
- o Listed the terms that are defined in other documents.
- o The schema for NETMOD-specific annotation is now given only as RNG named pattern definitions, no more in NVDL.
- D.4. Changes Between Versions -04 and -05
 - o Leafs that take their default value from a typedef and are not annotated with @nma:default must have @nma:implicit="true".
 - o Changed code markers CODE BEGINS/ENDS to the form agreed by the WG.
 - Derived types "date-and-time" and "uri" SHOULD be mapped to XSD "dateTime" and "anyURI" types, respectively.
 - o Clarified the notion of implicit nodes under under 'case' in Section 9.1.2.
 - o Moved draft-ietf-netmod-yang-types-06 to normative references.
 - o An extra <rng:group> is no more required for the default case of a choice in the shorthand notation.
- D.5. Changes Between Versions -03 and -04
 - o Implemented ordering rules for list children keys must go first and appear in the same order as in the input YANG module.
 - o The 'case' statement is now mapped to either <rng:group> (inside RPC operations) or <rng:interleave> (otherwise).
 - o A nma:default annotation coming from a datatype which the mapping expands is attached to the <rng:element> pattern where the expansion occurs. Added an example.
 - Documentation statements ('description', 'reference', 'status')
 MAY be ignored.
 - o Single-valued numeric or length range parts are mapped to <rng: value> pattern or "length" facet.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 108]

Mapping YANG to DSDL

- o Example for "string" datatype was added.
- o Appendix A now uses NVDL for defining NETMOD-specific annotations.
- o Added CODE BEGINS/ENDS markers.
- o Separated normative and informative references.
- o Added URL for XPath extensions namespace.
- o Added Section 2 (Terminology and Notation).
- o Added Section 14 (Security Considerations).
- o Added Section 16 (Acknowledgments).
- o Removed compact syntax schema from Appendix B.
- o Editorial changes: symbolic citation labels.
- D.6. Changes Between Versions -02 and -03
 - o Changed @nma:default-case to @nma:implicit.
 - o Changed nma: leafref annotation from element to attribute.
 - o Added skeleton rule to Section 11.2.
 - Reworked Section 11.3, added skeleton element maps, corrected the example.
 - o Added section on 'feature' and 'deviation'.
 - New Section 9.1 integrating discussion of both optional/mandatory (was in -02) and implicit nodes (new).
 - Reflected that key argument and schema node identifiers are no more XPath (should be in yang-07).
 - Element patterns for implicit containers now must have @nma: implicit attribute.
 - o Removed "float32" and "float64" types and added mapping of "decimal64" with example.
 - o Removed mapping of 'require-instance' for "leafref" type.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 109]

- o Updated RELAX NG schema for NETMOD-specific annotations.
- o Updated the DHCP example.
- D.7. Changes Between Versions -01 and -02
 - o Moved Section 7 "NETCONF Content Validation" after Section 6.
 - New text about mapping defaults to DSRL, especially in Section 7 and Section 11.3.
 - o Finished the DHCP example by adding the DSRL schema to Appendix C.
 - New @nma:presence annotation was added it is needed for proper handling of default contents.
 - Section 11.2.1 "Constraints on Mandatory Choice" was added because these constraints require a combination of RELAX NG and Schematron.
 - Fixed the schema for NETMOD-specific annotations by adding explicit prefix to all defined elements and attributes.
 Previously, the attributes had no namespace.
 - o Handling of 'feature', 'if-feature' and 'deviation' added.
 - o Handling of nma:instance-identifier via XSLT extension function.
- D.8. Changes Between Versions -00 and -01
 - o Attributes @nma:min-elements and @nma:max-elements are attached to <rng:element> (list entry) and not to <rng:zeroOrMore> or <rng: oneOrMore>.
 - o Keys and all node identifiers in 'key' and 'unique' statements are prefixed.
 - o Fixed the mapping of 'rpc' and 'notification'.
 - Removed previous sec. 7.5 "RPC Signatures and Notifications" the same information is now contained in Section 10.50 and Section 10.37.
 - o Added initial "_" to mangled names of groupings.
 - o Mandated the use of @xmlns:xxx as the only method for declaring the target namespace.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011

[Page 110]

- o Added section "Handling of XML Namespaces" to explain the previous item.
- o Completed DHCP example in Appendix C.
- o Almost all text about the second mapping step is new.

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 111]

Author's Address

Ladislav Lhotka (editor) CESNET

Email: lhotka@cesnet.cz

Lhotka

Expires April 24, 2011 [Page 112]

Internet Engineering Task Force Internet-Draft Intended status: Informational Expires: April 5, 2011 A. Bierman Brocade October 2, 2010

Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of YANG Data Model Documents draft-ietf-netmod-yang-usage-11

Abstract

This memo provides guidelines for authors and reviewers of standards track specifications containing YANG data model modules. Applicable portions may be used as a basis for reviews of other YANG data model documents. Recommendations and procedures are defined, which are intended to increase interoperability and usability of Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) implementations which utilize YANG data model modules.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 5, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 1]

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | | | | | 4 |
|--|-----|---|---|---|----------|
| 2. Terminology | | | | | 5 |
| 2.1. Requirements Notation | | | | | 5 |
| 2.2. NETCONF Terms | | | | | 5 |
| 2.3. YANG Terms | | | | | 5 |
| 2.4. Terms | | | | | 6 |
| 3. General Documentation Guidelines | | | | | 7 |
| 3.1. Module Copyright | | | | | 7 |
| 3.2. Narrative Sections | | | | | 8 |
| 3.3. Definitions Section | | | | | 8 |
| 3.4. Security Considerations Section | | | | | 8 |
| 3.5. IANA Considerations Section | | | | | 9 |
| 3.5.1. Documents that Create a New Name Space | | | | | 9 |
| 3.5.2. Documents that Extend an Existing Name Space | | | | | 9 |
| 3.6. Reference Sections | | | | | 10 |
| 4. YANG Usage Guidelines | | | | | 11 |
| 4.1. Module Naming Conventions | | | | | 11 |
| 4.2. Identifiers | | | | | 11 |
| 4.3. Defaults | | | | | 11 |
| 4.4. Conditional Statements | | | | | $12^{$ |
| 4.5. XPath Usage | | | | | 12 |
| 4.6. Lifecvcle Management | | | | | 13 |
| 4.7. Module Header, Meta, and Revision Statements | | | | | 14 |
| 4.8. Namespace Assignments | | | | | 15 |
| 4.9. Top Level Data Definitions | | | | | 16 |
| 4.10. Data Types | | | | | 16 |
| 4.11. Reusable Type Definitions | | | | | 17 |
| 4 12 Data Definitions | • • | • | • | • | 18 |
| 4.13. Operation Definitions | | | | | 19 |
| 4 14 Notification Definitions | • • | • | • | • | 19 |
| 5. IANA Considerations | | | | | 20 |
| 6. Security Considerations | | | | | 21 |
| 6.1 Security Considerations Section Template | • • | • | • | • | 21 |
| 7 Acknowledgments | ••• | • | • | • | 2.4 |
| 8 References | • • | • | • | • | 25 |
| 8 1 Normative References | • • | · | • | • | 25 |
| 8.2 Informative References | • • | • | • | • | 25 |
| Appondix A Modulo Powiow Charlist | • • | • | • | • | 25 |
| Appendix R. VANC Module Template | • • | • | • | • | 20 |
| Appendix C. Change Log | • • | · | · | • | ムゴ つつ |
| Appendix C. Change Log \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots | • • | · | · | • | ວ⊿ ວາ |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \text{C.I. Changes from 10 to II} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \text{C.2. Changes from 00 to 10} \end{array}$ | • • | · | · | • | 3∠ 22 |
| C.2. Changes from U9 to 10 | • • | • | • | • | 32 |

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 2]

| C.3. | Changes | from | 08 | to | 09 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 32 |
|----------|-----------|------|----|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| C.4. | Changes | from | 07 | to | 08 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 32 |
| C.5. | Changes | from | 06 | to | 07 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 32 |
| С.б. | Changes | from | 05 | to | 06 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 32 |
| C.7. | Changes | from | 04 | to | 05 | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | | • | • | | | • | • | 33 |
| C.8. | Changes | from | 03 | to | 04 | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | | • | • | | | • | • | 33 |
| C.9. | Changes | from | 02 | to | 03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34 |
| C.10. | Changes | from | 01 | to | 02 | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | | • | • | | | • | • | 34 |
| C.11. | Changes | from | 00 | to | 01 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34 |
| Author's | s Address | 5 | • | | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 36 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011 [Page 3]

1. Introduction

The standardization of network configuration interfaces for use with the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) [RFC4741] requires a modular set of data models, which can be reused and extended over time.

This document defines a set of usage guidelines for standards track documents containing YANG [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang] data models. YANG is used to define the data structures, protocol operations, and notification content used within a NETCONF server. A server which supports a particular YANG module will support client NETCONF operation requests, as indicated by the specific content defined in the YANG module.

This document is similar to the SMIv2 usage guidelines specification [RFC4181] in intent and structure. However, since that document was written a decade after SMIv2 modules had been in use, it was published as a 'best current practice' (BCP). This document is not a BCP, but rather an informational reference, intended to promote consistency in documents containing YANG modules.

Many YANG constructs are defined as optional to use, such as the description statement. However, in order to maximize interoperability of NETCONF implementations utilizing YANG data models, it is desirable to define a set of usage guidelines which may require a higher level of compliance than the minimum level defined in the YANG specification.

In addition, YANG allows constructs such as infinite length identifiers and string values, or top-level mandatory nodes, that a compliant server is not required to support. Only constructs which all servers are required to support can be used in IETF YANG modules.

This document defines usage guidelines related to the NETCONF operations layer, and NETCONF content layer, as defined in [RFC4741]. These guidelines are intended to be used by authors and reviewers to improve the readability and interoperability of published YANG data models.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 4]

- 2. Terminology
- 2.1. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

RFC 2119 language is used here to express the views of the NETMOD working group regarding content for YANG modules. YANG modules complying with this document will treat the RFC 2119 terminology as if it were describing best current practices.

2.2. NETCONF Terms

The following terms are defined in [RFC4741] and are not redefined here:

- o capabilities
- o client
- o operation
- o server
- 2.3. YANG Terms

The following terms are defined in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang] and are not redefined here:

- o data node
- o module
- o namespace
- o submodule
- o version
- O YANG
- o YIN

Note that the term 'module' may be used as a generic term for a YANG module or submodule. When describing properties which are specific to submodules, the term 'submodule' is used instead.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 5]

2.4. Terms

The following terms are used throughout this document:

- published: A stable release of a module or submodule, usually contained in an RFC.
- unpublished: An unstable release of a module or submodule, usually contained in an Internet-Draft.

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 6]

3. General Documentation Guidelines

YANG data model modules under review are likely to be contained in Internet-Drafts. All guidelines for Internet-Draft authors MUST be followed. These guidelines are defined in [RFC2223] and updated in [RFC5741]. Additional information is also available online at:

http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc-editor/instructions2authors.txt

The following sections MUST be present in an Internet-Draft containing a module:

- o Narrative sections
- o Definitions section
- o Security Considerations section
- o IANA Considerations section
- o References section
- 3.1. Module Copyright

The module description statement MUST contain a reference to the latest approved IETF Trust Copyright statement, which is available on-line at:

http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info/

Each YANG module or submodule contained within an Internet-Draft or RFC is considered to be a code component. The strings '<CODE BEGINS>' and '<CODE ENDS>' MUST be used to identify each code component.

The '<CODE BEGINS>' tag SHOULD be followed by a string identifying the file name specified in section 5.2 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang]. The following example is for the '2010-01-18' revision of the 'ietffoo' module:

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 7]

```
Internet-Draft Guidelines for YANG Documents October 2010
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-foo@2010-01-18.yang"
module ietf-foo {
    // ...
    revision 2010-01-18 {
        description "Latest revision";
        reference "RFC XXXXX";
    }
    // ...
}
<CODE ENDS>
```

Figure 1

3.2. Narrative Sections

The narrative part MUST include an overview section that describes the scope and field of application of the module(s) defined by the specification and that specifies the relationship (if any) of these modules to other standards, particularly to standards containing other YANG modules. The narrative part SHOULD include one or more sections to briefly describe the structure of the modules defined in the specification.

If the module(s) defined by the specification import definitions from other modules (except for those defined in the YANG [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang] or YANG Types [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-types] documents), or are always implemented in conjunction with other modules, then those facts MUST be noted in the overview section, as MUST be noted any special interpretations of definitions in other modules.

3.3. Definitions Section

This section contains the module(s) defined by the specification. These modules MUST be written using the YANG syntax defined in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang]. A YIN syntax version of the module MAY also be present in the document. There MAY also be other types of modules present in the document, such as SMIv2, which are not affected by these guidelines.

See Section 4 for guidelines on YANG usage.

3.4. Security Considerations Section

Each specification that defines one or more modules MUST contain a section that discusses security considerations relevant to those

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 8]

modules. This section MUST be patterned after the latest approved template (available at http://www.ops.ietf.org/netconf/yang-security-considerations.txt).

- In particular:
- o Writable data nodes that could be especially disruptive if abused MUST be explicitly listed by name and the associated security risks MUST be explained.
- o Readable data nodes that contain especially sensitive information or that raise significant privacy concerns MUST be explicitly listed by name and the reasons for the sensitivity/privacy concerns MUST be explained.
- o Operations (i.e., YANG 'rpc' statements) which are potentially harmful to system behavior or that raise significant privacy concerns MUST be explicitly listed by name and the reasons for the sensitivity/privacy concerns MUST be explained.
- 3.5. IANA Considerations Section

In order to comply with IESG policy as set forth in http://www.ietf.org/ID-Checklist.html, every Internet-Draft that is submitted to the IESG for publication which has action items for IANA MUST contain an IANA Considerations section. The requirements for this section vary depending what actions are required of the IANA. If there are no IANA considerations applicable to the document, then the IANA Considerations section is not required. Refer to the quidelines in [RFC5226] for more details.

3.5.1. Documents that Create a New Name Space

If an Internet-Draft defines a new name space that is to be administered by the IANA, then the document MUST include an IANA Considerations section, that specifies how the name space is to be administered.

Specifically, if any YANG module namespace statement value contained in the document is not already registered with IANA, then a new YANG Namespace registry entry MUST be requested from the IANA. The YANG [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang] specification includes the procedure for this purpose in its IANA Considerations section.

3.5.2. Documents that Extend an Existing Name Space

It is possible to extend an existing namespace using a YANG submodule which belongs to an existing module already administered by IANA. In

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 9]

this case, the document containing the main module MUST be updated to use the latest revision of the submodule.

3.6. Reference Sections

For every import or include statement which appears in a module contained in the specification, which identifies a module in a separate document, a corresponding normative reference to that document MUST appear in the Normative References section. The reference MUST correspond to the specific module version actually used within the specification.

For every normative reference statement which appears in a module contained in the specification, which identifies a separate document, a corresponding normative reference to that document SHOULD appear in the Normative References section. The reference SHOULD correspond to the specific document version actually used within the specification. If the reference statement identifies an informative reference, which identifies a separate document, a corresponding informative reference to that document MAY appear in the Informative References section.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 10]

Internet-Draft Guidelines for YANG Documents October 2010

4. YANG Usage Guidelines

In general, modules in IETF standards-track specifications MUST comply with all syntactic and semantic requirements of YANG. [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang]. The guidelines in this section are intended to supplement the YANG specification, which is intended to define a minimum set of conformance requirements.

In order to promote interoperability and establish a set of practices based on previous experience, the following sections establish usage guidelines for specific YANG constructs.

Only guidelines which clarify or restrict the minimum conformance requirements are included here.

4.1. Module Naming Conventions

Modules contained in standards track documents SHOULD be named according to the guidelines in the IANA considerations section of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang].

A distinctive word or acronym (e.g., protocol name or working group acronym) SHOULD be used in the module name. If new definitions are being defined to extend one or more existing modules, then the same word or acronym should be reused, instead of creating a new one.

All published module names MUST be unique. For a YANG module published in an RFC, this uniqueness is guaranteed by IANA. For unpublished modules, the authors need to check that no other work in progress is using the same module name.

Once a module name is published, it MUST NOT be reused, even if the RFC containing the module is reclassified to 'Historic' status.

4.2. Identifiers

Identifiers for all YANG identifiers in published modules MUST be between 1 and 64 characters in length. These include any construct specified as an 'identifier-arg-str' token in the ABNF in section 12 of [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang].

4.3. Defaults

In general, it is suggested that sub-statements containing very common default values SHOULD NOT be present. The following substatements are commonly used with the default value, which would make the module difficult to read if used everywhere they are allowed.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 11]

| + Statement | ++ Default Value |
|-------------------|-----------------------|
| config | true |
| mandatory | false |
| max-elements | unbounded |
| min-elements | 0 |
| ordered-by | system |
| status | current |
| yin-element | false |

4.4. Conditional Statements

A module may be conceptually partitioned in several ways, using the 'if-feature' and/or 'when' statements.

Data model designers need to carefully consider all modularity aspects, including the use of YANG conditional statements.

If a data definition is optional, depending on server support for a NETCONF protocol capability, then a YANG 'feature' statement SHOULD be defined to indicate that the NETCONF capability is supported within the data model.

If any notification data, or any data definition, for a nonconfiguration data node is not mandatory, then the server may or may not be required to return an instance of this data node. If any conditional requirements exist for returning the data node in a notification payload or retrieval request, they MUST be documented somewhere. For example, a 'when' or 'if-feature' statement could apply to the data node, or the conditional requirements could be explained in a 'description' statement within the data node or one of its ancestors (if any).

4.5. XPath Usage

This section describes guidelines for using the XML Path Language [W3C.REC-xpath-19991116] (XPath) within YANG modules.

The 'attribute' and 'namespace' axes are not supported in YANG, and MAY be empty in a NETCONF server implementation.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 12]

The 'position' and 'last' functions SHOULD NOT be used. This applies to implicit use of the 'position' function as well (e.g., $^\prime//{\tt chapter[42]\prime})\,.$ A server is only required to maintain the relative XML document order of all instances of a particular user-ordered list or leaf-list. The 'position' and 'last' functions MAY be used if they are evaluated in a context where the context node is a userordered 'list' or 'leaf-list'.

The 'preceding', and 'following' axes SHOULD NOT be used. These constructs rely on XML document order within a NETCONF server configuration database, which may not be supported consistently or produce reliable results across implementations. Predicate expressions based on static node properties (e.g., element name or value, 'ancestor' or 'descendant' axes) SHOULD be used instead. The 'preceding' and 'following' axes MAY be used if document order is not relevant to the outcome of the expression (e.g., check for global uniqueness of a parameter value.)

The 'preceding-sibling' and 'following-sibling' axes SHOULD NOT used. A server is only required to maintain the relative XML document order of all instances of a particular user-ordered list or leaf-list. The 'preceding-sibling' and 'following-sibling' axes MAY be used if they are evaluated in a context where the context node is a user-ordered 'list' or 'leaf-list'.

Data nodes which use the 'int64' and 'uint64' built-in type SHOULD NOT be used within numeric expressions. There are boundary conditions in which the translation from the YANG 64-bit type to an XPath number can cause incorrect results. Specifically, an XPath 'double' precision floating point number cannot represent very large positive or negative 64-bit numbers because it only provides a total precision of 53 bits. The 'int64' and 'uint64' data types MAY be used in numeric expressions if the value can be represented with no more than 53 bits of precision.

Data modelers need to be careful not to confuse the YANG value space and the XPath value space. The data types are not the same in both, and conversion between YANG and XPath data types SHOULD be considered carefully.

Explicit XPath data type conversions MAY be used (e.g., 'string', 'boolean', or 'number' functions), instead of implicit XPath data type conversions.

4.6. Lifecycle Management

The status statement MUST be present if its value is 'deprecated' or 'obsolete'.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 13]

The module or submodule name MUST NOT be changed, once the document containing the module or submodule is published.

The module namespace URI value MUST NOT be changed, once the document containing the module is published.

The revision-date sub-statement within the imports statement SHOULD be present if any groupings are used from the external module.

The revision-date sub-statement within the include statement SHOULD be present if any groupings are used from the external sub-module.

If submodules are used, then the document containing the main module MUST be updated so that the main module revision date is equal or more recent than the revision date of any submodule which is (directly or indirectly) included by the main module.

4.7. Module Header, Meta, and Revision Statements

For published modules, the namespace MUST be a globally unique URI, as defined in [RFC3986]. This value is usually assigned by the IANA.

The organization statement MUST be present. If the module is contained in a document intended for standards-track status, then the organization SHOULD be the IETF working group chartered to write the document.

The contact statement MUST be present. If the module is contained in a document intended for standards-track status, then the working group WEB and mailing information MUST be present, and the main document author or editor contact information SHOULD be present. Ιf additional authors or editors exist, their contact information MAY be present. In addition, the Area Director and other contact information MAY be present.

The description statement MUST be present. The appropriate IETF Trust Copyright text MUST be present, as described in Section 3.1.

If the module relies on information contained in other documents, which are not the same documents implied by the import statements present in the module, then these documents MUST be identified in the reference statement.

A revision statement MUST be present for each published version of the module. The revision statement MUST have a reference substatement. It MUST identify the published document which contains the module. Modules are often extracted from their original documents and it is useful for developers and operators to know how

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 14]

to find the original source document in a consistent manner. The revision statement MAY have a description substatement.

Each new revision MUST include a revision date which is higher than any other revision date in the module. The revision date does not need to be updated if the module contents do not change in the new document revision.

It is acceptable to reuse the same revision statement within unpublished versions (i.e., Internet-Drafts), but the revision date MUST be updated to a higher value each time the Internet-Draft is republished.

4.8. Namespace Assignments

It is RECOMMENDED that only valid YANG modules are included in documents, whether they are published yet or not. This allows:

- o the module to compile correctly instead of generating disruptive fatal errors.
- o early implementors to use the modules without picking a random value for the XML namespace.
- o early interoperability testing since independent implementations will use the same XML namespace value.

Until a URI is assigned by the IANA, a proposed namespace URI MUST be provided for the namespace statement in a YANG module. A value SHOULD be selected which is not likely to collide with other YANG namespaces. Standard module names, prefixes, and URI strings already listed in the YANG Module Registry MUST NOT be used.

A standard namespace statement value SHOULD have the following form:

<URN prefix string>:<module-name>

The following URN prefix string SHOULD be used for published and unpublished YANG modules:

urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:

The following example URNs would be valid temporary namespace statement values for standards-track modules:

urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-partial-lock

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 15]

urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-state

urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf

Note that a different URN prefix string SHOULD be used for nonstandards track modules. The string SHOULD be selected according to the guidelines in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang].

The following examples of non-standards track modules are only suggestions. There are no guidelines for this type of URN in this document:

http://example.com/ns/example-interfaces

http://example.com/ns/example-system

4.9. Top Level Data Definitions

There SHOULD only be one top-level data node defined in each YANG module, if any data nodes are defined at all.

The top-level data organization SHOULD be considered carefully, in advance. Data model designers need to consider how the functionality for a given protocol or protocol family will grow over time.

The names and data organization SHOULD reflect persistent information, such as the name of a protocol. The name of the working group SHOULD NOT be used because this may change over time.

A mandatory database data definition is defined as a node that a client must provide for the database to be valid. The server is not required to provide a value.

Top-level database data definitions MUST NOT be mandatory. If a mandatory node appears at the top-level, it will immediately cause the database to be invalid. This can occur when the server boots or when a module is loaded dynamically at runtime.

4.10. Data Types

Bierman

Selection of an appropriate data type (i.e., built-in type, existing derived type, or new derived type) is very subjective and therefore few requirements can be specified on that subject.

Data model designers SHOULD use the most appropriate built-in data type for the particular application.

If extensibility of enumerated values is required, then the

Expires April 5, 2011 [Page 16] 'identityref' data type SHOULD be used instead of an enumeration or other built-in type.

For string data types, if a machine-readable pattern can be defined for the desired semantics, then one or more pattern statements SHOULD be present.

For string data types, if the length of the string is required to be bounded in all implementations, then a length statement MUST be present.

For numeric data types, if the values allowed by the intended semantics are different than those allowed by the unbounded intrinsic data type (e.g., 'int32'), then a range statement SHOULD be present.

The signed numeric data types (i.e., 'int8', 'int16', 'int32', and 'int64') SHOULD NOT be used unless negative values are allowed for the desired semantics.

For 'enumeration' or 'bits' data types, the semantics for each 'enum' or 'bit' SHOULD be documented. A separate description statement (within each 'enum' or 'bit' statement) SHOULD be present.

4.11. Reusable Type Definitions

If an appropriate derived type exists in any standard module, such as [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-types], then it SHOULD be used instead of defining a new derived type.

If an appropriate units identifier can be associated with the desired semantics, then a units statement SHOULD be present.

If an appropriate default value can be associated with the desired semantics, then a default statement SHOULD be present.

If a significant number of derived types are defined, and it is anticipated that these data types will be reused by multiple modules, then these derived types SHOULD be contained in a separate module or submodule, to allow easier reuse without unnecessary coupling.

The description statement MUST be present.

If the type definition semantics are defined in an external document (other than another YANG module indicated by an import statement), then the reference statement MUST be present.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 17]

4.12. Data Definitions

The description statement MUST be present in the following YANG statements:

- o anyxml
- o augment
- o choice
- o container
- o extension
- o feature
- o grouping
- identity 0
- o leaf
- leaf-list 0
- o list
- o notification
- o rpc
- o typedef

If the data definition semantics are defined in an external document, (other than another YANG module indicated by an import statement), then a reference statement MUST be present.

The 'anyxml' construct may be useful to represent an HTML banner containing markup elements, such as '' and '', and MAY be used in such cases . However, this construct SHOULD NOT be used if other YANG data node types can be used instead to represent the desired syntax and semantics.

If there are referential integrity constraints associated with the desired semantics that can be represented with XPath, then one or more must statements SHOULD be present.

For list and leaf-list data definitions, if the number of possible

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 18]

instances is required to be bounded for all implementations, then the max-elements statements SHOULD be present.

If any must or when statements are used within the data definition, then the data definition description statement SHOULD describe the purpose of each one.

4.13. Operation Definitions

If the operation semantics are defined in an external document (other than another YANG module indicated by an import statement), then a reference statement MUST be present.

If the operation impacts system behavior in some way, it SHOULD be mentioned in the description statement.

If the operation is potentially harmful to system behavior in some way, it MUST be mentioned in the Security Considerations section of the document.

4.14. Notification Definitions

The description statement MUST be present.

If the notification semantics are defined in an external document (other than another YANG module indicated by an import statement), then a reference statement MUST be present.

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 19]

Internet-Draft Guidelines for YANG Documents October 2010

5. IANA Considerations

This document registers one URI in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. The following registration is requested:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-template

Registrant Contact: The NETMOD WG of the IETF.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document requests the following assignment in the YANG Module Names Registry for the YANG module template in Appendix B.

| + Field | Value |
|-----------------|---|
| name | ietf-template |
| namespace | urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-template |
| prefix | temp |
| reference | RFCXXXX |

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 20]

Internet-Draft Guidelines for YANG Documents October 2010

6. Security Considerations

This document defines documentation guidelines for NETCONF content defined with the YANG data modeling language. The guidelines for how to write a Security Considerations section for a YANG module are defined in the online document

http://www.ops.ietf.org/netconf/yang-security-considerations.txt

This document does not introduce any new or increased security risks into the management system.

The following section contains the security considerations template dated 2010-06-16. Be sure to check the WEB page at the URL listed above in case there is a more recent version available.

Each specification that defines one or more YANG modules MUST contain a section that discusses security considerations relevant to those modules. This section MUST be patterned after the latest approved template (available at [ed: URL TBD]).

In particular, writable data nodes that could be especially disruptive if abused MUST be explicitly listed by name and the associated security risks MUST be spelled out.

Similarly, readable data nodes that contain especially sensitive information or that raise significant privacy concerns MUST be explicitly listed by name and the reasons for the sensitivity/privacy concerns MUST be explained.

Further, if new RPC operations have been defined, then the security considerations of each new RPC operation MUST be explained.

6.1. Security Considerations Section Template

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 21]

X. Security Considerations

The YANG module defined in this memo is designed to be accessed via the NETCONF protocol [RFC4741]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer and the mandatory to implement secure transport is SSH [RFC4742].

-- if you have any writeable data nodes (those are all the -- "config true" nodes, and remember, that is the default) -- describe their specific sensitivity or vulnerability.

There are a number of data nodes defined in this YANG module which are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e. config true, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g. edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

subtrees and data nodes and state why they are sensitive>

-- for all YANG modules you must evaluate whether any readable data -- nodes (those are all the "config false" nodes, but also all other -- nodes, because they can also be read via operations like get or -- get-config) are sensitive or vulnerable (for instance, if they -- might reveal customer information or violate personal privacy -- laws such as those of the European Union if exposed to -- unauthorized parties)

Some of the readable data nodes in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g. via get, get-config or notification) to these data nodes. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

subtrees and data nodes and state why they are sensitive>

-- if your YANG module has defined any rpc operations -- describe their specific sensitivity or vulnerability.

Some of the RPC operations in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations. These are the operations and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

st RPC operations and state why they are sensitive>

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 22]

Figure 2

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011 [Page 23]

7. Acknowledgments

The structure and contents of this document are adapted from Guidelines for MIB Documents [RFC4181], by C. M. Heard.

The working group thanks Martin Bjorklund and Juergen Schoenwaelder for their extensive reviews and contributions to this document.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 24]

- 8. References
- 8.1. Normative References
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
 - [RFC2223] Postel, J. and J. Reynolds, "Instructions to RFC Authors", RFC 2223, October 1997.
 - [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
 - [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, RFC 3986, January 2005.
 - [RFC4741] Enns, R., "NETCONF Configuration Protocol", RFC 4741, December 2006.
 - [RFC5378] Bradner, S. and J. Contreras, "Rights Contributors Provide to the IETF Trust", BCP 78, RFC 5378, November 2008.
 - [RFC5741] Daigle, L., Kolkman, O., and IAB, "RFC Streams, Headers, and Boilerplates", RFC 5741, December 2009.
 - [W3C.REC-xpath-19991116]

DeRose, S. and J. Clark, "XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xpath-19991116, November 1999, <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116>.

[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang] Bjorklund, M., "YANG - A data modeling language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", draft-ietf-netmod-yang-13 (work in progress), June 2010.

[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-types] Schoenwaelder, J., "Common YANG Data Types", draft-ietf-netmod-yang-types-09 (work in progress), April 2010.

8.2. Informative References

[RFC4181] Heard, C., "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of MIB Documents", BCP 111, RFC 4181, September 2005.

[RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an

Bierman Expires April 5, 2011 [Page 25]

IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 5226, May 2008.

Expires April 5, 2011 [Page 26]

Appendix A. Module Review Checklist

This section is adapted from RFC 4181.

The purpose of a YANG module review is to review the YANG module both for technical correctness and for adherence to IETF documentation requirements. The following checklist may be helpful when reviewing a draft document:

- 1. I-D Boilerplate -- verify that the draft contains the required Internet-Draft boilerplate (see http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-guidelines.txt), including the appropriate statement to permit publication as an RFC, and that I-D boilerplate does not contain references or section numbers.
- 2. Abstract -- verify that the abstract does not contain references, that it does not have a section number, and that its content follows the guidelines in http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-guidelines.txt.
- 3. IETF Trust Copyright -- verify that the draft has the appropriate text regarding the rights that document contributers provide to the IETF Trust [RFC5378]. Some guidelines related to this requirement are described in Section 3.1. The IETF Trust license policy (TLP) can be found at:

http://trustee.ietf.org/docs/IETF-Trust-License-Policy.pdf

- 4. Security Considerations Section -- verify that the draft uses the latest approved template from the OPS area web site (http:// www.ops.ietf.org/netconf/yang-security-considerations.txt) and that the guidelines therein have been followed.
- IANA Considerations Section -- this section must always be 5. present. For each module within the document, ensure that the IANA Considerations section contains entries for the following IANA registries:

XML Namespace Registry: Register the YANG module namespace.

YANG Module Registry: Register the YANG module name, prefix, namespace, and RFC number, according to the rules specified in [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang].

6. References -- verify that the references are properly divided between normative and informative references, that RFC 2119 is included as a normative reference if the terminology defined therein is used in the document, that all references required by

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 27]

the boilerplate are present, that all YANG modules containing imported items are cited as normative references, and that all citations point to the most current RFCs unless there is a valid reason to do otherwise (for example, it is OK to include an informative reference to a previous version of a specification to help explain a feature included for backward compatibility). Be sure citations for all imported modules are present somewhere in the document text (outside the YANG module).

7. Copyright Notices -- verify that the draft contains an abbreviated IETF Trust copyright notice in the description statement of each YANG module or sub-module, and that it contains the full IETF Trust copyright notice at the end of the document. Make sure that the correct year is used in all copyright dates. Use the approved text from the latest Trust Legal Provisions (TLP) document, which can be found at:

http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info/

- 8. Other Issues -- check for any issues mentioned in http://www.ietf.org/ID-Checklist.html that are not covered elsewhere.
- 9. Technical Content -- review the actual technical content for compliance with the guidelines in this document. The use of a YANG module compiler is recommended when checking for syntax errors. A list of freely available tools and other information can be found at:

http://trac.tools.ietf.org/wg/netconf/trac/wiki

Checking for correct syntax, however, is only part of the job. It is just as important to actually read the YANG module document from the point of view of a potential implementor. It is particularly important to check that description statements are sufficiently clear and unambiguous to allow interoperable implementations to be created.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 28]
```
Internet-Draft Guidelines for YANG Documents
                                                    October 2010
Appendix B. YANG Module Template
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-template@2010-05-18.yang"
module ietf-template {
    // replace this string with a unique namespace URN value
    namespace
      "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-template";
    // replace this string, and try to pick a unique prefix
    prefix "temp";
    // import statements here: e.g.,
    // import ietf-yang-types { prefix yang; }
    // import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; }
    // identify the IETF working group if applicable
    organization
       "IETF NETMOD (NETCONF Data Modeling Language) Working Group";
    // update this contact statement with your info
    contact
       "WG Web:
                 <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/your-wg-name/>
        WG List: <mailto:your-wg-name@ietf.org>
        WG Chair: your-WG-chair
               <mailto:your-WG-chair@example.com>
        Editor:
                  your-name
                  <mailto:your-email@example.com>";
    // replace the first sentence in this description statement.
    // replace the copyright notice with the most recent
    // version, if it has been updated since the publication
    // of this document
    description
     "This module defines a template for other YANG modules.
      Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
      the document authors. All rights reserved.
      Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
```

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 29]

Bierman

```
Internet-Draft Guidelines for YANG Documents October 2010
     without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
     to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
     set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
     Relating to IETF Documents
      (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
     This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
     the RFC itself for full legal notices.";
    // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this note
   reference "RFC XXXX";
    // RFC Ed.: remove this note
    // Note: extracted from draft-ietf-netmod-yang-usage-04.txt
    // replace '2010-05-18' with the module publication date
    // The format is (year-month-day)
   revision "2010-05-18" {
     description
       "Initial version";
    }
    // extension statements
    // feature statements
    // identity statements
    // typedef statements
    // grouping statements
    // data definition statements
   // augment statements
   // rpc statements
    // notification statements
   // DO NOT put deviation statements in a published module
}
<CODE ENDS>
```

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 30]

Bierman

Figure 3

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011 [Page 31]

Internet-Draft Guidelines for YANG Documents October 2010

Appendix C. Change Log

- C.1. Changes from 10 to 11
 - o Removed Intellectual Property section, since no longer required.
 - o Reworded XPath guidelines related to XML document order, 'int64' and 'uint64' data types, and 'anyxml' data nodes.
- C.2. Changes from 09 to 10
 - o Added security considerations section template.
 - o Added guideline for documenting conditional requirements for nonmandatory non-configuration data nodes.
 - o Clarified that revision date update applies to the module contents.
- C.3. Changes from 08 to 09
 - o Clarifications and corrections to address Gen-ART review comments.
- C.4. Changes from 07 to 08
 - o Corrected YANG security considerations URL.
 - o Expanded 'CODE BEGINS' example.
 - o Added RPC operations to the security considerations guidelines section.
 - o Removed guideline about leading and trailing whitespace.
- C.5. Changes from 06 to 07
 - o Corrected title change bug; supposed to be page header instead.
 - o Fixed typos added to last revision.
 - o Added sentence to checklist to make sure text outside module contains citations for imports.
- C.6. Changes from 05 to 06
 - o Several clarifications and corrections, based on the AD review by Dan Romascanu.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 32]

- C.7. Changes from 04 to 05
 - o Changed 'object' terminology to 'data definition'.
 - o Put XPath guidelines in separate section.
 - o Clarified XPath usage for XML document order dependencies.
 - o Updated <CODE BEGINS> guidelines to current conventions.
 - o Added informative reference for IANA considerations guidelines and XML registry.
 - o Updated IANA Considerations section to reserve the ietf-template module in the YANG Module Name Registry so it cannot be reused accidently.
 - o Many other clarifications and fixed typos found in WGLC reviews.
- C.8. Changes from 03 to 04
 - o Removed figure 1 to reduce duplication, just refer to 4741bis draft.
 - o Fixed bugs and typos found in WGLC reviews.
 - o Removed some guidelines and referring to YANG draft instead of duplicating YANG rules here.
 - o Changed security guidelines so they refer to the IETF Trust TLP instead of MIB-specific references.
 - Change temporary namespace guidelines so the DRAFT-XX and RFC-nnnn 0 suffix strings are not used.
 - o Changed some MIB boilerplate so it refers to YANG boilerplate instead.
 - Introduced dangling URL reference to online YANG security 0 guidelines

http://www.ops.ietf.org/yang-security.html

[ed.: Text from Bert Wijnen will be completed soon and posted online, and then this URL will be finalized.]

Moved reference for identifying the source document inside the each revision statement.

Bierman Expires April 5, 2011 [Page 33]

- o Removed guideline about valid XPath since YANG already requires valid XPath.
- o Added guideline that strings should not rely on preservation of leading and trailing whitespace characters.
- o Relaxed some XPath and anyxml guidelines from SHOULD NOT or MUST NOT to MAY use with caution.
- o Updated the TLP text within the example module again.
- o Reversed order of change log so most recent entries are first.

C.9. Changes from 02 to 03

- o Updated figure 1 to align with 4741bis draft.
- o Updated guidelines for import-by-revision and include-by-revision.
- o Added file name to code begins convention in ietf-template module.
- C.10. Changes from 01 to 02
 - o Updated figure 1 per mailing list comments.
 - o Updated suggested organization to include the working group name.
 - Updated ietf-template.yang to use new organization statement value.
 - o Updated Code Component requirements as per new TLP.
 - o Updated ietf-template.yang to use new Code Component begin and end markers.
 - o Updated references to the TLP in a couple sections.
 - o Change manager/agent terminology to client/server.
- C.11. Changes from 00 to 01
 - o Added transport 'TLS' to figure 1.
 - o Added note about RFC 2119 terminology.
 - o Corrected URL for instructions to authors.

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 34]

Internet-Draft Guidelines for YANG Documents October 2010

- o Updated namespace procedures section.
- Updated guidelines on module contact, reference, and organization statements.
- Added note on use of preceding-sibling and following-sibling axes in XPath expressions.
- o Added section on temporary namespace statement values.
- o Added section on top level database objects.
- o Added ietf-template.yang appendix.

Expires April 5, 2011

[Page 35]

Author's Address

Andy Bierman Brocade

Email: andy.bierman@brocade.com

Bierman

Expires April 5, 2011 [Page 36]

NETMOD Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: September 4, 2011 L. Lhotka CESNET March 03, 2011

A YANG Data Model for Routing Configuration draft-lhotka-netmod-routing-cfg-00

Abstract

This document contains a specification of a core YANG data model for IP routing configuration. It is expected that this module will serve as a basis for further development of data models for individual routing protocols and other related functions. The present data model defines the building blocks for such configurations - routes and routing tables, routing protocol instances, route filters and route pipes.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 4, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 1]

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | | 3 |
|--|--|----|
| 2. Terminology and Notation | | 4 |
| 3. Objectives | | 6 |
| 4. Design of the Routing Data Model | | 7 |
| 4.1. Route | | 8 |
| 4.2. Routing Tables | | 9 |
| 4.3. Routing Protocol Instances | | 9 |
| 4.3.1. Defining New Routing Protocols | | 10 |
| 4.4. Route Pipes | | 11 |
| 4.5. Route Filters | | 12 |
| 5. Core Routing YANG Module | | 13 |
| 6. IANA Considerations | | 22 |
| 7. Security Considerations | | 23 |
| 8. Acknowledgments | | 24 |
| 9. References | | 25 |
| 9.1. Normative References | | 25 |
| 9.2. Informative References | | 25 |
| Appendix A. Example Module for Routing Information Protocol | | 26 |
| A.1. Example YANG Module for Routing Information Protocol | | 26 |
| A.2. Sample Reply to the NETCONF <get> Message \ldots \ldots</get> | | 27 |
| Author's Address | | 31 |

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 2]

1. Introduction

The NETCONF Data Modeling Language (NETMOD) Working Group has completed the essential specifications for the YANG data modeling language [RFC6020], common data types [RFC6021], and the corresponding data modeling environment and tools [RFC6087], [RFC6110]. The new NETMOD WG charter puts emphasis on the development of a set of core YANG data models for the following subsystems:

- 1. core system data model,
- 2. core interface data model,
- 3. core routing data model.

The initial version of the core interface data model (item 2) was already published [YANG-IF].

This document contains an initial specification for item 3, namely the "ietf-routing" YANG module representing the core routing data model. While the module can be directly used for simple devices with static routing, its main purpose is to provide basic building blocks for more complicated setups involving multiple routing protocols and advanced functions, such as route filtering and policy routing. To this end, it is expected that this module will be augmented by numerous modules developed by other IETF working groups.

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 3]

| Internet-Draft YANG Routing Confi | guration |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
|-----------------------------------|----------|

2. Terminology and Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

The following terms are defined in [RFC4741]:

- o client
- o datastore
- o message
- o operation
- o server

The following terms are defined in [RFC6020]:

- o augment
- o configuration data
- o container
- o data model
- o data node
- o data tree
- o data type
- o feature
- o grouping
- o identity
- o instance identifier
- o leaf-list
- o list
- o mandatory node

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 4]

- o module
- o operational state data
- o RPC operation
- o submodule

The following terms are defined in [XML-INFOSET]:

- o attribute
- o document
- o document element
- o element
- o information set
- o namespace

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 5]

3. Objectives

The initial design of the core routing data model was driven by the following main objectives:

- o The data model should be suitable for the common address families, in particular IPv4 and IPv6.
- o Simple routing setups, such as static routing, should be configurable in a simple way, ideally without any need to define additional YANG modules.
- o On the other hand, the framework defined by the module must allow for complicated setups involving multiple routing tables and multiple routing protocols, as well as controlled redistributions of routing information.
- o Device vendors will want to map the data models built on this generic framework to their proprietary data models and configuration interfaces. Therefore, the framework should be flexible enough to facilitate such a mapping and accommodate data models with different logic.

Expires September 4, 2011

Lhotka

4. Design of the Routing Data Model

The "ietf-routing" YANG module presented in Section 5 defines a data modeling framework with several essential components: routes, routing tables, routing protocol instances, route filters and route pipes. By combining these components in various ways, and filling them with appropriate content models defined in other modules, a broad range of routing setups can be covered.



Figure 1: Example setup of the routing subsystem

Figure 1 shows an example of a more complicated setup:

- o Along with the main routing table, which must always be present, an additional routing table is defined.
- o Each routing protocol instance, including the static pseudoprotocol instance, is connected to exactly one routing table with which it can exchange routes (in both directions, except for the

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 7] static pseudo-protocol).

- o Routing tables may also be connected to each other through route pipes and exchange routes in one or both directions.
- o The main routing table exchanges routes with the forwarding information base (FIB) in the operating system kernel as follows: active routes from the main routing table are installed in the FIB and used for packet forwarding, and automatic routes set up by the kernel (for example, direct routes to connected networks) are passed to the main routing table.
- o Route exchanges along all connections may be controlled by means of route filters denoted by "F" in the figure.

All configuration and operational state data defined by the "ietfrouting" module apear inside the "routing" container. The following subsections describe the individual components of the core routing framework.

4.1. Route

Routes are basic units of information in a routing system. The "ietf-routing" module defines only the following essential route parameters:

- o route-type permitted values are "unicast" (default), "multicast" and "anycast".
- destination-prefix IP prefix specifying the set of destination addresses for which the route may be used. This parameter is mandatory.
- o next-hop IP address of the adjacent router or host to which
 packets with destination addresses belonging to destination-prefix
 should be sent.
- o outgoing-interface network interface that should be used for sending packets with destination addresses belonging to destination-prefix.

The above list of route parameters is sufficient for a simple static route configuration. It is expected that future modules defining routing protocols will add other route attributes such as metrics or preferences.

Routes are used in both configuration data, for example as manually configured static routes, as well as in operational state data, for

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 8]

example as entries in routing tables.

4.2. Routing Tables

Routing tables are lists of routes complemented with administrative data, namely:

- o source-protocol name of the routing protocol from which the route arrived.
- o last-modified date and time of last modification, or installation, of the route.

In the core routing data model, routing tables are represented as operational state data. Routing protocol operations result in route additions, removals and modifications. This also includes manipulations via the "static" pseudo-protocol.

The data model also defines an RPC operation, "delete-route" which allows the client to immediately delete a specified route from a routing table.

Every compliant implementation MUST automatically configure the main routing table. Additional routing tables MAY be configured by adding their unique names to the "configured-routing-tables" leaf-list.

4.3. Routing Protocol Instances

The "ietf-routing" module provides an open-ended framework for defining multiple routing protocol instances. Each of them is identified by a unique name and MUST be assigned a type from a selection which includes all routing protocol types supported by the server, such as RIP, OSPF or BGP.

Each routing protocol instance is connected to exactly one routing table. By default, every routing protocol instance is connected to the main routing table, but any routing protocol instance can be configured to use a different routing table, provided such an extra table is configured.

Routes learned from the network by a routing protocol instance are passed to the connected routing table and vice versa - routes appearing in a routing table may be passed to any routing protocol connected to the table and advertised by that protocol to the network.

Two independent route filters (see Section 4.5) may be defined for a routing protocol instance to control the exchange of routes in both

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 9]

directions between the routing protocol instance and the connected routing table:

- import filter controls which routes are passed from a routing 0 protocol instance to the routing table,
- o export filter controls which routes the routing protocol instance may receive from the connected routing table.

Note that, for historical reasons, the terms import and export are used from the viewpoint of a routing table.

The "ietf-routing" module defines two special routing protocols -"direct" and "static". Both are in fact pseudo-protocols, which means that they are confined to the local server and do not exchange any routing information with neighboring routers. Routes from both "direct" and "static" protocol instances are passed to the connected routing table (subject to route filters, if any), but an exchange in the opposite direction is not allowed.

The "direct" pseudo-protocol MUST exist in exactly one instance in any server implementation. It is the source for routes to directly connected networks (so-called direct routes). Such routes are supplied by the operating system kernel based on the detected and configured network interfaces, and they usually appear in the main routing table. However, using the framework defined in this document, the target routing table for direct routes can be changed by connecting the "direct" protocol instance to a non-default routing table, and the direct routes can also be filtered before they appear in the routing table.

The "static" routing pseudo-protocol allows for specifying routes manually. It can be configured in zero or more instances, although typically one instance suffices.

4.3.1. Defining New Routing Protocols

It is expected that other YANG modules will create data models for additional routing protocol types. In order to do so, the new module has to define the protocol-specific information and fit it to the core routing framework in the following way:

- A new identity MUST be defined for the routing protocol and its base identity set to "routing-protocol", or to an identity derived from "routing-protocol".
- Additional route attributes MAY be defined. Their definitions have to be inserted as operational state data by augmenting the

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 10]

definition of "route" under "routing-table". Naturally, routes (including the extra attributes) may be used in configuration data, too, as demonstrated by the "static" pseudo-protocol.

o The recommended way of defining configuration data specific to the new protocol is to augment the "routing-protocol-instance" list entry with a container that encapsulates the configuration hierarchy of the new protocol. The 'augment' statement SHOULD be made conditional by using a 'when' substatement requiring that the new nodes be used only if the "type" leaf node is equal to the new protocol's identity.

The above steps are implemented by the example YANG module for the RIP routing protocol in Appendix A. In particular, the module first defines a new identity for the RIP protocol:

```
identity rip {
  base rt:routing-protocol;
  description "Identity for the RIP routing protocol.";
}
```

```
RIP-specific configuration data are then integrated into the "routing-protocol-instance" node by using the following 'augment' statement, which applies only for routing protocol instances whose type is "rip":
```

```
augment "/rt:routing/rt:routing-protocol-instances/" +
    "rt:routing-protocol-instance" {
    container rip-configuration {
      when "../rt:type='rip'";
      ...
    }
}
```

4.4. Route Pipes

Lhotka

Route pipes are unidirectional links connecting pairs of routing tables that allow for passing routes from one routing table to another. Each route pipe is identified by a unique name and has two mandatory parameters, "origin" and "recipient", that specify the two routing tables that are being connected.

The transport of routes from the "origin" table to the "recipient" table may be controlled by means of a route filter (see Section 4.5). If no filter is defined, all routes present in the "origin" table MUST also appear in the "recipient" table.

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 11]

4.5. Route Filters

The "ietf-routing" module provides a skeleton for defining route filters that can be used to restrict the set of routes being exchanged between a routing protocol instance and a routing table, or between two routing tables connected through a route pipe. Route filters may also manipulate routes, i.e., add, delete, or modify their properties.

By itself, the route filtering framework defined in the "ietfrouting" module allows to establish only the two extreme routing policies in which either all routes are allowed or all routes are denied. It is expected that a real route filtering framework (or several alternative frameworks) will be developed separately.

Each route filter is identified by a unique name. Its type may be specified by the "type" identity reference - this opens the space for multiple route filtering framework implementations. The only route filter type defined in the "ietf-routing" module carries the default "route-filter" identity, and represents the "deny all" route filtering policy.

Expires September 4, 2011

```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
                                                             March 2011
5. Core Routing YANG Module
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-routing@2011-03-03.yang"
module ietf-routing {
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-routing";
  prefix rt;
  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
  import ietf-inet-types {
   prefix inet;
  import ietf-interfaces {
   prefix if;
  }
  organization
    "IETF NETMOD (NETCONF Data Modeling Language) Working Group";
  contact
              <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    "WG Web:
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
     WG Chair: David Kessens
               <mailto:david.kessens@nsn.com>
     WG Chair: Juergen Schoenwaelder
               <mailto:j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de>
     Editor: Ladislav Lhotka
               <mailto:lhotka@cesnet.cz>";
  description
    "This module contains YANG definitions for basic
     configuration of IP routing.
     It is immediately usable for a device that needs just a single
     routing table populated with static routes.
     On the other hand, the framework is designed to handle arbitrarily
     complex configurations with any number of routing tables and
     various routing protocols (in multiple instances).
     Every compliant implementation MUST support IPv4 unicast routing
     and implement at least one (main) routing table, which is
     connected to the OS kernel forwarding information base.";
```

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 13]

Lhotka

```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
                                                             March 2011
 revision 2011-03-03;
  /* Features */
  feature ipv6-routing {
   description
      "This feature MUST be advertised by devices supporting IPv6
      routing. Such a device MUST implement at least one extra routing
      table to which all IPv6 unicast routing protocols are connected
      by default.";
  }
  feature ipv4-multicast-routing {
   description
      "This feature MUST be set by devices supporting IPv4
      multicast routing. Such a device MUST implement at least one
      extra routing table to which all IPv6 multicast routing
      protocols are connected by default.";
  }
  feature ipv6-multicast-routing {
   description
      "This feature MUST be set by devices supporting IPv6
      multicast routing. Such a device MUST implement at least one
      extra routing table to which all IPv6 multicast routing
      protocols are connected by default.";
  }
  /* Identities */
  identity address-family {
   description
     "Base identity from which address family identities are
      derived.";
  }
  identity af-ipv4 {
   base address-family;
   description
     "IPv4 address family.";
  }
  identity af-ipv6 {
   base address-family;
   description
     "IPv6 address family.";
  }
```

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 14]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
                                                             March 2011
  identity routing-protocol {
   description
      "Base identity from which routing protocol identities are
      derived.";
  }
  identity direct {
   base routing-protocol;
   description
      "Identity for the pseudo-protocol providing routes to
      directly connected networks. An implementation MUST preconfigure
       an instance of this pseudo-protocol.";
  }
  identity static {
   base routing-protocol;
   description
      "Identity for static routing pseudo-protocol.";
  }
  identity route-filter {
   description
     "Base identity for route filters. It also represents the
      empty route filter that blocks everything.";
  }
  identity route-type {
   description
      "Base identity for route types.";
  }
  identity unicast {
   base route-type;
   description
     "Unicast route type.";
  }
  identity multicast {
   base route-type;
   description
      "Multicast route type.";
  }
  identity anycast {
   base route-type;
   description
     "Anycast route type.";
  }
```

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 15]

Lhotka

```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
                                                             March 2011
  /* Type definitions */
  typedef routing-table-ref {
   type leafref {
     path "/routing/configured-routing-tables/name";
   description
     "This type represents a reference to a configured routing
      table.";
  }
  typedef routing-protocol-instance-ref {
   type leafref {
     path "/routing/routing-protocol-instances/" +
           "routing-protocol-instance/name";
    }
   description
     "This type represents a reference to a configured routing
      protocol instance.";
  }
  typedef route-filter-ref {
   type leafref {
     path "/routing/route-filters/route-filter/name";
    }
   description
     "This type represents a reference to a configured route
      filter.";
  }
  /* Groupings */
 grouping ip-route-content {
   description
     "Components of an IP route.";
   leaf type {
     type identityref {
       base route-type;
      }
     default "unicast";
    }
   leaf destination-prefix {
     type inet:ip-prefix;
     mandatory true;
     description
        "The set of destination addresses for which the route may
        be used.";
   }
```

Lhotka Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 16]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
                                                             March 2011
   leaf next-hop {
     type inet:ip-address;
     description
        "IP address of the host or router to which packets whose
        address matches 'destination-prefix' are to be forwarded.";
    }
    leaf outgoing-interface {
     type if:interface-ref;
     description
        "Name of the outgoing interface. This parameter is mainly
        used in direct routes.";
   }
  }
 rpc delete-route {
   description
      "This operation deletes a route with given parameters from
      a specified routing table. <ok> is returned by the server
      upon successful completion.";
    input {
     container route {
       description
          "All routes that match this parameter MUST be deleted
          from the target routing table.";
       uses ip-route-content;
      }
     leaf routing-table {
        type routing-table-ref;
        description
          "This parameter specifies the target routing
          table.";
     }
   }
  }
  /* Data tree */
 container routing {
   description
      "IP routing parameters.";
   container configured-routing-tables {
     description
        "Names of configured routing tables. Their contents are
        available as operational state data under 'routing-tables'. At
        least one (main) table MUST be configured for every supported
        address family. This default routing table is usually
         connected to the main kernel forwarding table.";
      leaf-list name {
```

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 17]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
                                                              March 2011
        type string;
       min-elements 1;
      }
    }
   container routing-protocol-instances {
     description
        "Container for configured routing protocol instances.
         Every implementation MUST preconfigure the 'direct'
        pseudo-protocol instance providing the routes to directly
        connected networks.";
      list routing-protocol-instance {
       key "name";
        container static-routes {
         when "../type='static'";
         description
            "Configuration of a 'static' pseudo-protocol instance
            consists of a list of routes.";
          list static-route {
           key "id";
            leaf id {
             type string;
            }
            leaf description {
             type string;
            }
            uses ip-route-content;
          }
        }
        leaf name {
         type string;
        1
        leaf description {
         type string;
        }
        leaf address-family {
          type identityref {
           base address-family;
          }
         default "af-ipv4";
         description
            "Address family used by the routing protocol instance.";
        }
        leaf type {
          type identityref {
            base routing-protocol;
          }
         mandatory true;
```

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 18]

```
YANG Routing Configuration
                                                               March 2011
Internet-Draft
          description
            "Type of the routing protocol.";
        }
        leaf routing-table {
          type routing-table-ref;
          description
            "The routing table to which the protocol instance is
             connected. By default it is the main routing table for the
             given address family.";
        leaf import-filter {
          type route-filter-ref;
          description
            "Reference to a route filter that is used for
             filtering routes passed from this routing protocol instance
             to the routing table specified by the 'routing-table'
             sibling node. If this leaf is not present, the behavior is
             protocol-specific, but typically it means that all routes
             are accepted.";
        leaf export-filter {
          type route-filter-ref;
          description
            "Reference to a route filter that is used for
             filtering routes passed from the routing table specified
             by the 'routing-table' sibling to this routing protocol
             instance. If this leaf is not present, the behavior is
             protocol-specific - typically it means that all routes are accepted, except for the 'direct' and 'static'
             pseudo-protocols which accept no routes from
             anywhere.";
        }
      }
    }
    container route-pipes {
      description
        "Route pipes facilitate transport of routes between pairs
         of routing tables.";
      list route-pipe {
        key "name";
        description
          "A route-pipe is a unidirectional connection between
           'origin' and 'recipient'.";
        leaf name {
          type string;
        ł
        leaf description {
          type string;
```

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 19]

Lhotka

```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
                                                             March 2011
        leaf origin {
         type routing-table-ref;
         mandatory true;
         description
            "The originating routing-table.";
        leaf recipient {
          type routing-table-ref;
         mandatory true;
         description
            "The receiving routing-table.";
        }
        leaf route-filter {
          type route-filter-ref;
          description
            "All routes passing through the route pipe are filtered by
            the route filter referred to by this leaf. If it is not
            present, all routes from 'origin' are passed to
             'destination'.";
        }
     }
   }
   container route-filters {
     description
        "Non-trivial route filters are expected to be defined in
        other modules.";
     list route-filter {
       key "name";
        description
          "A route filter is used for filtering routes between
          routing protocol instances and routing tables (import
           filter) and vice versa (export filter), and in route pipes
          that connect pairs of routing tables.";
        leaf name {
         type string;
        }
        leaf description {
         type string;
        ļ
        leaf type {
          type identityref {
           base route-filter;
          }
         default "route-filter";
          description
            "Type of the route-filter. The default value
            represents an all-blocking filter.";
```

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 20]

Lhotka

```
}
      }
    }
    /* Operational state data */
    container routing-tables {
      config false;
      description
        "Routing tables and their contents.";
      list routing-table {
        min-elements 1;
        description
          "Exactly one routing table MUST be present for every 'name'
           entry appearing in '/routing/configured-routing-tables'.";
        leaf name {
          type routing-table-ref;
        }
        leaf address-family {
          type identityref {
            base address-family;
          }
          default "af-ipv4";
          description
            "Address family of all routes in the routing table.";
        }
        list route {
          leaf source-protocol {
            type routing-protocol-instance-ref;
            description
              "Protocol instance from which the route comes.";
          }
          leaf last-modified {
            type yang:date-and-time;
            description
              "Time stamp of the last modification of the
               route. If the route was never modified, it is the time
               when the route was inserted to the routing table.";
          }
          uses ip-route-content;
        }
     }
   }
  }
<CODE ENDS>
Lhotka
                        Expires September 4, 2011
                                                                [Page 21]
```

}

| Internet-Draft | YANG Routing | Configuration | March | 2011 |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------|
| 6. IANA Considerations | 5 | | | |
| This document reques in the IETF XML reg | sts the follow stry [RFC3688 | wing registration 3]: | of a namespace | URI |
| URI: urn:ietf:params | :xml:ns:yang | ietf-routing | | |
| Registrant Contact: | The IESG. | | | |
| XML: N/A, the reques | sted URI is an | n XML namespace. | | |
| | | | | |

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 22]

7. Security Considerations

TBD.

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 23]

8. Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank the following individuals who provided helpful suggestions and/or comments on this document: TBD.

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 24]

Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
- [RFC4741] Enns, R., "NETCONF Configuration Protocol", RFC 4741, December 2006.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, September 2010.
- [RFC6021] Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6021, September 2010.
- [XML-INFOSET]

Tobin, R. and J. Cowan, "XML Information Set (Second Edition)", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation RECxml-infoset-20040204, February 2004, <http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-infoset-20040204>.

- [YANG-IF] Bjorklund, M., "A YANG Data Model for Interface Configuration", draft-bjorklund-netmod-interfaces-cfg-00 (work in progress), December 2010.
- 9.2. Informative References
 - [RFC6087] Bierman, A., "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of YANG Data Model Documents", RFC 6087, January 2011.
 - [RFC6110] Lhotka, L., Ed., "Mapping YANG to Document Schema Definition Languages and Validating NETCONF Content", RFC 6110, February 2011.

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 25]

Appendix A. Example Module for Routing Information Protocol

This appendix demonstrates how the "ietf-routing" module can be extended to support a new routing protocol. Appendix A.1 contains a YANG module that is used for this purpose. It is intended only as an illustration and not as a real definition of a data model for the RIP routing protocol. This module also imports the "ietf-interfaces" module defined in [YANG-IF].

Appendix A.2 then contains a complete instance XML document - a reply to the NETCONF <get> message from a server that uses the RIP protocol as well as static routing. A route filter is also defined in order to prevent static routes to private networks from being redistributed to RIP. The instance document also uses data nodes from the two example YANG modules "ex-ethernet" and "ex-ip" defined in [YANG-IF].

A.1. Example YANG Module for Routing Information Protocol

```
module example-rip {
  namespace "http://example.com/rip";
  prefix rip;
  import ietf-interfaces {
  prefix if;
  import ietf-routing {
   prefix rt;
  }
  identity rip {
    base rt:routing-protocol;
    description
      "Identity for the RIP routing protocol.";
  }
  typedef rip-metric {
   type uint8 {
     range "0..16";
    }
  }
  augment "/rt:routing/rt:routing-protocol-instances/" +
          "rt:routing-protocol-instance" {
    when "rt:type='rip:rip'";
    container rip-configuration {
      container rip-interfaces {
        list rip-interface {
          key "name";
```

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 26]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
                                                             March 2011
             leaf name {
               type if:interface-ref;
             leaf enabled {
               type boolean;
               default "true";
             leaf metric {
               type rip-metric;
               default "1";
             }
             /* Additional per-interface RIP configuration */
           }
         }
         leaf update-interval {
           type uint8 {
            range "10..60";
           }
           units "seconds";
           default "30";
           description
             "Time interval between periodic updates.";
         }
         /* Additional RIP configuration */
       }
     }
     augment "/rt:routing/rt:routing-tables/rt:routing-table/rt:route" {
         when "../../rt:routing-protocol-instances/" +
              "rt:routing-protocol-instance[rt:name=" +
              "current()/rt:source-protocol]/rt:type='rip:rip'";
       description
         "RIP-specific route components.";
       leaf metric {
        type rip-metric;
       }
       leaf tag {
         type uint16;
         default "0";
         description
           "This leaf may be used to carry additional info, e.g. AS
            number.";
       }
     }
   }
A.2. Sample Reply to the NETCONF <get> Message
   <?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
```

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 27]

Lhotka
```
Internet-Draft YANG Routing Configuration
   <nc:rpc-reply
       message-id="101"
       xmlns:nc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
       xmlns:if="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces"
       xmlns:eth="http://example.com/ethernet"
       xmlns:ip="http://example.com/ip"
       xmlns:rip="http://example.com/rip"
       xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-routing">
     <nc:data>
       <if:interfaces>
         <if:interface>
           <if:name>eth0</if:name>
           <if:type>eth:ethernet</if:type>
           <if:location>05:00.0</if:location>
           <ip:ip>
             <ip:address>
             <ip:ip>192.0.2.1</ip:ip>
             <ip:prefix-length>24</ip:prefix-length>
             </ip:address>
           </ip:ip>
         </if:interface>
         <if:interface>
           <if:name>eth1</if:name>
           <if:type>eth:ethernet</if:type>
           <if:location>05:00.1</if:location>
           <ip:ip>
             <ip:address>
             <ip:ip>192.168.1.1</ip:ip>
             <ip:prefix-length>24</ip:prefix-length>
             </ip:address>
           </ip:ip>
         </if:interface>
       </if:interfaces>
       <routing>
         <configured-routing-tables>
           <name>rt0</name>
         </configured-routing-tables>
         <routing-protocol-instances>
           <routing-protocol-instance>
             <name>direct</name>
             <type>direct</type>
           </routing-protocol-instance>
           <routing-protocol-instance>
             <name>st0</name>
             <description>
               Static routing is used for the internal network.
             </description>
             <type>static</type>
```

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 28]

March 2011

```
<static-routes>
      <static-route>
        <id>id-6378</id>
        <destination-prefix>192.168.2.0/24</destination-prefix>
        <next-hop>192.168.1.254</next-hop>
      </static-route>
    </static-routes>
  </routing-protocol-instance>
  <routing-protocol-instance>
    <name>rip0</name>
    <type>rip:rip</type>
    <export-filter>to-rip</export-filter>
    <rip:rip-configuration>
      <rip:rip-interfaces>
        <rip:rip-interface>
          <rip:name>eth0</rip:name>
        </rip:rip-interface>
      </rip:rip-interfaces>
    </rip:rip-configuration>
  </routing-protocol-instance>
</routing-protocol-instances>
<route-filters>
  <route-filter>
    <name>to-rip</name>
    <description>
      Block redistribution of static routes.
    </description>
  </route-filter>
</route-filters>
<routing-tables>
  <routing-table>
    <name>rt0</name>
    <route>
      <destination-prefix>192.168.1.0/24</destination-prefix>
      <source-protocol>direct</source-protocol>
      <outgoing-interface>eth0</outgoing-interface>
      <last-modified>2010-02-24T17:11:23+01:00</last-modified>
    </route>
    <route>
      <destination-prefix>192.168.2.0/24</destination-prefix>
      <source-protocol>st0</source-protocol>
      <next-hop>192.168.1.254</next-hop>
      <rip:tag>64500</rip:tag>
      <last-modified>2010-02-24T17:11:27+01:00</last-modified>
    </route>
    <route>
      <destination-prefix>0.0.0/0</destination-prefix>
      <next-hop>192.0.2.2</next-hop>
```

Lhotka

Internet-Draft

Expires September 4, 2011

[Page 29]

| <pre><rip:metric>2</rip:metric> <rip:tag>64500</rip:tag> <source-protocol>rip0</source-protocol> <last-modified>2010-03-03T13:00:23+01:00</last-modified></pre> |)11 |
|---|-----|
| | 1> |

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 30]

Author's Address

Ladislav Lhotka CESNET

Email: lhotka@cesnet.cz

Lhotka

Expires September 4, 2011 [Page 31]

Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Experimental Expires: April 22, 2011 B. Linowski TCS/Nokia Siemens Networks M. Ersue Nokia Siemens Networks S. Kuryla 360 Treasury Systems October 19, 2010

Extending YANG with Language Abstractions draft-linowski-netmod-yang-abstract-04

Abstract

YANG - the NETCONF Data Modeling Language - supports modeling of a tree of data elements that represent the configuration and runtime status of a particular network element managed via NETCONF. This memo suggests to enhance YANG with supplementary modeling features and language abstractions with the aim to improve the model extensibility and reuse.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 22, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 1]

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 2]

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction |
|--|
| 1.1. Key Words |
| 1.2. Motivation |
| 1.3. Modeling Improvements with Language Abstractions 6 |
| 1.4. Design Approach |
| 1.5. Modeling Resource Models with YANG |
| 1.5.1. Example of a Physical Network Resource Model 8 |
| 1.5.2. Modeling Entity MIB Entries as Physical Resources |
| 2 Complex Types 16 |
| 2 1 Definition 16 |
| 2.1. Dempley-type extension statement 16 |
| 2.2. complex cycle extension statement |
| 2.4 instance list overage statement 10 |
| 2.4. Instance-fist extension statement |
| 2.5. extends extension statement |
| 2.6. abstract extension statement |
| 2.7. XML Encoding Rules |
| 2.8. Type Encoding Rules |
| 2.9. Extension and Feature Definition Module |
| 2.10. Example Model for Complex Types |
| 2.11. NETCONF Payload Example |
| 2.12. Update Rules for Modules Using Complex Types |
| 2.13. Using Complex Types |
| 2.13.1. Overriding Complex Type Data Nodes |
| 2.13.2. Augmenting Complex Types |
| 2.13.3. Controlling the Use of Complex Types |
| 3. Typed Instance Identifier |
| 3.1. Definition |
| 3.2. instance-type extension statement |
| 3.3. Typed Instance Identifier Example |
| 4. IANA Considerations |
| 5 Security Considerations 34 |
| 6 Acknowledgements 34 |
| 7 Deferences 34 |
| 7 1 Normative Deferonação |
| 7.1. Notifiative References |
| /.2. Informative References |
| Appendix A. Change Log |
| A.I. 03-04 |
| A.2. 02-03 |
| A.3. 01-02 |
| A.4. 00-01 |
| Appendix B. YANG Modules for Physical Network Resource Model |
| and Hardware Entities Model |
| Appendix C. Example YANG Module for the IPFIX/PSAMP Model 44 |
| C.1. Modeling Improvements for the IPFIX/PSAMP Model with |
| C 2 IDEIX/DEAMD Model with Complex Types and Typed |
| C.2. IFTIA/FAMP MODEL WICH COMPLEX TYPES AND TYPED |

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 3]

| Internet-Draft | YANG Lan | gua | age | A | bst | rad | cti | lon | S | | | 0c | tc | be | er | 20 | 010 |
|----------------|------------|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|--|--|----|----|----|----|----|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Instance I | dentifiers | | | • | | | | • | | | | | | • | | | 45 |

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 4]

1. Introduction

YANG - the NETCONF Data Modeling Language ([RFC6020]) - supports modeling of a tree of data elements that represent the configuration and runtime status of a particular network element managed via NETCONF. This document defines extensions for the modeling language YANG as new language statements, which introduce language abstractions to improve the model extensibility and reuse. A model example from an actual network management system is given to highlight the value of proposed language extensions, especially class inheritance and recursiveness. The language extensions defined in this document have been implemented with two open source tools. These tools have been used to validate the model examples through the document.

1.1. Key Words

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, [RFC2119].

1.2. Motivation

- o Many systems today have a management information base that in effect is organized as a tree build of recursively nested container nodes. For example, the physical resources in the ENTITY-MIB conceptually form a containment tree. The index entPhysicalContainedIn points to the containing entity in a flat list. The ability to represent nested, recursive data structures of arbitrary depth would enable the representation of the primary containment hierarchy of physical entities as a node tree in the server MIB and in the NETCONF payload.
- o A manager scanning the network in order to update the state of an inventory management system might be only interested in data structures that represent a specific type of hardware. Such a manager would then look for entities that are of this specific type, including those that are an extension or specialization of this type. To support this use case, it is helpful to bear the corresponding type information within the data structures, which describe the network element hardware.
- o A system that is managing network elements is concerned e.g. with managed objects of type "plug-in modules" that have a name, a version and an activation state. In this context, it is useful to define the "plug-in module" as a concept that is supposed to be further detailed and extended by additional concrete model

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 5]

elements. In order to realize such a system, it is worth to model abstract entities, which enable reuse and ease concrete refinements of that abstract entity in a second step.

- o As particular network elements have specific type of components that need to be managed (OS images, plug-in modules, equipment, etc.), it should be possible to define concrete types, which describe the managed object precisely. By using type-safe extensions of basic concepts a system in the manager role can safely and explicitly determine that e.g. the "equipment" is actually of type "network card".
- o Currently different SDOs are working on the harmonization of their management information models. Often a model mapping or transformation between systems becomes necessary. The harmonization of the models is done e.g. by mapping of the two models on object level or integrating an object hierarchy into an existing information model. Extending YANG with language abstractions can simplify on the one hand the adoption of IETF resource models by other SDOs and facilitate the alignment with other SDO's resource models (e.g. TM Forum SID). The proposed YANG extensions can on the other hand enable the utilization of YANG modeling language in other SDOs, which are used to model complex management systems in a top-down manner and use high-level language features frequently.

This memo specifies additional modeling features for the YANG language in the area of structured model abstractions, typed references as well as recursive data structures and discusses how these new features can improve the modeling capabilities of YANG.

Section 1.5.1 contains a physical resource model, which deals with some of the modeling challenges illustrated above. Section 1.5.2 gives an example, which uses the base classes defined in the physical resource model and derives a model for physical entities defined in Entity MIB".

1.3. Modeling Improvements with Language Abstractions

Complex Types and Typed Instance Identifiers provide various technical improvements on modeling level:

In case the model of a system that should be managed with NETCONF makes use of inheritance, complex types enable an almost one-toone mapping between the classes in the original model and the YANG module.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 6]

- o Typed instance identifiers allow representing associations between the concepts in a type-safe way to prevent type errors caused by referring to data nodes of incompatible types. This avoids referring to a particular location in the MIB, which is not mandated by the domain model.
- o Complex types allow defining complete, self-contained type definitions. It is not necessary to explicitly add a key statement to lists, which use a grouping defining the data nodes.
- o Complex types simplify concept refinement by extending a base complex type and make it superfluous to represent concept refinements with workarounds such as huge choice-statements with complex branches.
- o Abstract complex types ensure correct usage of abstract concepts by enforcing the refinement of common set of properties before instantiation.
- o Complex types allow defining recursive structures. This enables to represent complex structures of arbitrary depth by nesting instances of basic complex types that may contain themselves.
- o Complex types avoid introducing meta-data types (e.g. type code enumerations) and meta-data leafs (e.g. leafs containing a type code) to indicate, which concrete type of object is actually represented by a generic container in the MIB. This also avoids to explicitly rule out illegal use of sub-type specific properties in generic containers.
- o Complex type instances include the type information in the NETCONF payload. This allows to determine the actual type of an instance during the NETCONF payload parsing and avoids the use of additional leafs in the model, which provide the type information as content.
- o Complex types may be declared explicitly as optional features, which is not possible when the actual type of an entity represented by a generic container is indicated with a type code enumeration.

Appendix C 'Example YANG Module for the IPFIX/PSAMP Model' lists technical improvements for modeling with Complex Types and Typed Instance Identifiers and exemplifies the usage of the proposed YANG extensions based on the IPFIX/PSAMP configuration model in [IPFIXCONF].

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 7]

Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010

1.4. Design Approach

The proposed additional features for YANG in this memo are designed to reuse existing YANG statements whenever possible. Additional semantics is expressed by an extension that is supposed to be used as a substatement of an existing statement.

The proposed features don't change the semantics of models that are valid with respect to the YANG specification [RFC6020].

- 1.5. Modeling Resource Models with YANG
- 1.5.1. Example of a Physical Network Resource Model

The diagram below depicts a portion of an information model for manageable network resources used in an actual network management system.

Note: The referenced model (UDM, Unified Data Model) is based on key resource modelling concepts from [SID V8] and is compliant with selected parts of SID Resource Abstract Business Entities domain ([UDM]).

The class diagram in Figure 1 and the according YANG module excerpt focus on basic resource ("Resource" and the distinction between logical- and physical resources) and hardware abstractions ("Hardware", "Equipment", and "EquipmentHolder"). Some class attributes were omitted to achieve decent readability.

equipment

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 8]



Figure 1: Physical Network Resource Model

Since this model is an abstraction of network element specific MIB topologies, modeling it with YANG creates some challenges. Some of these challenges and how they can be addressed with complex types are explained below:

- o Modeling of abstract concepts: Classes like "Resource" represent concepts that primarily serve as a base class for derived classes. With complex types, such an abstract concept could be represented by an abstract complex type (see "complex-type extension statement" and "abstract extension statement").
- o Class Inheritance: Information models for complex management domains often use class inheritance to create specialized classes

| Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 | [Page 9] |
|---|----------|
|---|----------|

like "PhysicalConnector" from a more generic base class (here "Hardware"), which itself might inherit from another base class ("PhysicalResource") etc. Complex types allow creating enhanced versions of an existing (abstract or concrete) base type via an extension (see "extends extension statement").

- o Recursive containment: In order to specify containment hierarchies models frequently contain different aggregation associations, in which the target (contained element) is either the containing class itself or a base class of the containing class. In the model above, the recursive containment of "EquipmentHolder" is an example of such a relationship. Complex types support such a containment by using a complex type (or one of its ancestor types) as type of an instance or instance list that is part of its definition (see "instance(-list) extension statement").
- o Reference relationships: A key requirement on large models for network domains with many related managed objects is the association between classes that represent an essential relationship between instances of such a class. For example, the relationship between "PhysicalLink" and "Hardware" tells which physical link is connecting which hardware resources. It is important to notice that this kind of relationships do not mandate any particular location of the two connected hardware instances in any MIB. Such containment agnostic relationships can be represented by a typed instance identifier that embodies one direction of such an association (see "Typed instance identifiers").

The YANG module excerpt below shows how the challenges listed above can be addressed by the Complex Types extension (module import prefix "ct:"). The complete YANG module for the physical resource model in Figure 1 can be found in Appendix B: 'YANG Modules for Physical Network Resource Model and Hardware Entities Model'.

Note: The YANG extensions proposed in this document have been implemented as the open source tools "Pyang Extension for Complex Types" ([Pyang-ct], ([Pyang]) and "Libsmi Extension for Complex Types" ([Libsmi]). All model examples in the document have been validated with the tools Pyang-ct and Libsmi.

<CODE BEGINS>

module udmcore {

namespace "http://example.com/udmcore";

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 10]

```
Internet-Draft
                     YANG Language Abstractions
                                                    October 2010
    prefix "udm";
    import ietf-complex-types {prefix "ct"; }
         // Basic complex types...
    ct:complex-type PhysicalResource {
       ct:extends Resource;
         ct:abstract true;
         // ...
         leaf serialNumber {type string;}
    }
    ct:complex-type Hardware {
       ct:extends PhysicalResource;
         ct:abstract true;
         // ...
        leaf-list physicalLink {
           type instance-identifier {ct:instance-type PhysicalLink;}
        }
        ct:instance-list containedHardware {
        ct:instance-type Hardware;
 }
        ct:instance-list physicalConnector {
        ct:instance-type PhysicalConnector;
 }
    }
    ct:complex-type PhysicalLink {
        ct:extends PhysicalResource;
        // ...
        leaf-list hardware {
          type instance-identifier {ct:instance-type Hardware;}
        }
    }
    ct:complex-type ManagedHardware {
      ct:extends Hardware;
         ct:abstract true;
         // ...
    }
    ct:complex-type PhysicalConnector {
       ct:extends Hardware;
Linowski, et al.
                    Expires April 22, 2011
                                                               [Page 11]
```

```
YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
Internet-Draft
        leaf location {type string;}
        // ...
       leaf-list sourcePhysicalConnector {
         type instance-identifier {ct:instance-type PhysicalConnector;}
       leaf-list targetPhysicalConnector {
         type instance-identifier {ct:instance-type PhysicalConnector;}
       }
    }
   ct:complex-type Equipment {
      ct:extends ManagedHardware;
         // ...
      ct:instance-list equipment {
    ct:instance-type Equipment;
 }
    }
    ct:complex-type EquipmentHolder {
      ct:extends ManagedHardware;
        leaf vendorName {type string;}
        // ...
      ct:instance-list equipment {
       ct:instance-type Equipment;
      ct:instance-list equipmentHolder {
       ct:instance-type EquipmentHolder;
        }
    }
    11
      . . .
 }
```

<CODE ENDS>

1.5.2. Modeling Entity MIB Entries as Physical Resources

The physical resource module described above can now be used to model physical entities as defined in the Entity MIB [RFC4133]. For each physical entity class listed in the "PhysicalClass" enumeration, a complex type is defined. Each of these complex types extends the most specific complex type already available in the physical resource module. For example, the type "HWModule" extends the complex type "Equipment" as a hardware module. Physical entity properties that should be included in a physical entity complex type are combined in a grouping, which is then used in each complex type definition of an entity.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 12]

This approach has following benefits:

- o The definition of the complex types for hardware entities becomes compact as many of the features can be reused from the basic complex type definition.
- o Physical entities are modeled in a consistent manner as predefined concepts are extended.
- o Entity MIB specific attributes as well as vendor specific attributes can be added without having to define separate extension data nodes.



Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011

[Page 13]

Figure 2: Hardware Entities Model

Below is an excerpt of the according YANG module using complex types to model hardware entities. The complete YANG module for the Hardware Entities model in Figure 2 can be found in Appendix B: 'YANG Modules for Physical Network Resource Model and Hardware Entities Model'.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 14]

```
Internet-Draft
                     YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
<CODE BEGINS>
module hardware-entities {
   namespace "http://example.com/hardware-entities";
   prefix "hwe";
   import ietf-yang-types {prefix "yt";}
   import ietf-complex-types {prefix "ct";}
   import udmcore {prefix "uc";}
   grouping PhysicalEntityProperties {
      // ...
      leaf mfgDate {type yang:date-and-time; }
      leaf-list uris {type string; }
   }
   // Physical entities representing equipment
   ct:complex-type HWModule {
      ct:extends uc:Equipment;
     description "Complex type representing module entries
                   (entPhysicalClass = module(9)) in entPhysicalTable";
     uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
   }
   // ...
   // Physical entities representing equipment holders
   ct:complex-type Chassis {
      ct:extends uc:EquipmentHolder;
      description "Complex type representing chassis entries
                   (entPhysicalClass = chassis(3)) in entPhysicalTable";
      uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
   }
   // ...
}
<CODE ENDS>
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 15]

- 2. Complex Types
- 2.1. Definition

YANG type concept is currently restricted to simple types, e.g. restrictions of primitive types, enumerations or union of simple types.

Complex types are types with a rich internal structure, which may be composed of substatements defined in Table 1 (e.g. lists, leafs, containers, choices). A new complex type may extend an existing complex type. This allows providing type-safe extensions to existing YANG models as instances of the new type.

Complex types have the following characteristics:

- o Introduction of new types, as a named, formal description of a concrete manageable resource as well as abstract concepts.
- o Types can be extended, i.e. new types can be defined by specializing existing types adding new features. Instances of such an extended type can be used wherever instances of the base type may appear.
- o The type information is made part of the NETCONF payload in case a derived type substitutes a base type. This enables easy and efficient consumption of payload elements representing complex type instances.
- 2.2. complex-type extension statement

The extension statement "complex-type" is introduced that accepts an arbitrary number of node tree defining statements among other common YANG statements ("YANG Statements", [RFC6020] Section 7).

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011

[Page 16]

| substatement | cardinality |
|------------------|----------------|
| abstract | 01 |
| anyxml | 0n |
| choice | 0n |
| container | 0n |
| description | 01 |
| ct:instance | 0n |
| ct:instance-list | 0n |
| ct:extends | 01 |
| grouping | 0n |
| if-feature | 0n |
| key | 01 |
| leaf | 0n |
| leaf-list | 0n |
| must | 0n |
| ordered-by | 0n |
| reference | 01 |
| refine | 0n |
| typedef uses | 01 0n 0n |

Table 1: complex-type's substatements

Complex type definitions may appear at every place, where a grouping may be defined. That includes the module, submodule, rpc, input, output, notification, container, and list statements.

Complex type names populate a distinct namespace. As with YANG groupings, it is possible to define a complex type and a data node (e.g. leaf, list, instance statements) with the same name in the same scope. All complex type names defined within a parent node or at the top-level of the module or its submodules share the same type identifier namespace. This namespace is scoped to the parent node or module.

A complex type MAY have an instance key. An instance key is either defined with the "key" statement as part of the complex type or is inherited from the base complex type. It is not allowed to define an additional key if the base complex type or one of its ancestors already defines a key.

Complex-type definitions do not create nodes in the schema tree.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 17]

2.3. instance extension statement

The "instance" extension statement is used to instantiate a complex type by creating a subtree in the management information node tree. The instance statement takes one argument that is the identifier of the complex type instance. It is followed by a block of substatements.

The type of the instance is specified with the mandatory "ct: instance-type" substatement. The type of an instance MUST be a complex type. Common YANG statements may be used as substatements of the "instance" statement. An instance is by default optional. To make an instance mandatory, "mandatory true" has to be applied as substatement.

| substatement | cardinality |
|------------------|-------------|
| description | 01 |
| config | 01 |
| ct:instance-type | 1 |
| if-feature | 0n |
| mandatory | 01 |
| must | 0n |
| reference | 01 |
| status | 01 |
| when | 0 |
| anyxml | 0n |
| choice | 0n |
| container | 0n |
| ct:instance | 0n |
| ct:instance-list | 0n |
| leaf | 0n |
| leaf-list | 0n |
| list | 0n |

Table 2: instance's substatements

The "instance" and "instance-list" extension statements (see Section 2.4 "instance-list extension statement") are similar to the existing "leaf" and "leaf-list" statements, with the exception that the content is composed of subordinate elements according to the instantiated complex type.

It is also possible to add additional data nodes by using the according leaf, leaf-list, list, and choice statements etc. as substatements of the instance declaration. This is an in-place

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 18] augmentation of the used complex type confined to a complex type instantiation (see also Section 2.13 "Using complex types" for details on augmenting complex types).

2.4. instance-list extension statement

The "instance-list" extension statement is used to instantiate a complex type by defining a sequence of subtrees in the management information node tree. In addition, the "instance-list" statement takes one argument that is the identifier of the complex type instances. It is followed by a block of substatements.

The type of the instance is specified with the mandatory "ct: instance-type" substatement. In addition it can be defined how often an instance may appear in the schema tree by using the min-elements and max-elements substatements. Common YANG statements may be used as substatements of the "instance-list" statement.

In analogy to "instance" statement, sub-statement like "list", "choice", leaf" etc. MAY be used to augment the instance list elements at the root level with additional data nodes.

| substatementc | cardinality |
|------------------|-------------|
| description | 01 |
| config | 01 |
| ct:instance-type | 1 |
| if-feature | 0n |
| max-elements | 01 |
| min-elements | 01 |
| must | 0n |
| ordered-by | 01 |
| reference | 01 |
| status | 01 |
| when | 01 |
| anyxml | 0n |
| choice | 0n |
| container | 0n |
| ct:instance | 0n |
| ct:instance-list | 0n |
| leaf | 0n |
| leaf-list | 0n |
| list | 0n |

Table 3: instance-list's substatements

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011

In case the instance list represents configuration data, the used complex type of an instance MUST have an instance key.

Instances as well as instance lists may appear as arguments of the "deviate" statement.

2.5. extends extension statement

A complex type MAY extend exactly one existing base complex type by using the "extends" extension statement. The keyword "extends" MAY occur as substatement of the "complex-type" extension statement. The argument of the "complex-type" extension statement refers to the base complex type via its name. In case a complex type represents configuration data (the default), it MUST have a key, otherwise it MAY have a key. A key is either defined with the key statement as part of the complex type or is inherited from the base complex type.

| + | + cardinality |
|-------------|--------------------|
| description | 01 |
| reference | 01 |
| status | 01 |

Table 4: extends' substatements

2.6. abstract extension statement

Complex types may be declared to be abstract by using the "abstract" extension statement. An abstract complex type cannot be instantiated, meaning it cannot appear as most specific type of an instance in NETCONF payload. In case an abstract type extends a base type, the base complex type MUST be also abstract. By default, complex types are not abstract.

The abstract complex type serves only as a base type for derived concrete complex types and cannot be used as a type for an instance in NETCONF payload.

The "abstract" extension statement takes a single string argument, which is either "true" or "false". In case a "complex-type" statement does not contain an "abstract" statement as substatement, the default is "false". The "abstract" statement does not support any substatements.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 20]

2.7. XML Encoding Rules

An "instance" node is encoded as an XML element, where an "instancelist" node is encoded as a series of XML elements. The XML element name is the "instance" respectively "instance-list" identifier, and its XML namespace is the module's XML namespace.

Instance child nodes are encoded as subelements of the instance XML element. Subelements representing child nodes defined in the same complex type may appear in any order. However child nodes of an extending complex type follow the child nodes of the extended complex type. As such, the XML encoding of lists is similar to the encoding of containers and lists in YANG.

Instance key nodes are encoded as subelements of the instance XML element. Instance key nodes must appear in the same order as they are defined within the "key" statement of the according complex type definition and precede all other nodes defined in the same complex type. I.e. if key nodes are defined in an extending complex type, XML elements representing key data precede all other XML elements representing child nodes. On the other hand XML elements representing key data follow the XML elements representing data nodes of the base type.

The type of actual complex type instance is encoded in a type element, which is put in front of all instance child elements, including key nodes, as described in Section 2.8 ("Type Encoding Rules").

The proposed XML encoding rules conform to the YANG XML encoding rules in [RFC6020]. Compared to YANG, enabling key definitions in derived hierarchies is a new feature introduced with the complex types extension. As a new language feature complex types introduce also a new payload entry for the instance type identifier.

Based on our implementation experience, the proposed XML encoding rules support consistent mapping of YANG models with complex types to XML Schema using XML complex types.

2.8. Type Encoding Rules

In order to encode the type of an instance in NETCONF payload, XML elements named "type" belonging to the XML namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-complex-type-instance" are added to the serialized form of instance and instance-list nodes in the payload. The suggested namespace prefix is "cti". The "cti:type" XML elements are inserted before the serialized form of all members that have been declared in the according complex type definition.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 21] The "cti:type" element is inserted for each type in the extension chain to the actual type of the instance (most specific last). Each type name includes its corresponding namespace.

The type of a complex type instance MUST be encoded in the reply to NETCONF <get> and <get-config> operations, and in the payload of NETCONF <edit-config> operation if the operation is "create" or "replace". The type of the instance MUST also be specified in case <copy-config> is used to export a configuration to a resource addressed with an URI. The type of the instance has to be specified in user defined RPC's.

The type of the instance MAY be specified in case the operation is "merge" (either because this is explicitly specified or no operation attribute is provided).

In case the node already exists in the target configuration and the type attribute (type of a complex type instance) is specified but differs from the data in the target, an <rpc-error> element is returned with an <error-app-tag> value of "wrong-complex-type". In case no such element is present in the target configuration but the type attribute is missing in the configuration data, an <rpc-error> element is returned with an <error-tag> value of "missing-attribute".

The type MUST NOT be specified in case the operation is "delete".

2.9. Extension and Feature Definition Module

The module below contains all YANG extension definitions for complex types and typed instance identifiers. In addition a "complex-type" feature is defined, which may be used to provide conditional or alternative modeling for depending on the support status of complex types in a NETCONF server. A NETCONF server that supports the complex types modeling features and the XML encoding for complex types as defined in this document MUST advertise this as a feature. This is done by including the feature name "complex-types" into the feature parameter list as part of the NETCONF <hello> message as described in Section 5.6.4 in [RFC6020].

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-complex-types@2010-10-05.yang"

module ietf-complex-types {

namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-complex-types"; prefix "ct";

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 22]

```
organization
  "NETMOD WG";
contact
  "Editor: Bernd Linowski
            <bernd.linowski@ext.nsn.com>
   Editor: Mehmet Ersue
            <mehmet.ersue@nsn.com>
   Editor: Siarhei Kuryla
            <s.kuryla@jacobs-university.de>";
description
   "YANG extensions for complex types and typed instance
   identifiers.
   Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
   the document authors. All rights reserved.
  Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
  without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
   to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
   set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
  Relating to IETF Documents
   (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
   This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
   the RFC itself for full legal notices.";
// RFC Ed.: Please replace XXXX with actual RFC number and
// remove this note
   revision 2010-10-19 {
        description "Initial revision.";
    }
// RFC Ed.: Please replace the date of the revision statement
// with RFC publication date and remove this note
     extension complex-type {
         description "Defines a complex-type.";
         reference "section 2.2., complex-type extension statement";
         argument type-identifier {
            yin-element true;
         }
     }
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 23]

```
Internet-Draft
                     YANG Language Abstractions
                                                   October 2010
        extension extends {
            description "Defines the base type of a complex-type.";
            reference "section 2.5., extends extension statement";
            argument base-type-identifier {
                yin-element true;
            }
        }
        extension abstract {
            description "Makes the complex-type abstract.";
            reference "section 2.6., abstract extension statement";
            argument status;
        }
        extension instance {
            description "Declares an instance of the given
                 complex type.";
            reference "section 2.3., instance extension statement";
            argument ct-instance-identifier {
               yin-element true;
            }
        }
        extension instance-list {
            description "Declares a list of instances of the given
                complex type";
            reference "section 2.4., instance-list extension statement";
            argument ct-instance-identifier {
               yin-element true;
            }
        }
        extension instance-type {
            description "Tells to which type instance the instance
                        identifier refers to.";
            reference "section 3.2., instance-type extension statement";
            argument target-type-identifier {
               yin-element true;
            }
        }
        feature complex-types {
            description "This feature indicates that the server supports
                        complex types and instance identifiers.";
        }
Linowski, et al.
                  Expires April 22, 2011
                                                               [Page 24]
```

}

<CODE ENDS>

2.10. Example Model for Complex Types

The example model below shows how complex types can be used to represent physical equipment in a vendor independent, abstract way. It reuses the complex types defined in the physical resource model in Section 1.5.1

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 25]

```
YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
Internet-Draft
   <CODE BEGINS>
  module hw {
     namespace "http://example.com/hw";
     prefix "hw";
      import ietf-complex-types {prefix "ct"; }
      import udmcore {prefix "uc"; }
      // Holder types
     ct:complex-type Slot {
             ct:extends uc:EquipmentHolder;
             leaf slotNumber { type uint16; config false; }
               // ...
      }
      ct:complex-type Chassis {
             ct:extends uc:EquipmentHolder;
             leaf numberOfChassisSlots { type uint32; config false; }
               // ..
      }
      // Equipment types
     ct:complex-type Card {
             ct:extends uc:Equipment;
             leaf position { type uint32; mandatory true; }
               leaf slotsRequired {type unit32; }
      }
      // Root Element
      ct:instance hardware { type uc:ManagedHardware; }
   } // hw module
```

<CODE ENDS>

```
2.11. NETCONF Payload Example
```

Following example shows the payload of a reply to a NETCONF <get> command. The actual type of managed hardware instances is indicated with the "cti:type" elements as required by the type encoding rules. The containment hierarchy in the NETCONF XML payload reflects the containment hierarchy of hardware instances. This makes filtering

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 26]

based on the containment hierarchy possible without having to deal with values of key-ref leafs that represent the tree structure in a flattened hierarchy.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 27]

Internet-Draft

```
October 2010
```

```
<hardware>
    <cti:type>uc:BasicObject</cti:type>
    <distinguishedName>/R-T31/CH-2</distinguishedName>
    <globalId>6278279001</globalId>
    <cti:type>uc:Resource</cti:type>
    <cti:type>uc:PhysicalResource</cti:type>
    <otherIdentifier>Rack R322-1</otherIdentifier>
    <serialNumber>R-US-3276279a</serialNumber>
    <cti:type>uc:Hardware</cti:type>
    <cti:type>uc:ManagedHardware</cti:type>
    <cti:type>hw:EquipmentHolder</cti:type>
    <equipmentHolder>
        <cti:type>uc:BasicObject</cti:type>
        <distinguishedName>/R-T31/CH-2/SL-1</distinguishedName>
        <qlobalId>548872003/qlobalId>
        <cti:type>uc:Resource</cti:type>
        <cti:type>uc:PhysicalResource</cti:type>
        <otherIdentifier>CU-Slot</otherIdentifier>
        <serialNumber>T-K4733890x45</serialNumber>
        <cti:type>uc:Hardware</cti:type>
        <cti:type>uc:ManagedHardware</cti:type>
        <cti:type>uc:EquipmentHolder</cti:type>
        <equipment>
            <cti:type>uc:BasicObject</cti:type>
            <distinguishedName>/R-T31/CH-2/SL-1/C-3</distinguishedName>
            <globalId>89772001</globalId>
            <cti:type>uc:Resource</cti:type>
            <cti:type>uc:PhysicalResource</cti:type>
            <otherIdentifier>ATM-45252</otherIdentifier>
            <serialNumber>A-778911-b</serialNumber>
            <cti:type>uc:Hardware</cti:type>
            <cti:type>uc:ManagedHardware</cti:type>
            <cti:type>uc:Equipment</cti:type>
            <installed>true</installed>
            <version>A2</version>
            <redundancy>1</redundancy>
            <cti:type>hw:Card</cti:type>
            <usedSlots>1</usedSlots>
        </equipment>
        <cti:type>hw:Slot</cti:type>
        <slotNumber>1</slotNumber>
    </equitmentHolder>
    <cti:type>hw:Chassis</cti:type>
    <numberOfChassisSlots>6</numberOfChassisSlots>
    // ...
</hardware>
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 28]

2.12. Update Rules for Modules Using Complex Types

In addition to the module update rules specified in Section 10 in [RFC6020], modules that define complex-types, instances of complex types and typed instance identifiers must obey following rules:

- o New complex types MAY be added.
- o A new complex type MAY extend an existing complex type.
- o New data definition statements MAY be added to a complex type only if:
 - * they are not mandatory or
 - they are not conditionally dependent on a new feature (i.e., have an "if-feature" statement, which refers to a new feature).
- The type referred to by the instance-type statement may be changed to a type that derives from the original type only if the original type does not represent configuration data.
- 2.13. Using Complex Types

All data nodes defined inside a complex type reside in the complex type namespace, which is their parent node namespace.

2.13.1. Overriding Complex Type Data Nodes

It is not allowed to override a data node inherited from a base type. I.e. it is an error if a type "base" with a leaf named "foo" is extended by another complex type ("derived") with a leaf named "foo" in the same module. In case they are derived in different modules, there are two distinct "foo" nodes which are mapped to the XML namespaces of the module, where the complex types are specified.

A complex type that extends a basic complex type may use the "refine" statement in order to improve an inherited data node. The target node identifier must be qualified by the module prefix to indicate clearly, which inherited node is refined.

The following refinements can be done:

- o A leaf or choice node may have a default value, or a new default value if it already had one
- o Any node may have a different "description" or "reference" string.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 29]

- o A leaf, anyxml, or choice node may have a "mandatory true" statement. However, it is not allowed to change from "mandatory true" to "mandatory false".
- o A leaf, leaf-list, list, container, or anyxml node may have additional "must" expressions.
- o A list, leaf-list, instance or instance-list node may have a "minelements" statement, if the base type does not have one or one with a value that is greater than the minimum value of the base type.
- o A list, leaf-list, instance or instance-list node may have a "maxelements" statement, if the base type does not have one or one with a value that is smaller than the maximum value of the base type.

It is not allowed to refine complex-type nodes inside instance or instance-list statements.

2.13.2. Augmenting Complex Types

Augmenting complex types is only allowed if a complex type is instantiated in an "instance" or "instance-list" statement. This confines the effect of the augmentation to the location in the schema tree, where the augmentation is done. The argument of the "augment" statement MUST be in the descendant form (as defined by the rule "descendant-schema-nodeid" in Section 12 in [RFC6020]).

```
ct:complex-type Chassis {
         ct:extends EquipmentHolder;
         container chassisInfo {
                 config false;
                 leaf numberOfSlots { type uint16; }
leaf occupiedSlots { type uint16; }
                 leaf height {type unit16;}
                 leaf width {type unit16;}
           }
}
ct:instance-list chassis {
         type Chassis;
         augment "chassisInfo" {
                 leaf modelId { type string; }
         }
}
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 30]

When augmenting a complex type, only the "container", "leaf", "list", "leaf-list", "choice", "instance", "instance-list" and "if-feature" statements may be used within the "augment" statement. The nodes added by the augmentation MUST NOT be mandatory nodes. One or many augment statements may not cause the creation of multiple nodes with the same name from the same namespace in the target node.

To achieve less complex modeling this document proposes the augmentation of complex type instances without recursion.

2.13.3. Controlling the Use of Complex Types

A server might not want to support all complex types defined in a supported module. This issue can be addressed with YANG features as follows:

- o Features are defined that are used inside complex type definitions (by using "if-feature" as substatement) to make them optional. In this case such complex types may only be instantiated if the feature is supported (advertized as capability in the NETCONF <hello> message).
- o The "deviation" statement may be applied to node trees, which are created by "instance" and "instance-list" statements. In this case, only the substatement "deviate not-supported" is allowed.
- o It is not allowed to apply the deviation statement to node tree elements that may occur because of the recursive use of a complex type. Other forms of deviations ("deviate add", "deviate replace", "deviate delete") are NOT supported inside node trees spanned by "instance" or "instance-list".

As complex type definitions do not contribute by itself to the data node tree, data node declarations inside complex types cannot be target of deviations.

In the example below, client applications are informed that the leaf "occupiedSlots" is not supported in the top-level chassis. However, if a chassis contains another chassis, the contained chassis may support the leaf informing about the number of occupied slots.

```
deviation "/chassis/chassisSpec/occupiedSlots" {
   deviate not-supported;
}
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 31]
3. Typed Instance Identifier

3.1. Definition

Typed instance identifier relationships are an addition to the relationship types already defined in YANG, where the leafref relationship is location dependent, and the instance-identifier does not specify to which type of instances the identifier points to.

A typed instance identifier represents a reference to an instance of a complex type without being restricted to a particular location in the containment tree. This is done by using the extension statement "instance-type" as a substatement of the existing "type instance identifier" statement.

Typed instance identifiers allow referring to instances of complex types that may be located anywhere in the schema tree. The "type" statement plays the role of a restriction that must be fulfilled by the target node, which is referred to with the instance identifier. The target node MUST be of a particular complex type, either the type itself or any type that extends this complex type.

3.2. instance-type extension statement

The "instance-type" extension statement specifies the complex type of the instance referred by the instance-identifier. The referred instance may also instantiate any complex type that extends the specified complex type.

The instance complex type is identified by the single name argument. The referred complex type MUST have a key. This extension statement MUST be used as a substatement of the "type instance-identifier" statement. The "instance-type" extension statement does not support any substatements.

3.3. Typed Instance Identifier Example

In the example below, a physical link connects an arbitrary number of physical ports. Here typed instance identifiers are used to denote, which "PhysicalPort" instances (anywhere in the data tree) are connected by a "PhysicalLink".

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 32]

```
Internet-Draft
                     YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
        // Extended version of type Card
        ct:complex-type Card {
           ct:extends Equipment;
           leaf usedSlot { type uint16; mandatory true; }
          ct:instance-list port {
               type PhysicalPort;
           }
        }
        ct:complex-type PhysicalPort {
           ct:extends ManagedHardware;
           leaf portNumber { type int32; mandatory true; }
        }
        ct:complex-type PhysicalLink {
           ct:extends ManagedHardware;
           leaf media { type string; }
           leaf-list connectedPort {
              type instance-identifier {
               ct:instance-type PhysicalPort;
              }
             min-elements 2;
          }
        }
   Below is the XML encoding of an element named "link" of type
   "PhysicalLink":
       <link>
           <objectId>FTCL-771</objectId>
           <media>Fiber</media>
           <connectedPort>/hw:hardware[objectId='R-11']
             /hw:equipment[objectId='AT22']/hw:port[objectId='P12']
           </connectedPort>
           <connectedPort>/hw:hardware[objectId='R-42]
             /hw:equipment[objectId='AT30']/hw:port[objectId='P3']
           </connectedPort>
           <serialNumeber>F-7786828</serialNumber>
           <commonName>FibCon 7</commonName>
    </link>
```

4. IANA Considerations

This document registers two URIs in the IETF XML registry. Following the format in [RFC3688], the following registrations are requested:

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 33]

Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-complex-types URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-complex-type-instance

Registrant Contact: The NETMOD WG of the IETF.

XML: N/A, the requested URIs are XML namespaces.

This document registers one module name in the 'YANG Module Names' registry, defined in [RFC6020].

name: ietf-complex-types

namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-complex-types

prefix: ct

RFC: XXXX

RFC Ed.: Please replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this note.

5. Security Considerations

The YANG module "complex-types" in this memo defines YANG extensions for Complex-types and Typed Instance Identifiers as new language statements.

Complex-types and Typed Instance Identifiers themselves do not have any security impact on the Internet.

The security considerations described throughout [RFC6020] apply here as well.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank to Martin Bjorklund, Balazs Lengyel, Gerhard Muenz, Dan Romascanu, Juergen Schoenwaelder and Martin Storch for their valuable review and comments on different versions of the document.

- 7. References
- 7.1. Normative References
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", March 1997.

| Linowski, et al. | Expires April 22, 2011 | [Page 34] |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------|
|------------------|------------------------|-----------|

| Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions Octol | er 2010 |
|---|---------|
|---|---------|

[RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", January 2004.

[RFC5226] Narten, T., "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", May 2008.

- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", October 2010.
- 7.2. Informative References
 - [IPFIXCONF] Muenz, G., "Configuration Data Model for IPFIX and PSAMP", draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-07 (work in progress), July 2010.
 - [Libsmi] Kuryla, S., "Libsmi Extension for Complex Types", April 2010, http://www.ibr.cs.tu-bs.de/svn/libsmi.

- [RFC4133] Bierman, A. and K. McCloghrie, "Entity MIB (Version 3)", August 2005.
- [SID V8] Tele Management Forum, "GB922-Information Framework (SID) Solution Suite, Release 8.0", July 2008, < http:// /www.tmforum.org/DocumentsInformation/ GB922InformationFramework/35499/article.html>.
- [UDM] NSN, "Unified Data Model SID Compliance Statement", May 2010, <http://www.tmforum.org/InformationFramework/ NokiaSiemensNetworks/8815/home.html>.

Appendix A. Change Log

- A.1. 03-04
 - Changed the complex type XML encoding rules so that XML elements representing data nodes defined in the same complex type may appear in any order.
 - o Used the "ct:" prefix in substatement tables when referring to complex type extension statements.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 35]

- o Modeled the IPFIX/PSMAP example based on v-07 of the IPFIX configuration draft. Changed motivation text accordingly.
- o Minor updates and clarifications in the text.
- A.2. 02-03
 - o Added an example based on the physical resource modeling concepts of SID. A simplified class diagram and an excerpt of an according YANG module were added in the introduction section.
 - o Changed the example YANG module in the NETCONF payload section to be based on the physical resource types defined in the added physical resource model.
 - o A second example shows how Entity MIB entries can be modeled as physical resources. The example includes a class diagram and an according YANG module excerpt.
 - o The complete YANG modules for both examples were added into the appendix.
 - o Changed the complex type encoding rules.
 - o Updated the NETCONF payload example the changed type encoding rules and the changed example module.
 - o Changed the augmentation rules for complex types. Instead of using "." as argument in the augment statement, instance and instance-list statement may now contain additional data node statements. The substatement tables for the instance and instance-list statements were updated accordingly.
 - o Minor updates in the text and examples.
- A.3. 01-02
 - o It is no longer allowed to use the "config" statement inside a complex type definition.
 - o Complex type can now be defined where a grouping can be defined. Complex types have their own namespace.
 - o Explicitly specified which kind of refinements can be applied to elements of the base type in the definition of an extending complex type.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 36]

- o Confined the use of deviations for complex types to complex type instantiations.
- o Defined augmentation of complex types allowing augmentation only during instantiation via an "instance" or "instance-list" statement.
- o Removed leftovers from substatement tables.
- o Updates and bug-fixes in the examples.
- A.4. 00-01
 - o Transformed proposed new YANG statements to YANG extension statements (complex-type, element, extends, abstract).
 - o Renamed statement "element" to the extension statement "instance" in order to avoid confusion with XML payload elements.
 - Introduced extension statement "instance-type" as allowing the use 0 of the existing "type" statement as substatement in the existing "instance-identifier" statement cannot be done with extensions.
 - o Added the complex type extension statement module.
 - o Updated examples to reflect the changes mentioned above.
 - o Added update rules for complex types.
 - o Updated IANA Considerations section.
 - o Added this change log.
- YANG Modules for Physical Network Resource Model and Appendix B. Hardware Entities Model

YANG module for the 'Physical Network Resource Model':

<CODE BEGINS>

module udmcore {

namespace "http://example.com/udmcore"; prefix "udm";

```
import ietf-yang-types {prefix "yang";}
import ietf-complex-types {prefix "ct";}
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 37]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
      ct:complex-type BasicObject {
         ct:abstract true;
         key "distinguishedName";
           leaf globalId {type int64;}
           leaf distinguishedName {type string; mandatory true;}
      }
      ct:complex-type ManagedObject {
         ct:extends BasicObject;
         ct:abstract true;
         leaf instance {type string;}
         leaf objectState {type int32;}
         leaf release {type string;}
      }
      ct:complex-type Resource {
              ct:extends ManagedObject;
              ct:abstract true;
         leaf usageState {type int16;}
         leaf managementMethodSupported {type string;}
         leaf managementMethodCurrent {type string;}
         leaf managementInfo {type string;}
         leaf managementDomain {type string;}
         leaf version {type string;}
         leaf entityIdentification {type string;}
         leaf desription {type string;}
         leaf rootEntityType {type string;}
      }
      ct:complex-type LogicalResource {
         ct:extends Resource;
         ct:abstract true;
         leaf lrStatus {type int32;}
         leaf serviceState {type int32;}
         leaf isOperational {type boolean;}
      }
      ct:complex-type PhysicalResource {
         ct:extends Resource;
         ct:abstract true;
         leaf manufactureDate {type string;}
         leaf otherIdentifier {type string;}
         leaf powerState {type int32;}
         leaf serialNumber {type string;}
         leaf versionNumber {type string;}
Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011
                                                               [Page 38]
```

Linowski, et al.

[Page 39]

```
}
ct:complex-type Hardware {
   ct:extends PhysicalResource;
   ct:abstract true;
   leaf width {type string;}
leaf height {type string;}
   leaf depth {type string;}
   leaf measurementUnits {type int32;}
   leaf weight {type string;}
   leaf weightUnits {type int32;}
   leaf-list physicalLink {
      type instance-identifier {
         ct:instance-type PhysicalLink;
      }
   }
   ct:instance-list containedHardware {
      ct:instance-type Hardware;
   }
   ct:instance-list physicalConnector {
     ct:instance-type PhysicalConnector;
   }
}
ct:complex-type PhysicalLink {
   ct:extends PhysicalResource;
   leaf isWiereless {type boolean;}
   leaf currentLength {type string;}
leaf maximumLength {type string;}
   leaf mediaType {type int32;}
   leaf-list hardware {
      type instance-identifier {
         ct:instance-type Hardware;
      }
   }
}
ct:complex-type ManagedHardware {
   ct:extends Hardware;
   leaf additionalinfo {type string;}
   leaf physicalAlarmReportingEnabled {type boolean;}
   leaf pyhsicalAlarmStatus {type int32;}
   leaf coolingRequirements {type string;}
   leaf hardwarePurpose {type string;}
   leaf isPhysicalContainer {type boolean;}
```

Expires April 22, 2011

```
Internet-Draft
                    YANG Language Abstractions
                                                          October 2010
      }
      ct:complex-type AuxiliaryComponent {
         ct:extends ManagedHardware;
         ct:abstract true;
      }
      ct:complex-type PhysicalPort {
         ct:extends ManagedHardware;
         leaf portNumber {type int32;}
         leaf duplexMode {type int32;}
         leaf ifType {type int32;}
         leaf vendorPortName {type string;}
      }
      ct:complex-type PhysicalConnector {
         ct:extends Hardware;
         leaf location {type string;}
        leaf cableType {type int32;}
         leaf gender {type int32;}
         leaf inUse {type boolean;}
         leaf pinDescription {type string;}
         leaf typeOfConnector {type int32;}
         leaf-list sourcePhysicalConnector {
            type instance-identifier {
               ct:instance-type PhysicalConnector;
            }
         leaf-list targetPhysicalConnector {
           type instance-identifier {
               ct:instance-type PhysicalConnector;
            }
         }
      }
      ct:complex-type Equipment {
        ct:extends ManagedHardware;
         leaf installStatus {type int32;}
         leaf expectedEquipmentType {type string;}
         leaf installedEquipmentType {type string;}
         leaf installedVersion {type string;}
         leaf redundancy {type int32;}
         leaf vendorName {type string;}
         leaf dateOfLastService {type yang:date-and-time;}
```

[Page 40]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
         leaf interchangeability {type string;}
         leaf identificationCode {type string;}
         ct:instance-list equipment {
            ct:instance-type Equipment;
         }
      }
      ct:complex-type EquipmentHolder {
         ct:extends ManagedHardware;
         leaf vendorName {type string;}
         leaf locationName {type string;}
         leaf dateOfLastService {type yang:date-and-time;}
         leaf partNumber {type string;}
         leaf availabilityStatus {type int16;}
         leaf nameFromPlanningSystem {type string;}
         leaf modelNumber {type string;}
         leaf acceptableEquipmentList {type string;}
         leaf isSolitaryHolder {type boolean;}
         leaf holderStatus {type int16;}
         leaf interchangeability {type string;}
         leaf equipmentHolderSpecificType {type string; }
         leaf position {type string;}
         leaf atomicCompositeType {type int16;}
         leaf uniquePhysical {type boolean;}
         leaf physicalDescription {type string;}
         leaf serviceApproach {type string;}
         leaf mountingOptions {type int32;}
         leaf cableManagementStrategy {type string;}
         leaf isSecureHolder {type boolean;}
         ct:instance-list equipment {
            ct:instance-type Equipment;
         ct:instance-list equipmentHolder {
           ct:instance-type EquipmentHolder;
         }
      }
      // ... other resource complex types ...
   }
   <CODE ENDS>
   YANG module for the 'Hardware Entities Model':
   <CODE BEGINS>
Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011
                                                               [Page 41]
```

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
  module hardware-entities {
     namespace "http://example.com/:hardware-entities";
     prefix "hwe";
      import ietf-yang-types {prefix "yang";}
      import ietf-complex-types {prefix "ct";}
      import udmcore {prefix "uc";}
     grouping PhysicalEntityProperties {
         leaf hardwareRev {type string; }
         leaf firmwareRev {type string; }
        leaf softwareRev {type string; }
        leaf serialNum {type string; }
        leaf mfgName {type string; }
        leaf modelName {type string; }
        leaf alias {type string; }
        leaf ssetID{type string; }
        leaf isFRU {type boolean; }
        leaf mfgDate {type yang:date-and-time; }
        leaf-list uris {type string; }
      }
      // Physical entities representing equipment
      ct:complex-type Module {
        ct:extends uc:Equipment;
        description "Complex type representing module entries
           (entPhysicalClass = module(9)) in entPhysicalTable";
        uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
      }
     ct:complex-type Backplane {
        ct:extends uc:Equipment;
        description "Complex type representing backplane entries
           (entPhysicalClass = backplane(4)) in entPhysicalTable";
        uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
      }
      // Physical entities representing auxiliray hardware components
      ct:complex-type PowerSupply {
        ct:extends uc:AuxiliaryComponent;
        description "Complex type representing power supply entries
```

[Page 42]

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011

```
YANG Language Abstractions
                                                      October 2010
Internet-Draft
            (entPhysicalClass = powerSupply(6)) in entPhysicalTable";
        uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
      }
      ct:complex-type Fan {
         ct:extends uc:AuxiliaryComponent;
         description "Complex type representing fan entries
            (entPhysicalClass = fan(7)) in entPhysicalTable";
         uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
      }
      ct:complex-type Sensor {
         ct:extends uc:AuxiliaryComponent;
        description "Complex type representing sensor entries
            (entPhysicalClass = sensor(8)) in entPhysicalTable";
        uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
      }
      // Physical entities representing equipment holders
      ct:complex-type Chassis {
         ct:extends uc:EquipmentHolder;
         description "Complex type representing chassis entries
           (entPhysicalClass = chassis(3)) in entPhysicalTable";
         uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
      }
      ct:complex-type Container {
         ct:extends uc:EquipmentHolder;
        description "Complex type representing container entries
            (entPhysicalClass = container(5)) in entPhysicalTable";
        uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
      }
      ct:complex-type Stack {
         ct:extends uc:EquipmentHolder;
        description "Complex type representing stack entries
           (entPhysicalClass = stack(11)) in entPhysicalTable";
        uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
      }
      // Other kinds of physical entities
      ct:complex-type Port {
         ct:extends uc:PhysicalPort;
         description "Complex type representing port entries
```

[Page 43]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
        (entPhysicalClass = port(10)) in entPhysicalTable";
        uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
    }
    ct:complex-type CPU {
        ct:extends uc:Hardware;
        description "Complex type representing cpu entries
            (entPhysicalClass = cpu(12)) in entPhysicalTable";
        uses PhysicalEntityProperties;
    }
    {
        CODE ENDS>
    Appendix C. Example YANG Module for the IPFIX/PSAMP Model
    }
}
```

C.1. Modeling Improvements for the IPFIX/PSAMP Model with Complex types and Typed instance identifiers

The module below is a variation of the IPFIX/PSAMP configuration model, which uses complex types and typed instance identifiers to model the concept outlined in [IPFIXCONF].

When looking at the YANG module with complex types and typed instance identifiers, various technical improvements on modeling level become apparent.

- o There is almost a one-to-one mapping between the domain concepts introduced in IPFIX and the complex types in the YANG module
- All associations between the concepts (which are not containment) are represented with typed identifiers. That avoids having to refer to a particular location in the tree, which is not mandated by the original model.
- It is superfluous to represent concept refinement (class inheritance in the original model) with containment in form of quite big choice-statements with complex branches. Instead, concept refinement is realized by complex types extending a base complex type.
- It is unnecessary to introduce metadata identities and leafs (e.g. "identity cacheMode" and "leaf cacheMode" in "grouping cacheParameters") that just serve the purpose of indicating which concrete sub-type of a generic type (modeled as grouping, which contains the union of all features of all subtypes) is actually represented in the MIB.

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 44]

- o Ruling out illegal use of sub-type specific properties (e.g. "leaf maxFlows") by using "when" statements that refer to a sub-type discriminator is not necessary (e.g. when "../cacheMode != 'immediate'").
- o It is not needed to define properties like the configuration status wherever a so called "parameter grouping" is used. Instead those definitions can be put inside the complex-type definition itself.
- o It can be avoided to separating the declaration of the key from the related data nodes definitions in a grouping (see use of "grouping selectorParameters").
- o Complex types may be declared as optional features. If the type is indicated with an identity (e.g. "identity immediate"), this is not possible, since "if-feature" is not allowed as a substatement of "identity".
- C.2. IPFIX/PSAMP Model with Complex Types and Typed Instance Identifiers

```
<CODE BEGINS>
module ct-ipfix-psamp-example {
    namespace "http://example.com/ns/ct-ipfix-psamp-example";
    prefix ipfix;
    import ietf-yang-types { prefix yang; }
    import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; }
    import ietf-complex-types {prefix "ct"; }
    description "Example IPFIX/PSAMP Configuration Data Model
     with complex types and typed instance identifiers";
    revision 2010-10-19 {
      description "Version of draft-ietf-ipfix-configuration-model-07
        modeled with complex types and typed instance identifiers.";
   }
    * Features
    *****
    feature exporter {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device can be used as
       an Exporter. Exporting Processes can be configured.";
    }
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 45]

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft
                     YANG Language Abstractions
     feature collector {
       description "If supported, the Monitoring Device can be used as
        a Collector. Collecting Processes can be configured.";
     )
     feature meter {
       description "If supported, Observation Points, Selection
         Processes, and Caches can be configured.";
     }
     feature psampSampCountBased {
       description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
         count-based Sampling...";
     }
     feature psampSampTimeBased {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        time-based Sampling...";
     }
     feature psampSampRandOutOfN {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        random n-out-of-N Sampling...";
     }
     feature psampSampUniProb {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        uniform probabilistic Sampling...";
     }
     feature psampFilterMatch {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        property match Filtering...";
     }
     feature psampFilterHash {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        hash-based Filtering...";
     }
     feature cacheModeImmediate {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
        Cache Mode 'immediate'.";
     }
     feature cacheModeTimeout {
       description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
         Cache Mode 'timeout'.";
```

[Page 46]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
    }
    feature cacheModeNatural {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
       Cache Mode 'natural'.";
    }
    feature cacheModePermanent {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports
       Cache Mode 'permanent'.";
    }
    feature udpTransport {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports UDP
       as transport protocol.";
    }
    feature tcpTransport {
      description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports TCP
       as transport protocol.";
    }
    feature fileReader {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports the
       configuration of Collecting Processes as File Readers.";
    }
    feature fileWriter {
     description "If supported, the Monitoring Device supports the
       configuration of Exporting Processes as File Writers.";
    }
    * Identities
    /*** Hash function identities ***/
    identity hashFunction {
     description "Base identity for all hash functions...";
    identity BOB {
     base "hashFunction";
      description "BOB hash function";
     reference "RFC5475, Section 6.2.4.1.";
    identity IPSX {
     base "hashFunction";
      description "IPSX hash function";
```

[Page 47]

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft
                   YANG Language Abstractions
      reference "RFC5475, Section 6.2.4.1.";
     identity CRC {
      base "hashFunction";
      description "CRC hash function";
      reference "RFC5475, Section 6.2.4.1.";
     }
     /*** Export mode identities ***/
     identity exportMode {
      description "Base identity for different usages of export
        destinations configured for an Exporting Process...";
     identity parallel {
      base "exportMode";
      description "Parallel export of Data Records to all
        destinations configured for the Exporting Process.";
     identity loadBalancing {
      base "exportMode";
      description "Load-balancing between the different
        destinations...";
     identity fallback {
      base "exportMode";
      description "Export to the primary destination...";
     }
     /*** Options type identities ***/
     identity optionsType {
      description "Base identity for report types exported
         with options...";
     identity meteringStatistics {
      base "optionsType";
      description "Metering Process Statistics.";
      reference "RFC 5101, Section 4.1.";
     }
    identity meteringReliability {
      base "optionsType";
      description "Metering Process Reliability Statistics.";
      reference "RFC 5101, Section 4.2.";
     identity exportingReliability {
      base "optionsType";
      description "Exporting Process Reliability
        Statistics.";
      reference "RFC 5101, Section 4.3.";
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 48]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions
                                            October 2010
    identity flowKeys {
     base "optionsType";
      description "Flow Keys.";
      reference "RFC 5101, Section 4.4.";
    identity selectionSequence {
      base "optionsType";
      description "Selection Sequence and Selector Reports.";
      reference "RFC5476, Sections 6.5.1 and 6.5.2.";
    ļ
    identity selectionStatistics {
      base "optionsType";
      description "Selection Sequence Statistics Report.";
     reference "RFC5476, Sections 6.5.3.";
    identity accuracy {
      base "optionsType";
      description "Accuracy Report.";
     reference "RFC5476, Section 6.5.4.";
    identity reducingRedundancy {
     base "optionsType";
      description "Enables the utilization of Options Templates to
       reduce redundancy in the exported Data Records.";
     reference "RFC5473.";
    identity extendedTypeInformation {
      base "optionsType";
      description "Export of extended type information for
       enterprise-specific Information Elements used in the
       exported Templates.";
     reference "RFC5610.";
    }
    * Type definitions
    typedef nameType {
     type string {
       length "1..max";
       pattern "S(.*S)?";
      }
      description "Type for 'name' leafs...";
    }
    typedef direction {
```

[Page 49]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
      type enumeration {
       enum ingress {
         description "This value is used for monitoring incoming
           packets.";
        }
       enum egress {
         description "This value is used for monitoring outgoing
           packets.";
        }
        enum both {
         description "This value is used for monitoring incoming and
           outgoing packets.";
        }
      }
      description "Direction of packets going through an interface or
       linecard.";
    }
    typedef transportSessionStatus {
      type enumeration {
       enum inactive {
         description "This value MUST be used for ... ";
        }
       enum active {
         description "This value MUST be used for ... ";
        }
       enum unknown {
         description "This value MUST be used if the status...";
        }
      }
      description "Status of a Transport Session.";
      reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTransportSessionStatus).";
    }
    * Complex types
    ct:complex-type ObservationPoint {
      description "Observation Point";
      key name;
      leaf name {
       type nameType;
       description "Key of an observation point.";
      }
      leaf observationPointId {
       type uint32;
       config false;
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 50]

```
YANG Language Abstractions
                                                          October 2010
Internet-Draft
         description "Observation Point ID...";
         reference "RFC5102, Section 5.1.10.";
       leaf observationDomainId {
         type uint32;
         mandatory true;
         description "The Observation Domain ID associates...";
         reference "RFC5101.";
       }
       choice OPLocation {
         mandatory true;
         description "Location of the Observation Point.";
         leaf ifIndex {
           type uint32;
          description "Index of an interface...";
          reference "RFC 1229.";
         }
         leaf ifName {
           type string;
           description "Name of an interface...";
          reference "RFC 1229.";
         leaf entPhysicalIndex {
           type uint32;
           description "Index of a linecard...";
          reference "RFC 4133.";
         }
         leaf entPhysicalName {
           type string;
           description "Name of a linecard...";
           reference "RFC 4133.";
         }
       }
       leaf direction {
        type direction;
         default both;
         description "Direction of packets....";
       ļ
       leaf-list selectionProcess {
         type instance-identifier { ct:instance-type SelectionProcess; }
         description "Selection Processes in this list process packets
           in parallel.";
       }
     }
     ct:complex-type Selector {
       ct:abstract true;
       description "Abstract selector";
Linowski, et al.
                       Expires April 22, 2011
                                                                [Page 51]
```

```
YANG Language Abstractions
                                                   October 2010
Internet-Draft
      key name;
       leaf name {
           type nameType;
           description "Key of a selector";
       leaf packetsObserved {
        type yang:counter64;
         config false;
        description "The number of packets observed ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixSelectorStatsPacketsObserved).";
       }
       leaf packetsDropped {
        type yang:counter64;
        config false;
        description "The total number of packets discarded ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixSelectorStatsPacketsDropped).";
       leaf selectorDiscontinuityTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        config false;
        description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
          one or more of the Selector counters suffered a
          discontinuity...";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixSelectionProcessStatsDiscontinuityTime).";
       }
     }
    ct:complex-type SelectAllSelector {
      ct:extends Selector;
      description "Method which selects all packets.";
     }
    ct:complex-type SampCountBasedSelector {
           if-feature psampSampCountBased;
           ct:extends Selector;
          description "Selector applying systematic count-based
            packet sampling to the packet stream.";
          reference "RFC5475, Section 5.1;
            RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.1.";
           leaf packetInterval {
             type uint32;
            units packets;
            mandatory true;
             description "The number of packets that are consecutively
               sampled between gaps of length packetSpace.
```

[Page 52]

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft
                     YANG Language Abstractions
               This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
               samplingPacketInterval.";
             reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.2.";
           leaf packetSpace {
             type uint32;
             units packets;
             mandatory true;
             description "The number of unsampled packets between two
               sampling intervals.
               This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
               samplingPacketSpace.";
             reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.3.";
           }
     }
     ct:complex-type SampTimeBasedSelector {
           if-feature psampSampTimeBased;
           ct:extends Selector;
          description "Selector applying systematic time-based
             packet sampling to the packet stream.";
           reference "RFC5475, Section 5.1;
             RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.2.";
           leaf timeInterval {
             type uint32;
             units microseconds;
             mandatory true;
             description "The time interval in microseconds during
               which all arriving packets are sampled between gaps
               of length timeSpace.
               This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
               samplingTimeInterval.";
             reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.4.";
           leaf timeSpace {
             type uint32;
             units microseconds;
             mandatory true;
             description "The time interval in microseconds during
               which no packets are sampled between two sampling
               intervals specified by timeInterval.
               This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
               samplingTimeInterval.";
             reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.5.";
           }
     }
    ct:complex-type SampRandOutOfNSelector {
```

[Page 53]

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft
                      YANG Language Abstractions
           if-feature psampSampRandOutOfN;
           ct:extends Selector;
           description "This container contains the configuration
             parameters of a Selector applying n-out-of-N packet
             sampling to the packet stream.";
           reference "RFC5475, Section 5.2.1;
RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.3.";
leaf size {
             type uint32;
             units packets;
             mandatory true;
             description "The number of elements taken from the parent
               population.
               This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
               samplingSize.";
             reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.6.";
           }
           leaf population {
             type uint32;
             units packets;
             mandatory true;
             description "The number of elements in the parent
               population.
               This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
               samplingPopulation.";
             reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.7.";
           }
     }
     ct:complex-type SampUniProbSelector {
           if-feature psampSampUniProb;
           ct:extends Selector;
           description "Selector applying uniform probabilistic
             packet sampling (with equal probability per packet) to the
             packet stream.";
           reference "RFC5475, Section 5.2.2.1;
             RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.4.";
           leaf probability {
             type decimal64 {
               fraction-digits 18;
               range "0..1";
             }
             mandatory true;
             description "Probability that a packet is sampled,
               expressed as a value between 0 and 1. The probability
               is equal for every packet.
               This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
               samplingProbability.";
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 54]

```
YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
Internet-Draft
            reference "RFC5477, Section 8.2.8.";
           }
     }
     ct:complex-type FilterMatchSelector {
           if-feature psampFilterMatch;
           ct:extends Selector;
           description "This container contains the configuration
             parameters of a Selector applying property match filtering
             to the packet stream.";
           reference "RFC5475, Section 6.1;
             RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.5.";
           choice nameOrId {
             mandatory true;
             description "The field to be matched is specified by
               either the name or the ID of the Information
              Element.";
             leaf ieName {
               type string;
               description "Name of the Information Element.";
             leaf ieId {
               type uint16 {
                 range "1..32767" {
                   description "Valid range of Information Element
                       identifiers.";
                   reference "RFC5102, Section 4.";
                 }
               }
               description "ID of the Information Element.";
             }
           }
           leaf ieEnterpriseNumber {
             type uint32;
             description "If present, ... ";
           }
           leaf value {
             type string;
            mandatory true;
            description "Matching value of the Information Element.";
           }
     }
     ct:complex-type FilterHashSelector {
           if-feature psampFilterHash;
           ct:extends Selector;
           description "This container contains the configuration
             parameters of a Selector applying hash-based filtering
Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011
                                                               [Page 55]
```

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft
                     YANG Language Abstractions
             to the packet stream.";
           reference "RFC5475, Section 6.2;
             RFC5476, Section 6.5.2.6.";
           leaf hashFunction {
             type identityref {
               base "hashFunction";
             default BOB;
             description "Hash function to be applied. According to
               RFC5475, Section 6.2.4.1, 'BOB' must be used in order to
               be compliant with PSAMP.";
           leaf ipPayloadOffset {
             type uint64;
             units octets;
             default 0;
            description "IP payload offset ... ";
            reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.2.";
           }
           leaf ipPayloadSize {
             type uint64;
             units octets;
             default 8;
             description "Number of IP payload bytes ... ";
             reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.3.";
           }
           leaf digestOutput {
             type boolean;
             default false;
             description "If true, the output ... ";
            reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.8.";
           }
           leaf initializerValue {
             type uint64;
             description "Initializer value to the hash function.
               If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device
               arbitrarily chooses an initializer value.";
             reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.9.";
           }
           list selectedRange {
            key name;
             min-elements 1;
             description "List of hash function return ranges for
               which packets are selected.";
             leaf name {
               type nameType;
               description "Key of this list.";
             }
```

| Linowski, et al. | Expires April | 22, 2011 | [Page 56] |
|------------------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| ,,, | F | , | 1 |

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions
                                                  October 2010
             leaf min {
               type uint64;
               description "Beginning of the hash function's selected
                 range.
                 This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
                 hashSelectedRangeMin.";
               reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.6.";
             1
             leaf max {
               type uint64;
               description "End of the hash function's selected range.
                 This parameter corresponds to the Information Element
                hashSelectedRangeMax.";
              reference "RFC5477, Section 8.3.7.";
             }
           }
    }
     ct:complex-type Cache {
      ct:abstract true;
      description "Cache of a Monitoring Device.";
      key name;
      leaf name {
        type nameType;
        description "Key of a cache";
       leaf-list exportingProcess {
        type leafref { path "/ipfix/exportingProcess/name"; }
        description "Records are exported by all Exporting Processes
           in the list.";
       }
      description "Configuration and state parameters of a Cache.";
       container cacheLayout {
        description "Cache Layout.";
        list cacheField {
          key name;
          min-elements 1;
          description "List of fields in the Cache Layout.";
           leaf name {
             type nameType;
            description "Key of this list.";
           }
           choice nameOrId {
            mandatory true;
            description "Name or ID of the Information Element.";
            reference "RFC5102.";
            leaf ieName {
               type string;
```

[Page 57]

```
YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
Internet-Draft
               description "Name of the Information Element.";
             leaf ieId {
               type uint16 {
                 range "1..32767" {
                   description "Valid range of Information Element
                       identifiers.";
                  reference "RFC5102, Section 4.";
                 }
               description "ID of the Information Element.";
             }
           }
           leaf ieLength {
             type uint16;
             units octets;
            description "Length of the field ... ";
            reference "RFC5101, Section 6.2; RFC5102.";
           )
           leaf ieEnterpriseNumber {
             type uint32;
             description "If present, the Information Element is
               enterprise-specific. ... ";
            reference "RFC5101; RFC5102.";
           leaf isFlowKey {
            when "(../../cacheMode != 'immediate')
              and
               ((count(../ieEnterpriseNumber) = 0)
              or
               (../ieEnterpriseNumber != 29305))" {
               description "This parameter is not available
                 for Reverse Information Elements (which have
                 enterprise number 29305) or if the Cache Mode
                 is 'immediate'.";
             }
             type empty;
            description "If present, this is a flow key.";
           }
        }
       }
       leaf dataRecords {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "Data Records";
        config false;
        description "The number of Data Records generated ... ";
        reference "ietf-draft-ipfix-mib-10, Section 8
           (ipfixMeteringProcessDataRecords).";
```

[Page 58]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions
                                                  October 2010
       leaf cacheDiscontinuityTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        config false;
        description "Timestamp of the ... ";
        reference "ietf-draft-ipfix-mib-10, Section 8
           (ipfixMeteringProcessDiscontinuityTime).";
      }
     }
    ct:complex-type ImmediateCache {
      if-feature cacheModeImmediate;
      ct:extends Cache;
     }
    ct:complex-type NonImmediateCache {
      ct:abstract true;
      ct:extends Cache;
      leaf maxFlows {
        type uint32;
        units flows;
        description "This parameter configures the maximum number of
          Flows in the Cache ... ";
       ļ
      leaf activeFlows {
        type yang:gauge32;
        units flows;
        config false;
        description "The number of Flows currently active in this
          Cache.";
        reference "ietf-draft-ipfix-mib-10, Section 8
          (ipfixMeteringProcessCacheActiveFlows).";
       leaf unusedCacheEntries {
        type yang:gauge32;
        units flows;
        config false;
        description "The number of unused Cache entries in this
          Cache.";
        reference "ietf-draft-ipfix-mib-10, Section 8
          (ipfixMeteringProcessCacheUnusedCacheEntries).";
       }
    }
   ct:complex-type NonPermanentCache {
     ct:abstract true;
     ct:extends NonImmediateCache;
```

[Page 59]

```
YANG Language Abstractions
                                                   October 2010
Internet-Draft
      leaf activeTimeout {
        type uint32;
        units milliseconds;
        description "This parameter configures the time in
          milliseconds after which ... ";
      leaf inactiveTimeout {
        type uint32;
        units milliseconds;
        description "This parameter configures the time in
          milliseconds after which ... ";
      }
    }
    ct:complex-type NaturalCache {
      if-feature cacheModeNatural;
      ct:extends NonPermanentCache;
    }
    ct:complex-type TimeoutCache {
      if-feature cacheModeTimeout;
      ct:extends NonPermanentCache;
    }
    ct:complex-type PermanentCache {
      if-feature cacheModePermanent;
      ct:extends NonImmediateCache;
      leaf exportInterval {
        type uint32;
        units milliseconds;
        description "This parameter configures the interval for
          periodical export of Flow Records in milliseconds.
          If not configured by the user, the Monitoring Device sets
          this parameter.";
       }
    }
    ct:complex-type ExportDestination {
      ct:abstract true;
      description "Abstract export destination.";
      key name;
      leaf name {
        type nameType;
        description "Key of an export destination.";
      }
    }
    ct:complex-type IpDestination {
Linowski, et al.
                        Expires April 22, 2011
                                                                [Page 60]
```

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
     ct:abstract true;
      ct:extends ExportDestination;
     description "IP export destination.";
      leaf ipfixVersion {
        type uint16;
        default 10;
        description "IPFIX version number.";
       }
       leaf destinationPort {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "If not configured by the user, the Monitoring
          Device uses the default port number for IPFIX, which is
          4739 without transport layer security and 4740 if transport
          layer security is activated.";
       }
      choice indexOrName {
        description "Index or name of the interface ... ";
        reference "RFC 1229.";
        leaf ifIndex {
          type uint32;
          description "Index of an interface as stored in the ifTable
            of IF-MIB.";
          reference "RFC 1229.";
         }
         leaf ifName {
          type string;
          description "Name of an interface as stored in the ifTable
            of IF-MIB.";
          reference "RFC 1229.";
        }
       }
       leaf sendBufferSize {
        type uint32;
        units bytes;
        description "Size of the socket send buffer.
          If not configured by the user, this parameter is set by
          the Monitoring Device.";
       }
      leaf rateLimit {
        type uint32;
        units "bytes per second";
        description "Maximum number of bytes per second ... ";
        reference "RFC5476, Section 6.3";
       }
      container transportLayerSecurity {
        presence "If transportLayerSecurity is present, DTLS is
          enabled if the transport protocol is SCTP or UDP, and TLS
           is enabled if the transport protocol is TCP.";
```

[Page 61]

```
YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
Internet-Draft
        description "Transport layer security configuration.";
        uses transportLayerSecurityParameters;
       }
      container transportSession {
        config false;
        description "State parameters of the Transport Session
           directed to the given destination.";
        uses transportSessionParameters;
       }
   }
    ct:complex-type SctpExporter {
      ct:extends IpDestination;
      description "SCTP exporter.";
      leaf-list sourceIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "List of source IP addresses used ... ";
        reference "RFC 4960 (multi-homed SCTP endpoint).";
       )
      leaf-list destinationIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        min-elements 1;
        description "One or multiple IP addresses ... ";
        reference "RFC 4960 (multi-homed SCTP endpoint).";
      leaf timedReliability {
        type uint32;
        units milliseconds;
        default 0;
        description "Lifetime in milliseconds ... ";
        reference "RFC 3758; RFC 4960.";
      }
     }
     ct:complex-type UdpExporter {
      ct:extends IpDestination;
       if-feature udpTransport;
      description "UDP parameters.";
      leaf sourceIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "Source IP address used by the Exporting
           Process ....";
       }
       leaf destinationIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        mandatory true;
        description "IP address of the Collection Process to which
           IPFIX Messages are sent.";
```

[Page 62]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
       leaf maxPacketSize {
         type uint16;
         units octets;
         description "This parameter specifies the maximum size of
           IP packets ... ";
       leaf templateRefreshTimeout {
         type uint32;
         units seconds;
         default 600;
         description "Sets time after which Templates are resent in the
           UDP Transport Session. ... ";
         reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.6; RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout).";
       leaf optionsTemplateRefreshTimeout {
         type uint32;
         units seconds;
         default 600;
         description "Sets time after which Options Templates are
          resent in the UDP Transport Session. ... ";
         reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.6; RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout).";
       leaf templateRefreshPacket {
         type uint32;
         units "IPFIX Messages";
         description "Sets number of IPFIX Messages after which
           Templates are resent in the UDP Transport Session. ... ";
         reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.6; RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket).";
       }
       leaf optionsTemplateRefreshPacket {
         type uint32;
         units "IPFIX Messages";
         description "Sets number of IPFIX Messages after which
          Options Templates are resent in the UDP Transport Session
          protocol. ... ";
         reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.6; RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket).";
       }
    }
     ct:complex-type TcpExporter {
       ct:extends IpDestination;
       if-feature tcpTransport;
       description "TCP exporter";
Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011
                                                               [Page 63]
```

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
      leaf sourceIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "Source IP address used by the Exporting
          Process...";
       leaf destinationIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        mandatory true;
        description "IP address of the Collection Process to which
          IPFIX Messages are sent.";
      }
    }
    ct:complex-type FileWriter {
      ct:extends ExportDestination;
      if-feature fileWriter;
      description "File Writer.";
      leaf ipfixVersion {
        type uint16;
        default 10;
        description "IPFIX version number.";
       leaf file {
        type inet:uri;
        mandatory true;
        description "URI specifying the location of the file.";
       leaf bytes {
        type yang:counter64;
        units octets;
        config false;
        description "The number of bytes written by the File
          Writer...";
       leaf messages {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        config false;
        description "The number of IPFIX Messages written by the File
          Writer. ... ";
       }
       leaf discardedMessages {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        config false;
        description "The number of IPFIX Messages that could not be
          written by the File Writer ... ";
      }
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 64]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
      leaf records {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "Data Records";
        config false;
        description "The number of Data Records written by the File
          Writer. ... ";
       leaf templates {
        type yang:counter32;
        units "Templates";
        config false;
        description "The number of Template Records (excluding
          Options Template Records) written by the File Writer.
          ... ";
       ļ
      leaf optionsTemplates {
        type yang:counter32;
        units "Options Templates";
        config false;
        description "The number of Options Template Records written
          by the File Writer. ... ";
       leaf fileWriterDiscontinuityTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        config false;
        description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
          one or more File Writer counters suffered a discontinuity.
          ... ";
       }
      list template {
        config false;
        description "This list contains the Templates and Options
          Templates that have been written by the File Reader. ... ";
        uses templateParameters;
      }
     }
    ct:complex-type ExportingProcess {
      if-feature exporter;
      description "Exporting Process of the Monitoring Device.";
      key name;
      leaf name {
        type nameType;
        description "Key of this list.";
       }
       leaf exportMode {
        type identityref {
          base "exportMode";
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 65]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
         default parallel;
         description "This parameter determines to which configured
           destination(s) the incoming Data Records are exported.";
       }
       ct:instance-list destination {
         ct:instance-type ExportDestination;
         min-elements 1;
         description "Export destinations.";
       list options {
         key name;
         description "List of options reported by the Exporting
          Process.";
         leaf name {
           type nameType;
          description "Key of this list.";
         leaf optionsType {
           type identityref {
            base "optionsType";
           }
           mandatory true;
          description "Type of the exported options data.";
         ļ
         leaf optionsTimeout {
           type uint32;
           units milliseconds;
          description "Time interval for periodic export of the options
            data. ... ";
         }
       }
     }
     ct:complex-type CollectingProcess {
       description "A Collecting Process.";
       key name;
       leaf name {
        type nameType;
        description "Key of a collecing process.";
       }
       ct:instance-list sctpCollector {
        ct:instance-type SctpCollector;
         description "List of SCTP receivers (sockets) on which the
           Collecting Process receives IPFIX Messages.";
       }
       ct:instance-list udpCollector {
         if-feature udpTransport;
Linowski, et al.
                  Expires April 22, 2011
                                                               [Page 66]
```

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft
                   YANG Language Abstractions
        ct:instance-type UdpCollector;
        description "List of UDP receivers (sockets) on which the
          Collecting Process receives IPFIX Messages.";
      ct:instance-list tcpCollector {
        if-feature tcpTransport;
         ct:instance-type TcpCollector;
        description "List of TCP receivers (sockets) on which the
           Collecting Process receives IPFIX Messages.";
       }
      ct:instance-list fileReader {
        if-feature fileReader;
        ct:instance-type FileReader;
        description "List of File Readers from which the Collecting
          Process reads IPFIX Messages.";
       leaf-list exportingProcess {
        type instance-identifier { ct:instance-type ExportingProcess; }
        description "Export of received records without any
          modifications. Records are processed by all Exporting
          Processes in the list.";
      }
     }
     ct:complex-type Collector {
        ct:abstract true;
        description "Abstract collector.";
        key name;
        leaf name {
          type nameType;
          description "Key of collectors";
        }
     }
     ct:complex-type IpCollector {
      ct:abstract true;
      ct:extends Collector;
      description "Collector for IP transport protocols.";
      leaf localPort {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "If not configured, the Monitoring Device uses the
          default port number for IPFIX, which is 4739 without
          transport layer security and 4740 if transport layer
          security is activated.";
       }
       container transportLayerSecurity {
        presence "If transportLayerSecurity is present, DTLS is enabled
          if the transport protocol is SCTP or UDP, and TLS is enabled
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 67]
```
YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
Internet-Draft
          if the transport protocol is TCP.";
        description "Transport layer security configuration.";
        uses transportLayerSecurityParameters;
       list transportSession {
        config false;
        description "This list contains the currently established
           Transport Sessions terminating at the given socket.";
        uses transportSessionParameters;
       }
     }
    ct:complex-type SctpCollector {
      ct:extends IpCollector;
      description "Collector listening on aSCTP socket";
      leaf-list localIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "List of local IP addresses ... ";
        reference "RFC 4960 (multi-homed SCTP endpoint).";
      }
     }
    ct:complex-type UdpCollector {
      ct:extends IpCollector;
      description "Parameters of a listening UDP socket at a
        Collecting Process.";
      leaf-list localIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "List of local IP addresses on which the Collecting
          Process listens for IPFIX Messages.";
       leaf templateLifeTime {
        type uint32;
        units seconds;
        default 1800;
        description "Sets the lifetime of Templates for all UDP
          Transport Sessions ... ";
        reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.7; RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshTimeout).";
       leaf optionsTemplateLifeTime {
        type uint32;
        units seconds;
        default 1800;
        description "Sets the lifetime of Options Templates for all
          UDP Transport Sessions terminating at this UDP socket.
           ... ";
        reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.7; RFC5815, Section 8
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 68]

```
YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
Internet-Draft
           (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshTimeout).";
       leaf templateLifePacket {
        type uint32;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        description "If this parameter is configured, Templates
           defined in a UDP Transport Session become invalid if ....";
        reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.7; RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionTemplateRefreshPacket).";
       leaf optionsTemplateLifePacket {
        type uint32;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        description "If this parameter is configured, Options
          Templates defined in a UDP Transport Session become
          invalid if ...";
        reference "RFC5101, Section 10.3.7; RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplateRefreshPacket).";
      }
     }
     ct:complex-type TcpCollector {
      ct:extends IpCollector;
      description "Collector listening on a TCP socket.";
      leaf-list localIPAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "List of local IP addresses on which the Collecting
          Process listens for IPFIX Messages.";
      }
     }
    ct:complex-type FileReader {
      ct:extends Collector;
      description "File Reading collector.";
      leaf file {
        type inet:uri;
        mandatory true;
        description "URI specifying the location of the file.";
       }
      leaf bytes {
        type yang:counter64;
        units octets;
        config false;
        description "The number of bytes read by the File Reader.
           ... ";
       }
       leaf messages {
        type yang:counter64;
```

[Page 69]

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011

```
YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
Internet-Draft
        units "IPFIX Messages";
         config false;
        description "The number of IPFIX Messages read by the File
           Reader. ... ";
       leaf records {
         type yang:counter64;
         units "Data Records";
         config false;
        description "The number of Data Records read by the File
          Reader. ... ";
       }
      leaf templates {
        type yang:counter32;
        units "Templates";
        config false;
        description "The number of Template Records (excluding
           Options Template Records) read by the File Reader. ... ";
       ļ
       leaf optionsTemplates {
         type yang:counter32;
        units "Options Templates";
        config false;
        description "The number of Options Template Records read by
          the File Reader. ... ";
       }
      leaf fileReaderDiscontinuityTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
         config false;
        description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion ... ";
       list template {
         config false;
         description "This list contains the Templates and Options
          Templates that have been read by the File Reader.
          Withdrawn or invalidated (Options) Template MUST be removed
          from this list.";
        uses templateParameters;
       }
     }
    ct:complex-type SelectionProcess {
        description "Selection Process";
        key name;
         leaf name {
          type nameType;
          description "Key of a selection process.";
         }
```

Expires April 22, 2011

[Page 70]

Linowski, et al.

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions
        ct:instance-list selector {
         ct:instance-type Selector;
         min-elements 1;
          ordered-by user;
         description "List of Selectors that define the action of the
           Selection Process on a single packet. The Selectors are
           serially invoked in the same order as they appear in this
           list.";
        list selectionSequence {
         config false;
         description "This list contains the Selection Sequence IDs
           which are assigned by the Monitoring Device ... ";
         reference "RFC5476.";
          leaf observationDomainId {
           type uint32;
           description "Observation Domain ID for which the
             Selection Sequence ID is assigned.";
          leaf selectionSequenceId {
           type uint64;
           description "Selection Sequence ID used in the Selection
             Sequence (Statistics) Report Interpretation.";
          }
        }
        leaf cache {
          type instance-identifier { ct:instance-type Cache; }
         description "Cache which receives the output of the
           Selection Process.";
        }
      }
    * Groupings
    *****
    grouping transportLayerSecurityParameters {
      description "Transport layer security parameters.";
      leaf-list localCertificationAuthorityDN {
        type string;
        description "Distinguished names of certification authorities
          whose certificates may be used to identify the local
          endpoint.";
      }
      leaf-list localSubjectDN {
        type string;
        description "Distinguished names which may be used in the
          certificates to identify the local endpoint.";
```

Expires April 22, 2011

[Page 71]

Linowski, et al.

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
       leaf-list localSubjectFQDN {
        type inet:domain-name;
        description "Fully qualified domain names which may be used to
           in the certificates to identify the local endpoint.";
       leaf-list remoteCertificationAuthorityDN {
        type string;
        description "Distinguished names of certification authorities
          whose certificates are accepted to authorize remote
          endpoints.";
       leaf-list remoteSubjectDN {
        type string;
        description "Distinguished names which are accepted in
          certificates to authorize remote endpoints.";
       }
       leaf-list remoteSubjectFQDN {
        type inet:domain-name;
        description "Fully qualified domain name which are accepted in
          certificates to authorize remote endpoints.";
       }
     }
    grouping templateParameters {
      description "State parameters of a Template used by an Exporting
        Process or received by a Collecting Process ... ";
      reference "RFC5101; RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateEntry,
        ipfixTemplateDefinitionEntry, ipfixTemplateStatsEntry)";
       leaf observationDomainId {
        type uint32;
        description "The ID of the Observation Domain for which this
          Template is defined.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTemplateObservationDomainId).";
       }
       leaf templateId {
        type uint16 {
          range "256..65535" {
            description "Valid range of Template IDs.";
            reference "RFC5101";
          }
         }
        description "This number indicates the Template Id in the IPFIX
          message.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateId).";
       leaf setId {
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 72]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
        type uint16;
        description "This number indicates the Set ID of the Template.
           ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateSetId).";
       }
       leaf accessTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        description "Used for Exporting Processes, ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateAccessTime).";
       leaf templateDataRecords {
        type yang:counter64;
        description "The number of transmitted or received Data
          Records ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateDataRecords).";
       leaf templateDiscontinuityTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
          the counter templateDataRecords suffered a discontinuity.
          ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTemplateDiscontinuityTime).";
       list field {
        description "This list contains the (Options) Template
          fields of which the (Options) Template is defined.
           .... ";
         leaf ieId {
          type uint16 {
            range "1..32767" {
              description "Valid range of Information Element
                   identifiers.";
              reference "RFC5102, Section 4.";
            }
           }
          description "This parameter indicates the Information
            Element Id of the field.";
          reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeId);
            RFC5102.";
         }
         leaf ieLength {
          type uint16;
          units octets;
          description "This parameter indicates the length of the
            Information Element of the field.";
          reference "RFC5815, Section 8
             (ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeLength); RFC5102.";
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 73]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
         leaf ieEnterpriseNumber {
          type uint32;
          description "This parameter indicates the IANA enterprise
            number of the authority ... ";
          reference "RFC5815, Section 8
             (ipfixTemplateDefinitionIeEnterpriseNumber).";
         leaf isFlowKey {
          when "../../setId = 2" {
            description "This parameter is available for non-Options
               Templates (Set ID is 2).";
           }
          type empty;
          description "If present, this is a Flow Key field.";
          reference "RFC5815, Section 8
             (ipfixTemplateDefinitionFlags).";
         leaf isScope {
          when "../../setId = 3" {
            description "This parameter is available for Options
              Templates (Set ID is 3).";
           }
          type empty;
          description "If present, this is a scope field.";
          reference "RFC5815, Section 8
            (ipfixTemplateDefinitionFlags).";
         }
      }
     }
    grouping transportSessionParameters {
      description "State parameters of a Transport Session ... ";
      reference "RFC5101, RFC5815, Section 8
         (ipfixTransportSessionEntry,
         ipfixTransportSessionStatsEntry)";
       leaf ipfixVersion {
        type uint16;
        description "Used for Exporting Processes, this parameter
          contains the version number of the IPFIX protocol ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionIpfixVersion).";
       }
       leaf sourceAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "The source address of the Exporter of the
          IPFIX Transport Session... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 74]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
           (ipfixTransportSessionSourceAddressType,
           ipfixTransportSessionSourceAddress).";
       leaf destinationAddress {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "The destination address of the Collector of
           the IPFIX Transport Session... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionDestinationAddressType,
           ipfixTransportSessionDestinationAddress).";
       }
       leaf sourcePort {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "The transport protocol port number of the
          Exporter of the IPFIX Transport Session.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionSourcePort).";
       leaf destinationPort {
        type inet:port-number;
        description "The transport protocol port number of the
          Collector of the IPFIX Transport Session... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionDestinationPort).";
       leaf sctpAssocId {
        type uint32;
        description "The association id used for the SCTP session
          between the Exporter and the Collector ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionSctpAssocId),
          RFC3871";
       }
       leaf status {
        type transportSessionStatus;
        description "Status of the Transport Session.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTransportSessionStatus).";
       }
      leaf rate {
        type yang:gauge32;
        units "bytes per second";
        description "The number of bytes per second transmitted by the
          Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process.
          This parameter is updated every second.";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTransportSessionRate).";
       }
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 75]

leaf bytes {

type yang:counter64;

```
October 2010
Internet-Draft
                   YANG Language Abstractions
        units bytes;
        description "The number of bytes transmitted by the
          Exporting Process or received by the Collecting
          Process ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8 (ipfixTransportSessionBytes).";
       }
       leaf messages {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        description "The number of messages transmitted by the
          Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionMessages).";
       ļ
       leaf discardedMessages {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "IPFIX Messages";
        description "Used for Exporting Processes, this parameter
           indicates the number of messages that could not be
          sent ...";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
          (ipfixTransportSessionDiscardedMessages).";
       }
       leaf records {
        type yang:counter64;
        units "Data Records";
        description "The number of Data Records transmitted ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionRecords).";
       leaf templates {
        type yang:counter32;
        units "Templates";
        description "The number of Templates transmitted by the
          Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process.
           ... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionTemplates).";
       }
       leaf optionsTemplates {
        type yang:counter32;
        units "Options Templates";
        description "The number of Option Templates transmitted by the
          Exporting Process or received by the Collecting Process...";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
           (ipfixTransportSessionOptionsTemplates).";
       leaf transportSessionStartTime {
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011 [Page 76]

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
        type yang:date-and-time;
       description "Timestamp of the start of the given Transport
         Session... ";
      leaf transportSessionDiscontinuityTime {
        type yang:date-and-time;
       description "Timestamp of the most recent occasion at which
         one or more of the Transport Session counters suffered a
         discontinuity... ";
        reference "RFC5815, Section 8
         (ipfixTransportSessionDiscontinuityTime).";
      list template {
       description "This list contains the Templates and Options
         Templates that are transmitted by the Exporting Process
         or received by the Collecting Process.
         Withdrawn or invalidated (Options) Template MUST be removed
         from this list.";
       uses templateParameters;
      }
    }
    * Main container
    container ipfix {
      description "Top-level node of the IPFIX/PSAMP configuration
       data model.";
      ct:instance-list collectingProcess {
        if-feature collector;
        ct:instance-type CollectingProcess;
      }
      ct:instance-list observationPoint {
       if-feature meter;
       ct:instance-type ObservationPoint;
      }
      ct:instance-list selectionProcess {
        if-feature meter;
        ct:instance-type SelectionProcess;
      }
      ct:instance-list cache {
        if-feature meter;
       description "Cache of the Monitoring Device.";
```

[Page 77]

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011

```
Internet-Draft YANG Language Abstractions October 2010
        ct:instance-type Cache;
       }
       ct:instance-list exportingProcess {
        if-feature exporter;
        description "Exporting Process of the Monitoring Device.";
        ct:instance-type ExportingProcess;
       }
     }
}
<CODE ENDS>
Authors' Addresses
  Bernd Linowski
  TCS/Nokia Siemens Networks
  Heltorfer Strasse 1
  Duesseldorf 40472
  Germany
  EMail: bernd.linowski@ext.nsn.com
  Mehmet Ersue
  Nokia Siemens Networks
  St.-Martin-Strasse 53
  Munich 81541
  Germany
  EMail: mehmet.ersue@nsn.com
  Siarhei Kuryla
   360 Treasury Systems
  Grueneburgweg 16-18
  Frankfurt am Main 60322
  Germany
  EMail: s.kuryla@gmail.com
```

Linowski, et al. Expires April 22, 2011

[Page 78]

Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Standards Track Expires: September 15, 2011 J. Schoenwaelder Jacobs University March 14, 2011

Translation of SMIv2 MIB Modules to YANG Modules draft-schoenw-netmod-smi-yang-02

Abstract

YANG is a data modeling language used to model configuration and state data manipulated by the NETCONF protocol, NETCONF remote procedure calls, and NETCONF notifications. The Structure of Management Information (SMIv2) defines fundamental data types, an object model, and the rules for writing and revising MIB modules for use with the SNMP protocol. This document defines a translation of SMIv2 MIB modules into YANG modules, enabling read-only access to data objects defined in SMIv2 MIB modules via NETCONF.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 15, 2011.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 1]

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 2]

Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | . 4 |
|---|------|
| 2. Mapping of Special Types | . 5 |
| 3. Module Prefix Generation | . 6 |
| 4. Translation of SMIv2 Modules and SMIv2 IMPORT Clauses | . 7 |
| 4.1. Example: IMPORTS of IF-MIB | . 8 |
| 5. Translation of the MODULE-IDENTITY Macro | . 9 |
| 5.1. MODULE-IDENTITY Translation Rules | . 9 |
| 5.2. Example: MODULE-IDENTITY of IF-MIB | . 9 |
| 6. Translation of the TEXTUAL-CONVENTION Macro | . 11 |
| 6.1. TEXTUAL-CONVENTION Translation Rules | . 11 |
| 6.2. Example: OwnerString and InterfaceIndex of IF-MIB | . 11 |
| 6.3. Example: IfDirection of the DIFFSERV-MIB | . 12 |
| 7. Translation of OBJECT IDENTIFIER Assignments | . 13 |
| 7.1. Object Identifier Assignment Translation Rules | . 13 |
| 7.2. Example: OBJECT IDENTIFIER Assignments of the IF-MIB | . 13 |
| 8. Translation of the OBJECT-TYPE Macro | . 14 |
| 8.1. Scalar and Columnar Object Translation Rules | . 14 |
| 8.2. Example: ifNumber and ifIndex of the IF-MIB | . 14 |
| 8.3. Non-Augmenting Conceptual Table Translation Rules | . 15 |
| 8.4. Example: ifTable of the IF-MIB | . 16 |
| 8.5. Example: ifRcvAddressTable of the IF-MIB | . 16 |
| 8.6. Augmenting Conceptual Tables Translation Rules | . 18 |
| 8.7. Example: ifXTable of the IF-MIB | . 18 |
| 9. Translation of the OBJECT-IDENTITY Macro | . 20 |
| 9.1. OBJECT-IDENTITY Translation Rules | . 20 |
| 9.2. Example: diffServTBParamSimpleTokenBucket of the | |
| DIFFSERV-MIB | . 20 |
| 10. Translation of the NOTIFICATION-TYPE Macro | . 21 |
| 10.1. NOTIFICATION-TYPE Translation Rules | . 21 |
| 10.2. Example: linkDown NOTIFICATION-TYPE of IF-MIB | . 21 |
| 11. YANG Language Extension Definition | . 24 |
| 12. IANA Considerations | . 26 |
| 13. Security Considerations | . 27 |
| 14. References | . 28 |
| 14.1. Normative References | . 28 |
| 14.2. Informative References | . 28 |
| Appendix A. Changes from 01 to 02 | . 29 |
| Appendix B. Changes from 00 to 01 | . 30 |
| Author's Address | . 31 |

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 3]

1. Introduction

This document describes an translation of SMIv2 [RFC2578], [RFC2579], [RFC2580] MIB modules into YANG [RFC6020] modules, enabling read-only access to data objects defined in SMIv2 MIB modules via NETCONF. The mapping is illustrated by examples showing the translation of part of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] SMIv2 module and the DIFFSERV-MIB [RFC3289] SMIv2 module.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, [RFC2119].

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 4]

2. Mapping of Special Types

The SMIv2 base types and some well known derived textual-conventions are mapped to YANG types according to Table 1. The mapping of the OCTET STRING depends on the context. If an OCTET STRING type has an associated DISPLAY-HINT, then the corresponding YANG base type is the string type. Otherwise, the binary type is used. Similarly, the mapping of the INTEGER type depends on its usage as an enumeration or a 32-bit integral type.

| + | + | + | ++ |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| SMIv2 | SMIv2 Type | YANG Module | YANG Type |
| Module | | | |
| + | , + | + | , ++ |
| SNMPv2-SMI | INTEGER | | enumeration |
| SNMPv2-SMI | INTEGER | | int32 |
| SNMPv2-SMI | Integer32 | | int32 |
| SNMPv2-SMI | OCTET STRING | | binary |
| SNMPv2-SMI | OCTET STRING | | string |
| SNMPv2-SMI | OBJECT | ietf-yang-types | object-identifier |
| | IDENTIFIER | | |
| SNMPv2-SMI | BITS | | bits |
| SNMPv2-SMI | IpAddress | ietf-inet-types | ipv4-address |
| SNMPv2-SMI | Counter32 | ietf-yang-types | counter32 |
| SNMPv2-SMI | Gauge32 | ietf-yang-types | gauge32 |
| SNMPv2-SMI | TimeTicks | ietf-yang-types | timeticks |
| SNMPv2-SMI | Opaque | | binary |
| SNMPv2-SMI | Counter64 | ietf-yang-types | counter64 |
| SNMPv2-SMI | Unsigned32 | | uint32 |
| SNMPv2-TC | PhysAddress | ietf-yang-types | phys-address |
| SNMPv2-TC | MacAddress | ietf-yang-types | mac-address |
| SNMPv2-TC | TimeStamp | ietf-yang-types | timestamp |
| + | + | + | ++ |

Mapping of SMIv2 types to YANG types

Table 1

The mappings shown in Table 1 may impact the imports of the generated YANG module since some SMIv2 types and textual-conventions map to YANG types defined in the ietf-yang-types and ietf-inet-types YANG modules [RFC6021]. Implementations must add any additional imports required by the type mapping.

Schoenwaelder

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 5]

3. Module Prefix Generation

The input of the prefix generation algorithm is a set of prefixes (usually derived from imported module names) and a specific module name to be converted into a prefix. The algorithm described below produces a prefix for the given module name that is unique within the set of prefixes.

Special prefixes for well known SMIv2 and YANG modules

| YANG / SMIv2 Module | Prefix |
|---------------------|--------|
| ietf-yang-types | yang |
| ietf-inet-types | inet |
| ietf-yang-smiv2 | smiv2 |

Table 2

- o First, some fixed translations mapping well known SMIv2 and YANG modules to short prefixes are tried (see Table 2). If a fixed translation rule exists and leads to a conflict free prefix, then the fixed translation is used.
- Otherwise, prefixes are generated by tokenizing an SMIv2 module name where hyphens are considered as token separators. The tokens derived with a module name are converted to lowercase characters. The prefix then becomes the shortest sequence of token concatenated using hyphens as separators, which includes at least two token and which is unique among all prefixes used in the YANG module.

In the worst case, the prefix derived from an SMIv2 module name becomes the SMIv2 module name translated to lower-case. But on average, much shorter prefixes are generated.

Schoenwaelder

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 6]

March 2011

4. Translation of SMIv2 Modules and SMIv2 IMPORT Clauses

SMIv2 modules are mapped to corresponding YANG modules. The YANG module name is the same as the SMIv2 module name.

The YANG namespace is constructed out of a constant prefix followed by the SMIv2 module name. Since SMIv2 module names are unique, the resulting YANG namespace is unique. The registered prefix is urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:smiv2:, see the IANA considerations section.

The YANG prefix is derived from the SMIv2 module name using the module prefix generation algorithm described in Section 3. The YANG prefix is supposed to be short and it must be unique within the set of all prefixes used by a YANG module. The algorithm described in Section 3 generates such prefixes.

SMIv2 IMPORT clauses are translated to YANG import statements. One major difference between the SMIv2 import mechanism and the YANG import mechanism is that SMIv2 IMPORT clauses import specific symbols from an SMIv2 module while the YANG import statement imports all symbols of the referenced YANG module.

SMIv2 imports that are ignored in YANG

+-----+SMIv2 ModuleSMIv2 SymbolSNMPv2-SMIMODULE-IDENTITYSNMPv2-SMIOBJECT-IDENTITYSNMPv2-SMIOBJECT-TYPESNMPv2-SMINOTIFICATION-TYPESNMPv2-SMImib-2SNMPv2-CONFOBJECT-GROUPSNMPv2-CONFNOTIFICATION-GROUPSNMPv2-CONFNOTIFICATION-GROUPSNMPv2-CONFMODULE-COMPLIANCESNMPv2-CONFAGENT-CAPABILITIESSNMPv2-MIBsnmpTrapsSNMPv2-SMIall symbols

Table 3

In order to produce correct and complete YANG import statements, it is necessary to apply the following rules:

Schoenwaelder

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 7]

- o Ignore all imports listed in Table 3. Note that the modules SNMPv2-SMI and SNMPv2-CONF are completely ignored since all definitions in these modules are translated by translation rules.
- Add any imports required by the type translations according to the type mapping table. This requires to consider all the types used in the translation unit.

The argument of the generated import statements are the untranslated SMIv2 module name. The import statement must contain a prefix statement. The prefixes are generated by applying the module prefix generation algorithm described in Section 3.

4.1. Example: IMPORTS of IF-MIB

The translation of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] leads to the YANG module frame and the import statements shown below. The prefix is the translation of the SMIv2 module name IF-MIB to lowercase (consisting of two token and thus no further abbreviation).

module IF-MIB {
 namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:smiv2:IF-MIB";
 prefix "if-mib";
 import IANAifType-MIB { prefix "ianaiftype-mib"; }
 import SNMPv2-TC { prefix "smiv2-tc"; }
 import ietf-yang-types { prefix "yang"; }
 import ietf-yang-smiv2 { prefix "smiv2"; }
}

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 8]

5. Translation of the MODULE-IDENTITY Macro

The clauses of the SMIv2 MODULE-IDENTITY macro are mapped to equivalent YANG statements.

- 5.1. MODULE-IDENTITY Translation Rules
 - o The SMIv2 ORGANIZATION clause is mapped to the YANG organization statement.
 - o The SMIv2 CONTACT-INFO clause is mapped to the YANG contact statement.
 - o The SMIv2 DESCRIPTION clause is mapped to the YANG description statement.
 - Each SMIv2 REVISION clause is mapped to a YANG revision statement. The revision is identified by the date of contained in the SMIv2 REVISION. DESCRIPTION sub-clauses of REVISION clauses are mapped to corresponding description statement nested in revision clauses.
 - The SMIv2 LAST-UPDATED is ignored if the associated date matches a REVISION clause. Otherwise, an additional revision statement is generated.
 - o The value of the invocation of an SMIv2 MODULE-IDENTITY macro is ignored.

While all proper SMIv2 modules must have a MODULE-IDENTITY macro invocation, there are a few notable exceptions. The modules defining the SMIv2 language (i.e., the SNMPv2-SMI, SNMPv2-TC, and SNMPv2-CONF modules) do not invoke the MODULE-IDENTITY macro. Furthermore, SMIv2 modules generated out of SMIv1 modules may miss an invocation of the MODULE-IDENTITY macro as well. In such cases, it is preferable to not generate organization, contact, description, and revision statements.

5.2. Example: MODULE-IDENTITY of IF-MIB

The translation of the MODULE-IDENTITY of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] leads to the following YANG statements:

Schoenwaelder

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 9]

```
Internet-Draft Translation of SMIv2 to YANG
                                                            March 2011
    organization
     "IETF Interfaces MIB Working Group";
    contact
      "Keith McCloghrie
      Cisco Systems, Inc.
      170 West Tasman Drive
      San Jose, CA 95134-1706
      US
      408-526-5260
      kzm@cisco.com";
    description
      "The MIB module to describe generic objects for network
      interface sub-layers. This MIB is an updated version of
      MIB-II's ifTable, and incorporates the extensions defined in
      RFC 1229.";
    revision "2000-06-14" {
      description
       "Clarifications agreed upon by the Interfaces MIB WG, and
        published as RFC 2863.";
    }
    revision "1996-02-28" {
      description
       "Revisions made by the Interfaces MIB WG, and published in
        RFC 2233.";
    }
    revision "1993-11-08" {
      description
       "Initial revision, published as part of RFC 1573.";
     }
```

6. Translation of the TEXTUAL-CONVENTION Macro

The SMIv2 uses invocations of the TEXTUAL-CONVENTION macro to define new types derived from the SMIv2 base types. Invocations of the TEXTUAL-CONVENTION macro are translated into YANG typedef statements.

6.1. TEXTUAL-CONVENTION Translation Rules

The name of the TEXTUAL-CONVENTION macro invocation is used as the name of the generated typedef statement. The clauses of the SMIv2 TEXTUAL-CONVENTION macro are mapped to YANG statements embedded in the typedef statement as follows:

- o The SMIv2 DISPLAY-HINT clause is used to determine the type mapping of types derived form the OCTET STRING type as explained in Section 2. Furthermore, the DISPLAY-HINT value MAY be used to generate a regular expression for the YANG pattern statement within the type statement. [[TODO: Define a translation algorithm that is simple and produces correct and usable results for the majority of simple DISPLAY-HINTS?]]
- o The SMIv2 STATUS clause is mapped to the YANG status statement. The generation of the YANG status statement is skipped if the value of the STATUS clause is current.
- o The SMIv2 DESCRIPTION clause is mapped to the YANG description statement.
- o The SMIv2 REFERENCE clause is mapped to the YANG reference statement.
- The SMIv2 SYNTAX clause is mapped to the YANG type statement.
 SMIv2 range restrictions are mapped to YANG range statements while SMIv2 length restrictions are mapped to YANG length statements.
 SMIv2 INTEGER enumerations and SMIv2 BITS are mapped to YANG enum
 / value and bit / position statements.
- 6.2. Example: OwnerString and InterfaceIndex of IF-MIB

The translation of the OwnerString and InterfaceIndex textualconventions of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] are shown below.

Schoenwaelder

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 11]

```
Internet-Draft Translation of SMIv2 to YANG
                                                                March 2011
     typedef OwnerString {
       type string {
         length "0..255";
         pattern "\p{IsBasicLatin}{0,255}";
       }
       status deprecated;
       description
        "This data type is used to model an administratively
         assigned name of the owner of a resource. This information is taken from the NVT ASCII character set. It is suggested
         that this name contain one or more of the following: ASCII
         form of the manager station's transport address, management
         station name (e.g., domain name), network management
         personnel's name, location, or phone number. In some cases
         the agent itself will be the owner of an entry. In these
         cases, this string shall be set to a string starting with
         'agent'.";
     }
     typedef InterfaceIndex {
       type int32 {
        range "1..2147483647";
       }
       description
        "A unique value, greater than zero, for each interface or
         interface sub-layer in the managed system. It is
         recommended that values are assigned contiguously starting
         from 1. The value for each interface sub-layer must remain
         constant at least from one re-initialization of the entity's
         network management system to the next re-initialization.";
     }
6.3. Example: IfDirection of the DIFFSERV-MIB
   The translation of the IfDirection textual-convention of the
   DIFFSERV-MIB [RFC3289] is shown below.
   typedef IfDirection {
     type enumeration {
      enum inbound { value 1; }
enum outbound { value 2; }
     }
     description
      "IfDirection specifies a direction of data travel on an
       interface. 'inbound' traffic is operated on during reception from
       the interface, while 'outbound' traffic is operated on prior to
       transmission on the interface.";
   }
```

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 12]

7. Translation of OBJECT IDENTIFIER Assignments

The mapping suppresses many structural OBJECT IDENTIFIER assignments that are typically used to organize the OBJECT IDENTIFIER tree.

- 7.1. Object Identifier Assignment Translation Rules
 - Object identifier assignments through ASN.1 value assignments or through the invocation of a MODULE-IDENTITY clause are translated to YANG container statements.
 - o Top-level container must be marked as config false.
 - Implementations MAY suppress the generation of YANG containers for object identifiers that only contain SMIv2 conformance definitions.

[[TODO: What do we do if multiple assignments exist for the same OID value?]]

7.2. Example: OBJECT IDENTIFIER Assignments of the IF-MIB

The translation of the OBJECT IDENTIFIER assignments and the value of the MODULE-IDENTITY clause of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] is shown below.

```
container interfaces {
 config false;
  // ...
}
container ifMIB {
  config false;
  container ifMIBObjects {
   // ...
  }
  container ifConformance {
    container ifGroups {
     // ...
    }
    container ifCompliances {
     // ...
    }
  }
}
```

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 13]

8. Translation of the OBJECT-TYPE Macro

The SMIv2 uses the OBJECT-TYPE macro to define objects and the structure of conceptual tables. Objects exist either as scalars (exactly one instance within an SNMP context) or columnar objects (zero or multiple instances within an SNMP context) within conceptual tables. A number of auxiliary objects define the index (key) of the table. Furthermore, conceptual tables can be augmented by other conceptual tables. All these differences must be taken into account when mapping SMIv2 OBJECT-TYPE macro invocations to YANG.

8.1. Scalar and Columnar Object Translation Rules

The SMIv2 OBJECT-TYPE macro invocations defining scalars or columnar objects are translated to YANG leaf statements. The name of the leaf is the name associated with the SMIv2 OBJECT-TYPE macro invocation.

- o The SMIv2 SYNTAX clause is mapped to the YANG type clause. Embedded clauses are generates as described in Section 2.
- o The SMIv2 UNITS clause is mapped to the YANG units statement.
- o The SMIv2 MAX-ACCESS clause is ignored.
- o The SMIv2 STATUS clause is mapped to the YANG status statement. The generation of the YANG status statement is skipped if the value of the STATUS clause is current.
- o The SMIv2 DESCRIPTION clause is mapped to the YANG description statement.
- o The SMIv2 REFERENCE clause is mapped to the YANG reference statement.
- o The value of the SMIv2 OBJECT-TYPE macro invocation is ignored.
- 8.2. Example: ifNumber and ifIndex of the IF-MIB

The translations of the ifNumber scalar object and the ifIndex columnar object of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] are shown below.

Schoenwaelder

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 14]

```
Internet-Draft Translation of SMIv2 to YANG
                                                            March 2011
     leaf ifNumber {
      type int32;
      description
        "The number of network interfaces (regardless of their
        current state) present on this system.";
     }
     leaf ifIndex {
       type if-mib:InterfaceIndex;
      description
        "A unique value, greater than zero, for each interface. It
        is recommended that values are assigned contiguously
        starting from 1. The value for each interface sub-layer
        must remain constant at least from one re-initialization of
        the entity's network management system to the next re-
        initialization.";
     }
```

8.3. Non-Augmenting Conceptual Table Translation Rules

An OBJECT-TYPE clause defining a non-augmenting conceptual table is translated to a YANG container statement using the name of the table OBJECT-TYPE clause. The OBJECT-TYPE clause representing a table row is translated to a YANG list statement using the name of the row OBJECT-TYPE clause. The rest of the clauses are translated as follows:

- o The SMIv2 SYNTAX clause is ignored.
- o The SMIv2 UNITS clause is ignored.
- o The SMIv2 MAX-ACCESS clause is ignored.
- o The SMIv2 STATUS clause is mapped to the YANG status statement. The generation of the YANG status statement is skipped if the value of the STATUS clause is current.
- o The SMIv2 DESCRIPTION clause is mapped to the YANG description statement.
- o The SMIv2 REFERENCE clause is mapped to the YANG reference statement.
- o The SMIv2 INDEX clause is mapped to the YANG key clause listing the columnar objects forming the key of the YANG list.
- o The value of the SMIv2 OBJECT-TYPE macro invocation is ignored.

SchoenwaelderExpires September 15, 2011[Page 15]

March 2011

Within the list statement, YANG leaf statements are created for columnar objects as described above. For objects listed in the SMIv2 INDEX clause that are not part of the conceptual table itself, YANG leaf statements of type leafref pointing to the referenced definition are created.

8.4. Example: ifTable of the IF-MIB

The translation of the definition of the ifTable of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] is shown below.

```
container ifTable {
  description
  "A list of interface entries. The number of entries is
   given by the value of ifNumber.";
  list ifEntry {
    key "ifIndex";
    description
    "An entry containing management information applicable to a
    particular interface.";
   // ...
  }
}
```

```
8.5. Example: ifRcvAddressTable of the IF-MIB
```

The translation of the definition of the ifRcvAddressTable of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] is shown below.

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 16]

```
Internet-Draft Translation of SMIv2 to YANG
                                                            March 2011
     container ifRcvAddressTable {
      description
        "This table contains an entry for each address (broadcast,
        multicast, or uni-cast) for which the system will receive
        packets/frames on a particular interface, except as follows:
         - for an interface operating in promiscuous mode, entries
        are only required for those addresses for which the system
        would receive frames were it not operating in promiscuous
        mode.
         - for 802.5 functional addresses, only one entry is
        required, for the address which has the functional address
        bit ANDed with the bit mask of all functional addresses for
        which the interface will accept frames.
        A system is normally able to use any unicast address which
        corresponds to an entry in this table as a source address.";
       list ifRcvAddressEntry {
        key "ifIndex ifRcvAddressAddress";
        description
          "A list of objects identifying an address for which the
          system will accept packets/frames on the particular
          interface identified by the index value ifIndex.";
         leaf ifIndex {
          type leafref {
            path "/if-mib:interfaces/if-mib:ifTable" +
                  "/if-mib:ifEntry/if-mib:ifIndex";
          description
           "[Automatically generated leaf for a foreign index.]";
         }
         leaf ifRcvAddressAddress {
          type yang:phys-address;
          description
            "An address for which the system will accept packets/frames
            on this entry's interface.";
         }
        // ...
      }
     }
```

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 17]

8.6. Augmenting Conceptual Tables Translation Rules

An OBJECT-TYPE clause defining an augmenting conceptual table is translated to a YANG container statement using the name of the table OBJECT-TYPE clause. The OBJECT-TYPE clause representing a table row is translated to a YANG augment statement using the path to the augmented table. The rest of the clauses are translated as follows:

- o The SMIv2 SYNTAX clause is ignored.
- o The SMIv2 UNITS clause is ignored.
- o The SMIv2 MAX-ACCESS clause is ignored.
- o The SMIv2 STATUS clause is mapped to the YANG status statement. The generation of the YANG status statement is skipped if the value of the STATUS clause is current.
- o The SMIv2 DESCRIPTION clause is mapped to the YANG description statement.
- o The SMIv2 REFERENCE clause is mapped to the YANG reference statement.
- o The value of the SMIv2 OBJECT-TYPE macro invocation is ignored.

Within the augment statement, YANG leaf nodes are created as described above.

8.7. Example: ifXTable of the IF-MIB

The translation of the definition of the ifXTable of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] is shown below.

```
container ifXTable {
  description
  "A list of interface entries. The number of entries is
   given by the value of ifNumber. This table contains
   additional objects for the interface table."
  augment "/if-mib:interfaces/if-mib:ifTable" +
        "/if-mib:ifEntry" {
    description
     "An entry containing additional management information
        applicable to a particular interface.";
     // ...
}
```

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 18]

}

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 19]

9. Translation of the OBJECT-IDENTITY Macro

Invocations of the OBJECT-IDENTITY macro are translated into YANG container statements.

9.1. OBJECT-IDENTITY Translation Rules

The name of the OBJECT-IDENTITY macro invocation is used as the name of the generated container statement. Any generated top-level container must be marked as config false. The clauses of the SMIv2 OBJECT-IDENTITY macro are mapped to YANG statements as follows:

- o The SMIv2 STATUS clause is mapped to the YANG status statement. The generation of the YANG status statement is skipped if the value of the STATUS clause is current.
- o The SMIv2 DESCRIPTION clause is mapped to the YANG description statement.
- o The SMIv2 REFERENCE clause is mapped to the YANG reference statement.
- 9.2. Example: diffServTBParamSimpleTokenBucket of the DIFFSERV-MIB

The translation of the diffServTBParamSimpleTokenBucket of the DIFFSERV-MIB [RFC3289] is shown below.

container diffServTBParamSimpleTokenBucket {
 description
 "Two Parameter Token Bucket Meter as described in the Informal
 Differentiated Services Model section 5.2.3.";
}

[[TODO: Should we in addition generate toplevel YANG identities so that definitions can be referenced from new YANG modules? See the example below (which assumes we provide an smiv2:object-identity base).]]

```
identity diffServTBParamSimpleTokenBucket {
  base "smiv2:object-identity";
  description
   "Two Parameter Token Bucket Meter as described in the Informal
    Differentiated Services Model section 5.2.3.";
}
```

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 20]

March 2011

10. Translation of the NOTIFICATION-TYPE Macro

The SMIv2 provides the NOTIFICATION-TYPE macro to define notifications. YANG provides the notification statement for the same purpose.

10.1. NOTIFICATION-TYPE Translation Rules

The name of the NOTIFICATION-TYPE macro invocation is used as the name of the generated notification statement. The clauses of the NOTIFICATION-TYPE macro are mapped to YANG statements embedded in the notification statement as follows.

- o The SMIv2 OBJECTS clause is mapped to a sequence of YANG containers. For each object listed in the OBJECTS clause value, a YANG container statement is generated. The name of this container is the name of the notification and the name of the current concatenated by a hyphen. If the current object belongs a conceptual table, then a sequence of leaf statements is generated for each INDEX of the SMIv2 conceptual table. Next, a leaf statement is generated for the current object. All container leafs are marked as config false.
- o The SMIv2 STATUS clause is mapped to the YANG status statement. The generation of the YANG status statement is skipped if the value of the STATUS clause is current.
- o The SMIv2 DESCRIPTION clause is mapped to the YANG description statement.
- o The SMIv2 REFERENCE clause is mapped to the YANG reference statement.
- o The value of the SMIv2 NOTIFICATION-TYPE macro invocation is ignored.
- 10.2. Example: linkDown NOTIFICATION-TYPE of IF-MIB

The translation of the linkDown notification of the IF-MIB [RFC2863] is shown below.

notification linkDown {
 description
 "A linkDown trap signifies that the SNMP entity, acting in
 an agent role, has detected that the ifOperStatus object for
 one of its communication links is about to enter the down
 state from some other state (but not from the notPresent
 state). This other state is indicated by the included value

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 21]

```
Translation of SMIv2 to YANG
                                                             March 2011
Internet-Draft
        of ifOperStatus.";
     container linkDown-ifIndex {
        config false;
        leaf ifIndex {
          type leafref {
           path "/if-mib:interfaces/if-mib:ifTable" +
                 "/if-mib:ifEntry/if-mib:ifIndex";
          description
           "[Automatically generated leaf for a notification.]";
        }
      }
      container linkDown-ifAdminStatus {
        config false;
        leaf ifIndex {
          type leafref {
           path "/if-mib:interfaces/if-mib:ifTable" +
                 "/if-mib:ifEntry/if-mib:ifIndex";
          }
          description
           "[Automatically generated leaf for a notification.]";
        }
        leaf ifAdminStatus {
          type enumeration {
           enum up { value 1;
            enum down
                         { value 2;
            enum testing { value 3; }
         description
           "The desired state of the interface. The testing(3) state
            indicates that no operational packets can be passed. When a
            managed system initializes, all interfaces start with
            ifAdminStatus in the down(2) state. As a result of either
            explicit management action or per configuration information
            retained by the managed system, ifAdminStatus is then
            changed to either the up(1) or testing(3) states (or remains
            in the down(2) state).";
        }
      }
      container linkDown-ifOperStatus {
        config false;
        leaf ifIndex {
          type leafref {
           path "/if-mib:interfaces/if-mib:ifTable" +
                 "/if-mib:ifEntry/if-mib:ifIndex";
Schoenwaelder
                       Expires September 15, 2011
                                                               [Page 22]
```

```
Internet-Draft Translation of SMIv2 to YANG
                                                            March 2011
         }
         description
          "[Automatically generated leaf for a notification.]";
       leaf ifOperStatus {
         type enumeration {
           enum up
                                { value 1;
           enum down
                                 value 2;
                                          į
                               { value 3;
                                          }
           enum testing
                                { value 4;
           enum unknown
                                { value 5;
                                          ì
           enum dormant
           enum notPresent { value 6;
                                          }
           enum lowerLayerDown { value 7; }
         }
         description
           "The current operational state of the interface. The
           testing(3) state indicates that no operational packets can
           be passed. If ifAdminStatus is down(2) then ifOperStatus
           should be down(2). If ifAdminStatus is changed to up(1)
           then ifOperStatus should change to up(1) if the interface is
           ready to transmit and receive network traffic; it should
           change to dormant(5) if the interface is waiting for
           external actions (such as a serial line waiting for an
           incoming connection); it should remain in the down(2) state
           if and only if there is a fault that prevents it from going
           to the up(1) state; it should remain in the notPresent(6)
           state if the interface has missing (typically, hardware)
           components.";
       }
     }
   }
```

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 23]

Internet-Draft Translation of SMIv2 to YANG March 2011 11. YANG Language Extension Definition This section defines some YANG extension statements that can be used to carry additional information from the original SMIv2 module into the YANG module. The YANG module references [RFC2578] and [RFC2579]. <CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-yang-smiv2@2011-03-14.yang" module ietf-yang-smiv2 { namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-smiv2"; prefix "smiv2"; organization "IETF NETMOD (NETCONF Data Modeling Language) Working Group"; contact "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/> WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org> WG Chair: David Kessens <mailto:david.kessens@nsn.com> WG Chair: Juergen Schoenwaelder <mailto:j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de> Editor: Juergen Schoenwaelder <mailto:j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de>"; description "This module defines YANG extensions that are used to translate SMIv2 concepts into YANG. Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved. Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info). This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices."; // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this note // RFC Ed.: please update the date to the date of publication

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 24]

Schoenwaelder
```
Internet-Draft Translation of SMIv2 to YANG
                                                             March 2011
 revision 2011-03-14 {
   description
     "Initial revision.";
   reference
     "RFC XXXX: Translation of SMIv2 MIB Modules to YANG Modules";
    // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this note
  }
  extension oid {
   argument "value";
   description
     "The oid statement takes as an argument the object identifier
     assigned to an SMIv2 definition. The object identifier value
     is written in decimal dotted notation.";
   reference
     "RFC2578: Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)";
  }
  extension display-hint {
   argument "format";
   description
    "The display-hint statement takes as an argument the DISPLAY-HINT
     assigned to an SMIv2 textual convention.";
   reference
     "RFC2579: Textual Conventions for SMIv2";
  }
  extension max-access {
   argument "access";
   description
     "The max-access statement takes as an argument the MAX-ACCESS
     assigned to an SMIv2 object definition";
   reference
     "RFC2578: Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)";
  }
  extension defval {
   argument "value";
   description
     "The defval statement takes as an argument a default value defined
     by an SMIv2 DEFVAL clause.";
   reference
     "RFC2578: Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)";
  }
}
   <CODE ENDS>
```

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 25]

Schoenwaelder

12. IANA Considerations

This document registers two URIs in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registrations have been made.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-smiv2

Registrant Contact: The NETMOD WG of the IETF.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:smiv2

Registrant Contact: The NETMOD WG of the IETF.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [RFC6020].

| name: | ietf-yang-smiv2 |
|------------|--|
| namespace: | <pre>urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-smiv2</pre> |
| prefix: | smiv2 |
| reference: | RFC XXXX |

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011

13. Security Considerations

This document defines a translation of the SMIv2 data modeling language to the YANG data modeling language. The translation itself has no security impact on the Internet.

Users of translated SMIv2 data models that have been published as RFCs should consult the security considerations of the respective RFCs. In addition, the security considerations for the NETCONF protocol [RFC4741] should be consulted to understand how NETCONF protects potentially sensitive information.

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 27]

Internet-Draft Translation of SMIv2 to YANG

14. References

14.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Ed., Perkins, D., Ed., and J. Schoenwaelder, Ed., "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.
- [RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Ed., Perkins, D., Ed., and J. Schoenwaelder, Ed., "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.
- [RFC2580] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., and J. Schoenwaelder, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.
- [RFC6021] Schoenwaelder, J., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6021, October 2010.
- 14.2. Informative References
 - [RFC2863] McCloghrie, K. and F. Kastenholz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", RFC 2863, June 2000.
 - [RFC3289] Baker, F., Chan, K., and A. Smith, "Management Information Base for the Differentiated Services Architecture", RFC 3289, May 2002.
 - [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, January 2004.
 - [RFC4741] Enns, R., "NETCONF Configuration Protocol", RFC 4741, December 2006.

Schoenwaelder

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 28]

Appendix A. Changes from 01 to 02

- o Preserving the SMIv2 nesting instead of a flat translation.
- o Inlined the examples to avoid page flipping exercises.
- o Clarifications and several editorial improvements.

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 29]

Appendix B. Changes from 00 to 01

- o Translation is config false; top-level container are marked as config false.
- o Revised the overall document structure, added a YANG module for the definition of YANG extensions (smiv2:oid, smiv2:display-hint, smiv2:max-access, smiv2:defval), moved the IF-MIB example into an appendix.
- o Alignment with RFC 6020 and RFC 6021.
- Started to use [[TODO]] markers inside the text instead of maintaining a TODO list as an appendix.

Schoenwaelder

Expires September 15, 2011

[Page 30]

Author's Address

Juergen Schoenwaelder Jacobs University

Email: j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de

Schoenwaelder Expires September 15, 2011 [Page 31]