

Realm-Based Redirection

draft-ietf-dime-realm-based-redirection-03.txt

Tina Tsou <tena@huawei.com>

Tom Taylor <tom111.taylor@bell.net>

Issues

- Comments received last October from Glen Zorn, Sebastien Decugis, Avi Lior
- Sebastien's technical issues:
 - (3.1.1) What if the other peer advertised the Relay application? Should the redirect agent consider that it supports the Redirect-Realm application?
Response: Yes – presumably the relay reports that it is unable to deliver if the upstream path does not have this support. Text in first bullet should be modified to include the Relay application
 - (3.1.1) Reporting error for situation that can't happen – remove text.
Response: OK.

Issues (2)

- Sebastien (cont'd)
 - (3.1.2) What if the original request contains a Destination-Host AVP? In that case, should a UNABLE_TO_DELIVER error be returned? (He then goes on to suggest a possible case for doing the redirect.)
Response: seems sensible just to do UNABLE_TO_DELIVER.
 - (3.2) This section does not give the value of the M flag for the new AVP.
Response: M flag should be set.

Avi's Objection

- Not legitimate to change base behaviour of redirect agent.
 - Let the redirect be done by the application instead
- **Response:** will do whatever the WG advises.
Application-level action implies proxy or server in the destination network.