# Common API for Transparent Hybrid Multicast draft-irtf-samrg-common-api - Status Update –

Matthias Wählisch, Thomas C. Schmidt Stig Venaas

{waehlisch, t.schmidt}@ieee.org, stig@cisco.com









## History of the Draft

- o Version 00/01 presented at IETF 76, Hiroshima
- Adopted as WG document @ Beijing
- Update version 05 submitted January 2011
- o Update version 06 submitted March 2011
- o First WG draft March 2011: draft-irtf-samrg-common-api
- o Update version 01 submitted March 2011
  - Presented @ IETF 80 Prague
- Update version 01 (shortly before IETF 81)
   and version 02 (during IETF 81) July 2011

# Status of the Draft: Overview Changes from last presentation

- Added use case of multicast flavor support
- Restructured Section 3
- Major update on namespace and mapping
- Pseudo Syntax for lists objects changed
- C signatures completed
- Many clarifications and editorial improvements

#### Use Case of Multicast Flavor Support

- URI scheme uniformly supports different flavors of group communication independent of service deployment
  - ASM, SSM, selective broadcast etc.

#### **Example SSM:**

- o Multicast name: sip://new@cnn.com
- Mapping according to one of the following mechanisms:
  - Direct mapping to (S,G) on the IP layer
  - 2. Resolve first S for subsequent group address query
  - 3. Apply overlay mechanisms
  - 4. Delegating to a plain replication server (S)

#### Major Update on Naming

- o "Functional Details/Namespaces" (Section 5) integrated into "Overview" (Section 3)
- Remember: Namespaces are expressed by the scheme field (e.g., sip://...)

#### New:

- Namespaces are grouped
  - Generic namespaces (IP, SHA-2, Opaque)
    - OLM replaced by SHA-2
  - Application-centric namespaces (SIP, RELOAD)

#### Major Update on Mapping

#### **New subsections:**

- Canonical Mapping (default mapping)
  - ip://224.1.2.3:5000 mapped to ASM 224.1.2.3/ff0e::224.1.2.3
  - Bound to technology, not always applicable
- 2. Mapping at End Points
  - Mcast members require Name-to-Address conversion
  - End points may learn Group Address by neighboring nodes
  - If learnt, end points can autonomously invert the mapping
- 3. Mapping at Interdomain Multicast Gateways
  - IMG is required to re-address packets for another technology
  - Consequence: IMG needs to know Group Name

# Update Pseudo Syntax for List Objects

- List of elements is denoted by <>
  - Example: out Interface <ifs>
- Previous description used explicit parameter to express size of the list
  - out int numIfs, out Interface <ifs>
- Now: Syntax assumes that list includes an attribute that represents the number of elements
- Reason: Improved readability

## ... Apropos Readability – Any Opinions?

There is one open question:

Should we include error values in the pseudo syntax?

Yes - Pro:

Minimal error behavior defined

Yes – Con:

- Reduced readability
- o Abstract API description does not require such details

#### Clarifications – Port & Group Name

- o Group Name consists of scheme "://" group "@"
  instantiation ":" port
  - instantiation **and** port **are optional**
- Port must be part of the Group Name
  - Distinguishes groups in direct mapping

## Thank you ...

- o Please, read the current version
- We intend to finalize the draft
- o More feedback is needed by RG members!