ANCP Base Protocol Document Status

Results of IESG Review
draft-ietf-ancp-protocol-15

20110327

List of Issues Raised

- Relationship to RFC 3292 (GSMPv3)
  - Particularly reuse of ethertype 0x880c and port number 6068
    - Is use of port 6068 mandatory or a default?
  - General mix of updates to and dependencies on RFC 3292

- Meaning of version and sub-version

- Why did we recommend that the adjacency timers at the two ends should have the same value?

- Language tag for diagnostic text in Status-Info

- Need to clarify what is logged in MIB (Sec. 3.6.1.4)

- Use of "must", "should", "may"

Results

- Decision to make ANCP protocol independent of RFC 3292

    - Biggest job will be to copy in the relevant parts of the adjacency procedure

    - All registries will be ANCP-only, except:

        - port 6068 will be annotated as used by both GSMPv3 and ANCP

        - will have a joint GSMPv3 / ANCP registry for version number, to ensure that any mixup due to reuse of ethertype and port can be sorted out by the adjacency procedure

    - Question: can we get rid of sub-version, and say simply that our initial version of ANCP is 50 (0x32)?