

ARMD Problem Statement

Thomas Narten
narten@us.ibm.com

July 29, 2011

IETF-81

Quebec City

draft-narten-armd-problem-statement

- -00 is a first cut (and quite rough)
- Intentionally written with VERY narrow scope
- Probably too narrow to be useful. That said...
- Expect WG will expand the scope, but in careful manner
- Scope of “data centers” is potentially very large
- Starting with scope that is too large is problematic in IETF
 - Always much harder to shrink than expand scope
- WG discussion will be needed to decide what to bring in and what to leave out as work item
 - Having that discussion will help us tease out the real technical issues
- Need agreement that an issue is both important and in-scope for the WG charter

Next Steps

- Collect and document pain points
 - Include “pain” that goes beyond narrow definition of “address resolution”
 - Clearly document the technical issue, source, etc.
- Develop scenarios (or possible example data center designs) and their associated pain points
 - Scenarios need to represent the issues seen out in the wild
- Determine if working on a particular scenario/pain point would have sufficient value for community.
- If so, figure out where (if not ARMD) such work should be done

Next Steps

- Any concerns or issues to the concept/idea?
- Take on as a WG item?
- Put on Standards Track?
- Expectation: send to IESG relatively quickly
 - Meat of draft is only 3 pages

Questions/Comments?