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Changes since -04

- Reflected consensus reached during Beijing IETF and January 2011 interim:
  - Added 4K limit on headers.
  - Source and destination fields only contain IP addresses.
  - Added logging of bodies.
  - Extensibility model more flushed out:
    - Vendor extensions.
    - Optional fields (which fields to allow is being debated on the list).
Open issues

• Asked on list: Should reason-phrase be made mandatory? (see http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/sip-clf/current/msg00462.html)

• Larger issue: do we provide the capability to log ANY header as an optional field? Problem-statement text allows representation formats to specify optional fields; the indexed-ASCII representation format has a list of pre-defined optional fields only (i.e., does not cover ALL SIP headers).
Next steps

Should optional fields include the capability to specify all SIP headers?

No

Yes

Update problem-statement to say all SIP headers can be logged in a representation defined format.

problem-statement done.