I E T F

SIPCLF: Problem statement draft
draft-ietf-sipclf-problem-statement-05
(Vijay K. Gurbani, Eric Burger,
Tricha Anjali, Humberto Abdelnur and
Olivier Festor)

Vijay K. Gurbani <vkg@bell-labs.com>

Alcatel·Lucent

Changes since -04

- Reflected consensus reached during Beijing IETF and January 2011 interim:

  - Added 4K limit on headers.

  - Source and destination fields only contain IP addresses.

  - Added logging of bodies.

  - Extensibility model more flushed out:

    - Vendor extensions.
    - Optional fields (which fields to allow is being debated on the list).

Open issues

- Asked on list: Should reason-phrase be made mandatory? (see http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/sip-clf/current/msg00462.html)

- Larger issue: do we provide the capability to log ANY header as an optional field? Problem-statement text allows representation formats to specify optional fields; the indexed-ASCII representation format has a list of pre-defined optional fields only (i.e., does not cover ALL SIP headers).

Next steps

Should optional fields Include the capability to specify all SIP headers?

Yes

Update problem-statement to say all SIP headers can be logged in a representation defined format.

No

problem-statement done.