OSPF ANALYSIS

SAM HARTMAN

DACHENG ZHANG PAINLESS SECURITY, LLC

IETF 80 April 1, 2011

SCOPE OF DRAFT

- → Design guide section 4.1 requires an analysis of each protocol
- → Identify current state with reference to requirements
- → Gap analysis
- → Recommendations
- → Work plan

Interesting Requirements

Some requirements from threats-reqs stand out for OSPF:

- → Related key attacks: secure usage of preshared keys
- → Inter and intra-connection replay
- → Support for packet prioritization
- → Secure identification of neighbors

APPROACH TO CURRENT STATE

- → Describe OSPF as a routing protocol to security community
- → Show how OSPF fails to meet goals proposed for routing security
- → Please review; responses needed both from routing and security community
- → Did we get it right? Does it make sense?

GAPS TO FIX

- → Replay of packets provides DOS opportunity
- → Significant on LAN and wireless deployments; less significant on SP links
- → OSPF does not authenticate source address; uses source address to identify neighbor entry sometimes; DOS opportunity
- → Use of IPsec for OSPFv3 is problematic for deployment of OSPFv3 authentication

GAPS TO FIX (2)

- → The specification of OSPFv2 security does not make it clear how to prioritize some packets; protocol changes not required
- → Using the same key in different cryptographic contexts may create problems; OSPF has no key derivation function
- → Security depends on how the key is used elsewhere in a deployment, so very hard to evaluate
- → If we make changes to OSPF authentication, we should fix this.

PROPOSED WORK

- → Fix source address authentication
- → Use similar mechanism for OSPFv2 and OSPFv3 security
- → Solve the replay problem
- → Document packet prioritization
- → Possibly fix related key issue

REPLAY WORK

- → Two proposals for handling replay will be discussed later in the meeting
- → Draft only discusses the challenge/response solution.
- → The other (extended sequence space) solution is probably more preferred
- → Desire to update the draft to reflect this.
- → Confirm the security properties of this solution