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Abstract

RBridge edge nodes currently learn the mapping between MAC addresses and their corresponding RBridge edge nodes by observing the data packets traversed through. When ingress RBridge receives a data packet with its destination address (MAC&VLAN) unknown, the data packet is flooded across RBridge domain. When there are more than one RBridge ports connected to one bridged LAN, only one of them can be designated as AF port for forwarding/receiving traffic for each LAN, the rest have to be blocked for that LAN.

This draft describes why and how directory assisted RBridge edge can improve TRILL network scalability in data center environment.
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1. Introduction

Data center networks are different from campus networks in several ways, in particular:

1. Data centers, especially Internet or multi-tenant data centers, tend to have large number of hosts with a wide variety of applications.
2. Topology is based on racks and rows.
   Hosts assignment to Servers, Racks, and Rows is orchestrated by Server/VM Management system, not at random.
3. Rapid workload shifting in data centers can accelerate the frequency of one physical server being re-loaded with different applications. Sometimes, applications re-loaded to one physical server at different time can belong to different subnets.
4. With virtualization, there is an ever-increasing trend to dynamically create or delete VMs when demand for resource changes, to move VMs from overloaded servers, or to aggregate VMs onto fewer servers when demand is light.

Both 3) and 4) above can lead to hosts in one subnet being placed under different locations (racks or rows) or one rack having hosts belonging to different subnets.

This draft describes why and how Data Center TRILL networks can be optimized by utilizing a directory assisted approach.

2. Terminology

AF: Appointed Forwarder RBridge port
Bridge: IEEE 802.1Q compliant device. In this draft, Bridge is used interchangeably with Layer 2 switch.
DA: Destination Address
DC: Data Center
EoR: End of Row switches in data center. Also known as Aggregation switches in some data centers
FDB: Filtering Database for Bridge or Layer 2 switch
Host: Application running on a physical server or a virtual machine. A host usually has at least one IP address and at least one MAC address.

SA: Source Address

STP: Spanning Tree Protocol

RSTP: Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol

ToR: Top of Rack Switch in data center. It is also known as access switches in some data centers.

VM: Virtual Machines

3. Impact on RBridge domain of massive number of hosts in Data Center

It is common for Data Center networks to have multiple tiers of switches, e.g. one or two Access Switches for each server rack (ToR), aggregation switches for some rows (or EoR switches), and some core switches to interconnect the aggregation switches. Many aggregation switches deployed in data centers are high port density switches. It is not uncommon to see aggregation switches interconnecting hundreds of ToR switches.

```
+-------+         +------+
| Aggr11| + ----- |AggrN1| + EoR Switches
+--------+/         +--------/
         /       
       /       
     /       
   /       
  /       
+---+    +---+      +---+     +---+
|T11|... |T1x|      |T21| ?  |T2y| ToR switches
+---+    +---+      +---+     +---+
          |          |
          |          |
          +--------+       +-------+ Server racks
          |          |
          |          |
          +--------+       +-------+
```

Figure 1: Typical Data Center Network Design

When TRILL is deployed in a data center with large number of hosts, with the possibility of hosts in one subnet/VLAN being placed under
multiple edge RBridges and each edge RBridge having hosts from
different subnets/VLANs, the following problems will occur:

- Unnecessary filling of slots in MAC table of edge RBridges, due
to edge RBridge receiving broadcast traffic (ARP/ND
broadcast/multicast) from hosts under other edge RBridges that
are not actually communicating with any hosts attached to the
RBridge whose table is being unnecessarily filled.

- Some edge RBridge ports being blocked for user traffic when
there are more than one RBridge ports connected to one bridged
LAN. When there are multiple RBridge ports connected to a
bridged LAN, only one, i.e. the AF port, can forward/receive
traffic for that bridged LAN which is normally equivalent to a
VLAN, the rest have to be blocked for forwarding/receiving
traffic for that VLAN. When a rack has dual uplinks to two
different ToR switches, i.e. RBridge Edges, (which is very
common), some links can’t be fully utilized.

- Packets being flooded across RBridge domain when their DAs are
not in ingress RBridge’s cache.

Consider a data center with 1600 server racks. Each server rack has
at least one ToR switch. The ToR switches are further divided to 8
groups, with each group being connected by a group of aggregation
switches. There could be 4 to 8 aggregation switches in each group
to achieve load sharing for traffic to/from server racks. If TRILL
is to be deployed in this data center environment, let’s consider
following two scenarios for the TRILL domain boundary:

- Scenario #1: TRILL domain boundary starts at ToR switches:

  If each server rack has one uplink to one ToR, there are 1600
dge RBridges. If each rack has dual uplinks to two ToR
switches, then there will be 3200 edge RBridges

  In this scenario, the RBridge domain will have more than 1600
(or 3200) + 8*4 (or 8*8) nodes, which is quite a large IS-IS
domain. Even though a mesh IS-IS domain can scale up to
thousands of nodes, it is very challenging for aggregation
switches to handle IS-IS link state advertisement among
hundreds of ports.
- Scenario #2: TRILL domain boundary starts at the aggregation switches:

With the same assumption as before, the number of nodes in RBridge domain will be less than 100, and aggregation switches don’t have to handle IS-IS link state advisements among hundreds of ports.

But in this scenario, there will be multiple RBridge edge ports connected to one bridged LAN, which requires only one of them being designated as Appointed Forwarder (AF port) for forwarding native traffic across RBridge domain for that VLAN, while other ports/links being blocked for native frames in that VLAN. There is also possibility of loops on the bridged LAN attached to RBridge edge ports unless STP/RSTP is running. Running traditional Layer 2 STP/RSTP on the bridged LAN in this environment may be overkill because the topology among the ToR switches and aggregation switches is very simple.

In addition, the number of MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge Mapping entries to be learned and managed by RBridge edge node can be very large. In the example above, each edge RBridge has 200 edge ports facing the ToR switches. If each ToR has 40 downstream ports facing servers and each server has 10 VMs, there could be 200*40*10 = 80000 hosts attached. If all those hosts belong to 1600 VLANs (i.e. 50 per VLAN) and each VLAN has 200 hosts, then under the worst case scenario, the total number of MAC&VLAN entries to be learned by the RBridge edge can be 1600*200=320000, which is very large.

4. Directory Assisted RBridge Edge in DC Environment

In data center environment, applications placement to servers, racks, and rows is orchestrated by Server (or VM) Management System(s). I.e. there is a database or multiple ones (distributed model) which have the knowledge of where each host is located. If that host location information can be fed to RBridge edge nodes, in some form of Directory Service, then RBridge edge nodes won’t need to flood data frames with unknown DA across RBridge domain.

Avoiding unknown DA flooding to RBridge domain is especially valuable in data center environment because there is higher chance of an RBridge edge receiving packets with unknown DA and broadcast/multicast messages due to VM migration and servers being loaded with different applications. When a VM is moved to a new
location or a server is loaded with a new application with different IP/MAC addresses, it is more likely that the DA of data packets sent out from those hosts are unknown to their attached RBridge edges. In addition, gratuitous ARP (IPv4) or Unsolicited Neighbor Advertisement (IPv6) sent out from those newly migrated or activated hosts have to be flooded to other RBridge edges which have hosts in the same subnets.

The benefits of using directory assistance include:

- Avoid flooding unknown DA across RBridge domain. The Directory enforced MAC&VLAN <-> RBridgeEdge mapping table can determine if a data packet needs to be forwarded across RBridge domain.

  When multiple RBridge edge ports are connected via bridged LAN to hosts (servers/VMs), a directory assisted RBridge edge can simply drop frames with an unknown DA. It won’t need to flood those data frames across RBridge domain. Therefore, there is no need to designate one Appointed Forwarder among all the RBridge Edge ports connected to a bridge LAN, which means that all RBridge ports can forward/receive traffic.

- Reduce flooding decapsulated Ethernet frames with unknown MAC-DA to a bridged LAN connected to RBridge edge ports.

  When an RBridge receives a TRILL frame whose destination Nickname matches with its own, the normal procedure is for the RBridge to decapsulate the TRILL header and forward the decapsulated Ethernet frame to its directly attached bridged LAN. If the destination MAC is unknown, the decapsulated Ethernet frame is flooded in the LAN. With directory assistance, the RBridge edge can determine if DA in a frame matches with any hosts attached via the bridged LAN. Therefore, frames can be discarded if their DAs do not match.

- Reduce the amount of MAC&VLAN <-> RBridgeEdge mapping maintained by RBridge edge. There is no need for an RBridge edge to keep the MAC entries for hosts which don’t communicate with hosts attached to the RBridge edge.

There can be two different models for RBridge edge node to be assisted by Directory Service: Push Model and Pull Model.
4.1. Push Model

Under this model, Directory Server(s) push down the MAC&VLAN <-> RBridgeEdge mapping for all the hosts which might communicate with hosts attached to an RBridge edge node. The mapping entry to be pushed down could leverage the gratuitous ARP reply with extended fields showing the edge RBridge’s name, as shown in Table 2. Using Table 2 requires one entry per host. When directory pushes down the entire mapping to an edge RBridge for the very first time, there usually are many entries. To minimize the number of entries pushed down, summarization should be considered, e.g. with one edge RBridge Nickname being associated with all attached hosts’ MAC addresses and VLANs as shown below:

```
+------------+-------+--------------------------------+
| Nickname1  | VID-1  | MAC1, MAC2, ..MACn              |
|            | ------ +--------------------------------+
|            | VID-2  | MAC1, MAC2, ..MACn              |
|            | ------ +--------------------------------+
|            | ...    | MAC1, MAC2, ..MACn              |
|            | ------- +------------------------------------+
| Nickname2  | VID-1  | MAC1, MAC2, ..MACn              |
|            | ------- +------------------------------------+
|            | VID-2  | MAC1, MAC2, ..MACn              |
|            | ------- +------------------------------------+
|            | ...    | MAC1, MAC2, ..MACn              |
|            | ------- +------------------------------------+
|            | ....   | MAC1, MAC2, ..MACn              |
|            | ------- +------------------------------------+
```

Table 1: Summarized table pushed down from directory

Whenever there is any change in MAC&VLAN <-> RBridgeEdge mapping, which can be triggered by hosts being added, moved, or decommissioned, an incremental update can be sent to the RBridge edges which are impacted by the change.

Under this model, it is recommended that RBridge edge simply drop a data packet (instead of flooding to RBridge domain) if the packet’s destination address can’t be found in the MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping table.

It may not be necessary for every RBridge edge to get the entire mapping table for all the hosts in a data center. There are many ways to narrow the full set down to a smaller set of remote hosts which communicate with hosts attached to an RBridge edge. A simple approach of only pushing down the mapping for the VLANs which have...
active hosts under an RBridge edge can reduce the number of mapping entries pushed down.

However, it is inevitable that RBridge edge’s MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping table will have more entries than they really need under the Push Model. When hosts attached to one RBridge Edge rarely communicate with hosts attached to different RBridge edges even though they are on the same VLAN, the normal process of RBridge edge’s unknown DA flooding, learning and cache aging would have removed those MAC&VLAN entries from the RBridge’s cache. But it can be difficult for Directory Servers to predict the communication patterns among hosts within one VLAN. Therefore, it is likely that the Directory Servers will push down all the MAC&VLAN entries if there are hosts in the VLAN being attached to the RBridge Edge.

4.2. Pull model:

Under this model, “’RBridge’” pulls the MAC&VLAN<->RBridge mapping entry from the directory server when needed. RBridge edge node can simply intercept all ARP/ND requests and frames with unknown DA, and forward them to the Directory Server(s) that has the information on where each host is located.

The reply from the Directory Server can be the standard ARP/ND reply with an extra field showing the RBridge egress node’s Nickname, as depicted in Table 2. RBridge ingress node can cache the mapping.

If RBridge edge node receives a data packet with unknown MAC-DA, it can query the directory server. If there is no response from the directory server, the RBridge edge node can drop the packet.

One advantage of the Pull Model is that RBridge edge can age out MAC&VLAN entries if they haven’t been used for a certain period of time. Therefore, each RBridge edge will only keep the entries which are frequently used, i.e. mapping table size can be smaller. RBridge edge would query the Directory Server(s) for unknown DAs in data frames or ARP/ND and cache the response. When hosts attached to one RBridge Edge rarely communicate with hosts attached to different RBridge edges even though they are on the same VLAN, the corresponding MAC&VLAN entries would be aged out from the RBridge’s cache.

The following table shows how target RBridge nickname can be attached to a standard ARP Reply when replying to an ARP request forwarded by ingress RBridge edge.
Table 2: Extended fields added to standard ARP reply

The original ARP reply format consists of the first 28 octets shown in this table. The last 12 octets in this table marked by ‘’->’’ are extended fields to indicate the Ingress RBridge to which originating host is attached and the Egress RBridge to which the target host is attached. More bits are reserved for RBridge nicknames in case multiple levels of nicknames are needed in the future for large data centers.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

The traditional RBridge learning approach of observing data plane can no longer keep pace with the ever growing number of hosts in Data center.

Therefore, we suggest TRILL consider directory assisted approach(es). This draft only introduces the basic concept of using directory assisted approach for RBridge edge nodes to learn the MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping. More complete mechanisms will be developed after the working group reaches some level of consensus.
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1. Introduction

It is no longer uncommon for a data center to have thousands of server racks. Those thousands of server racks could be connected by multiple groups of aggregation switches, with each group connecting hundreds of ToR switches. For servers supporting virtualization, there is typically a virtual switch embedded in each physical server.
When TRILL is deployed in those data centers, there are issues no matter where the RBridge domain boundary starts. If RBridge domain boundary starts at aggregation switch level, the RBridge's IS-IS routing scales well, but there are problems with allowing only one (AF port) of multiple ports connected to a bridged LAN for forwarding traffic and requiring each RBridge edge to maintain a very large table of MAC&VLAN<-> RBridgeEdge mapping. If the RBridge domain boundary starts closer to hosts, e.g. at the virtual switches on servers, the number of MAC&VLAN<->Edge mapping is much smaller because each virtual switch only needs to maintain the mapping for remote hosts which actually communicate with the embedded VMs. But then, the number of nodes in RBridge IS-IS domain is very large, making it not scale well especially on aggregation switches which need to advertise link state over hundreds of ports.

[RBridge-directory] introduces a method for RBridge edge to get MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping from a directory service in data center environment instead of flooding unknown DAs across TRILL domain. When directory is used, any node, even non-RBridge node, can perform the TRILL encapsulation. This draft is to demonstrate the benefits of non-RBridge nodes performing TRILL encapsulation.

2. Terminology

AF
   Appointed Forwarder RBridge port

Bridge:
   IEEE 802.1Q compliant device. In this draft, Bridge is used interchangeably with Layer 2 switch.

DA:
   Destination Address

DC:
   Data Center

EoR:
   End of Row switches in data center. Also known as Aggregation switches in some data centers

FDB:
   Filtering Database for Bridge or Layer 2 switch

Host:
   Application running on a physical server or a virtual machine. A host usually has at least one IP address and at least one MAC address.

SA:
   Source Address

ToR:
   Top of Rack Switch in data center. It is also known as access switches in some data centers.
3. Directory Assistance to Non-RBridge

With directory assistance [RBridge-Directory], a non-RBridge can determine if a packet should be forwarded across the RBridge domain. Suppose the RBridge domain boundary starts at network switches (i.e. not virtual switches embedded on servers), a directory can assist Virtual Switches embedded on servers to encapsulate proper TRILL header by providing the information of the RBridge edge to which the target is attached.

![Diagram of TRILL domain in typical Data Center Network](Figure 1)

When a TRILL encapsulated data packet reaches an RBridge, the RBridge can simply forward the pre-encapsulated packet to the RBridge whose nickname is in the DA field of the TRILL header. By doing this, no ingress RBridge will receive a native frame with unknown DA, therefore, it won’t need to flood received data packets to all other ports. That means there is no need to worry about AF ports and all RBridge edge ports connected to one bridged LAN can receive and forward pre-encapsulated traffic, which greatly improves the overall network utilization.

([RBridge] Section 4.6.2 Bullet 8 specifies that an RBridge port can be configured to accept TRILL encapsulated frames from a neighbor that is not an RBridge.)
When data frames do not need to traverse RBridge domain, they are switched by all nodes/ports per IEEE802.1Q and RBridge edge will not encapsulate and forward native Ethernet frames across RBridge domain.

When a pre-encapsulated TRILL frame arrives at an RBridge whose nickname matches with the destination nickname in the TRILL header, the processing is exactly same as normal, i.e. it decapsulates the native frame from the received TRILL frame and forwards the decapsulated Ethernet frame to the host attached to its edge ports.

We call a node which only performs the TRILL encapsulation but doesn’t participate in RBridge’s IS-IS routing a ‘‘TRILL Encapsulating node’’ or ‘‘Simplified RBridge’’. The TRILL Encapsulating Node gets the MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping table pushed down or pulled from directory servers [RBridge-directory]. Upon receiving a native Ethernet frame, the TRILL Encapsulating Node checks the MAC&VLAN<->RBridgeEdge mapping table, and perform the corresponding TRILL encapsulation if the entry is found in the mapping table. If the destination address and VLAN of the received Ethernet frame doesn’t exist in the mapping table, the Ethernet frame is forwarded per IEEE802.1Q.

![](image)

Non-RBridge node: T12 | T22
Encapsulate TRILL Header for data Frames to traverse TRILL domain.
4. Source Nickname in Frames Encapsulated by Non-RBridge Nodes

The TRILL header includes a Source RBridge’s Nickname (ingress) and Destination RBridge’s Nickname (egress). When a TRILL header is added by a non-RBridge node, using the Ingress RBridge edge node’s nickname in the source address field will make the ingress RBridge node receive TRILL frames with its own nickname in the frames’ source address field, which can be confusing.

To avoid confusion of edge RBridges receiving TRILL encapsulated frames with their own nickname in the frames’ source address field from neighboring non-RBridge nodes, a new nickname can be given to an RBridge edge node, e.g. Phantom Nickname, to represent all the TRILL Encapsulating Nodes attached to the RBridge edge node.

When the Phantom Nickname is used in the Source Address field of a TRILL frame, it is understood that the TRILL encapsulation is actually done by a non-RBridge node which is attached to an edge port of an RBridge Ingress node.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

As the number of hosts in data center gets large, the number of switches interconnecting them could increase to a point that TRILL no longer scales well. The situation will get worse as hypervisors on servers are equipped with virtual switches. Therefore, we suggest TRILL consider directory assisted non-RBridge encapsulation approach. The non-RBridge encapsulation approach is especially useful when there are many servers in a data center equipped with hypervisor-based virtual switches because it is relatively easy for virtual switches, which are usually software based, to get directory assistance and perform network address encapsulation.

6. Manageability Considerations

TBD.

7. Security Considerations

TBD.

8. IANA Considerations

TBD
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1. Introduction

The IETF has standardized the TRILL (Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links) protocol [RFC6325] [RFC6327], which provides transparent forwarding in multihop networks with arbitrary topology using encapsulation with a hop count and link state routing. TRILL provides optimal pair-wise forwarding without configuration, safe forwarding even during periods of temporary loops, and support for multipathing of both unicast and multicast traffic. Intermediate Systems (ISs) implementing TRILL are called RBridges (Routing Bridges) and can incrementally replace IEEE 802.1 customer bridges.

This document, in conjunction with [RFC6165], specifies the data formats and code points for the IS-IS [ISO-10589] [RFC1195] extensions to support TRILL. These data formats and code points may also be used by technologies other than TRILL.

This document obsoletes [RFC6326]. The main changes from [RFC6326] are summarized below and listed in more detail in Section 7.

1. Fix the one reported errata in [RFC6326].
2. Addition of multicast group announcements by IPv4 and IPv6 address.
3. Addition of control plane support for 24-bit TRILL Data frame labels that are in some ways analogous to VLANs.
4. Addition of facilities for announcing capabilities supported.

Changes herein to TLVs and sub-TLVs specified in [RFC6326] are backwards compatible.

1.1 Conventions Used in This Document

The terminology and acronyms defined in [RFC6325] are used herein with the same meaning.

Additional acronyms and phrases used in this document are:

BVL - Bit Vector Length

BVO - Bit Vector Offset

customer bridge - A device conformant with [802.1D-2004] or [802.1Q-2011] that is not offering any Provider bridging facilities.
IIH - IS-IS Hello

IS - Intermediate System. For this document, all relevant intermediate systems are R Bridges [RFC6325].

NLPID - Network Layer Protocol Identifier

SNPA - SubNetwork Point of Attachment (MAC Address)

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
2. TLV and Sub-TLV Extensions to IS-IS for TRILL

This section, in conjunction with [RFC6165], specifies the data formats and code points for the TLVs and sub-TLVs for IS-IS to support the IETF TRILL standard. Information as to the number of occurrences allowed, such as for a TLV in a PDU or set of PDUs or for a sub-TLV in a TLV, is summarized in Section 5.

2.1 Group Address TLV

The Group Address (GADDR) TLV, IS-IS TLV type 142, is carried in an LSP PDU and carries sub-TLVs that in turn advertise multicast group listeners. The sub-TLVs that advertises listeners are specified below. The sub-TLVs under GADDR constitute a new series of sub-TLV types (see Section 5.2).

GADDR has the following format:

```
+------------------+
| Type=GADDR-TLV   | (1 byte)
+------------------+
          +-------+
|   Length      | (1 byte)
+------------------+
        +-----------+
|   sub-TLVs...  |
+------------------+
```

- Type: TLV Type, set to GADDR-TLV 142.
- Length: variable depending on the sub-TLVs carried.
- sub-TLVs: The Group Address TLV value consists of sub-TLVs formatted as described in [RFC5305].

2.1.1 Group MAC Address Sub-TLV

The Group MAC Address (GMAC-ADDR) sub-TLV is sub-TLV type number 1 within the GADDR TLV. In TRILL, it is used to advertise multicast listeners by MAC address as specified in Section 4.5.5 of [RFC6325]. It has the following format:

```
+------------------+
| Type=GMAC-ADDR-TLV| (1 byte)
+------------------+
          +-------+
|   Length      | (1 byte)
+------------------+
        +-----------+
|   sub-TLVs...  |
+------------------+
```

- Type: TLV Type, set to GMAC-ADDR-TLV 1.
- Length: variable depending on the sub-TLVs carried.
- sub-TLVs: The Group Address TLV value consists of sub-TLVs formatted as described in [RFC5305].
where each group record is of the following form with $k=6$:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Num of Sources</th>
<th>(1 byte)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group Address</td>
<td>(k bytes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source 1 Address</td>
<td>(k bytes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source 2 Address</td>
<td>(k bytes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source M Address</td>
<td>(k bytes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- **Type**: GADDR sub-TLV type, set to 1 (GMAC-ADDR).
- **Length**: $5 + m + k*n = 5 + m + 6*n$ where $m$ is the number of group records and $n$ is the sum of the number of group and source addresses.
- **RESV**: Reserved. 4-bit fields that MUST be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.
- **Topology-ID**: This field carries a topology ID [RFC5120] or zero if topologies are not in use.
- **VLAN ID**: This carries the 12-bit VLAN identifier for all subsequent MAC addresses in this sub-TLV, or the value zero if no
VLAN is specified.

- Number of Group Records: A 1-byte unsigned integer that is the number of group records in this sub-TLV.

- Group Record: Each group record carries the number of sources. It then has a 6-byte (48-bit) multicast address followed by 6-byte source MAC addresses. If the sources do not fit in a single sub-TLV, the same group address may be repeated with different source addresses in another sub-TLV of another instance of the Group Address TLV.

The GMAC-ADDR sub-TLV is carried only within a GADDR TLV.

2.1.2 Group IPv4 Address sub-TLV

The Group IPv4 Address (GIP-ADDR) sub-TLV is IS-IS sub-TLV type TBD [2 suggested] within the GADDR TLV. It has the same format as the Group MAC Address sub-TLV described in Section 2.1.1 except that k=4. The fields are as follows:

- Type: sub-TLV Type, set to TBD [2 suggested] (GIP-ADDR).

- Length: 5 + m + k*n = 5 + m + 4*n where m is the number of group records and n is the sum of the number of group and source addresses.

- Topology-Id: This field carries a topology ID [RFC5120] or zero if topologies are not in use.

- RESV: Must be sent as zero on transmission and is ignored on receipt.

- VLAN-ID: This carries a 12-bit VLAN identifier that is valid for all subsequent addresses in this sub-TLV, or the value zero if no VLAN is specified.

- Number of Group Records: This is of length 1 byte and lists the number of group records in this sub-TLV.

- Group Record: Each group record carries the number of sources. It then has a 4-byte (32-bit) IPv4 Group Address followed by 4-byte source IPv4 addresses. If the number of sources do not fit in a single sub-TLV, it is permitted to have the same group address repeated with different source addresses in another sub-TLV of another instance of the Group Address TLV.

The GIP-ADDR sub-TLV is carried only within a GADDR TLV.
2.1.3 Group IPv6 Address sub-TLV

The Group IPv6 Address (GIPv6-ADDR) sub-TLV is IS-IS sub-TLV type TBD [3 suggested] within the GADDR TLV. It has the same format as the Group MAC Address sub-TLV described in Section 2.1.1 except that k=16. The fields are as follows:

- **Type**: sub-TLV Type, set to TBD [3 suggested] (GIPv6-ADDR).
- **Length**: \( 5 + m + k \times n = 5 + m + 16 \times n \) where \( m \) is the number of group records and \( n \) is the sum of the number of group and source addresses.
- **Topology-Id**: This field carries a topology ID [RFC5120] or zero if topologies are not in use.
- **RESV**: Must be sent as zero on transmission and is ignored on receipt.
- **VLAN-ID**: This carries a 12-bit VLAN identifier that is valid for all subsequent addresses in this sub-TLV, or the value zero if no VLAN is specified.
- **Number of Group Records**: This is of length 1 byte and lists the number of group records in this sub-TLV.
- **Group Record**: Each group record carries the number of sources. It then has a 16-byte (128-bit) IPv6 Group Address followed by 16-byte source IPv6 addresses. If the number of sources do not fit in a single sub-TLV, it is permitted to have the same group address repeated with different source addresses in another sub-TLV of another instance of the Group Address TLV.

The GIPv6-ADDR sub-TLV is carried only within a GADDR TLV.

2.1.4 Group Labeled MAC Address sub-TLV

The GMAC-ADDR sub-TLV of the Group Address (GADDR) TLV specified in Section 2.1.1 provides for a 12-bit VLAN-ID. The Group Labeled MAC Address sub-TLV, below, extends this to a 24-bit fine-grained label.
where each group record is of the following form with k=6:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Num of Sources</th>
<th>(1 byte)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group Address</td>
<td>(k bytes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source 1 Address</td>
<td>(k bytes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source 2 Address</td>
<td>(k bytes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source M Address</td>
<td>(k bytes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Type: GADDR sub-TLV Type, set to TBD [4 suggested] (GLMAC-ADDR).
- Length: $6 + m + k*n = 6 + m + 6*n$ where m is the number of group records and n is the sum of the number of group and source addresses.
- RESV: Reserved. 4-bit field that MUST be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.
- Topology-ID: This field carries a topology ID [RFC5120] or zero if topologies are not in use.
- Label: This carries the 24-bit fine-grained label identifier for all subsequent MAC addresses in this sub-TLV, or the value zero if
no label is specified.

- Number of Group Records: A 1-byte unsigned integer that is the number of group records in this sub-TLV.

- Group Record: Each group record carries the number of sources. It then has a 6-byte (48-bit) multicast address followed by 6-byte source MAC addresses. If the sources do not fit in a single sub-TLV, the same group address may be repeated with different source addresses in another sub-TLV of another instance of the Group Address TLV.

The GLMAC-ADDR sub-TLV is carried only within a GADDR TLV.

### 2.1.5 Group Labeled IPv4 Address sub-TLV

The Group Labeled IPv4 Address (GLIP-ADDR) sub-TLV is IS-IS sub-TLV type TBD [5 suggested] within the GADDR TLV. It has the same format as the Group Labeled MAC Address sub-TLV described in Section 2.1.4 except that k=4. The fields are as follows:

- Type: sub-TLV Type, set to TBD [5 suggested] (GLIP-ADDR).

- Length: \(6 + m + k*n = 6 + m + 4*n\) where \(m\) is the number of group records and \(n\) is the sum of the number of group and source addresses.

- Topology-Id: This field carries a topology ID [RFC5120] or zero if topologies are not in use.

- RESV: Must be sent as zero on transmission and is ignored on receipt.

- Label: This carries the 24-bit fine-grained label identifier for all subsequent IPv4 addresses in this sub-TLV, or the value zero if no label is specified.

- Number of Group Records: This is of length 1 byte and lists the number of group records in this sub-TLV.

- Group Record: Each group record carries the number of sources. It then has a 4-byte (32-bit) IPv4 Group Address followed by 4-byte source IPv4 addresses. If the number of sources do not fit in a single sub-TLV, it is permitted to have the same group address repeated with different source addresses in another sub-TLV of another instance of the Group Address TLV.

The GLIP-ADDR sub-TLV is carried only within a GADDR TLV.
2.1.6 Group Labeled IPv6 Address sub-TLV

The Group Labeled IPv6 Address (GLIPv6 ADDR) sub-TLV is IS-IS sub-TLV type TBD [6 suggested] within the GADDR TLV. It has the same format as the Group Labeled MAC Address sub-TLV described in Section 2.1.4 except that k=16. The fields are as follows:

- **Type**: sub-TLV Type, set to TBD [6 suggested] (GLIPv6 ADDR).
- **Length**: $6 + m + k \times n = 6 + m + 16 \times n$ where m is the number of group records and n is the sum of the number of group and source addresses.
- **Topology-Id**: This field carries a topology ID [RFC5120] or zero if topologies are not in use.
- **RESV**: Must be sent as zero on transmission and is ignored on receipt.
- **Label**: This carries the 24-bit fine-grained label identifier for all subsequent IPv6 addresses in this sub-TLV, or the value zero if no label is specified.
- **Number of Group Records**: This of length 1 byte and lists the number of group records in this sub-TLV.
- **Group Record**: Each group record carries the number of sources. It then has a 16-byte (128-bit) IPv6 Group Address followed by 16-byte source IPv6 addresses. If the number of sources do not fit in a single sub-TLV, it is permitted to have the same group address repeated with different source addresses in another sub-TLV of another instance of the Group Address TLV.

The GLIPv6 ADDR sub-TLV is carried only within a GADDR TLV.

2.2 Multi-Topology-Aware Port Capability Sub-TLVs

TRILL makes use of the Multi-Topology-Aware Port Capability (MT-PORT-CAP) TLV as specified in [RFC6165]. The remainder of this section specifies the sub-TLVs transported by the MT-PORT-CAP TLV for TRILL.

2.2.1 Special VLANs and Flags Sub-TLV

In TRILL, a Special VLANs and Flags (VLAN-Flags) sub-TLV is carried in every IIH PDU. It has the following format:
INTERNET-DRAFT

TRILL Use of IS-IS

+---------------------+-------+
|  Type               |      |
+---------------------+-------+
|  Length             |  (1 byte) |
+---------------------+-------+
|  Port ID            |  (2 bytes) |
+---------------------+-------+
|  Sender Nickname    |  (2 bytes) |
+---------------------+-------+
| AF  AC  VM  BY      | Outer.VLAN |  (2 bytes) |
+---------------------+-------+
| TR  R  R  R         | Desig.VLAN |  (2 bytes) |
+---------------------+-------+

- Type: sub-TLV type, set to MT-PORT-CAP VLAN-FLAGS sub-TLV 1.
- Length: 8.
- Port ID: An ID for the port on which the enclosing TRILL IIH PDU is being sent as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.4.2.
- Sender Nickname: If the sending IS is holding any nicknames as discussed in [RFC6325], Section 3.7, one MUST be included here. Otherwise, the field is set to zero. This field is to support intelligent end stations that determine the egress IS (RBridge) for unicast data through a directory service or the like and that need a nickname for their first hop to insert as the ingress nickname to correctly format a TRILL Data frame. See [RFC6325], Section 4.6.2, point 8.
- Outer.VLAN: A copy of the 12-bit outer VLAN ID of the TRILL IIH frame containing this sub-TLV when that frame was sent, as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.4.5.
- Desig.VLAN: The 12-bit ID of the Designated VLAN for the link, as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.2.4.2.
- AF, AC, VM, BY, and TR: These flag bits have the following meanings when set to one, as specified in the listed section of [RFC6325]:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RFC 6325 Bit</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Meaning if bit is one</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td>Originating IS believes it is appointed forwarder for the VLAN and port on which the containing IIH PDU was sent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>4.9.1</td>
<td>Originating port configured as an access port</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TRILL Use of IS-IS

(TRILL traffic disabled).

VM 4.4.5 VLAN mapping detected on this link.

BY 4.4.2 Bypass pseudonode.

TR 4.9.1 Originating port configured as a trunk port (end-station service disabled).

- R: Reserved bit. MUST be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.

2.2.2 Enabled-VLANs Sub-TLV

The optional Enabled-VLANs sub-TLV specifies the VLANs enabled at the port of the originating IS on which the containing Hello was sent, as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.4.2. It has the following format:

```
+-----------------+-----------------------------+
|     Type        |                          (1 byte) |
+-----------------+-----------------------------+
|   Length        |                          (1 byte) |
+-----------------+-----------------------------+
|   RESV          |  Start VLAN ID            | (2 bytes) |
+-----------------+-----------------------------+
| VLAN bit-map....|                            |
+-----------------+-----------------------------+
```

- Type: sub-TLV type, set to MT-PORT-CAP Enabled-VLANs sub-TLV 2.
- Length: Variable, minimum 3.
- RESV: 4 reserved bits that MUST be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.
- Start VLAN ID: The 12-bit VLAN ID that is represented by the high order bit of the first byte of the VLAN bit-map.
- VLAN bit-map: The highest order bit indicates the VLAN equal to the start VLAN ID, the next highest bit indicates the VLAN equal to start VLAN ID + 1, continuing to the end of the VLAN bit-map field.

If this sub-TLV occurs more than once in a Hello, the set of enabled VLANs is the union of the sets of VLANs indicated by each of the Enabled-VLAN sub-TLVs in the Hello.
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2.2.3 Appointed Forwarders Sub-TLV

The DRB on a link uses the Appointed Forwarders sub-TLV to inform other ISs on the link that they are the designated VLAN-\(x\) forwarder for one or more ranges of VLAN IDs as specified in [RFCaf]. It has the following format:

```
| Type     |                          (1 byte) |
| Length   |                          (1 byte) |
```

```
| Appointment Information (1) |  (6 bytes) |
| Appointment Information (2) |  (6 bytes) |
```

```
| ...............                  |
| Appointment Information (N)   |  (6 bytes) |
```

where each appointment is of the form:

```
| Appointee Nickname            |  (2 bytes) |
| RESV                         |  (2 bytes) |
| Start.VLAN                   |  (2 bytes) |
| RESV                         |  (2 bytes) |
| End.VLAN                     |  (2 bytes) |
```

- **Type**: sub-TLV type, set to MT-PORT-CAP AppointedFwrdrsv sub-TLV 3.
- **Length**: 6*n bytes, where there are n appointments.
- **Appointee Nickname**: The nickname of the IS being appointed a forwarder.
- **RESV**: 4 bits that MUST be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.
- **Start.VLAN, End.VLAN**: These fields are the VLAN IDs of the appointment range, inclusive. To specify a single VLAN, the VLAN’s ID appears as both the start and end VLAN. As specified in [RFCaf], appointing an IS forwarder on a port for a VLAN not enabled on that port has no effect.

An IS’s nickname may occur as appointed forwarder for multiple VLAN ranges by occurrences of this sub-TLV within the same or different MT Port Capability TLVs within an IIH PDU. See [RFCaf].
2.2.4 Port TRILL Version Sub-TLV

The Port TRILL Version (PORT-TRILL-VER) sub-TLV indicates the maximum version of the TRILL standard supported and the support of optional hop-by-hop capabilities. By implication, lower versions are also supported. If this sub-TLV is missing from an IIH, it is assumed that the originating IS only supports the base version (version zero) of the protocol [RFC6325] and supports no optional capabilities indicated by this sub-TLV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>(1 byte)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>(1 byte)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max-version</td>
<td>(1 byte)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capabilities and Header Flags Supported</td>
<td>(4 bytes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 1 1 4 5 6 7 3 3
```

- **Type**: MT-PORT-CAP sub-TLV type, set to TBD (PORT-TRILL-VER).
- **Length**: 5.
- **Max-version**: A one byte unsigned integer set to maximum version supported.
- **Capabilities and Header Flags Supported**: A bit vector of 32 bits numbered 0 through 31 in network order. Bits 3 through 13 indicate that the corresponding TRILL Header hop-by-hop extended flags [ExtendHeader] are supported. Bits 0 through 2 and 14 to 31 are reserved to indicate support of optional capabilities. A one bit indicates that the flag or capability is supported by the originating IS. Bits in this field MUST be set to zero except as permitted for a capabilities being advertised or if a hop-by-hop extended header flag is supported.

This sub-TLV, if present, MUST occur in an MT-PORT-CAP TLV in a TRILL IIH. If there is more than one occurrence, the minimum of the supported versions is assumed to be correct and a capability or header flag is assumed to be supported only if indicated by all occurrences. The flags and capabilities for which support can be indicated in this sub-TLV are disjoint from those in the TRILL-VER sub-TLV (Section 2.3.1) so they cannot conflict. The flags and capabilities indicated in this sub-TLV relate to hop-by-hop processing that can differ between the ports of an RBridge, and thus must be advertised in IIHs. For example, a capability requiring cryptographic hardware assist might be supported on some ports and
not others. However, the TRILL version is the same as that in the
TRILL-PORT-VER sub-TLV and an IS, if it is adjacent to the
originating IS of TRILL-VER sub-TLV(s) uses the TRILL version it
received in TRILL-PORT-VER sub-TLV(s) in preference to that received
in TRILL-VER sub-TLV(s).

2.2.5 VLANs Appointed Sub-TLV

The optional VLANs sub-TLV specifies the VLANs for which a port of
the originating IS on which the containing Hello was sent is
appointed forwarder. It has the following format:

```
++          ++
| Type      |                  (1 byte)
++          ++
| Length    |                  (1 byte)
++          ++          ++          ++          ++          ++
| RESV      | Start VLAN ID    |                  (2 bytes)
++          ++          ++          ++          ++          ++
| VLAN bit-map...           
++          ++          ++          ++          ++          ++
```

- **Type**: sub-TLV type, set to MT-PORT-CAP VLANS-Appointed sub-TLV TBD.
- **Length**: Variable, minimum 3.
- **RESV**: 4 reserved bits that MUST be sent as zero and ignored on
  receipt.
- **Start VLAN ID**: The 12-bit VLAN ID that is represented by the high
  order bit of the first byte of the VLAN bit-map.
- **VLAN bit-map**: The highest order bit indicates the VLAN equal to
  the start VLAN ID, the next highest bit indicates the VLAN equal
to start VLAN ID + 1, continuing to the end of the VLAN bit-map
  field.

If this sub-TLV occurs more than once in a Hello, the set of VLANs
for which the originating IS is declaring it is appointed forwarder
on the port on which the enclosing IISH was sent is the union of the
sets of VLANs indicated by each of the VLANs-Appointed sub-TLVs in
the Hello.
2.3 Sub-TLVs for the Router Capability TLV

The Router Capability TLV is specified in [RFC4971]. All of the sub-sections of this Section 2.3 below specify sub-TLVs that can be carried in the Router Capability TLV for TRILL which in turn is carried only by LSPs.

2.3.1 TRILL Version Sub-TLV

The TRILL Version (TRILL-VER) sub-TLV indicates the maximum version of the TRILL standard supported and the support of optional capabilities by the originating IS. By implication, lower versions are also supported. If this sub-TLV is missing, it is assumed that the originating IS only supports the base version (version zero) of the protocol [RFC6325] and no optional capabilities indicated by this sub-TLV are supported.

```
+-----------------+
| Type            | (1 byte) |
+-----------------+
| Length          | (1 byte) |
+-----------------+
| Max-version     | (1 byte) |
+-----------------+
| Capabilities and Header Flags Supported | (4 bytes) |
```

```
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 1
```

- Type: Router Capability sub-TLV type, set to 13 (TRILL-VER).
- Length: 5.
- Max-version: A one byte unsigned integer set to maximum version supported.
- Capabilities and Header Flags Supported: A bit vector of 32 bits numbered 0 through 31 in network order. Bits 14 through 31 indicate that the corresponding TRILL Header extended flags [ExtendHeader] are supported. Bits 0 through 13 are reserved to indicate support of optional capabilities. A one bit indicates that the originating IS supports the flag or capability. For example, support of multi-level TRILL IS-IS [MultiLevel]. Bits in this field MUST be set to zero except as permitted for a capability being advertised or an extended header flag supported.

This sub-TLV, if present, MUST occur in a Router Capabilities TLV in the LSP number zero for the originating IS. If found in other...
fragments, it is ignored. If there is more than one occurrence in LSP number zero, the minimum of the supported versions is assumed to be correct and an extended header flag or capability is assumed to be supported only if indicated by all occurrences. The flags and capabilities supported bits in this sub-TLV are disjoint from those in the TRILL-PORT-VER sub-TLV (Section 2.2.4) so they cannot conflict. However, the TRILL version is the same as that in the TRILL-PORT-VER sub-TLV and an IS that is adjacent to the originating IS of TRILL-VER sub-TLV(s) uses the TRILL version it received in TRILL-PORT-VER sub-TLV(s) in preference to that received in TRILL-VER sub-TLV(s).

2.3.2 Nickname Sub-TLV

The Nickname (NICKNAME) Router Capability sub-TLV carries information about the nicknames of the originating IS, along with information about its priority to hold those nicknames as specified in [RFC6325], Section 3.7.3. Multiple instances of this sub-TLV may be carried.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type = NICKNAME</th>
<th>(1 byte)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>(1 byte)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICKNAME RECORDS (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICKNAME RECORDS (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICKNAME RECORDS (N)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

where each nickname record is of the form:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nickname.Pri</th>
<th>(1 byte)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree Root Priority</td>
<td>(2 byte)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickname</td>
<td>(2 bytes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- Type: Router Capability sub-TLV type, set to 6 (NICKNAME).
- Length: 5*n, where n is the number of nickname records present.
- Nickname.Pri: An 8-bit unsigned integer priority to hold a nickname.
nickname as specified in Section 3.7.3 of [RFC6325].

- Tree Root Priority: This is an unsigned 16-bit integer priority to be a tree root as specified in Section 4.5 of [RFC6325].
- Nickname: This is an unsigned 16-bit integer as specified in Section 3.7 of [RFC6325].

2.3.3 Trees Sub-TLV

Each IS providing TRILL service uses the TREES sub-TLV to announce three numbers related to the computation of distribution trees as specified in Section 4.5 of [RFC6325]. Its format is as follows:

```
+-------------------+
| Type = TREES      | (1 byte)
+-------------------+
| Length            | (1 byte)
+-------------------+
| Number of trees to compute | (2 byte)
+-------------------+
| Maximum trees able to compute | (2 byte)
+-------------------+
| Number of trees to use | (2 byte)
```

- Type: Router Capability sub-TLV type, set to 7 (TREES).
- Number of trees to compute: An unsigned 16-bit integer as specified in Section 4.5 of [RFC6325].
- Maximum trees able to compute: An unsigned 16-bit integer as specified in Section 4.5 of [RFC6325].
- Number of trees to use: An unsigned 16-bit integer as specified in Section 4.5 of [RFC6325].

2.3.4 Tree Identifiers Sub-TLV

The tree identifiers (TREE-RT-IDs) sub-TLV is an ordered list of nicknames. When originated by the IS that has the highest priority to be a tree root, it lists the distribution trees that the other ISs are required to compute as specified in Section 4.5 of [RFC6325]. If this information is spread across multiple sub-TLVs, the starting
The sub-TLV format is as follows:

```
+------------------+
| Type=TREE-RT-IDs | (1 byte)
+------------------+
| Length           | (1 byte)
+------------------+
| Starting Tree Number | (2 bytes)
+------------------+
| Nickname (K-th root) | (2 bytes)
+------------------+
| Nickname (K+1 - th root) | (2 bytes)
+------------------+
| Nickname (...) | (2 bytes)
+------------------+
```

- **Type**: Router Capability sub-TLV type, set to 8 (TREE-RT-IDs).
- **Length**: 2 + 2*n, where n is the number of nicknames listed.
- **Starting Tree Number**: This identifies the starting tree number of the nicknames that are trees for the domain. This is set to 1 for the sub-TLV containing the first list. Other Tree-Identifiers sub-TLVs will have the number of the starting list they contain. In the event the same tree identifier can be computed from two such sub-TLVs and they are different, then it is assumed that this is a transient condition that will get cleared. During this transient time, such a tree SHOULD NOT be computed unless such computation is indicated by all relevant sub-TLVs present.
- **Nickname**: The nickname at which a distribution tree is rooted.

### 2.3.5 Trees Used Identifiers Sub-TLV

This Router Capability sub-TLV has the same structure as the Tree Identifiers sub-TLV specified in Section 2.3.4. The only difference is that its sub-TLV type is set to 9 (TREE-USE-IDs), and the trees listed are those that the originating IS wishes to use as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.5.

### 2.3.6 Interested VLANs and Spanning Tree Roots Sub-TLV

The value of this Router Capability sub-TLV consists of a VLAN range and information in common to all of the VLANs in the range for the originating IS. This information consists of flags, a variable length
list of spanning tree root bridge IDs, and an appointed forwarder status lost counter, all as specified in the sections of [RFC6325] listed with the respective information items below.

In the set of LSPs originated by an IS, the union of the VLAN ranges in all occurrences of this sub-TLV MUST be the set of VLANs for which the originating IS is appointed forwarder on at least one port, and the VLAN ranges in multiple VLANs sub-TLVs for an IS MUST NOT overlap unless the information provided about a VLAN is the same in every instance. However, as a transient state these conditions may be violated. If a VLAN is not listed in any INT-VLAN sub-TLV for an IS, that IS is assumed to be uninterested in receiving traffic for that VLAN. If a VLAN appears in more than one INT-VLAN sub-TLV for an IS with different information in the different instances, the following apply:

- If those sub-TLVs provide different nicknames, it is unspecified which nickname takes precedence.
- The largest appointed forwarder status lost counter, using serial number arithmetic [RFC1982], is used.
- The originating IS is assumed to be attached to a multicast IPv4 router for that VLAN if any of the INT-VLAN sub-TLVs assert that it is so connected and similarly for IPv6 multicast router attachment.
- The root bridge lists from all of the instances of the VLAN for the originating IS are merged.

To minimize such occurrences, wherever possible, an implementation SHOULD advertise the update to an interested VLAN and Spanning Tree Roots sub-TLV in the same LSP fragment as the advertisement that it replaces. Where this is not possible, the two affected LSP fragments should be flooded as an atomic action. An IS that receives an update to an existing interested VLAN and Spanning Tree Roots sub-TLV can minimize the potential disruption associated with the update by employing a hold-down timer prior to processing the update so as to allow for the receipt of multiple LSP fragments associated with the same update prior to beginning processing.

The sub-TLV layout is as follows:
+++
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type = INT-VLAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1 byte)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
+++
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1 byte)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
+++
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nickname</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(2 bytes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
+++
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interested VLANS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(4 bytes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
+++
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appointed Forwarder Status Lost Counter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(4 bytes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
+++
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root Bridges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(6*n bytes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
+++

- **Type**: Router Capability sub-TLV type, set to 10 (INT-VLAN).
- **Length**: 10 + 6*n, where n is the number of root bridge IDs.
- **Nickname**: As specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.2.4.4, this field may be used to associate a nickname held by the originating IS with the VLAN range indicated. When not used in this way, it is set to zero.

- **Interested VLANS**: The Interested VLANS field is formatted as shown below.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4 - 15</th>
<th>16 - 19</th>
<th>20 - 31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>M6</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>VLAN.start</td>
<td>RESV</td>
<td>VLAN.end</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- **M4, M6**: These bits indicate, respectively, that there is an IPv4 or IPv6 multicast router on a link for which the originating IS is appointed forwarder for every VLAN in the indicated range as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.2.4.4, item 5.1.

- **R, RESV**: These reserved bits MUST be sent as zero and are ignored on receipt.

- **VLAN.start and VLAN.end**: This VLAN ID range is inclusive. Setting both VLAN.start and VLAN.end to the same value indicates a range of one VLAN ID. If VLAN.start is not equal to VLAN.end and VLAN.start is 0x000, the sub-TLV is interpreted as if VLAN.start was 0x001. If VLAN.start is not equal to VLAN.end and VLAN.end is 0xFFF, the sub-TLV is interpreted as if VLAN.end was 0xFFF. If VLAN.start is less than VLAN.end, the sub-TLV is ignored. If both VLAN.start and VLAN.end are 0x000, the sub-TLV is ignored. If both VLAN.start and VLAN.end are 0xFFF then if the nickname field has a valid nickname value
(0x0001 through 0xFFBF inclusive), that nickname is reserved for use in connection with traffic engineered routes to the originating RBridge.

- Appointed Forwarder Status Lost Counter: This is a count of how many times a port that was appointed forwarder for the VLANs in the range given has lost the status of being an appointed forwarder for some port as discussed in Section 4.8.3 of [RFC6325]. It is initialized to zero at an IS when the zeroth LSP sequence number is initialized. No special action need be taken at rollover; the counter just wraps around.

- Root Bridges: The list of zero or more spanning tree root bridge IDs is the set of root bridge IDs seen for all ports for which the IS is appointed forwarder for the VLANs in the specified range as discussed in [RFC6325], Section 4.9.3.2. While, of course, at most one spanning tree root could be seen on any particular port, there may be multiple ports in the same VLANs connected to different bridged LANs with different spanning tree roots.

An INT-VLAN sub-TLV asserts that the information provided (multicast router attachment, appointed forwarder status lost counter, and root bridges) is the same for all VLANs in the range specified. If this is not the case, the range MUST be split into subranges meeting this criteria. It is always safe to use sub-TLVs with a "range" of one VLAN ID, but this may be too verbose.

2.3.7 VLAN Group Sub-TLV

The VLAN Group Router Capability sub-TLV consists of two or more VLAN IDs as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.8.4. This sub-TLV indicates that shared VLAN learning is occurring at the announcing IS between the listed VLANs. It is structured as follows:

```
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| Type=VLAN-GROUP              |  (1 byte)  
|+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|   Length                   |  (1 byte)  
|+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| RESV | Primary VLAN ID        |  (2 bytes)  
|+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| RESV | Secondary VLAN ID       |  (2 bytes)  
|+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| more Secondary VLAN IDs ... |  (2 bytes each) 
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

- Type: Router Capability sub-TLV type, set to 14 (VLAN-GROUP).
o Length: 4 + 2*n, where n is the number of secondary VLAN ID fields, which may be zero.

o RESV: a 4-bit field that MUST be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.

o Primary VLAN ID: This identifies the primary VLAN ID.

o Secondary VLAN ID: This identifies a secondary VLAN in the VLAN Group.

o more Secondary VLAN IDs: zero or more byte pairs, each with the top 4 bits as a RESV field and the low 12 bits as a VLAN ID.

2.3.8 Interested Labels and Spanning Tree Roots Sub-TLV

An IS that can handle 24-bit fine-grained labeling announces its fine-grained label connectivity and related information in the "Interested Labels and Bridge Spanning Tree Roots sub-TLV" (INT-LABEL) which is a variation of the "Interested VLANs and Spanning Tree Roots sub-TLV" (INT-VLAN) structured as below.

```
+--------+
| Type= INT-LABEL |                  (1 byte)
+--------+
| Length   |                  (1 byte)
+--------+
```

```
| Interested Labels |  (7 bytes)
+--------------------+
| Appointed Forwarder Status Lost Counter |  (4 bytes)
+---------------------------------------+
| Root Bridges |  (6*n bytes)
+--------------+
```

o Type: Router Capability sub-TLV Type, set to TBD [15 suggested] (INT-LABEL).

o Length: 11 + 6*n where n is the number of root bridge IDs.

o Interested Labels: The Interested Labels field is seven bytes long and formatted as shown below.
- M4, M6: These bits indicate, respectively, that there is an IPv4 or IPv6 multicast router on a link to which the originating IS is appointed forwarder for the VLAN corresponding to every label in the indicated range.

- BM: If the BM (Bit Map) bit is zero, the last three bytes of the Interested Labels is a Label.end label number. If the BM bit is one, those bytes are a bit map as described below.

- R: These reserved bits MUST be sent as zero and are ignored on receipt.

- Label.start and Label.end: If the BM bit is zero: This fine-grained label ID range is inclusive. These fields are treated as unsigned integers. Setting them both to the same label ID value indicates a range of one label ID. If Label.end is less than Label.start, the sub-TLV is ignored.

- Label.start and Bit Map: If the BM bit is one: The fine-grained labels that the IS is interested in are indicated by a 24-bit bit map. The interested labels are the Label.start number plus the bit number of each one bit in the bit map. So, if bit zero of the bit map is a one, the IS is interested in the label with value Label.start and if bit 23 of the bit map is a one, the IS is interested in the label with value Label.start+23.

- Appointed Forwarder Status Lost Counter: This is a count of how many times a port that was appointed forwarder for a VLAN mapping to the fine-grained label in the range or bit map given has lost the status of being an appointed forwarder as discussed in Section 4.8.3 of [RFC6325]. It is initialized to zero at an IS when the zeroth LSP sequence number is initialized. No special action need be taken at rollover; the counter just wraps around.

- Root Bridges: The list of zero or more spanning tree root bridge IDs is the set of root bridge IDs seen for all ports for which the IS is appointed forwarder for a VLAN mapping to the fine-grained label in the specified range or bit map. (See [RFC6325], Section 4.9.3.2.) While, of course, at most one spanning tree root could
be seen on any particular port, there may be multiple relevant
ports connected to different bridged LANs with different spanning
tree roots.

An INT-LABEL sub-TLV asserts that the information provided (multicast
router attachment, appointed forwarder status lost counter, and root
bridges) is the same for all VLANs in the range specified. If this is
not the case, the range MUST be split into subranges meeting this
criteria. It is always safe to use sub-TLVs with a "range" of one
VLAN ID, but this may be too verbose.

2.3.9 RBridge Channel Protocols Sub-TLV

An IS announces the RBridge Channel protocols [Channel] it supports
through use of this sub-TLV.

```
| Type=RBCHANNELS | Length |
+-----------------+--------+
     |         |
+-----------------+--------+
     | Zero or more bit vectors |
```

- **Type**: RBridge Channel Protocols, set to TBD [16 suggested]
  (RBCHANNELS).

- **Length**: variable.

- **Bit Vectors**: Zero or more byte-aligned bit vectors where a one bit
  indicates support of a particular RBridge Channel protocol. Each
  byte-aligned bit vector is formatted as follows:

```
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 |
+-----------------+-----------------+
     | Bit Vector Length | Bit Vector Offset |
+-----------------+-----------------+
     | bits |
```

Note that the bit vector length (BVL) is a seven bit unsigned integer
field and the bit vector offset (BVO) is a nine bit unsigned integer
field. The bits in each bit vector are numbered in network order,
the high order bit of the first byte of bits being bit 0 + 8*BVO, the
low order bit of that byte being 7 + 8*BVO, the high order bit of the
second byte being 8 + 8*BVO, and so on for BVL bytes. An RBridge
Channel protocols-supported bit vector MUST NOT extend beyond the end
of the value in the sub-TLV (see Section 6) in which it occurs. If it
does, it is ignored. If multiple byte-aligned bit vectors are present in one such sub-TLV, they are contiguous, the BVL field for the next starting immediately after the last byte of bits for the previous bit vector. The one or more bit vectors present MUST exactly fill the sub-TLV value. If there are one or two bytes of value left over, they are ignored; if more than two, an attempt is made to parse them as one or more bit vectors.

If different bit vectors overlap in the protocol number space they refer to and they have inconsistent bit values for a channel protocol, support for the protocol is assumed if any of these bit vectors has a 1 for that protocol.

The absence of any occurrences of this sub-TLV in the LSP for an IS implies that that IS does not support the RBridge Channel facility.

To avoid wasted space, trailing bit vector zero bytes SHOULD be eliminated by reducing BVL, any null bit vectors (ones with BVL equal to zero) eliminated, and generally the most compact encoding used. For example, support for channel protocols 1 and 32 could be encoded as

\[
\begin{align*}
BVL &= 5 \\
BVO &= 0 \\
0b01000000 \\
0b00000000 \\
0b00000000 \\
0b00000000 \\
0b10000000
\end{align*}
\]

or as

\[
\begin{align*}
BVL &= 1 \\
BVO &= 0 \\
0b01000000 \\
BLV &= 1 \\
BVO &= 4 \\
0b10000000
\end{align*}
\]

The first takes 7 bytes while the second takes only 6 and thus the second would be preferred.

2.4 MTU Sub-TLV of the Extended Reachability TLV

The MTU sub-TLV is used to optionally announce the MTU of a link as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.2.4.4. It occurs within the Extended Reachability TLV (type 22).
2.5 TRILL Neighbor TLV

The TRILL Neighbor TLV is used in TRILL IIH PDUs (see Section 4.1 below) in place of the IS Neighbor TLV, as specified in Section 4.4.2.1 of [RFC6325] and in [RFC6327]. The structure of the TRILL Neighbor TLV is as follows:

    +---------------+        (1 byte)
    | Type           |        (1 byte)
    +---------------+        (1 byte)
    | Length         |        (1 byte)
    +---------------+        (1 byte)
    | S | L | R | SIZE |        (1 byte)
    +---------------+        (1 byte)

The information present for each neighbor is as follows:
o Type: TLV Type, set to TRILL Neighbor TLV 145.

o Length: 1 + (SIZE+3)*n, where n is the number of neighbor records, which may be zero.

o S: Smallest flag. If this bit is a one, then the list of neighbors includes the neighbor with the smallest MAC address considered as an unsigned integer.

o L: Largest flag. If this bit is a one, then the list of neighbors includes the neighbor with the largest MAC address considered as an unsigned integer.

o R, RESV: These bits are reserved and MUST be sent as zero and ignored on receipt.

o SIZE: The SNPA size as an unsigned integer in bytes except that 6 is encoded as zero. An actual size of zero is meaningless and cannot be encoded. The meaning of the value 6 in this field is reserved and TRILL Neighbor TLVs received with a SIZE of 6 are ignored. The SIZE is inherent to the technology of a link and is fixed for all TRILL Neighbor TLVs on that link but may vary between different links in the campus if those links are different technologies. For example, 6 for EUI-48 SNPAs or 8 for EUI-64 SNPAs [RFC5342]. (The SNPA size on the various links in a TRILL campus is independent of the System ID size.)

o F: failed. This bit is a one if MTU testing to this neighbor failed at the required campus-wide MTU (see [RFC6325], Section 4.3.1).

o MTU: This field is set to the largest successfully tested MTU size for this neighbor or to zero if it has not been tested.

o SNPA: Sub-Network Point of Attachment (MAC address) of the neighbor.

As specified in [RFC6327] and Section 4.4.2.1 of [RFC6325], all MAC addresses may fit into one TLV, in which case both the S and L flags would be set to one in that TLV. If the MAC addresses don’t fit into one TLV, the highest MAC address in a TRILL Neighbor TLV with the L flag zero MUST also appear as a MAC address in some other TRILL
Neighbor TLV (possibly in a different TRILL IIH PDU). Also, the lowest MAC address in a TRILL Neighbor TLV with the S flag zero MUST also appear in some other TRILL Neighbor TLV (possibly in a different TRILL IIH PDU). If an IS believes it has no neighbors, it MUST send a TRILL Neighbor TLV with an empty list of neighbor RECORDS, which will have both the S and L bits on.
3. MTU PDUs

The IS-IS MTU PDUs are used to optionally determine the MTU on a link between ISs as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.3.2.

The MTU PDUs have the IS-IS PDU common header (up through the Maximum Area Addresses byte) with two new PDU Type numbers as listed in Section 6. They also have a 20-byte common fixed MTU PDU header as shown below.

```
+----------------------------------+
|      PDU Length                 |
|                                  |  (2 bytes)
+----------------------------------+
|      Probe ID                    |
|                                  |  (6 bytes)
+----------------------------------+
|      Probe Source ID             |
|                                  |  (ID Length bytes)
+----------------------------------+
|      Ack Source ID               |
|                                  |  (ID Length bytes)
+----------------------------------+
```

As with other IS-IS PDUs, the PDU length gives the length of the entire IS-IS packet starting with and including the IS-IS common header.

The Probe ID field is an opaque 48-bit quantity set by the IS issuing an MTU-probe and copied by the responding IS into the corresponding MTU-ack. For example, an IS creating an MTU-probe could compose this quantity from a port identifier and probe sequence number relative to that port.

The Probe Source ID is set by an IS issuing an MTU-probe to its System ID and copied by the responding IS into the corresponding MTU-ack. The Ack Source ID is set to zero in MTU-probe PDUs and ignored on receipt. An IS issuing an MTU-ack sets the Ack Source ID field to its System ID. The System ID length is normally 6 bytes but is actually set by the ID Length field in the IS-IS PDU Header.

The TLV area follows the MTU PDU header area. This area MAY contain an Authentication TLV and MUST be padded to the exact size being tested with the Padding TLV. Since the minimum size of the Padding TLV is 2 bytes, it would be impossible to pad to exact size if the total length of the required information bearing fixed fields and TLVs added up to 1 byte less than the desired length. However, the length of the fixed fields and substantive TLVs for MTU PDUs will be quite small compared with their minimum length (minimum 1470-byte MTU on an 802.3 link, for example), so this will not be a problem.
4. Use of Existing PDUs and TLVs

The sub-sections below provide details of TRILL use of existing PDUs and TLVs.

4.1 TRILL IIH PDUs

The TRILL IIH PDU is the variation of the LAN IIH PDU used by the TRILL protocol. Section 4.4 of the TRILL standard [RFC6325] and [RFC6327] specify the contents of the TRILL IIH and how its use in TRILL differs from Layer 3 LAN IIH PDU use. The adjacency state machinery for TRILL neighbors is specified in detail in [RFC6327].

In a TRILL IIH PDU, the IS-IS common header and the fixed PDU Header are the same as a Level 1 LAN IIH PDU. The Maximum Area Addresses octet in the common header MUST be set to 0x01.

The IS-IS Neighbor TLV (6) is not used in a TRILL IIH and is ignored if it appears there. Instead, TRILL IIH PDUs use the TRILL Neighbor TLV (see Section 2.5).

4.2 Area Address

TRILL uses a fixed zero Area Address as specified in [RFC6325], Section 4.2.3. This is encoded in a 4-byte Area Address TLV (TLV #1) as follows:

```
+-----------------------------
|    0x01, Area Address Type   |
+-----------------------------
|    0x02, Length of Value     |
+-----------------------------
|    0x01, Length of Address   |
+-------------------------------
|    0x00, zero Area Address    |
+-----------------------------
```

4.3 Protocols Supported

NLPID (Network Layer Protocol ID) 0xC0 has been assigned to TRILL [RFC6328]. A Protocols Supported TLV (#129, [RFC1195]) including that value MUST appear in TRILL IIH PDUs and LSP fragment zero PDUs.
4.4 Link State PDUs (LSPs)

A fragment zero LSP MUST NOT be sent larger than 1470 bytes but a larger fragment zero LSP successfully received MUST be processed and forwarded normally.

4.5 Originating LSP Buffer Size

The originatingLSPBufferSize TLV (#14) MUST be in LSP number zero; however, if found in other LSP fragments, it is processed normally. Should there be more than one originatingLSPBufferSize TLV for an IS, the minimum size, but not less than 1470, is used.
5. IANA Considerations

This section gives IANA Considerations for the TLVs, sub-TLVs, and PDUs specified herein.

5.1 TLVs

This document specifies two IS-IS TLV types -- namely, the Group Address TLV (GADDR-TLV, type 142) and the TRILL Neighbor TLV (type 145). The PDUs in which these TLVs are permitted for TRILL are shown in the table below along with the section of this document where they are discussed. The final "NUMBER" column indicates the permitted number of occurrences of the TLV in their PDU, or set of PDUs in the case of LSP, which in these two cases is "*" indicating that the TLV MAY occur 0, 1, or more times.

IANA has registered these two code points in the IANA IS-IS TLV registry (ignoring the "Section" and "NUMBER" columns, which are irrelevant to that registry).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>TLV</th>
<th>RFCref</th>
<th>Grp. Adr.</th>
<th>MT Port</th>
<th>Rtr. Cap</th>
<th>Ext. Reach</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IIH</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSP</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 sub-TLVs

This document specifies a number of sub-TLVs including 9 new sub-TLVs. The TLVs in which these sub-TLVs occur are shown in the second table below along with the section of this document where they are discussed. The TLVs within which these sub-TLVs can occur are determined by the presence of an "X" in the relevant column as shown in the first table above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column Head</th>
<th>TLV</th>
<th>RFCref</th>
<th>TLV Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grp. Adr.</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>This doc</td>
<td>Group Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT Port</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>6165</td>
<td>MT-PORT-CAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rtr. Cap</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>4971</td>
<td>Router CAPABILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ext. Reach</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5305</td>
<td>Extended IS Reachability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The final "NUM" column below indicates the permitted number of occurrences of the sub-TLV cumulatively within all occurrences of their TLV in that TLV's carrying PDU (or set of PDUs in the case of LSP), as follows:
INTERNET-DRAFT                                        TRILL Use of IS-IS

0-1 = MAY occur zero or one times.
1  = MUST occur exactly once. If absent, the PDU is ignored. If it
occurs more than once, results are unspecified.
*  = MAY occur 0, 1, or more times.

The values in the "Section" and "NUM" columns are irrelevant to the
IANA sub-registries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Grp.</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>Rtr.</th>
<th>Ext.</th>
<th>NUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>TLV#</td>
<td>Adr.</td>
<td>Port</td>
<td>Cap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMAC-ADDR</td>
<td>2.1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIP-ADDR</td>
<td>2.1.2</td>
<td>TBD[2]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIPV6-ADDR</td>
<td>2.1.3</td>
<td>TBD[3]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLMAC-ADDR</td>
<td>2.1.4</td>
<td>TBD[4]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLIP-ADDR</td>
<td>2.1.5</td>
<td>TBD[5]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLIPV6-ADDR</td>
<td>2.1.6</td>
<td>TBD[6]</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN-FLAGS</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabled-VLANs</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AppointedFwrdr</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRILL-PORT-VER</td>
<td>2.2.4</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLANs-Appointed</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICKNAME</td>
<td>2.3.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREES</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREE-RT-IDs</td>
<td>2.3.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREE-USE-IDs</td>
<td>2.3.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT-VLAN</td>
<td>2.3.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRILL-VER</td>
<td>2.3.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN-GROUP</td>
<td>2.3.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT-LABEL</td>
<td>2.3.8</td>
<td>TBD[15]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBCHANNELS</td>
<td>2.3.9</td>
<td>TBD[16]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section | sub-Grp. | MT | Rtr. | Ext. | NUM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLV#</td>
<td>Adr.</td>
<td>Port</td>
<td>Cap.</td>
<td>Reach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 PDUs

The IS-IS PDUs registry remains as established in [RFC6326] except
that the references to [RFC6326] are updated to reference this
document.

5.4 Reserved and Capability Bits

Any reserved bits (R) or bits in reserved fields (RESV) or the
capabilities bits in the TRILL-PORT-VER and TRILL-VER sub-TLVs, which
are specified herein as MUST be sent as zero and ignored on receipt,
are allocated based on Standards Action [RFC5226] as modified by
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[RFC4020].
6. Security Considerations

For general TRILL protocol security considerations, see the TRILL base protocol standard [RFC6325].

This document raises no new security issues for IS-IS. IS-IS security may be used to secure the IS-IS messages discussed here. See [RFC5304] and [RFC5310]. Even when IS-IS authentication is used, replays of Hello packets can create denial-of-service conditions; see [RFC6039] for details. These issues are similar in scope to those discussed in Section 6.2 of [RFC6325], and the same mitigations may apply.
Non-editorial changes from [RFC6326] are summarized below:

1. Additional of five sub-TLVs under the Group Address (GADDR) TLV covering VLAN labeled IPv4 and IPv6 addresses and fine-grained labeled MAC, IPv4, and IPv6 addresses. (Sections 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.5, and 2.1.6).

2. Addition of the TRILL-PORT-VER sub-TLV. (Section 2.2.4)

3. Addition of the VLANs-Appointed sub-TLV. (Section 2.2.5)

4. Change the TRILL-VER sub-TLV as listed below.

4.a Addition of 4 bytes of TRILL Header extended flags and capabilities supported information.

4.b Require that the TRILL-VER sub-TLV appear in LSP number zero.

The above changes to TRILL-VER are backwards compatible because the [RFC6326] conformant implementations of TRILL thus far have only supported version zero and not supported any optional capabilities or extended flags, support which can be indicated by the absence of the TRILL-VER sub-TLV. Thus, if an [RFC6326] conformant implementation of TRILL rejects this sub-TLV due to the changes specified in this document changes, it will, at worst, decide that support of version zero and no extended flags or capabilities is indicated, which is the best an [RFC6326] conformant implementation of TRILL can do anyway. Similarly, a TRILL implementation that supports TRILL-VER as specified herein and rejects TRILL-VER sub-TLVs in an [RFC6326] conformant TRILL implementation because they are not in LSP number zero will decide that that implementation supports only version zero with no extended flag or capabilities support, which will be correct. (Section 2.3.1)

5. Clarification of the use of invalid VLAN IDs in the Interested VLANs and Spanning Tree Roots sub-TLV including provision for reserving a nickname for use in traffic engineering.

6. Addition of the Interested Labels and Spanning Tree Roots sub-TLV to indicate attachment of an IS to a 24-bit fine grained label analogous to the existing Interested VLANs and Spanning Tree Roots sub-TLV for 12-bit VLANs. (Section 2.3.8)

7. Addition of the RBridge Channel Protocols sub-TLV so ISs can announce the RBridge Channel protocols they support. (Section 2.3.9)
8. Permit specification of the length of the link SNPA field in TRILL Neighbor TLVs. This change is backwards compatible because the size of 6 bytes is specially encoded as zero, the previous value of the bits in the new SIZE field. (Section 2.5)

9. Make the size of the MTU PDU Header Probe Source ID and Ack Source ID fields be the ID Length from the IS-IS PDU Header rather than the fixed value 6. (Section 3)

10. For robustness, require LSP number zero PDUs be originated as no larger than 1470 bytes but processed regardless of size. (Section 4.4)

11. Require that the originatingLSPBufferSize TLV, if present, appear in LSP zero.

12. Specify the IANA Considerations policy for reserved and capability bits.
8. Normative References


[Channel] - draft-ietf-trill-rbridge-channel, work in progress.
9. Informative References
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1. Introduction

The IETF TRILL (Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links) standard [RFC6325] provides optimal pair-wise data frame forwarding without configuration in multi-hop networks with arbitrary topology, safe forwarding even during periods of temporary loops, and support for multipathing of both unicast and multicast traffic. TRILL accomplishes this by using IS-IS (Intermediate System to Intermediate System) [IS-IS] [RFC1195] [RFC6326] link state routing and encapsulating traffic using a header that includes a hop count. The design supports VLANs (Virtual Local Area Networks) and optimization of the distribution of multi-destination frames based on VLANs and IP derived multicast groups. Devices that implement TRILL are called RBridges.

Since the TRILL base protocol [RFC6325] was approved, the active development of TRILL has revealed corner cases that could use clarification and a few errors in the original specification document [RFC6325]. [RFC6327], [RFCXXXX], and [RFCYYYY], provide clarifications with respect to Adjacency, Appointed Forwarders, and the TRILL ESADI protocol. This document provides other known clarifications and corrections and updates [RFC6325].

1.1 Terminology and Acronyms

This document uses the acronyms defined in [RFC6325] and the following acronyms:

CFI - Canonical Format Indicator
DEI - Drop Eligibility Indicator
OOMF - Overload Originated Multi-destination Frame

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
2. Overloaded and/or Unreachable RBridges

RBridges may be in overload as indicated by the [IS-IS] overload flag in their LSPs. This means that either (1) they are incapable of holding the entire link state database and thus do not have a view on the entire topology or (2) they have been configured to have the overload bit on. Although networks should be engineered to avoid actual link state overload, it might occur if a large campus included one or more low-end RBridges.

It is a common operational practice to set the overload bit in an [IS-IS] router (such as an RBridge) when performing maintenance on that router that might affect its ability for correctly forward frames; this will usually leave the router reachable for maintenance traffic but transit traffic will not normally be routed through it. (Also, in some cases, TRILL provides for setting the overload bit in the pseudo node of a link to stop TRILL Data traffic on an access link (see Section 4.9.1 of [RFC6325]).)

[IS-IS] and TRILL make a reasonable effort to do what they can even if some RBridge/routers are in overload. They can do reasonable well if a few scattered nodes are in overload. However, actual least cost paths are no longer assured if any RBridges are in overload.

An RBridge in overload cannot be trusted to correctly calculate distribution trees or correctly perform the Reverse Path Forwarding Check. Therefore, it cannot be trusted to forward multi-destination TRILL Data frames. It can only appear as a leaf node in a TRILL multi-destination distribution tree.

Frames are not routed through an overloaded RBridge if any other path is available, although they may originate or terminate at an overloaded RBridge. In addition, TRILL will not route frames over links with cost $2^{24} - 1$; such links are reserved for traffic engineered frames the handling of which is beyond the scope of this document.

As a result, a portion of the campus may be unreachable for TRILL Data because all paths to it would be through a link with cost $2^{24} - 1$. For example, an RBridge RB1 is not reachable by TRILL Data if all of its neighbors are connected to RB1 by links with cost $2^{24} - 1$. Such RBridges are called "data unreachable".

The link state database at an RBridge RB1 can also contain information on RBridges that are unreachable by IS-IS link state flooding due to link or RBridge failures. When such failures partition the campus, the RBridges adjacent to the failure and on the same side of the failure as RB1 will update their LSPs to show the lack of connectivity and RB1 will receive those updates. However, LSPs held by RB1 for RBridges on the far side of the failure will not
be updated and may stay around until they time out, which could be
tens of seconds or longer. Since a link is only usable if both ends
declare it up in their LSP, RB1 will be aware of the partition and
will know about the unreachability of nodes on the far side of the
failure. Such nodes are both IS-IS unreachable and data unreachable.

2.1 Distribution Tree Roots

When an RBridge determines what nicknames to use as the roots of the
distribution trees it calculates, it MUST ignore all nicknames held
by RBridges that are in overload or are data unreachable. When
calculating Reverse Path Forwarding checks for multi-destination
frames, an RBridge RB1 can similarly ignore any trees that cannot
reach to RB1 even if other RBridges list those trees as trees those
other RBridges might use. (But see Section 3.)

2.2 Overloaded Receipt of TRILL Data Frames

An RBridge in overload, RB2, will not normally receive unicast TRILL
Data frames unless it is the egress, in which case it processes the
frame normally.

If RB2 receives a unicast TRILL Data frame for which it is not the
egress, perhaps because a neighbor does not yet know it is in
overload, it decrements the Hop Count as usual and discards the frame
if the Hop Count is zero or the egress nickname is illegal. It SHOULD
NOT discard the frame because the egress nickname is unknown as it
might now know about all nicknames due to overload. If any neighbor,
other than the neighbor from which it received the frame, is not
overloaded it MUST attempt to forward the frame to one of those
neighbors.

If RB2 in overload receives a multi-destination TRILL Data frame, RB2
performs the usual Hop Count processing but MUST NOT apply a Reverse
Path Forwarding Check since, due to overload, it might not do so
correctly. RB2 egresses and delivers the frame locally as appropriate
but RB2 MUST NOT forward it (except as an egressed native frame where
RB2 is appointed forwarder).

2.3 Overloaded Origination of TRILL Data Frames

Overloaded origination of unicast frames with know egress and of
multi-destination frames are discussed in the subsections below.
2.3.1 Known Unicast Origination

When an overloaded RBridge RB2 ingresses or creates a known destination unicast TRILL Data frame, it delivers it locally if the destination MAC is local. Otherwise RB2 link unicasts it to any neighbor RBridge that is not overloaded.

2.3.2 Multi-Destination Origination

RB2 ingressing or creating a multi-destination TRILL Data frame is more complex than for a known unicast frame.

RB2 would like to hand multi-destination frames it is originating to a neighbor RB3 such that that (1) RB3 is not overloaded, (2) RB3 will distribute the frame on a tree in which RB2 is a leaf node from RB3, and (3) where RB3 will know not to send a copy back to RB2. In the absence of criteria 2 and 3, RB2 would received a duplicate copy back, which might be confusing. Criteria 2 should not be a problem as RBridges in overload can only be leaf nodes for any TRILL distribution tree.

Neighbors of RB2 that meet the criteria and are willing to accept such frames advertise this in the TRILL Neighbor TLV in their TRILL Hellos by setting a bit associated with the SNPA (MAC address) of RB2 on the link. (See Section 6.) If no neighbor of RB2 that is not in overload offers such service, then RB2 cannot originate multi-destination TRILL Data frames, although it can still receive them.

If RB2 sees this OOMF (Overloaded Origination of Multi-destination Frame) service advertised by any of its neighbors on any link to which RB2 connects, it selects one such neighbor by a means beyond the scope of this document. Assuming RB2 selects RB3 to handle multi-destination frames it originates. RB2 MUST advertise in its LSP that it might use any of the distribution trees that RB3 advertises it might use so that the Reverse Path Forwarding Check will work in the rest of the campus.

RB2 then encapsulates such frames as TRILL data frames to RB3 as follows: M bit = 1, Hop Count = 2, ingress nickname = a nickname held by RB2, and, since RB2 cannot tell what distribution tree RB3 will use, egress nickname = a special nickname indicating an OOMF (see Section 6). RB2 then link unicasts this TRILL Data frame to RB3.

On receipt of such a frame, RB3 does the following:

- change the egress nickname field to designate a distribution tree that RB3 normally uses for which RB2 is a leaf from RB3 (if there is no such tree, RB3 MUST NOT offer the OOMF service to RB2),
- change the Hop Count to the value it would normally use if it were the ingress, and
- forward the frame on that tree except that it MUST NOT send a copy back to RB2.

RB3 MAY rate limit the number of frames for which it is providing this service by discarding some such frame from RB2. (The provision of even a limited bandwidth for OOMFs by RB3, for example via a slow path, may be important to the bootstrapping of services at RB2 or end stations connected to RB2.)
3. Distribution Tree Updates

When a link state database change causes a change in the distribution tree(s), there are several possibilities. If a tree root remains a tree root but the tree changes, then local forwarding and RPFC entries for that tree should be updated as soon as practical. Similarly, if a new nickname becomes a tree root, forwarding and RPFC entries for the new tree should be installed as soon as practical. However, if a nickname ceases to be a tree root and there is sufficient room in local tables, it is RECOMMENDED that forwarding and RPFC entries for the former tree be retained so that any frames in flight on that tree can still be forwarded.
4. Nickname Selection

Nickname selection is covered by Section 3.7.3 of [RFC6325]. However, the following should be noted:

1. The second sentence in the second bullet item in Section 3.7.3 of [RFC6325] on page 25 is erroneous and is corrected as follows:

1.a The occurrence of "IS-IS ID (LAN ID)" is replaced with "priority".

1.b The occurrence of "IS-IS System ID" is replaced with "seven byte IS-IS ID (LAN ID)".

The resulting corrected [RFC6325] sentence reads as follows: "If RB1 chooses nickname x, and RB1 discovers, through receipt of an LSP for RB2 at any later time, that RB2 has also chosen x, then the RBridge or pseudonode with the numerically higher priority keeps the nickname, or if there is a tie in priority, the RBridge with the numerically higher seven byte IS-IS ID (LAN ID) keeps the nickname, and the other RBridge MUST select a new nickname."

2. In examining the link state database for nickname conflicts, nicknames held by IS-IS unreachable RBridges MUST be ignored but nicknames held by data unreachable RBridges MUST NOT be ignored.

3. An RBridge may need to select a new nickname, either initially because it has none or because of a conflict. When doing so, the RBridge MUST consider as available all nicknames that do not appear in its link state database or that appear to be held by IS-IS unreachable RBridges; however, it SHOULD give preference to selecting new nicknames that do not appear to be held by any RBridge in the campus, reachable or unreachable, so as to minimize conflicts if IS-IS unreachable RBridges later become reachable.

4. An RBridge, even after it has acquired a nickname for which there appears to be no conflicting claimant, MUST continue to monitor for conflicts with the nickname or nicknames it holds. It does so by checking in LSPs that should update its link state database for any of its nicknames held with higher priority by another RBridge that is IS-IS reachable. If it finds such a conflict, it MUST select a new nickname. (It is possible to receive an LSP that should but does not update the link state database due to overflow.)

5. In the very unlikely case that an RBridge is unable to obtain a nickname because all valid nicknames (0x0001 through 0xFFFB inclusive) are in use with higher priority by IS-IS reachable RBridges, it will be unable to act as an ingress, egress, or tree root but will still be able to function as a transit RBridge. Such
an RBridge with no valid nickname MUST announce its nickname in its LSP as 0x0000. Although it cannot be a tree root, such an RBridge is included in every distribution tree computed for the campus. It would not be possible to send an RBridge Channel message to such an RBridge [Channel].
5. MTU

Section 5.1 below corrects an Errata in [RFC6325] and Section 5.2 clarifies the meaning of various MTU numbers.

5.1 MTU PDU Addressing and Processing

[RFC6325] in Section 4.3.2 incorrectly states that multi-destination MTU-probe and MTU-ack TRILL IS-IS PDUs are sent on Ethernet links with the All-RBridges multicast address as the Outer.MacDA. As TRILL IS-IS PDUs, when multicast on an Ethernet link, they MUST be sent to the All-IS-IS-RBridges multicast address.

As discussed in [RFC6325] and, in more detail, in [RFC6327], MTU-probe and MTU-ack PDUs MAY be unicast; however, Section 4.6 of [RFC6325] erroneously does not allow for this possibility. It is corrected by replacing item numbered "1" in Section 4.6.2 of [RFC6325] with the following quoted text to which RBridges MUST conform:

"1. If the Ethertype is L2-IS-IS and the Outer.MacDA is either All-IS-IS-RBridges or the unicast MAC address of the receiving RBridge port, the frame is handled as described in Section 4.6.2.1"

The reference to "Section 4.6.2.1" in the above quoted text is to that Section in [RFC6325].

5.2 MTU Values

MTU values in TRILL key off the originatingLSPBufferSize TLV. In layer 3 IS-IS, this defaults to 1492 bytes and is the maximum permitted size of LSPs after the eight byte fixed IS-IS PDU header. (This header starts with the 0x83 Intradomain Routeing Protocol Discriminator byte and ends with the Maximum Area Addresses byte, inclusive.) Thus the default LSP size including this header is 1500 bytes. In TRILL, originatingLSPBufferSize defaults to 1470 bytes, allowing 22 bytes of additional headroom to accomodate legacy device with, for example, the classic Ethernet maximum MTU.

More TBD - talk about exact meaning of MTU values for various link technologies
6. The CFI / DEI Bit

In May 2011, the IEEE promulgated [802.1Q-2011] which changes the meaning of the bit between the priority and VLAN ID bits in the payload of C-VLAN tags. Previously this bit was called the CFI (Canonical Format Indicator) bit and had a special meaning in connection with IEEE 802.5 (Token Ring) frames. Now, under [802.1Q-2011], it is a DEI (Drop Eligibility Indicator) bit, similar to that bit in S-VLAN (and B-VLAN) tags where this bit has always been a DEI bit.

The TRILL base protocol specification [RFC6325] assumed, in effect, that the link by which end stations are connected to RBridges and the virtual link provided by the TRILL Data frame encapsulation are IEEE 802.3 Ethernet links on which the CFI bit is always zero. Should an end station be attached by some other type of link, such as an FDDI (Fiber Distributed Data Interface) or Token Ring link, [RFC6325] implicitly assumed that such frames would be canonicalized to 802.3 frames before being ingressed and similarly, on egress, such frames would be converted from 802.3 to the appropriate frame type for the link. Thus, [RFC6325] required that the CFI bit in the Inner.VLAN always be zero.

However, for RBridges with ports conforming to the change incorporated in the IEEE 802.1Q-2011 standard, the bit in the Inner.VLAN, now a DEI bit, MUST be set to the DEI value provided by the EISS interface on ingressing a native frame. Similarly, this bit MUST be provided to the EISS when transiting or egressing a TRILL Data frame. The exact effect on the C-VLAN Outer.VLAN DEI and priority bits and whether or not an Outer.VLAN appears at all on the wire for output frames depends on output port configuration.

RBridge campuses with a mixture of ports, some compliant with [802.1Q-2011] and some compliant with pre-802.1Q-2011, especially if they have actual Token Ring links, may operate incorrectly and may corrupt data, just as a bridged LAN with such mixed ports would.
7. Graceful Restart

RBridge SHOULD support the features specified in [RFC5306] which describes a mechanism for a restarting IS-IS router to signal to its neighbors that it is restarting, allowing them to reestablish their adjacencies without cycling through the down state, while still correctly initiating link state database synchronization.

8. Update to RFC 6327

[RFC6327] provides for multiple states of the potential adjacency between two RBridges. It makes clear that an adjacency is reported in LSPs in the "Report" state. LSP transmission and synchronization, however, performed in both the "Two-Way" and "Report" states.
8. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to allocate the previously reserved nickname 0xXXXX for use in the TRILL Header egress nickname field to indicate an Overload Originated Multi-destination Frame (OOMF).

IANA is requested to allocate bit TBD (bit 1, the bit adjacent to the F bit suggested) from the seven currently reserved (RESV) bits in the per neighbor "Neighbor RECORD" in the TRILL Neighbor TLV [RFC6326]. This bit indicates that the RBridge sending the TRILL Hello will provide the OOMF forwarding service described in Section 2.3 to such frames originated by the RBridge whose SNPA (MAC address) appears in that Neighbor RECORD.

9. Security Considerations

This memo improves the documentation of the TRILL standard and corrects some errors in [RFC6325]. It does not change the security considerations of the TRILL base protocol for which see Section 6 of [RFC6325].
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Abstract

The Appointed Forwarder on a link for VLAN-x is the RBridge that ingresses native frames from the link and egresses native frames to the link in VLAN-x. If the appointed forwarder for an end station is changed, the remote data traffic to the end station could fail. This document is proposed to assign a nickname for pseudonode identifying a multi-access link to solve the issue. When any appointed forwarder encapsulates a packet, it uses the pseudonode nickname as "ingress nickname" rather than its own nickname. If it does, then if the appointed forwarder changes, or the DRB changes, and the pseudonode still uses the same nickname, then the remote RBridge caches won’t need to change, and the data traffic to the end station would reach the link uninterruptedly.
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1. Problem Statement

The IETF TRILL protocol [RFCtrill] provides optimal pair-wise data frame forwarding without configuration, safe forwarding even during periods of temporary loops, and support for multipathing of both unicast and multicast traffic. TRILL accomplishes this by using [IS-IS] [RFC1195] link state routing and encapsulating traffic using a header that includes a hop count. The design supports VLANs and optimization of the distribution of multi-destination frames based on VLANs and IP derived multicast groups. Devices that implement TRILL are called RBridges.

The AF (Appointed Forwarder) on a link for VLAN-x is the RBridge that ingresses native frames from the link and egresses native frames to the link in VLAN-x. If the appointed forwarder for an end station goes down and a different RBridge is appointed as appointed forwarder on the link, the end station will not perceive the changes. Therefore, the cache in remote RBridge could not be correct until it receives the data traffic from the end station, and the traffic from the remote RBridge to the end station could fail for a while. It is even worse for the Swap Nickname Field approach in multi-level TRILL network, for the egress RBridge of remote level 1 area cannot update the correspondence of MAC/VLAN-x and the pair of {ingress nickname, swap ingress nickname} until it receives the data traffic from end station [MultilevelTrill].

Pseudonode nickname is proposed in this document to solve the above issue. Pseudonode nickname is assigned by DRB and used to identify a multi-access link. With pseudonode nickname, the data traffic to the end station can reach the destination link uninterruptedly and be forwarded to the end station by other RBridge even if the appointed forwarder for the VLAN on the link is changed.

The pseudonode nickname is only used in unicast data traffic and not used in multicast data traffic in this document. For the multicast data traffic, the data traffic goes through the distribution tree, and all the RBridge with the same VLAN can receive the multicast traffic.

This document is organized as following: Section 2 is the concept of pseudonode nickname. Section 3 introduces the LSP announcement mechanism for the pseudonode nickname. Section 4 describes the ingress, transit and egress RBridge processing of the TRILL data traffic when considering pseudonode nickname. Section 5 specifies pseudonode nickname capability TLV and pseudonode nickname TLV format.
2. Pseudonode Nickname

Pseudonode nickname is used to identify a link. It is assigned by DRB on the link. When the RBridge becomes DRB and it doesn’t find the pseudonode nickname from TRILL Hello of other RBridges, DRB assigns and announces a pseudonode nickname in its TRILL Hello on the link. If the new DRB obtains the pseudonode nickname from the TRILL Hellos of adjacent RBridges on the link, it reuses this nickname. The nickname for the pseudonode should keep unchanged even if the DRB or AF changed.

All the RBridges on the link should support pseudonode nickname, otherwise the RBridges that don’t understand pseudonode nickname on the link cannot forward the encapsulated TRILL frame with pseudonode nickname. Each RBridge on the link announces its pseudonode nickname capability in its TRILL Hello. Only if DRB checks that all the adjacencies in Report state support and enable the pseudonode nickname capability, DRB assigns pseudonode nickname on the link. If not, DRB MUST NOT announce the pseudonode nickname in its pseudonode LSP in the TRILL campus network, otherwise, the remote data traffic may be forwarded to the RBridge without pseudonode nickname capability, and be discarded in the RBridge.

The bypass pseudonode bit is used to determine whether DRB should generate the pseudonode LSP. When bypass pseudonode bit is reset, the DRB should support pseudonode function and generate the pseudonode LSP [TrillAdj]. So if DRB assigns pseudonode nickname on the link, the bypass pseudonode bit MUST be reset in its TRILL Hello.

3. LSP Announcement

Pseudonode nickname is only announced in the DRB’s pseudonode LSP in the TRILL Network. If one of the RBridges on the link is disabled of the pseudonode nickname function, that is, DRB receives a TRILL Hello without pseudonode nickname capability from the port on the link, the pseudonode nickname function should be disabled on the link, and then DRB updates its pseudonode LSP which doesn’t include pseudonode nickname TLV in the TRILL campus network. While if an RBridge (not DRB) supporting pseudonode nickname joins into or exits from the link, it is no influence to the pseudonode nickname LSP originated by DRB. If an RBridge is selected as new DRB and the pseudonode nickname capability on the link is confirmed, it will generate and flood pseudonode LSP including the pseudonode nickname TLV in the TRILL campus network. If DRB finds that the pseudonode nickname function is disabled on the link, it will updates its pseudonode LSP which doesn’t include pseudonode nickname TLV in the TRILL campus network.
The pseudonode nickname is participated in path computing. The procedure of path computing of pseudonode nickname is same as the routing computing of IPv4 or IPv6 address in layer 3 IS-IS network [RFC1195].

4. Unicast TRILL Data Frames Processing

The processing of TRILL data frames on ingress and egress R Bridges will be influenced when the pseudonode nickname capability is enabled on the link. However, the processing on transit R Bridges remains unchanged.

Section 4.1 covers the changes of processing TRILL data frames on a pseudonode nickname participated ingress RBridge. Section 4.2 describes two methods to process TRILL data frames on egress RBridge.

4.1. Ingress processing

When a VLAN-x tagged native frame is sent onto a multi-access link, only the appointed forwarder for that VLAN-x can ingress this frame into TRILL campus. If the pseudonode nickname capability is enabled on the link, the forwarder will encapsulate the frame with a TRILL header, where the ingress nickname is the pseudonode nickname rather than RBridge’s nickname on the link. The encapsulation of the native frame is as same as Section 4.1 in [RFCtrill] except for the ingress nickname in TRILL header.

4.2. Egress processing

On receiving a unicast TRILL data frame, the egress nickname in the TRILL header is examined, and if it is unknown or reserved, the frame is discarded. Then the Inner.VLAN ID, i.e., VLAN-x, is checked. If it is 0x0 or 0xFFF, the frame is discarded.

This RBridge will be the egress RBridge for the TRILL data frame, if the egress nickname is one of the RBridge’s nicknames or one of the pseudonode nicknames of the connected links. If the egress RBridge is the VLAN-x forwarder on the destination link for this TRILL data frame, the frame is processed and the original self-learning is performed by this RBridge as described in [RFCtrill]. Otherwise, the frame will be re-encapsulated and transmitted on the link by the egress RBridge. Only the VLAN-x forwarder can decapsulate the TRILL data frame to native form and forward it to the end station on the link, which is consistent with the principle of ingressing and egressing native frame into and out of TRILL campus, i.e., there is only a single RBridge on each link that is in charge of ingressing and egressing native frames from and to that link [TrillAdj].
There are two methods for the egress to transmit the re-encapsulated TRILL data frame to VLAN-x forwarder on the link. In section 4.2.1, the egress unicasts the re-encapsulated TRILL data frame to the VLAN-x forwarder, and in 4.2.2, the egress multicasts the TRILL data frame on the link.

### 4.2.1. Unicasting to VLAN-x Forwarder

To make the final hop, i.e., the egress RBridge (not VLAN-x forwarder), work for a frame addressed to the pseudonode, the forwarding table has to be based on \{nickname, VLAN\}, instead of \{nickname\} currently. In the couple of \{nickname, VLAN\}, nickname is the pseudonode nickname, and VLAN is the VLAN Id of VLAN-x forwarder on this link. If there are several appointed forwarders, each for a VLAN, on this link, several entries exist in the forwarding table, each for a forwarder. In the couple of \{nickname, VLAN\}, the VLAN will be ignored if the nickname is not a pseudonode nickname on one of local links, and will be set to invalid value(such as 0x0 or 0xFFF). In other words, if the VLAN in an entry is invalid, the nickname is not a pseudonode nickname.

If the RBridge is not VLAN-x forwarder on the link, it goes to its forwarding table that says, based on the pseudonode nickname and VLAN-x Id, which of its RBridge neighbors, i.e., VLAN-x forwarder on this link, to forward to. The forwarder is identified by the next hop MAC address in the found entry from the above table, which is one of the unicast MAC addresses on one of its ports connected directly on this link. The TRILL data frame is discarded if no entry is found. Otherwise, the outer frame header of the TRILL data frame is stripped, the TRILL header remains unchanged, and a new outer frame header is prepended before the frame is forwarded to the VLAN-x forwarder on the link. For the forwarded frame, the Outer.MacSA is the MAC address of the transmitting port on the destination link, the Outer.MacDA is the next hop MAC address in the found entry and the Outer.VLAN is the designated VLAN on the destination link.

If the above re-encapsulated TRILL data frame is received by a stale VLAN-x forwarder on the destination link, it will be dropped by the RBridge. Otherwise, the re-encapsulated frame is processed as [RFCtrill], and the Inner.MacSA and Inner.VLAN ID are, by default, learned as associated with the ingress nickname unless that nickname is unknown.

### 4.2.2. Multicasting to VLAN-x forwarder

Alternatively, a special multicast MAC address, named "AF RBridges on this link", can be introduced for the final hop to forward such a TRILL data frame. The scope of the above MAC is limited to local
link, just as the MAC for IS-IS hello PDUs. If a TRILL data frame is addressed to this special MAC and transmitted on a link, all the Appointed Forwarder (AF) RBridges on the link will process it to some extent.

With "AF RBridges on this link", the forwarding table remains unchanged in form, i.e., still based \{nickname\}. For an entry, the next hop MAC address will be "AF RBridges on this link", if the nickname is the pseudonode nickname on one of local links. In other words, if the nickname is a pseudonode nickname, the next hop MAC MUST be "AF RBridges on this link".

If not VLAN-x forwarder, the final hop RBridge, RBn, looks up its forwarding table, based on the egress nickname in TRILL header of the received frame. The frame will be discarded if no entry is found. Otherwise, RBn will re-encapsulate the frame, i.e., strip the outer frame header, remain the TRILL header unchanged, prepend a new outer frame header before the frame is transmitted onto the link. For the forwarded frame, the Outer.MacSA is one unicast MAC address on the transmitting port connected to the link, the Outer.MacDA is the next hop MAC address in the found entry and the Outer.VLAN is the designated VLAN on the link. If the egress nickname is pseudonode nickname, the Outer.VLAN is "AF RBridges on this link" and the re-encapsulated TRILL data frame is multicasted onto the link.

The TRILL data frame with "AF RBridges on this link" as Outer.MacDA is discarded by other RBridges, which are not AF RBridges, on the link. Otherwise, the Inner.VLAN ID, i.e., VLAN-x, is checked. If the VLAN ID is not valid or the receiving RBridge, RBi, is not VLAN-x forwarder on this link, the frame is also discarded. Else, the TRILL data frame is decapsulated into native form and forwarded to the destination end station, and the Inner.MacSA and Inner.VLAN ID are also, by default, learned as associated with the ingress nickname unless that nickname is unknown by RBi.

4.2.3. Comparison

With the Unicasting method described in Section 4.2.1 above, the re-encapsulated TRILL data frame by the final hop RBridge is only processed by the VLAN-x forwarder on the link, which can reduce the burden of other RBridges as much as possible. But the forwarding table on ingress/egress SHOULD be changed to be based on \{nickname, VLAN\}, instead of \{nickname\}, where each AF Rbridge on a local link is identified by the pseudonode nickname and the vlan id of the AF on the link.

With Multicasting method described in Section 4.2.1 above, although all the AF RBridges, except for the final hop RBridge, on the link
are required to process, to some extent, the re-encapsulated TRILL data frame, only the VLAN-x forwarder decapsulates the frame to its native form and forwards it to the destination end station. However, the forwarding table can remain the same as current table in form, i.e., only based on {nickname}.

5. TLV Extensions for Pseudonode Nickname

5.1. Pseudonode Nickname Capability in Hellos

The Pseudonode nickname capability of an RBridge MUST be included in one subTLV of Port Capability TLV in the RBridge’s TRILL Hello PDUs. This capability is included in Special VLANs and Flags (subTLV Type #1) [TrillISIS]. This subTLV MUST appear exactly once in a Port Information TLV in every TRILL Hello PDU. The length of the value is four octets.

Pseudonode Nickname capability TLV

```
+----------+
|Type=VLAN Flags| (1 byte)
+----------+
|       Length       | (1 byte)
+-------------------+
|        Port ID      | (2 bytes)
+----------------------------------+
|      Sender Nickname               | (2 bytes)
+-----------------------------------+
|AF|AC|VM|BY| Outer.VLAN | (2 bytes)
|TR|PN|R |R | Desig.VLAN  | (2 bytes)
+-----------------------------------+
```

Figure 1

The PN bit, if one, indicates that the sending RBridge supports and enables the pseudonode nickname capability. If an RBridge does not support or not enable this capability, the PN bit MUST be set zero.

Other bits and fields refer to [TrillISIS].

When receiving this subTLV from other RBrigdes on the link, the DRB can confirm whether all the adjacencies, in Report state [TrillAdj], support and enable this capability. If not, DRB MUST NOT announce pseudonode nickname in its pseudonode LSPs to the TRILL campus, which can avoid the issue that remote traffic is forwarded to a RBrigdes without pseudonode nickname capability.
5.2. Pseudonode Nickname TLV

If the DRB has confirmed that pseudonode nickname capability can be enabled on this link, it will announce the pseudonode nickname to be used on this link in its hello PDUs and in its pseudonode nickname. The pseudonode nickname is carried in Pseudonode Nickname TLV, which is formatted as following:

Pseudonode Nickname TLV

```
+-----------------+
| Type= PSEU-NICK |
+-----------------+  (1 byte)
| Length          |
+-----------------+  (1 byte)
| PSEUDONODE NICKNAME RECORDS (1) |
| PSEUDONODE NICKNAME RECORDS (n) |
+-----------------+  (4*N bytes)
```

where each pseudonode nickname record is of the form:

```
+-----------------+
| Nickname.Pri |SType| Reserved |
+-----------------+  (2 byte)
| Nickname       |
+-----------------+  (2 bytes)
```

Figure 2

- Type: Pseudonode Nickname Type, TBD (NICKNAME).
- Length: 4*N, where N is the number of pseudonode nickname records present.
- SType: An 3-bit unsigned integer sub-type for nickname. If this nickname is pseudonode nickname, value of this field is 1.
- Nickname.Pri: An 8-bit unsigned integer priority to hold a nickname as specified in Section 3.7.3 of [RFCtrill].
- Nickname: This is an unsigned 16-bit integer as specified in Section 3.7 of [RFCtrill].
5.2.1. Pseudonode Nickname TLV in Hellos

For an RBridge enabled pseudonode nickname capability on this link, it announces one pseudonode nickname TLV in Hellos if it knows nickname for the pseudonode, otherwise, it MUST NOT announce pseudonode nickname in its Hellos. If DRB has confirmed that pseudonode nickname capability is enabled on this link, the Nickname.Pri in the nickname record MUST be 255, otherwise the Nickname.Pri MUST NOT be 255, and SHOULD be 100 by default.

For an RBridge that is not DRB, it only processes the pseudonode nickname announced by DRB, and MUST overwrite its own pseudonode nickname with the DRB’s pseudonode nickname if the two nicknames are different and the Nickname.Pri of DRB is 255. DRB should process the pseudonode nickname TLV from all the adjacencies in the Report state on the link in order to obtain the pseudonode nickname that was being used on this link.

This TLV MUST appear no more than once in a Port Information TLV in every Hello PDU. Only one nickname record can be contained in this TLV, if this subTLV appears in Hello PDUs.

5.2.2. Pseudonode Nickname TLV in DRB’s LSPs

For a DRB on a link, it MUST originate and flood a pseudonode LSP for this link if the bypass pseudonode bit is reset. All the adjacencies in the Report state on this link are contained in its pseudonode LSP. Furthermore, if a pseudonode nickname capability is enabled on this link, a Pseudonode Nickname TLV MUST be contained in its pseudonode LSP.

For a pseudonode LSP, the only one record in this TLV contains the nickname for the pseudonode standing for the link. In this case, the value of Nickname.Pri varies from 1 to 255, which describes the DRB’s priority to hold this nickname as specified in [RFCctrill] Section 3.7.3.
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1. Introduction

The base TRILL protocol standard [RFC6325] provides a TRILL Header extension feature, called "options" in Section 3.8 of [RFC6325], and describes minimal hooks to safely support header extension. But, except for the first two bits, it does not specify the structure of the extension to the TRILL Header nor the details of any particular extension. This document specifies an initial extension providing additional flag bits and specifies one of those bits. Additional extensions, including TLV (Type, Length, Value) encoded options, may be specified in later documents.

Section 2 below describes some general principles of TRILL Header Extensions and an initial extension. Section 3 describes a specific flag in this initial extension.

1.1 Conventions used in this document

The terminology and acronyms defined in [RFC6325] are used herein with the same meaning.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
2. TRILL Header Extensions

The base TRILL Protocol includes a feature for extension of the TRILL Header (see [RFC6325] Sections 3.5 and 3.8). The 5-bit Op-Length header field gives the length of the extension to the TRILL Header in units of 4 octets, which allows up to 124 octets of header extension. If Op-Length is zero there is no header extension present; else, this area follows immediately after the Ingress Rbridge Nickname field of the TRILL Header. The first 32-bit word of the optional extensions area consists of an extended flags area specified in this document.

As described below, provision is made for hop-by-hop flags, which might affect any RBridge that receives a TRILL Data frame with such a flag set, ingress-to-egress flags, which would only necessarily affect the RBridge(s) where a TRILL frame is decapsulated, and a third type of intermediate flag affecting an as yet unspecified class of RBridges, for example border RBridges in a TRILL campus extended to support multi-level IS-IS. Provision is also made for both "critical" and "non-critical" flags.

Any RBridge receiving a frame with a critical hop-by-hop extension that it does not implement MUST discard the frame because it is unsafe to process the frame without understanding such a critical extension. Any egress RBridge receiving a frame with a critical ingress-to-egress or hop-by-hop extension it does not implement MUST drop the frame if it is a known unicast frame; if it is a multi-destination TRILL Data frame, then it MUST NOT be egressed at that RBridge but it is still forwarded on the distribution tree. Non-critical extensions can be safely ignored.

Any extended flag indicating a significant change in the structure or interpretation of later parts of the frame which, if the extended flag were ignored, could cause a failure of service or violation of security policy MUST be a critical extension. If such an extended flag affects any fields that transit RBridges will examine, it MUST be a hop-by-hop critical extended flag.

Note: Most RBridges implementations are expected to be optimized for simple and common cases of frame forwarding and processing. Although the hard limit on the header extensions area length, the 32-bit alignment of the extension area, and the presence of critical extension summary bits, as described below, are intended to assist in the efficient hardware processing of frames with a TRILL header extensions area, nevertheless the inclusion of extensions may cause frame processing using a "slow path" with inferior performance to "fast path" processing. Limited slow path throughput of such frames could cause some such frames them to be discarded.
2.1 RBridge Extended Flag Handling Requirements

All RBridges MUST check whether there are any critical flags set that are necessarily applicable to their processing of the frame. To assist in this task, critical summary bits are provided that cover not only the extended flags specified herein but will cover any further extensions specified in future documents [Options]. If an RBridge does not implement all critical flags in a TRILL Data frame, it MUST discard the frame or, in some circumstances as described above for certain multi-destination frames, continue to forward the frame but MUST NOT egress the frame.

In addition, a transit RBridge:

- MAY set or clear hop-by-hop flags as specified for such flags;
- MUST adjust the length of the extensions area, including changing Op-Length in the TRILL header, as appropriate if it adds or removes the word of extended header flags;
- MUST, if it adds the word of extended header flags or changes any critical flags, correctly set the critical summary bits in the extended header flags word;
- MUST NOT remove the extended header flags word unless it is all zero (either on arrival or after permitted modifications);
- MUST NOT set or clear ingress-to-egress or reserved extended header flags except as specifically permitted in the specification of the flag.

2.2 No Critical Surprises

RBridges advertise the extended header flags they support in IS-IS PDUs. Unless an RBridge advertises support for a critical extended header flag, it will not normally receive frames with that flag set. An RBridge is not required to support any extensions.

An RBridge SHOULD NOT set a critical extended flag in a frame unless,

- for a critical hop-by-hop extended header flag, it has determined that the next hop RBridge or RBridges that will accept the frame support that flag,
- for a critical ingress-to-egress extended header flag, it has determined that the RBridge or RBridges that will egress the frame support that flag, or
- for a critical reserved extended header flag, it may set such a flag only if it understands which RBridges it is applicable to and has determined that those RBridges that will accept the frame support that flag.

"SHOULD NOT" is specified since there may be cases where it is
acceptable for those frames, particularly for the multi-destination case, to be discarded by any RBridges that do not implement the extended flag.

2.3 Extension Header Flags

If any extensions are present in a TRILL Header, as indicated by a non-zero Op-Length field, the first 32 bits of the extensions area consist of extended header flags, as described below. The remainder of the extensions area, if any, after this initial 32 bits, will be specified in later documents [Options].

Any RBridge adding an extensions area to a TRILL Header must set the first 32 bits to zero except when permitted or required to set one or more of those bits as specified. The meanings of these bits are listed in the table below and then further described.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bit(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>Crit.: Critical summary bits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>CHbHS: Critical Hop-by-Hop extension(s) are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CItES: Critical Ingress-to-Egress extension(s) are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CRSVS: Critical reserved extension(s) are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-7</td>
<td>CHbH: Critical Hop-by-Hop extended Flag bits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-13</td>
<td>NCHbH: Non-critical Hop-by-Hop extended Flag bits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>CRSV: Critical Reserved extended Flag bits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>NCRSV: Non-critical Reserved extended Flag bits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-26</td>
<td>CItE: Critical Ingress-to-Egress extended Flag bits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-31</td>
<td>NCItE: Non-critical Ingress-to-Egress extended Flag bits.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These are illustrated below:

```
  1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---------------------------------------------------------------------+
|Crit.|  CHbH | NCHbH | CRSV | NCRSV | CItE | NCItE |
```

For TRILL Data frames with extensions present, any transit RBridge MUST transparently copy the extended flags word, except as permitted by an extension implemented by that RBridge.
2.3.1 Critical Summary Bits

The top three bits of the extensions area, bits 0, 1, and 2 above, are called the critical summary bits. They summarize the presence of critical extensions as follows:

CHbHS: If the CHbHS (Critical Hop by Hop Summary) bit is one, one or more critical hop-by-hop extensions are present. These might be critical hop-by-hop extended header flags or critical hop-by-hop extensions after the first word in the extensions area. Transit RBridges that do not support all of the critical hop-by-hop extensions present, for example an RBridge that supported no hop-by-hop extensions, MUST drop the frame. If the CHbH bit is zero, the frame is safe, from the point of view of extensions processing, for a transit RBridge to forward, regardless of what extensions that RBridge does or does not support.

CItES: If the CItE (Critical Ingress to Egress Summary) bit is a one, one or more critical ingress-to-egress extensions are present. These might be critical ingress-to-egress extended header flags or critical ingress-to-egress extensions after the first word in the extensions area. If the CItE bit is zero, no such extensions are present. If either CHbH or CItE is non-zero, egress RBridges that do not support all critical extensions present, for example an RBridge that supports no extensions, MUST drop the frame. If both CHbH and CItE are zero, the frame is safe, from the point of view of extensions, for an egress RBridge to process, regardless of what extensions that RBridge does or does not support.

CRSVS: If the CRSVS (Critical Reserved Summary) bit is a one, one or more critical extensions are present that are reserved to apply to a class of RBridges to be specified in the future, for example border RBridges in a TRILL campus extended to support multi-level IS-IS. This class will be a subset of transit RBridges. RBridges in this class MUST drop frames with the CRSVS bit set unless they implement all critical hop-by-hop and all critical reserved extensions present in the frame.

The critical summary bits enable simple and efficient processing of TRILL Data frames by RBridges that support no critical extensions, by transit RBridges that support no critical hop-by-hop extensions, and by RBridges in the reserved class that support no critical hop-by-hop or reserved extensions. Such RBridges need only check whether Op-Length is non-zero and, if it is, the top one, two, or three bits just after the fixed portion of the TRILL Header.
2.3.2 Extended Header Flags

CHbH, bits 3 to 7, are Critical Hob-by-Hop extended Flag bits.
NCHbH bits 8 to 13, are Non-critical Hop-by-Hop extended Flag bits.
CRSV, bits 14 to 16, are Critical Reserved extended Flag bits.
NCRSV, bits 17 to 20, are Non-critical Reserved extended Flag bits.
CIte, bits 21 to 26, are Critical Ingress-to-Egress extended Flag bits.
NCIte, bits 27 to 31, are Non-critical Ingress-to-Egress extended Flag bits.

The bits above are available for indicating extended header flags, except for the bit allocated by Section 3 below.

2.4 Conflict of Extensions

It would be possible for TRILL Header extension flags to conflict. Two or more extension flags could be present in a frame that direct an RBridge processing the frame to do conflicting things or to change its interpretation of later parts of the frame in conflicting ways. Such conflicts are resolved by applying the following rules in the order given and stopping with the first one that applies:

1. Any frame containing extensions that require mutually incompatible changes in way later parts of the frame, after the extensions area, are interpreted or structured MUST be discarded. (Such extensions will be critical extensions, normally hop-by-hop critical extensions.)

2. Critical extensions override non-critical extensions.

3. Within each of the two categories of critical and non-critical extensions, the extension appearing first in lexical order in the frame always overrides an extension appearing later in the frame. Extended flags with lower bit numbers are considered to have occurred before extended flags with higher bit numbers. Thus a conflict between a hop-by-hop extended flag and an ingress-to-egress extended flag is resolved in favor of the hop-by-hop extended flag.
3. Specific Extended Header Flag

The table below shows the state of TRILL Header extended flag assignments. See Section 6 for IANA Considerations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>Critical Summary Bits</td>
<td>2.3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-7</td>
<td>available for critical hop-by-hop flags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RBridge Channel Alert Flag</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-13</td>
<td>available for non-critical hop-by-hop flags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>available for critical reserved flags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>available for non-critical reserved flags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-26</td>
<td>available for critical ingress-to-egress flags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-31</td>
<td>available for non-critical ingress-to-egress flags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Extended Header Flags Area

3.1 The RBridge Channel Alert Extended Flag

The RBridge Channel Alert Extended Flag indicates that the frame is an RBridge Channel frame [Channel] that requests processing at each hop. This is a non-critical hop-by-hop flag. It is intended to alert transit RBridges that implement this extension and to assist in the implementation of features such as a record route message.
4. Additions to IS-IS

RBridges use IS-IS LSP PDUs to inform other RBridges which extensions they support. The IS-IS PDU(s), TLV(s), or sub-TLV(s) used to encode and advertise this information are specified in a separate document [RFC6326bis].

5. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create a subregistry within the TRILL Parameters registry: The "TRILL Extended Header Flags" subregistry, that is initially populated as specified in Table 1 in Section 3. References in that table to sections of this document are to be replaced in the IANA subregistry by references to this document as an RFC.

New TRILL Extended Header Flags are allocated by Standards Action [RFC5226] as modified by [RFC4020].

6. Security Considerations

For general TRILL protocol security considerations, see [RFC6325].

For security considerations related to extended header flags, see the document where the flag is specified.

It is important that the critical summary bits in the extended header flags word be set properly. If set when critical extensions of the appropriate category are not present, frames may be unnecessarily discarded. If not set when critical extensions are present, frames may be mishandled or corrupted and intended security policies, such as VLAN separation, may be violated.

The RBridge Channel Alert extended flag specified herein has no special security considerations. Implementations should keep in mind that it might be erroneously set in a frame. If found set in a frame that is not an RBridge Channel message [Channel], this flag MAY be cleared and should have no effect except, possibly, delaying processing of the frame. If erroneously omitted from a frame, desired per hop processing for the frame may not occur.
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1. Requirements Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Introduction

RBridges are devices that implement the IETF TRILL protocol [RFC6325]
[RFC6326] [RFC6327].

RBridges provide transparent forwarding of frames within an arbitrary
network topology, using least cost paths for unicast traffic. They
support VLANs and multipathing of unicast and multi-destination
traffic. They use IS-IS link state routing and a hop count. They are
compatible with IEEE customer bridges, and can incrementally replace
them.

Two or more RBridges can communicate over a variety of different link
types, such as Ethernet [RFC6325] or PPP [RFC6361].

This document defines a method for RBridges to communicate over UPD/
IP(v4 or v6). TRILL over IP will allow remote, Internet-connected
RBridges to form a single RBridge campus, or multiple TRILL over IP
networks within a campus to be connected via a TRILL over IP
backbone.

TRILL over IP connects RBridge ports using IPv4 or IPv6 as a
transport in such a way that the ports appear to TRILL to be
connected by a single link. The link will be a multi-access link if
more than two RBridge ports are connected to a single TRILL over IP
link.

To support cases where RBridges are connected via links (such as the
public Internet) that are not under the same administrative control
as the TRILL campus, this document specifies the use of Datagram
Transport Layer Security (DTLS) [RFC4327] to secure communication
between RBridges running TRILL over IP.

3. Use Cases for TRILL over IP

In this document, we consider two use cases that are typical of
situations where network administrators may choose to use TRILL over
an IP network: a remote office scenario, and an IP backbone scenario.
3.1. Remote Office Scenario

In the Remote Office Scenario, a remote TRILL network is connected to a TRILL campus across a multihop non-TRILL IP network, such as the public Internet. The TRILL network in the remote office becomes a logical part of TRILL campus, and nodes in the remote office can be attached to the same VLANs as local campus nodes. In many cases, a remote office may be attached to the TRILL campus by a single pair of RBridges, one on the campus end, and the other in the remote office. In this use case, the TRILL over IP link will often cross logical and physical IP networks that do not support TRILL, and are not under the same administrative control as the TRILL campus.

3.2. IP Backbone Scenario

In the IP Backbone Scenario, TRILL over IP is used to connect a number of TRILL networks within a single TRILL campus. For example, a TRILL over IP backbone could be used to connect multiple TRILL networks on different floors of a large building, or to connect TRILL networks in separate buildings of a multi-building site. In this use case, there may often be several TRILL RBridges on a single TRILL over IP link, and the IP link(s) used by TRILL over IP are typically under the same administrative control as the rest of the TRILL campus.

3.3. Important Properties of the Scenarios

There are a number of differences between the two scenarios listed above, some of which drive features of this specification. These differences are especially pertinent to the security requirements of the solution, how multicast data frames are handled, and how the RBridges discover each other.

3.3.1. Security Requirements

In the IP Backbone Scenario, TRILL over IP is used between a number of RBridges, on a network link that is in the same administrative control as the remainder of the TRILL campus. While it is desirable in this scenario to prevent the association of rogue RBridges, this can be accomplished using existing IS-IS security mechanisms. There may be no need to protect the data traffic, beyond any protections that are already in place on the local network.

In the Remote Office Scenario, TRILL over IP may run over a network that is not under the same administrative control as the TRILL network. Nodes on the network may think that they are sending traffic locally, while that traffic is actually being sent, in a UDP/IP tunnel, over the public Internet. It is necessary in this
scenario to protect user privacy, as well as ensuring that no unauthorized RBridges can gain access to the RBridge campus. The data privacy requirement is addressed by the use of DTLS for both IS-IS frames and data frames between RBridges in this scenario.

3.3.2. Multicast Handling

In the IP Backbone scenario, native multicast may be supported on the TRILL over IP link. If so, it will be used to send TRILL IS-IS and multicast data frames, as discussed later in this document.

In the Remote Office Scenario, there will often be only one pair of RBridges connecting a given site, and even when multiple RBridges are used to connect a Remote Office to the TRILL campus, the intervening network may not provide reliable (or any) multicast connectivity. Also, there is no suitable way to provide data privacy for multicast traffic. For all of these reasons, the connections between local and remote RBridges will be treated like point-to-point links, and all TRILL IS-IS control messages and multicast data frames that are transmitted between the Remote Office and the TRILL campus will be serialized, as discussed later in this document.

3.3.3. RBridge Discovery

In the IP Backbone Scenario, RBridges that use TRILL over IP will use the normal TRILL IS-IS Hello mechanisms to discover the existence of other RBridges on the link, and to establish authenticated communication with those RBridges.

In the Remote Office Scenario, a DTLS session will need to be established between RBridges before TRILL IS-IS traffic can be exchanged, as discussed below. In this case, one of the RBridges will need to be configured to establish a DTLS session with the other RBridge. This will typically be accomplished by configuring the RBridge at a Remote Office to initiate a DTLS session, and subsequent TRILL exchanges, with an TRILL over IP-enabled RBridge attached to the TRILL campus.

4. TRILL Frame Formats

To support the TRILL base protocol standard [RFC6325], two types of frames will be transmitted between RBridges: TRILL Data frames and TRILL IS-IS frames.
4.1. TRILL Data Frame

The on-the-wire form of a TRILL Data frame in transit between two neighboring RBridges is as shown below:

```
+--------------+----------+----------------+-----------+
| TRILL Data   |  TRILL   |  Encapsulated  |   Link    |
| Link Header  |  Header  |  Native Frame  |  Trailer  |
+--------------+----------+----------------+-----------+
```

Where the Encapsulated Native Frame is in Ethernet frame format with a VLAN tag but with no trailing Frame Check Sequence (FCS).

4.2. TRILL IS-IS Frame

TRILL IS-IS frames are formatted on-the-wire as follows:

```
+--------------+---------------+-----------+
| TRILL IS-IS  |  TRILL IS-IS  |   Link    |
| Link Header  |    Payload    |  Trailer  |
+--------------+---------------+-----------+
```

The Link Header and Link Trailer in these formats depend on the specific link technology. The Link Header usually contains one or more fields that distinguish TRILL Data from TRILL IS-IS. For example, over Ethernet, the TRILL Data Link Header ends with the TRILL Ethertype while the TRILL IS-IS Link Header ends with the L2-IS-IS Ethertype; on the other hand, over PPP, there are no Ethertypes but PPP protocol code points are included that distinguish TRILL Data from TRILL IS-IS.

In TRILL over IP, we will use UDP/IP (v4 or v6) as the link header, and the TRILL frame type will be determined based on the UDP port number. In TRILL over IP, no Link Trailer is specified, although one may be added when TRILL over IP packets are encapsulated for transmission on a network (e.g. Ethernet).

5. Link Protocol Specifics

TRILL Data packets can be unicast to a specific RBridge or multicast to all RBridges on the link. TRILL IS-IS packets are always multicast to all other RBridge on the link. On Ethernet links, the Ethernet multicast address All-RBridges is used for TRILL Data and
All-IS-IS-RBridges for TRILL IS-IS.

To properly handle TRILL base protocol frames on a TRILL over IP link, either native multicast mode must be enabled on that link, or multicast must be simulated using serial unicast, as discussed below.

In TRILL Hello PDUs used on TRILL IP links, the IP addresses of the connected IP ports are their SNPA addresses. Thus, all TRILL Neighbor TLVs in such Hellos MUST specify that the size of the SNPA is 4-bytes for an IPv4 link or 16-bytes for an IPv6 link [rfc6326bis]. Note that SNPA addresses and their size are independent of TRILL System IDs which are 6-bytes.

6. Port Configuration

Each RBridge port that is to be used for a TRILL over IP link MUST have at least one IP (v4 or v6) address. Implementations MAY allow a single physical port to operate as multiple IPv4 and/or IPv6 logical ports.

TBD: MUST be able to configure list of IP addresses for serial unicast. MUST be able to configure non-standard IP multi-cast addresses.

7. TRILL over UDP/IP Format

The general format of a TRILL over UDP/IP packet is shown below.

```
+----------+--------+-----------------------+
| IP       | UDP    |  TRILL                |
| Header   | Header |  Payload              |
+----------+--------+-----------------------+
```

8. Handling Multicast

8.1. Multicast of TRILL IS-IS Packets

8.2. Multicast Data Frames

9. Use of DTLS

All RBridges that support TRILL over IP MUST implement DTLS and
support the use of DTLS to secure both TRILL IS-IS and data traffic. When DTLS is used to secure a TRILL over IP link, the DTLS session MUST be fully established before any TRILL IS-IS or data frames are exchanged.

RBridges that implement TRILL over IP MUST support the use of certificates for DTLS authentication, and MUST support the following algorithm:

- TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA [RFC5246]

RBridges that support TRILL over IP MAY support the use of pre-shared keys for DTLS authentication. If pre-shared keys are supported, the following cryptographic algorithms MUST be supported for use with pre-shared keys:

- TLS_PSK_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA [RFC5246]

10. MTU Considerations

TBD

11. Middlebox Considerations

TBD

12. Security Considerations

TRILL over IP is subject to all of the security considerations for the base TRILL protocol. In addition, there are specific security requirements for different TRILL deployment scenarios, as discussed in the "Use Cases for TRILL over IP" section above.

This document specifies that all RBridges that support TRILL over IP MUST implement DTLS, and makes it clear that it is both wise and good to use DTLS in all cases where a TRILL over IP link will traverse a network that is not under the same administrative control as the rest of the TRILL campus. DTLS is necessary, in these cases to protect the privacy and integrity of data traffic.

TRILL over IP is completely compatible with the use of IS-IS security, which can be used to authenticate RBridges before allowing them to join a TRILL campus. This is sufficient to protect against rogue RBridges, but is not sufficient to protect data frames that may be sent, in UDP/IP tunnels, outside of the local network, or even
across the public Internet. To protect the privacy and integrity of that traffic, use DTLS.

In cases where DTLS is used, the use of IS-IS security may not be necessary, but there is nothing about this specification that would prevent using both DTLS and IS-IS security together. In cases where both types of security are enabled, implementations MAY allow users to configure a single shared key that will be used for both mechanisms.

13. IANA Considerations

IANA has allocated the following UDP Ports for the TRILL IS-IS and Data channels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UDP Port</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(TBD)</td>
<td>TRILL IS-IS Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TBD)</td>
<td>TRILL Data Channel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IANA has allocated one IPv4 and one IPv6 multicast address, as shown below, which correspond to the All-RBridges multicast MAC addresses that the IEEE Registration Authority has assigned for TRILL.

[Values recommended to IANA:]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRILL name</th>
<th>IPv4</th>
<th>IPv6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All-RBridges</td>
<td>233.252.14.0</td>
<td>FF0X:0:0:0:0:0:205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: when these IPv4 and IPv6 multicast addresses are used and the resulting IP frame is sent over Ethernet, the usual IP derived MAC address is used.

[Need to discuss scopes for IPv6 multicast (the "X" in the addresses) somewhere. Default to "site" scope but MUST be configurable?]
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Abstract

This document presents a solution suite for TRILL data plane monitoring and failure detection. Methods presented herein allow in-cooperating IP payloads, exercising multi-paths, verifying multicast trees, locating end stations, virtual segments and diagnosing connectivity problems. ICMP protocol is proposed as framework for error reporting. Document also presents network wide health monitoring, distribution and reporting methods that are intended for efficient troubleshooting.
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1. Introduction

TRILL protocol has revolutionized how Layer 2 networks are being built and used. Legacy Ethernet networks provide single path for forwarding traffic and require all of the switches in the network to learn end-station MAC addresses. TRILL, on the other hand utilize multiple active links for forwarding thereby maximizing the overall network bandwidth utilization. TRILL is simple plug-and-play solution and does not require intermediate devices to learn MAC addresses of end-stations. These powerful characteristics of TRILL optimize performance and increase scaling limits. However, with that comes increased difficulty in diagnosing connectivity problems and locating end stations.

Network operators are used to troubleshooting legacy networks with single paths. Legacy devices maintain forwarding database of all end-station addresses in the Layer 2 network. Network administrators can trace the path taken by specific MAC address by examining the forwarding databases of devices. TRILL core switches, by design do not maintain end-station address database. Hence, administrators may not be able to trace a path taken by a specific MAC address by tracing the forwarding databases. Additionally, a given device may utilize multiple active paths to reach to a destination and may use a completely different forwarding topology for multicast traffic than it would use for unicast traffic. These challenges mandate the presence of an effective tool set to monitor and diagnose data plane failures in TRILL networks. These tools and protocols must stay as close as possible to the forwarding paths taken by actual data. OAM frames should not leak to end stations or out of the TRILL network to legacy networks.

TRILL base protocol specification [RFC6325] does not specify algorithm for selecting a path from a set of equal cost paths to forward a given flow. The majority of traffic in the networks is IP centric and most devices deploy some sort of hashing algorithm to identify the forwarding path from set of equal cost paths for a
given flow. Thus, it is desirable to use IP address and TCP/UDP port information as inputs to the ECMP selection hash function. Use of such higher level information provides better distribution of flows across multiple equal cost paths. This document, propose a framework that allow specifying, various combinations of payloads including IP payloads and actual payloads.

As TRILL based networks get deployed, during the transition period, it may be required for TRILL R Bridges to co-exist with legacy networks. It is very helpful for the network operator if TRILL data plane failure detection tools allow isolating problem to specific legacy device or at least to the interface(s) that the downstream legacy device is connected. Solutions presented in this document facilitate identifying legacy devices or RBridge interfaces legacy devices are connected to.

ICMP (Internet Control Message Protocol)[RFC 792] has been in use for nearly three decades. ICMP multipart extensions [RFC4884], propose methods to extend ICMP messages to include additional information, without changing or inventing new ICMP message types. In this document we utilize ICMP for reporting of errors. ICMP multipart extensions will be utilized to define additional information that is specific to TRILL. Additionally use of ICMP allows sending error reports either in-band or out-of-band. Use of out-of-band ICMP allows network operators to diagnose uni-directional path failures easily. Also, the same ICMP infrastructure can be utilized to generate unsolicited error notifications for TRILL data plane failures, such as Destination unreachable, Time Exceed (TTL expiry), Parameter Mismatch (MTU mismatch) etc.

Availability of Network health information is a valuable starting point for any failure detection process. In this document we present the concept of network regions, monitoring of network regions and distribution of network health.

Diagnostic tools are also commonly referred to as OAM (Operations, Administration and Maintenance). In this document we use words diagnostics and OAM interchangeably. Unless explicitly specified both the words means the same.

1.1. Motivation

Currently published TRILL OAM solutions, [TRILLCH] and [TRILLOAM], mainly focus on data plane encoding and individual tools. The encoding methods presented in [TRILLCH] and [TRILLOAM], require defining OAM channel that utilize a special EtherType. Implementations that utilize ECMP selection algorithms based on
higher layer address information may require flexible OAM channel that allow specifying different payloads including IP based payloads.

Availability of network health information is important for efficient isolation of network connectivity problems. Currently there are neither standard sets of such data to be distributed nor framework to distribute network health data. Lack of such leads to cumbersome and time consuming troubleshooting of network connectivity issues, especially in multi-vendor networks.

Device virtualization is an increasing trend in datacenters and large enterprises. Physical servers may host multiple virtual servers and these virtual servers may move from physical server to physical server based on load balancing policies. As part of network connectivity problem isolation, it is important to identify the location of the virtual servers and R Bridges they are connected to. Currently, administrators are required to utilize multiple tools to locate these virtual machines and connecting R Bridges.

ICMP has been in use over three decades as the primary OAM tool of IP infrastructure. It is highly desirable to utilize the framework of existing infrastructure such as ICMP, thereby leveraging knowledge, implementation and time to market.

TRILL networks can co-exist with multi access LAN networks at the boundary of the TRILL network. TRILL protocol [RFC6325], introduced Designated R Bridge (DRB) and Appointed Forwarder (AF) concepts to ensure loop free forwarding and load splitting at the boundary of TRILL and multi access LAN networks. Discovery of DRB, AF and associated VLANs are important for effective fault isolation at the TRILL and multi access LAN boundary. Currently there are no known tools available for the purpose.

In this document we propose a framework and solution suite that will address the above.

1.2. Contributors

Many people contributed with ideas and comments. Among all, following people made notable contributions to all parts of this document and spend time reviewing, debating and commenting to ensure this specification addresses the problem space.
2. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC-2119 [RFC2119].

In this document, these words will appear with that interpretation only when in ALL CAPS. Lower case uses of these words are not to be interpreted as carrying RFC-2119 significance.

3. Protocol Architecture Overview

Effective OAM solution is not only a set of tools but a wholesome solution that covers all aspects of OAM, such as tools, monitoring, reporting etc. Solution presented in this document contains multiple subcomponents that cover various elements of the total solution. There are six subcomponents in the proposed architecture. These subcomponents collectively are called TRILL OAM Protocol. Here we present an overview of the architecture of the solution and explain the purpose of each of subcomponents and interaction between different subcomponents. Subsequent sections cover details of each of the subcomponents.
3.1. Overview of Tools

The Tools subcomponent consists of series of utilities to implement various data plane monitoring and failure detection methods. Individual tools are invoked directly by the user or by the monitoring subcomponent. Individual tools allow, where applicable, for callers to specify options such as ECMP coverage, destination RBridge nickname, payload etc. Tools interface with the TRILL data plane layer to send and receive OAM frames. At the time of writing following tools are included as part of the tool set.

1. Loopback Message (Ping)
2. Path Trace Message (Trace route)
3. Multicast Tree Verification (mtv)
4. MAC discovery

5. Address Binding Verification

6. IP End-station Locator

7. DRB-AF discovery

8. Notification messages

Tools, based on their intended use, can be classified into three broader categories as below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fault Verification</td>
<td>Loop Back Message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault Isolation</td>
<td>Path Trace Message,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multicast Tree Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary</td>
<td>MAC discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Address Binding Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP End-station Locator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRB-AF Discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Error Notification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. TRILL Data Plane

The TRILL data plane receives and transmits frames on behalf of the tools subcomponent. As far as the encapsulation is concerned, TRILL data plane layer treats these frames exactly as it would treat a regular data frame. In fact, one of the key design goals is to maintain TRILL data plane diagnostic (OAM) frames as close as possible to actual data frames. Additionally, implementation MUST satisfy the following requirements:

1. OAM frames SHOULD NOT leak into legacy Ethernet or to end stations outside the TRILL cloud

2. RBridge MUST have ability to identify OAM (diagnostics) frames intended for a destination RBridge.
3. RBridges SHOULD have ability to identify TRILL data OAM frames that are not intended for itself and forward such frames without assistance from the CPU.

We explain in Section 6 various methods available to identify TRILL OAM (diagnostic) frames intended for the local RBridge and satisfy above requirements.

3.3. Monitoring

The Monitoring subcomponent utilizes the tools subcomponent to monitor the TRILL data plane and proactively detect connectivity faults, configuration errors (cross connect errors) etc. The monitoring subcomponent provides options to specify frequency, retransmission count, ECMP choice and all other applicable options to the specific tool being used to implement the monitoring service. Based on the configuration specified by the user, the monitoring subcomponent periodically invokes the applicable tools. Additionally, based on configuration, monitoring results are propagated to the distribution subcomponent. Monitoring results are always associated with a monitoring region. The monitoring region is an administrative partition of the network such that it: 1. Maximize the fault coverage, 2. Optimize network health data summarization. More details of regions are discussed in Section 10.

3.4. Distribution

The distribution subcomponent has two primary inputs:

- Data from the Monitoring Layer
- Data from other RBridges via ISIS GenApp

The distribution subcomponent performs the following functions:

- Advertising locally generated data
- Applying Advertising policies and re-advertising received data
- Maintaining the network health Database

Details of distribution layer and data handling are presented in section 10.
3.5. ISIS

TRILL OAM protocol suite proposed in this document utilize ISIS to
distribute

OAM capability of individual RBridge

In-band OAM IP and MAC address

Above, OAM capability and In-band OAM address information are
advertised using ISIS MT-Protocol extensions.[section 7.]

Network monitoring data are distributed using ISIS GenApp extension
methods specified in [GenApp]. Details of encoding and proposed TLV
definitions are defined in detail in section 7.

3.6. Reporting

The Reporting subcomponent allows users to define and use various
reports on network health. The Reporting subcomponent utilize data
available in the distribution subcomponent to generate requested
reports. Sample reports are listed in Appendix A.

4. Frame Format

TRILL data plane diagnostic (OAM) frames can be broadly classified
in to three types: request, response and notification. Request
messages are generated to measure TRILL data plane characteristics,
such as connectivity. Response messages are generated by a RBridge
in response to a request. Notifications are unsolicited messages
generated due to certain failures such as unreachable destination.
Details of individual messages are covered in later sections. Here
we present frame encoding format for Request, Response and
Notification messages.

4.1. Encoding of Request message
The above diagram depicts encapsulation of TRILL data plane diagnostic request frames. Encoded in the frame is the diagnostic payload. The diagnostic payload is a flexible structure that allows users to specify different kinds of payloads, including actual payloads. Most hardware implementations use IPDA:IPSA:DestPor:SrcPort based hash method to select ECMP paths for IP frames. For non-IP payloads, RBridges normally use a Layer 2 MAC DA and SA based hash for selecting an ECMP path. Flexible diagnostic payloads allow users to drive end-to-end ECMP selection based on payload without needing additional hardware. Also, in terms of forwarding, this keeps the diagnostic frame as close as possible to data frames. The length of the diagnostic payload must be deterministic. We propose a fixed 128 byte size for the diagnostic payload section of the OAM frame. This allows including IPv6 frames with multiple 802.1Q tags in the diagnostic payload. The remaining bytes are set to zero if the specified frame is smaller than the 128 byte fixed size.

ICMP header immediately follows the diagnostic payload. The ICMP header is constructed as defined in [RFC2792].

ICMP multi-part extensions [RFC 4884] are defined to carry additional information and are encoded after the ICMP header. [section 8. ]
4.2. Encoding of Response Message

The above diagram depicts encoding of OAM response messages. If in-band delivery is requested, the OAM response message MUST be encoded as payload in a TRILL data frame. The ingress RBridge nickname MUST be set to the RBridge nickname of the node generating the response. Egress RBridge nickname MUST be set to the ingress RBridge nickname of the original TRILL data frame that triggered this response.

Normal IP forwarding rules MUST be followed, if an out-of-band response is requested.

4.3. Encoding of Notification Message

Notification messages are generated in response to an error condition such as delivery failure due to incompatible MTU or destination RBridge not in the forwarding table etc.. Out-of-band responses are generally indicated by explicitly including the indication to receive an out-of-band response in the TRILL OAM request frame. Since notifications are generated in response to regular data frames, the originator RBridge may not have methods to identify IP address required to deliver an out-of-band response. Hence, in this document we propose to deliver Notification messages in-band. Delivery of out-of-band messages are outside the scope of this document.

The RBridge generating the Notification message MUST include up to 128 bytes of the original frame that triggered the notification message. If the original frame contains less than 128 bytes, then the remaining bytes MUST be padded with zeros.
The TRILL outer header of the frame that triggered the notification message is not included in the notification message. The Next-Hop header information in the original frame is of local significance to the specific link and may not be of interest to the originator of the data frame.

The following error messages are currently supported:

- Time Expiry
- Destination Unreachable
- Parameter Problem

Additional TRILL OAM error codes may be specified as ICMP multipart extensions in above notification messages. These error codes indicate the cause of the error. Please see section 8. for error code definitions and section 9.8. for theory of operation.

5. 127/8 in-band OAM IP address

In this document, we propose to use the same ICMP framework deployed in IP infrastructure for communicating OAM information. RBridges are not required to have IP interfaces enabled. However, in order to receive and process ICMP messages, RBridges are required to have at least a pseudo IP address. In this document, we propose to use 127/8 addressing scheme similar to the MPLS data plane failure detection methods [RFC 4373]. It is important that each RBridge have a straightforward method of identifying corresponding in-band OAM IP address of any given RBridge, without additional processing or lookups.
The 127/8 Address range is allocated for internal loopback addresses [RFC 1122] and required not to be routed. RFC 4373 updates RFC 1122 to utilize 127/8 addressing to communicate between devices in a peer-to-peer model that does not require routing. In this document, we propose to use 127/8 addressing model to identify in-band IP address required for OAM purposes. Additional methods are provided as ISIS LSP extension to announce, other addresses, user may desire to use for OAM in-band purpose. By default all RBridges MUST support the 127/8 addressing model specified here.

Each RBridge nickname is 16bits wide [RFC6325]. Let’s assume RBridge nickname RB is divided in RB(msb) and RB(1sb), such that, RB(msb) takes the upper 8bits of the RB and RB(1sb) takes the lower 8bits of the RB. Corresponding in-band IP address of RB is 127.RB(msb).RB(1sb).100. Implementation MUST facilitate methods to avoid conflicts between in-band OAM address and implementation specific 127/8 address allocations.

5.1. IPv6 default in-band address

IPv6 based systems have two options to derive the in-band IP address. The systems may choose, IPv6 native loopback address ::RBid:100 or IPv4 mapped IPv6 addressing format of ::FFFF:127.RB(msb).RB(1sb).100.

RFC 4379, MPLS Data Plane failure detection methods, utilize IPV4 mapped IPv6 addressing. One of the design objectives of the proposal is to re-use as many existing OAM extensions as possible. Hence, implementation that support IPv6 MUST utilize the IPv4 mapped IPv6 addressing format for default IPv6 in-band address. Deployments that desire to utilize native addressing MAY advertise native IPv6 in-band address using OAM extensions in section 7.

6. Identification of Diagnostic frames

In this document we have proposed to use the TRILL header as defined in [RFC6325], without modifications. The standard TRILL header currently, does not provide option to identify diagnostic frames. Hence, it is important to have circumstantial methods to identify diagnostic frames intended for the local RBridge and prevent leaking of diagnostic frames outside of TRILL network. In this section we explain, various methods to attain the above goals.

6.1. Identification of Layer 2 Flow

As stated earlier, most RBridges use Destination and Source MAC address, combination to determine the next hop ECMP interface to
forward non IP frames. It is required to provide flexibility for the user to specify destination MAC address and source MAC address. We propose to use special EthType (TBD) to indentify OAM (diagnostic) frames that contain non IP diagnostic payloads.

Each RBridge, if TRILL data plane OAM enabled, MUST provide following processing:

- Forward frames that have egress RBridge nickname equal to local RBridge nickname and EthType equal to Diagnostic Ethtype, to the Central Processing Unit (CPU). Such frames SHOULD NOT egress out of the RBridge.
- The RBridge SHOULD not egress frames with Diagnostic Ethtype to non TRILL interfaces.

6.2. Identification of IP Flows

As stated earlier, most RBridges use combination of IP address and Layer 4 information such as UDP/TCP Port, to determine the next hop ECMP interface to forward IP frames. Hence, it is important to provide flexibility for users to specify destination IP addressing and payload information.

In this section we propose several approaches to identify OAM (diagnostic) frames with IP payloads that are addressed to the local RBridge for processing

Method 1:

Use of Well know Destination MAC address:

We propose to use a well known diagnostic MAC address (TBD-DMAC-1), as the Destination MAC address of the inner Layer 2 header.

Each RBridge, if TRILL data plane diagnostic is enabled, MUST provide the following processing:

- Forward frames which have egress RBridge nickname equal to the local RBridge nickname and Destination MAC address of the inner Layer 2 header equal to the Well Known Diagnostic MAC address (TBD-DMAC-1) to the Central Processing Unit (CPU). If RBridge nickname is not equal to the local RBridge nickname, frame MUST be forwarded normally.
- RBridge SHOULD NOT egress frames with the Diagnostic MAC address (TBD-DMAC-1) as destination address to non TRILL interfaces.
Method 2:

Use of Well know Source MAC address:

We propose to use a well known source MAC address (TBD-SMAC-1), as the source MAC address of the inner Layer 2 header.

Each RBridge, if TRILL data plane diagnostic is enabled, MUST provide following processing:

- Forward frames that have egress RBridge nickname equal to the local RBridge nickname and source MAC address of the inner Layer 2 header equal to Well Known source MAC address (TBD-SMAC-1), to the Central Processing Unit (CPU). If the egress RBridge nickname is not equal to the local RBridge nickname then the frame MUST be forwarded normally.
- Each RBridge SHOULD NOT egress frames with Well known MAC address as source address to non TRILL interfaces.
- RBridge SHOULD NOT dynamically learn the well known Source MAC address (TBD-SMAC-1) specified above.

Method 3:

Use of RBridge specific OAM MAC address:

Each RBridge may advertise, MAC address for the purpose of receiving OAM frames with IP payloads. Sending RBridges may us the advertised MAC address as the destination MAC address of the inner Layer 2 header of originating diagnostic request frames.

Each RBridge, if TRILL OAM is enabled MUST provide following processing:

- Forward frames that has egress RBridge equal to the local RBridge nickname AND Destination MAC address of the inner Layer 2 header equal to the advertised RBridge specific OAM MAC address, to the Central Processing Unit (CPU).
- RBridge SHOULD NOT egress frames with RBridge specific OAM MAC address as destination address to non TRILL interfaces.
6.3. Identification of Multicast Flows

Multicast frames are forwarded using one of the available multicast trees in the TRILL network [RFC6325]. Selection of a multicast tree is done at the ingress RBridge. Multicast frames are directed to a selected multicast tree at the ingress. Hence exact payload definition is not required for the purpose of ECMP selection. However, based on multicast pruning, certain multicast addresses may not be required to be forwarded to all members of the tree. Intermediate switches perform, (S,G) or (*,G), forwarding based on IP addresses for IP frames and MAC address for non IP frames. Hence, in order to verify the effect of multicast pruning users may require methods to specify Layer 2 and/or IP addressing information, as applicable. There are two types of multicast tree verification messages:

- Overall Tree Verification Messages
- Pruned Tree Verification Messages

6.3.1. Identification of overall tree verification frames

We propose to utilize a well known multicast diagnostic MAC address (TBD-GMAC-1) for this purpose. If TRILL data plane diagnostics are enabled, this specific MAC address MUST be installed on every RBridge for all tress and MUST NOT be subject to pruning.

Each RBridge performs (*,G) forwarding of the frames based on the well known multicast diagnostic MAC address (TBD-GMAC-1) in the inner Layer 2 destination address. Additionally, it send a copy of the frame to the CPU for analysis and generates a response to the requester. Please see section 8.3 for details of multicast tree verification message processing.

A RBridge SHOULD NOT egress multicast frames with above diagnostic MAC address in to non TRILL interfaces. Also, RBridge MUST discard any native frame received on non TRILL interfaces with the above diagnostic MAC address as the destination MAC address.

6.3.2. Identification of Layer 2 Multicast group verification frames

We propose to utilize the diagnostic EthType (TBD) that was defined earlier for identification of Layer 2 group verification frames. User SHOULD have the ability specify destination MAC address, source MAC Address, VLAN and payload data up to 128 octets.

Each RBridge, performs standard multicast forwarding. Additionally, if EthType of the frame is equal to the well known diagnostic
Ethertype (TBD), the RBridge sends a copy of the frame to the CPU for analysis and generating response to the requester. Please see section 9.3 for details of multicast tree verification message processing.

RBridge MUST NOT egress multicast frames with above EthType in to non TRILL interfaces. Also, RBridge MUST discard any native frame received on non TRILL interfaces with the above EthType.

6.3.3. Identification of IP Multicast group verification frames

We propose to use the well known MAC address (TBD-SMAC-1) defined in section 6.2 as the source MAC address. Users have flexibility to define, IP Address, VLAN and other payload data upto 128 octets. The Destination MAC address is derived based on the IP Multicast destination address.

RBridges perform (S,G) or (*,G) forwarding using the IP address information. Additionally, each RBridge send a copy of the frame to the CPU, if the source MAC address matches the well known MAC address defined here in.

RBridge MUST NOT egress multicast frames with above source MAC address to non TRILL interfaces. Also, each RBridge MUST discard any native frame received on a non TRILL interfaces with the above source MAC address.

RBridge MUST NOT dynamically learn the well known source MAC address specified here.

6.4. Default OAM flow Parameters

Parameters specified herein SHOULD be utilized as default parameters. Parameters specified under the Fixed category MUST not be changed based on user specification and MUST be followed exactly as specified below.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow type</th>
<th>Default Values</th>
<th>Fixed fields</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2</td>
<td>DA= Well Known MAC</td>
<td>EthType=OAM(TBD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA= RBridge Interface MAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VLAN=native VLAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPv4 OR</td>
<td>IP Address = in-band address</td>
<td>EthType=0x8000 OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPv6</td>
<td>IP Dest. Port = 3503</td>
<td>EthType=0x86DD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP Src. Port = TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DA = OAM MAC of egress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA = ingress RBr interface MAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VLAN=native VLAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicast Tree</td>
<td>SA= RBridge Interface MAC</td>
<td>DA=Well Known Multicast MAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification</td>
<td>VLAN=native VLAN</td>
<td>EthType=OAM(TBD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2 Multicast</td>
<td>DA= Well Known MAC</td>
<td>EthType=OAM(TBD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA= RBridge Interface MAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VLAN=native VLAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP Multicast</td>
<td>IP Dest Address = Default OAM MCast address</td>
<td>EthType=0x8000 OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP Src. Address = in-band-address</td>
<td>EthType=0x86DD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP Dest. Port = 3503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP Src. Port = TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DA = OAM MAC of egress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA = ingress RBr interface MAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VLAN=native VLAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 Default Parameters of Diagnostic(OAM) Payloads
7. ISIS Extensions

A new ISIS subTLV definition is required to announce the following OAM related information:

- OAM capability
- OAM in-band IP address
- OAM in-band MAC address

We propose to define a single sub TLV structure within ROUETER-CAPABILITY ISIS TLV (242), to announce the above OAM information.

```
+--------+
| Type   |
+--------+
| Length |
|--------+--------+
|ver| Res |v|i|m|o|
+-----------------+
| Sender nickname |
+-----------------+
| OAM MAC address |
+-----------------+
| OAM in-band IP address |
|                 |
+-----------------+
```

**Figure 6 ISIS extension for OAM**

Type : (1 octet) TBD (one of the sub-TLV definitions under MT-PORT-CAP ISIS TLV)

Length : (1 octet) Length of the subTLV, in octets, excluding Type and Length fields. Minimum 2.

Ver : (4 bits) indicate the OAM version. Currently set to zero.

Res : (1 octet), Reserved for future use. Set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.

V : (1 bit) if set, indicates IP address included in the TLV is IPv6. Only one of I or V bit MUST be set. If both are set, it is a malformed TLV and must be discarded without further processing.
I  : (1 bit) if set indicate IP address included in the TLV is IPv4. Only one of I or V bits MUST be set. If both are set, it is malformed TLV and must be discarded without any further processing.

M : (1 bit) If set, indicates MAC address is included in the TLV.

O : (1 bit) If set, indicates announcing RBridge is OAM capable.

MAC Address : (6 octets), IEEE MAC address, associated with the in-band IP address. If included, the MAC address MUST precede the IP address.

IP Address : (4 or 16 octets), OAM in-band IP address. If present MUST follow MAC address.

Above PDU encoding MUST follow exact order as specified and fields are not interchangeable.

NOTE: Both I and V flags MAY be set to zero to indicate that announcing RBridge desire to use the default OAM address. The default OAM address is the 127/8 address derived as specified in section 5.

8. ICMP multi part extensions

We propose to utilize a new Class-Num [RFC4884] to identify TRILL OAM related extensions specified in this document and other related documents. IANA has established a registry for ICMP extensions and we intend to seek a Class-Num assigned for this purpose.

Within the TRILL OAM Class-Num, C-Types are defined and registered in the IANA to identify various different extensions specified herein and other related future documents.

8.1. C-Type Definitions

Version: C-Type 1

Contain Version number, code and associated flags. Currently Out-of-band Request, Final and Cross Connect Error flags are defined.
Bits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>31</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>code</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 C-Type 1, Version and Flags

Version (8 bits): Currently set to zero

Code (1 octet): TRILL OAM Message codes. See below for currently available TRILL OAM Message codes.

Reserved (22 bits): Set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt

F (1 bit): Final flag, when set, indicates this is the last response.

C (1 bit): Cross connect error (VLAN mapping error), if set indicates VLAN cross connect error detected. This field is ignored in request messages and MUST only be interpreted in response messages.

O (1 bit): If set, indicates, OAM out-of-band response requested.

TRILL OAM Message codes:

0 : Loopback Message Request
1 : Loopback Message Response
2 : Path Trace Request
3 : Path Trace Response
4 : Time Expiry Notification (error)
5 : Parameter Problem Notification (error)
6 : Destination Unreachable (error)
7 : Multicast Tree Verification Request
8 : Multicast Tree Verification Response
9 : MAC Address discovery Request
10: MAC Address discovery Response
11: DRB discovery request
12: DRB discovery response
13: AF discovery request
14: AF discovery response
15: AF-VLAN discovery request
16: AF-VLAN discovery response
17 - 255 : Reserved
Originator IP Address: (C-type 2)

Length of the ICMP extension header indicates whether the address is IPv4 or IPv6. Please refer to RFC 4884 for ICMP extension encoding and ICMP header structure.

![](image)

**Figure 8 C-Type 2 Originator IP address**

Upstream Identification: (C-type 3)

The Upstream Identification C-type structure encodes upstream path information such as upstream neighbor nickname, ingress interface index (ifindex) and name of the ingress port.

![](image)

**Figure 9 C-Type 3 Upstream Identification**

Nickname (2 octets): TRILL 16 bit nickname of the upstream RBRdige. [RFCtrill]

Reserved (2 octets) : Reserved, set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.

Ifindex (2 octets) : unsigned integer of local significance
Slot     (2 octets) : Slot number
Port     (2 octets) : Port number
Speed    (2 octets) : Speed in 100Mbps. Zero (0) indicates port speeds less than 100Mbps.
State    (2 octets) : Represent the state of the port.
  0: Down - no errors
  1: Disable
  2: Forwarding - no errors
  3: Down - errors
  4: Forwarding - errors
  5: Forwarding - oversubscribed
  6: Link Monitoring disable
  All other values reserved.

Monitored VLAN(diagnostic VLAN ) : (C-type 4)

Monitored VLAN c-type include in the ICMP extensions allows for testing the integrity of the inner payload VLAN and the expected VLAN. The expected VLAN is encoded in the Monitored VLAN c-type. The destination RBRidge, compare the VLAN of the inner payload with the VLAN value encoded in the Monitored VLAN c-type. If these two VLAN values mismatch, RBRidge SHOULD set the cross connect flag in the response. A RBridge MUST NOT set the cross connect error flag for other than the above specified VLAN mismatch scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-----+-------------------------------+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10   C-Type 4 Diagnostic VLAN

Downstream Identification: (C-Type 5)

The Downstream Identification C-type carries multiple sets of data, each corresponding to individual downstream neighbor among collection of equal cost paths.
Bits

+------------------+---------------+  
| ecmp count       | Reserved      |  
| Reserved         | nickname      |  
| ifindex          | Next hop neighbor information |  
| Slot             | Port           |  
| Speed            | State          |  
| Repeat next hop neighbor identification for each neighbor |  

Figure 11  C-Type 5 Downstream Identification

Ecmp count (2 octets): Number of equal cost paths to the given destination from this RBridge.

Reserved (4 octets): Reserved, set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.

Next-hop neighbor information:

Nickname (16 bits): TRILL 16 bit nickname [RFCtrill]

Ifindex (2 octets) : unsigned integer of local significance

Slot (2 octets) : Slot number

Port (2 octets) : Port number

Speed (2 octets) : Speed in 100Mbps. Zero (0) indicates port speeds less than 100Mbps.

State (2 octets) : Represent the state of the port.

0: Down - no errors
1: Disable
2: Forwarding-no errors
3: Down - errors
4: Forwarding - errors
5: Forwarding - oversubscribed
6: Link monitoring disable
All other values reserved.

NOTE: Repeat Next-hope neighbor identification entry per each ECMP. Total number of neighbor entries MUST equal to ecmp count. Individual neighbor entry MAY have variable length.

Path for this payload: (c-Type 6)
Path for this payload indicates the next hop neighbor that this frame could have been forwarded on based on the payload hashing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 12  C-Type 6 Path for this payload

Nickname (16 bits): TRILL 16 bit nickname [RFC3833]
Ifindex (2 octets) : unsigned integer of local significance. 0xFFFF indicate CPU.
Slot (2 octets) : Slot number
Port (2 octets) : Port number
Speed (2 octets) : Speed in 100Mbps. Zero (0) indicates port speeds less than 100Mbps.
State (2 octets) : Represent the state of the port.
0: Down - no errors
1: Disable
2: Forwarding-no errors
3: Down - errors
4: Forwarding - errors
5: Forwarding - oversubscribed
6: Link monitoring disable
All other values reserved.

DRB Information (c-Type 7)

```
+---------------+--------+--+-+
| nickname      | state  | R|P|
+---------------+--------+--+-+
```

Figure 13   Nickname of the DRB

Nickname (2 octets) : TRILL nickname of the DRB
State (1 octets) : DRB state
R ( 7 bits ) : set to zero on Transmission and ignored on receipt
P ( 1 bits ) : Set when pseudo node bypass is indicated by the DRB for the link

AF Information (C-Type 7)
Follow the same encoding as C-Type 6, above.
Nickname and state are of the AF.

Enable VLAN List (c-Type 8)

```
+--+-----------+--+----------+
|R | St-VLAN   |R | End-VLAN |
+--+-----------+--+----------+
```

Figure 14   Enabled VLAN List

R (4 bits) : Reserved, set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.
St-VLAN (12 bits) : Start VLAN
End-VLAN (12 bits) : End VLAN

Start VLAN and End VLAN represent the range of enabled VLANS. If the VLAN range is non contiguous, then multiple Enabled VLAN lists MUST be included, each representing a contiguous VLAN set.

Announcing VLAN set (c-Type 9)
Announcing VLAN list uses the same format as the Enable VLAN List (c-Type 8)

```
31  27        16  12         0
+--+-----------+--+----------+
|R | St-VLAN   |R | End-VLAN |
+--+-----------+--+----------+
```

Figure 15   Announcing VLAN List

R (4 bits) : Reserved, set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.

St-VLAN (12 bits) : Start VLAN
End-VLAN (12 bits) : End VLAN

Start VLAN and End VLAN represent the range of announcing VLANS. If the VLAN range is non contiguous, then multiple of announcing VLAN list MUST be included, each representing a contiguous VLAN set.

AF List (c-Type 10)

This c-Type lists the VLANS for which responding RBridge is a the appointed forwarder.
Reserved (2 octets): set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.

Nickname (2 octets): TRILL 16 bit nickname of the RBridge

R (4 bits): Reserved, set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.

St-VLAN (12 bits): Start VLAN

End-VLAN (12 bits): End VLAN

AF List MUST be repeated for each of the contiguous VLAN ranges that the responding RBridge function as Appointed Forwarder.

DRB Life Time (c-Type 11)

DRB Life time indicates the Life time, of the DRB operational role, of the RBridge.

Life Time (8 octets): Indicates the Life time of the operational role in seconds.

AF Lifetime (C-Type 12)

AF Life time indicates the Life time, of the AF operational role, of the RBridge for the specified VLAN.
Encoding follow the same format specified in C-Type 11.

Designated VLAN changes (C-Type 13)

Indicates number of times a given RBridge has observed Designated VLAN changes. Each change may potentially lead to traffic disruptions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 18  Number of times Designated VLAN changes

Change count (2 octets): Indicates number of times a given RBridge has observed Designated VLAN changes

RBridge scope List (c-Type 14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Nu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nickname 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nickname n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 19  Scope List c-Type 15

R (1 octet ) : Reserved, zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.

Nu (1 octet) : number of nicknames listed

Nickname 1 .. n (2 octets) each: List TRILL RBridge nickname of in scope RBridges.

Nicknames MUST be numerically sorted. With nickname1 the lowest to nickname n the highest. This facilitate easy processing the receiving RBridge.
Nu = 0 indicate no embedded nicknames in the message and response required from all RBridges, where applicable.

Multicast Tree downstream List (c-Type 15)

Multicast Tree downstream list provides information on downstream leaf Rbridges on the specified tree.

**Figure 20  C-Type 5 Downstream Identification**

**Leaf count (16 bits):** Number of RBridges downstream to this RBridge.

**Downstream leaf information:**

**Nickname (16 bits):** TRILL 16 bit nickname [RFCtrill]

**Ifindex (32 bits):** Unsigned 32 bit integer that has only a local significance to the sending RBridge. Value 0xFFFF indicates CPU interface.

**Slot** (2 octets): Slot number

**Port** (2 octets): Port number
Speed (2 octets) : Speed in 100Mbps. Zero (0) indicates port speeds less than 100Mbps.

State (2 octets) : Represent the state of the port.
0: Down - no errors
1: Disable
2: Forwarding-no errors
3: Down - errors
4: Forwarding - errors
5: Forwarding - oversubscribed
All other values reserved.

NOTE: Repeat downstream RBridges reachability information per each leaf node. Total number of neighbor entries MUST equal to leaf count. Individual neighbor entry MAY have variable length.

MAC-discovery Address List (c-Type 16)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>count</th>
<th>MAC</th>
<th>Address 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Address n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 21   MAC-discovery Address List

Count (2 octets) : Number of MAC addresses embedded in the response

MAC Address (6 octets) : 6 octet MAC address

MAC-discovery response Address List (c-Type 17)
Figure 22  MAC-discovery response

Count (2 octets) : Number of MAC addresses embedded in the response

T   (4 bits ) : Type of MAC address  0 - Dynamic, 1 Static, 2-15 Reserved

VLAN  (12 bits) : VLAN identifier associated with the MAC address

MAC Address ( 6 octets) : 6 octet MAC address
Age (8 octets): Age of the MAC address in seconds. For a static MAC address, this field is ignored.

Ifindex (4 octets): Interface index on which MAC address is learnt.

Slot (2 octets): Slot number of the interface on which this MAC address is learnt.

Port (2 octets): Port number of the interface on which this MAC address is learnt.

vNTAG (2 octets): virtual TAG identifier associated with the MAC address. Value 0 indicate no vNTAG association with the MAC address.

Speed (2 octets): Speed in 100Mbps. Zero (0) indicates port speeds less than 100Mbps.

State (2 octets): Represent the state of the port.

0: Down - no errors
1: Disable
2: Forwarding-no errors
3: Down - errors
4: Forwarding - errors
5: Forwarding - oversubscribed
6: Un-monitored
All other values reserved.

Error code (c-Type 18)

Error code c-Type allows an RBridge to specify various error codes within high-level notification messages such as Time Expiry, Parameter Problem and Destination unreachable. The sub-error codes within each of the error code allow specifying further details of the error.

Bits

```
+----------------+--------------+
| Error Code     | sub-code     |
+----------------+--------------+
```

Figure 23 C-Type 18 Error code
Error Code (2 octets) : Identify the error. Currently following errors are defined

0 - VLAN non existent
1 - VLAN in suspended state
2 - Cross connect error
3 - Unknown RBridge nickname
4 - Not AF
5 - MTU mismatch
6 - Interface not in forwarding state
7 - 0xFFFF - Reserved for future use and MUST not be used in transmission.

Sub-code (2 octets) : identify the sub-error code.
0 - 0xFFFF - Reserved for future use and MUST not be used in transmission.

Warning code (c-Type 19)

Warning code c-Type allow a RBridge to specify various error codes within high-level notification messages such as Time Expiry, Parameter Problem and Destination unreachable. The sub-warning codes within each of the warning codes allow to specify further details of the warning.

```
| Warning Code | sub-code |
+--------------+----------|
```

Figure 24   C-Type 19 Warning code

Warning Code (2 octets) : Identify the Warning. Currently following Warnings are defined

0 - Invalid RBridge nickname (RBridge nickname in the range 0xffco to 0xffff)
1 - Invalid VLAN (Reserved VLAN)
2 - AF VLAN list Mismatch
3 - 0xFFFF - Reserved for future use and MUST not be used in transmission.

Sub-code (2 octets) : identify the sub-error code.
Information code (c-Type 20)

Information code c-Type allow a RBridge to specify various information codes within the high-level notification messages such as Time Expiry, Parameter Problem and Destination unreachable. The sub-info codes within each of the code allow specifying further details of the information.

```
+------------------+--------------+
| Information Code | sub-code     |
|------------------+--------------|
+------------------+--------------+
```

Figure 25   C-Type 19 Information code

Information Code (2 octets) : Identify the Information. Currently following Information are defined

0 - 0xFFFF - Reserved for future use and MUST not be used in transmission.

Sub-code (2 octets) : identify the sub-error code.

0 - 0xFFFF - Reserved for future use and MUST not be used in transmission.

9. Details of Diagnostic tools

In this section we present details of various diagnostic tools that are identified as part of the solution. We assume, readers are familiar with frame encoding methods, diagnostic frame identification methods, and ISIS and ICMP extensions presented earlier in the document. In this section we will only make reference to the extensions and methods, please refer to prior section for details.
9.1. Loopback Message

Loopback message is utilized for fault verification. It verifies connectivity between two R Bridges, for a specified flow. Monitoring subsystem may use Loopback Message for connectivity monitoring and proactive fault detection. Users may specify exact flow, part of it or not at all. Additionally, users may also specify, ECMP choice at the ingress. ECMP choice can be a specific index, set of index, all of the index or non. If no ECMP index specified, payload is used to determine the ECMP choice. Method of deriving the ECMP choice using payload is implementation dependent and is outside the scope of this document. However, CPU generating the Loopback message SHOULD use the same ECMP selection algorithm as the data plane. Additionally some implementation may allow users to specify the ingress interface that actual flow may ingress to the R Bridge. Although ability to inject the data plane diagnostic frames from the ingress interface is optional feature, it is highly desirable, as it allows verifying end-end connectivity from an ingress port to an egress R Bridge.

Egress R Bridge can sent its response either in-band or out-of-band. In-band-response, additionally allow to measure round trip delay. In-band responses are tagged with the same VLAN as the request frame. ICMP multi part extensions in the request message allow user to specify whether out-of-band response required. If out-of-band request required, IP address it desire to receive the response MUST be specified.

Additionally, diagnostic VLAN, may be specified as part of the ICMP multi part extensions. Receiver R Bridge may compare inner VLAN in the payload and the specified diagnostic VLAN. If the two specified VLAN values do not match, C flag in Version C-type SHOULD be set to indicate cross connect error.

9.1.1. Theory of Operation

9.1.1.1. Originator R Bridge

Identify the destination R Bridge based on user specification or based on location of the specified address (see below sections for MAC discovery and address locator).

Construct the diagnostic payload based on user specified parameters. Default parameters MUST be utilized for unspecified payload parameters. See Figure 5 for default parameters.

Construct the ICMP Echo request header. Assign applicable identification number and sequence number for the request.
ICMP multi part extension Version MUST be included and set appropriate flags. Specify the code as Loopback Message Request(0).

Construct following ICMP multipart extensions, where applicable

- Out-of-band response request
- Out-of-band IP address
- Diagnostic VLAN

Specify the Hop count of the TRILL data frame per user specification. Or utilize the applicable Hop count value, if TRILL TTL is not being specified.

Dispatch the diagnostic frame to the TRILL data plane for transmission.

RBridge may continue to retransmit, the request at periodic interval, until a response received or re-transmission count expires. At each new re-transmission sequence number may be incremented.

9.1.1.2. Intermediate RBridge

Intermediate RBridges forward the frame as a normal data frame and no special handling is required.

9.1.1.3. Destination RBridge

Destination RBridge performs, frame identification methods specified in above section 5. If the Loopback message is addressed to the local RBridge, then the RBridge forward the Loopback messages to the CPU for processing. CPU performs frame validation and constructs the response as stated below.

Construct the IP header for the ICMP echo response. If no out-of-band response requested, IP address in the IP header MUST be in-band IP address. If out-of-band response requested destination IP address is the IP address specified in the request message. Source IP address is derived based on the outgoing IP interface address.

Construct the ICMP echo reply header using the received ICMP echo request.

Include the received TRILL header and diagnostic payload in to the data field of the ICMP echo request frame [section 4.2.].
If in-band response was requested, dispatch the frame to the TRILL data plane with request-originator RBRidge nickname as the egress RBridge nickname.

If out-of-band response was requested, dispatch the frame to the standard IP forwarding process.

Error handling:

If VLAN cross connect error detected or inner.VLAN does not exist in the RBridge then generate Destination Unreachable message and specify the cause using error codes.

9.2. Path Trace Message

Primary use of Path Trace Message, commonly known in the IP world as "traceroute", is fault isolation. It may also be used for plotting path taken from a given RBridge to another RBridge. Operation of Path Trace message is identical to Loopback message except, that it is first transmitted with a TRILL Hop count field value of 1.

Sending RBridge expect a Time Expiry message from the next hop or a successful response. If a Time Expiry message is received as the response, the originator RBridge record the information received from intermediate node that generated the Time Expiry message and resend the message by incrementing the previous Hope count value by 1. This process is continued until, a successful response is received from the destination RBridge or Path Trace process timeout occur.

9.2.1. Theory of Operation

9.2.1.1. Originator RBridge

Identify the destination RBridge based on user specification or based on location of the specified address (see below sections for MAC discovery and address locator).

Construct the diagnostic payload based on user specified parameters. Default parameters MUST be utilized for unspecified payload parameters. See Figure 4 for default parameters.

Construct the ICMP Echo request header. Assign applicable identification number and sequence number for the request.

ICMP multi part extension Version MUST be included and set appropriate flags. Set the code to Path Trace Request (2)
Construct following ICMP multipart extensions, where applicable:

- Out-of-band response request
- Out-of-band IP address
- Diagnostic VLAN

Specify the Hope Count of the TRILL data frame as 1 for the first frame. Or use Hope Count value incremented by 1 if this is a retransmission generated in response to received Time Expiry message.

Dispatch the diagnostic frame to the TRILL data plane for transmission.

RBridge may continue to retransmit, the request at periodic interval, until a response received or re-transmission count expires. At each new re-transmission sequence number may be incremented.

9.2.1.2. Intermediate RBridge

Intermediate RBridge receive the diagnostic frame as Hope count expired frame. Based on flow encoding methods explained in above section 5, RBridge identify TRILL data plane diagnostic frames from actual data frames with Hope count expiry. Hop count time expiry messages may be generated for actual data frames as well. However, Hop count expiry message for actual data frames are always sent in-band, as actual payload does not have methods to specify the response delivery method.

CPU of intermediate RBridge that receives OAM frame with Hope count expiry performs following:

Identify whether in-band or out of band response requested. Construct the IP header accordingly.

Construct the ICMP Time Expiry message as specified in RFC 792 and RFC 4884. RFC 4884 specifies format of ICMP header when including ICMP multipart messages.

Include original TRILL header and diagnostic payload of the original frame as data for ICMP Time Expiry message. Update the length field to reflect the size of the TRILL header and diagnostic payload.
Include following ICMP multipart extensions

Version
Set the code to Path Trace Response (3)
Nickname of the RBridge
Information of the ingress interface (speed, state, slot, port)
Index of the interface where frame was received
Nickname of the upstream RBridge the frame was received
Downstream ecmp count
List of Downstream RBridges (nickname, interface index and interface information)
Downstream path this specific payload take { RBridge nickname, interface index and interface information}

Optionally include following ICMP multipart extensions

If VLAN cross connect error detected, set C flag (Cross connect error detected) in the version.
If in-band response was requested or the message was generated due to actual data frame, dispatch the frame to the TRILL data plane with request-originator nickname as the egress RBridge nickname.
If out-of-band response was requested, dispatch the frame to the standard IP forwarding process.

9.2.1.3. Destination RBridge
Processing is identical to section 8.1.1.3

9.3. Multicast Tree Verification (MTV) Message
Multicast Tree Verification messages allow verifying multicast tree integrity and Multicast address pruning. IGMP snooping is widely deployed in Layer 2 networks for restricting forwarding of multicast traffic to unwanted destinations. This is accomplished by pruning the multicast tree such that for specified (S,G,VLAN) or (*,G,VLAN), only required destinations are included in the outgoing interface list. It is possible due to timing or implementation defects,
inaccurate pruning of multicast tree, may occur. Such events lead to incorrect multicast connectivity. Multicast tree verification and Multicast group verification messages are design to detect such multicast connectivity defects. Additionally, these tools can be used for plotting a given multicast tree within the TRILL network.

Multicast tree verification OAM frames are copied to the CPU of every intermediate RBridge that are part of the Multicast tree being verified. Originator of the Multicast Tree verification message, specify the scope of RBridges that a response is required. Only, the RBridges listed in the scope field response to the request. Other RBridges silently discard the request. Definition of scope parameter is required to prevent receiving large number of responses. Typical scenario of multicast tree verification or group verification involves verifying multicast connectivity to selected set of end-nodes as opposed to the entire network. Availability of the scope, facilitate narrowing down the focus only to the interested RBridges.

Implementations MAY choose to rate limit CPU bound multicast traffic. As result of rate limiting or due to other congestion conditions, time to time, MTV messages may be discarded by the intermediate RBridges and requester may be required to retransmit the request. Implementations SHOULD narrow the embedded scope of retransmission request only to RBridges that has failed to respond.

9.3.1. Theory of Operation

9.3.1.1. Originator RBridge

User is required at minimum to specify either the multicast trees that needed to be verified or Multicast MAC address and VLAN or VLAN and Multicast destination IP address. Alternatively, for more specific multicast flow verification, user MAY specify more information e.g. source MAC address, VLAN, Destination and Source IP addresses. Implementation, at minimum, must allow user to specify, choice of multicast trees, Destination Multicast MAC address and VLAN that needed to be verified. Although, it is not mandatory, it is highly desired to provide option to specify the scope.

Default parameters MUST be used for unspecified parameters. Please refer to Figure 5 for default payload parameters for MTV message.

Based on user specified parameters, originating RBridge identify the nickname that represent the multicast tree.

Obtain the applicable Hop count value for the selected multicast tree.
Construct the diagnostic payload based on user specified parameters. For overall multicast tree verification message only multicast tree is specified as input. For generic multicast group verification, additional information such as group address is specified. Based on user provided parameters, implementation SHOULD identify whether the request is for overall multicast tree verification or for specific group verification.

For overall multicast tree verification, use well known multicast destination MAC address (TBD_GMAC-1) defined in above section 6.3.1. as the inner destination MAC address of the TRILL frame. Remaining parameters are derived based on default values specified in Figure 5.

Construct ICMP echo request message header and include sequence number and identifier. Identifier and sequence number facilitate the originator to map the response to the correct request.

Version ICMP multipart extension MUST be included.

Code MUST be specified as Multicast Tree Verification Request (7)

Optionally, include following ICMP multipart extensions, where applicable

- Out-of-band response request
- Out-of-band IP address
- Diagnostic VLAN
- In scope RBridge list.

  - NOTE: Nu field in ICMP extension RBridge scope (section 8.1.) MUST be set to zero, if response required from all RBridges.

Specify the Hop count of the TRILL data frame per user specification. Or utilize the applicable Hop count value, if TRILL Hop count is not being specified by the user.

Dispatch the diagnostic frame to the TRILL data plane for transmission.

RBridge may continue to retransmit, the request at a periodic interval, until a response received or re-transmission count expires. At each new re-transmission sequence number may be
incremented. At each re-transmission, RBRidge may further reduce the
scope to the RBRidges it has not received a response.

9.3.1.2. Intermediate RBridge

Intermediate RBRidges identify multicast verification frames per the
procedure explained in section 6.3.

CPU of the RBridge validate the frame and analyze the scope RBridge
list. If the local RBridge nickname is not specified in the scope
list, it will silently discard the frame. If the local RBridge is
specified in the scope list, RBridge proceed to 9.3.1.3 for further
processing.

9.3.1.3. In scope RBRidges

RBridge go through following processing, upon identifying that it’s
nickname is specified in the scope RBridge list.

Identify whether in-band or out of band response requested.
Construct the IP header accordingly.

Construct the ICMP echo response message as specified in RFC 792.

Include TRILL header and diagnostic payload of the received OAM
message as data of the ICMP response message.

Include following ICMP multipart extensions

Version, update the code as Multicast Tree Verification Response (8)

Nickname of the RBridge

Name of the ingress interface frame was received

Interface index where frame was received

Nickname of the upstream RBRidge the frame was received

Downstream leaf node count

Leaf RBRidge list {RBridge nickname, interface index and interface
name}
Optionally, if VLAN cross connect error detected, then set C flag (cross connect error) in the versions extension.

If in-band response was requested, dispatch the frame to the TRILL data plane with request-originator RBRidge nickname as the egress nickname.

If out-of-band response was requested, dispatch the frame to the standard IP forwarding process.

Error Handling:

RBridge MUST generate applicable notification messages if any error such as inner VLAN not available, detected against the OAM message.

9.4. MAC address discovery Message

MAC address discovery message is defined to discover following information

- RBridge nickname where the MAC address is learnt
- Interface Index and Name on which the MAC address is learnt
- Type (i.e. Static, Dynamic, Secure etc.)
- Age of the MAC address
- Virtual Interface Tag (vNTAG)
- Interface Type (Legacy or TRILL Shared)
- DRB on the VLAN (If Applicable)
- AF for the VLAN (If Applicable)
- Time AF operational (If Applicable)

Optionally, an implementation may include the following information

- System MAC address of the device connected to the port with which the MAC address is associated.
- System information, such as name, IP address and location of the device connected to the port with which the MAC address is associated.
9.4.1. Theory of Operation

There are two possible options to implement MAC address discovery. Either we may define a new MAC-discovery ISIS sub-TLV and use ESADI to propagate the request (similar to the MAC-Reachability TLV [RFC6165]) or we may use multicast tree verification message and include an ICMP multipart extension to indicate that the message is a MAC discovery message.

Using the ISIS based method has disadvantage of being non real time and subjected to protocol delays. The second method above is independent of any control plane protocol implementation and can be exercised in real-time. Hence, in this document, we propose to utilize second method.

9.4.1.1. Originator RBridge

Use the well known Multicast MAC address described in section 6.3.1. above as the inner destination MAC address of the diagnostic payload. Use the applicable source MAC address and VLAN. Use the diagnostic EthType defined earlier as the EthType. Pad the remainder of the diagnostic payload with zero.

Construct ICMP echo request message and include sequence number and identifier. The sequence number and identifier facilitate the originator to map the response to the correct request.

Construct following ICMP multipart extensions

- Version
- Set the OAM code to the MAC address discovery request (9)
- Indicate that this is a MAC discovery message
- One or more MAC address to be discovered
- VLAN ID of MAC addresses (optional)
- Out-of-band response request (optional)
9.4.1.2. Receiving RBridges

CPU of Intermediate RBridges receives a copy of the MAC discovery frame through methods explained in section 6.3.2. and 6.3.1.

Receiving in scope RBridges analyze the embedded ICMP multipart extensions to identify whether the request is for MAC discovery.

If the request is for MAC discovery, then the receiving RBridge queries its forwarding database to identify, whether requested MAC address is present with specified VLAN information.

The receiving RBridge generate responses only for identified MAC entries. If there are no matching MAC entries, the receiving RBridge silently discards the MAC discovery request.

If a matching MAC address is found, the receiving RBridge generates a Destination unreachable ICMP message (Type = 3) and code = 12, "Destination host unreachable for type of service". This essentially indicates, it has found the MAC address but has reached the end of the TRILL network where the MAC address is located.
RFC 4884 allow extension of ICMP messages. Only ICMP messages Destination Unreachable, Time Expired and Parameter Problem are currently extensible in RFC 4884 compliant manner. Other messages are only extensible for known payload size and considered non compliant to RFC 4884. For MAC discovery messages there is no requirement to include original data payload. Also response to MAC discovery can contain large amount of MAC address information. Hence, we conclude to utilize Destination unreachable message as opposed to using an ICMP echo response with fixed pay load size.

The receiving RBridge constructs the response as follows:

Construct the IP header based on the requested response type, in-band or out-of-band. For an in-band response, use RBridge in-band IP address. For an out-of-band response, use the provided egress RBridge out-of-band address.

Construct the ICMP Destination Unreachable message per section 4.1 of RFC 4884. Specify, ICMP type=3 and code = 12. Specify the length as zero. (i.e, no data included and ICMP extensions directly follow).

Include the following ICMP multi part extensions;

- nickname of this RBridge. (This is required in the event of out - of-band response to identify the originating RBridge nickname)

  Version

  Code, set to MAC address discovery response (10)

Additionally, include the following ICMP multipart extensions, for each MAC address that was specified in the request and is present in the RBridge forwarding DB:

- Interface Index and Interface Information (Speed,Slot,Port,State) on which MAC address learnt
- Type (i.e. static, Dynamic, Secure etc.)
- Age of the MAC address
- Virtual Interface Identification (vNTAG)
- Interface Type (Legacy or Trill Shared)
- DRB on the VLAN (If Applicable)
9. Address-Binding Verification Message

Virtual machine provisioning is a very common practice in data centers and enterprises. It is normal for virtual machines to move from one physical machine to another physical machine. As a result ARP tables on gateways can be stale and network operators may need to resort to multiple tools to identify the location of a given IP address that is being diagnosed for connectivity. Even if the location of the server that host the given IP address is identified using other tools, additional steps may be required to further identify the RBridge that interface with the physical server.

It is important to have set of tools that allow an operator to quickly and easily identify the physical MAC address associated with a given IP address, or IP addresses associated with a given physical
MAC address. Additionally, it may be required to identify the RBridge that connects to the given IP address. In this section, we present methods to identify MAC address to IP addresses or IP address to MAC address bindings.

Address binding tools presented here need to be exercised from either a router or an RBridge that has IP services enabled on a given VLAN.

There are two different address binding resolutions required:

1. MAC address to IP addresses binding
2. IP address to MAC address binding.

We propose to use invARP [RFC 2390] to resolve MAC address to IP address(es) binding and ARP [RFC 826] to resolve IP address to MAC binding information. It is possible a given physical server to host multiple virtual machines (i.e. IP Addresses). Hence, it is expected to receive one or more responses, to an invARP request. However, invARP in its current form is incapable of identifying whether a single multi-homed host or multiple virtual hosts. At the time of RFC 2390 and original ARP standard RFC 892 were written, virtual machine concept did not exist. Hence, these protocols in its current form do not include virtual machine identifiers such as vNTAGs. This lapse of identification of virtual machines, make troubleshooting of large virtual machine networks, with dynamic server allocation, very difficult. Hence, we propose to extend, ARP [RFC 892] and invARP [RFC 2390], protocol to carry, virtual machine identification tags.

Upon discovery of MAC address or identification that a given MAC address is associated with a valid IP addresses, user may employ the locator utilities listed in section 9.6. to identify the corresponding RBridge and associated interface information. Alternatively, implementation may support ARP response snooping with extension explained in 9.5.1 to encode RBridge and location information into ARP or invARP responses.

9.5.1. Extension to ARP and invARP

RFC 2390 presents methods to discover protocol address associated with a given hardware address. In this section we propose methods to extend RFC 2390 and RFC 892 to encode additional virtual interface tag information and device information that may facilitate identifying physical machine locations.
It is important the extensions proposed in the standard are transparent to current implementations.

Figure 27, below, depicts the format of an ARP/invARP frame with the proposed extensions embedded.

ARP frame as defined in RFC 892 and RFC 2390 has a fixed structure and include only the length fields for addresses. Implementations index in to these fix address fields and do not check the total length of the response frame as part of validation. Hence, we propose to include the extensions at the end after the target protocol address. Implementations that do not support the new extensions will safely ignore these values.

We expect additional identification information carried in ARP and invARP to be limited. Furthermore, these, identification information have compact and deterministic size. Hence, we propose not to use explicit, length identification field, instead derive the length of the value field implicitly, based on the class and class types defined below. ARP and invARP follow identical encoding structures.
9.5.1.1. Encoding ARP-invARP extensions

ARP Extension encoding structure and proposed extensions are presented in this section. We propose a compact structure for ARP encoding. In Figure 27 "Class" identifies the Object Class and the "Class Type" (c-Type) within the class identify specific data element within the object class. C-Type implicitly indicates the size of the object. The encoded object size MUST NOT exceed the implied size of the corresponding Class and c-Type.
Class : (1 octet). Define to identify the Object Class.

C-Type : (1 octet). Define Object type within Object class.

Object : (Variable octet, depends on the Class and C-Types)

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>C-Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>vNTAG</td>
<td>vNTAG of the interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RBridge</td>
<td>TRILL RBridge nickname</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>ifindex</td>
<td>ifindex of RBridge interface ARP response arrived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Slot</td>
<td>Slot id of RBridge interface ARP response arrived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Port</td>
<td>Port id of RBridge interface ARP response arrived</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
---

Figure 27 Encoding of ARP Extensions

Figure 28, above, presents Class, C-Type and application definitions. vNTAG, rBridge, Slot and Port are each 2 octets in length. The length of ifindex is 4 octets. All of the above extensions are optional. vNTAG is inserted by the end station that is responding to the ARP request. All other fields are inserted by the TRILL RBridge that interface with the end-station and implement ARP response snooping. ARP response snooping is similar to Dynamic ARP inspections, implemented by many major vendors. Dynamic ARP inspection validates the Source IP address of ARP response against known IP addresses to prevent ARP cache poisoning by rogue stations. ARP response snooping, on the other hand, intercepts ARP response frames and inserts required fields as defined.
in this standard. Implementations may extend the dynamic ARP inspection framework to implement ARP response snooping.

In the interim, most end stations and servers may not insert the proposed vNTAG information. Hence, optionally, ARP response snooping, process on TRILL RBridge, MAY insert vNTAG information on behalf of the end station or server.

9.6. End-Station Attachment Point Discovery

In traditional deployments, end stations and servers were relatively static in their locations. As a result localizing a fault was relatively easier.

The virtual machine concept is an increasing trend in Datacenter and large enterprises. Dynamic load balancing policies of Virtual infrastructure, based on various load balancing policies, move virtual machines between different physical servers. This dynamic motion of virtual machines causes difficulty in associating a given virtual server to a RBridge. As a result, localizing a fault is a difficult task and requires use of multiple applications. Some virtual machine deployments utilize a single MAC address to represent all the virtual servers in a single physical server. Hence, it is important, to identify both the physical attachment point and the virtual segment information, such as VLAN and Virtual Tags.

ARP/invARP extensions presented above facilitate discovery of the attachment information, however, some implementation may face scaling issues due to the large number of ARP requests. An alternative method is presented below.

The End-Station attachment Point Discovery methods presented here, allow discovering, RBridge, interface information, VLAN, virtual Tags, etc, associated with a given IP Address.

The End-Station attachment Point Discovery is a two step process. However implementations may present a single user interface that combines both the steps.

Step 1: Utilize ARP to discover the MAC address associated with the specified IP address. Identify the ingress RBridge nickname by analyzing the TRILL header and identify the VLAN information based on the inner VLAN.

Step 2: Utilize MAC discovery methods explained above to discover, interface and virtual Tag information associated with the MAC
address discovered in above Step 1. Implementation SHOULD narrow the scope of the MAC discovery to include only the RBridge and VLAN discovered in step 1.

9.7. DRB and AF Discovery

The TRILL Base Protocol standard [RFC 6325] specifies support for multi-access legacy network and shared segments between TRILL and legacy devices. Legacy networks ensure loop free forwarding via the IEEE 802.1D (Spanning Tree) protocol. RFC 6325 and RFC 6327 specify loop prevention methods in mixed environments where the TRILL network borders with a legacy multi-access network. RFC 6325 also provide methods for load splitting of native traffic in to the TRILL network. These are accomplished by having a single Designated RBridge (DRB) for a given LAN segment which designs an Appointed Forwarder (AF) for each VLAN on the segment to ingress and egress traffic originating and destined to and from the legacy network.

Based on network dynamics, configurations, and failures, DRB and/or AF designation may change from time to time. Hence, discovery of DRB and AF is very important to effectively troubleshoot network connectivity problems that involve TRILL and legacy networks connected via non P2P TRILL interfaces.

DRB-AF discovery message has three variations.

1. All DRB discovery
2. All AF discovery
3. VLAN, AF discovery

Above messages are identified with a unique TRILL OAM message code (section 8.).

DRB-AF discovery messages allow for identifying the following parameters:

- Nickname of the DRB
- STP Root Bridge identifier
- Up time of AF (if responder is the AF)
- Up time of DRB (if Responder is DRB)
- Enabled VLAN List
9.7.1. Theory of Operation

DRB-AF discovery message utilize same addressing and format as the MAC discovery message (Section 9.4.)

9.7.1.1. Originator RBridge

Follow the steps specified in section 9.4.1.1., with the following exceptions

Specify the message as one of the DRB-AF messages.

If the message is VLAN,AF discovery message, then include the interest VLAN list.

9.7.1.2. Receiving RBridge

Follow the processing steps specified in section 9.4.1.2. with the following exceptions:

If RBridge is in the scope list or All-RBridge scope is specified, then the RBridge processes the message as follows:

If the message is DRB discovery message then the receiving RBridge include the following information:

- Response code set to DRB discovery response (12)
- Nickname of the DRB
- Nickname of AF of the specified VLAN
- STP Root Bridge identifier
- DRB Life time
- Enabled VLAN List
- Announcing VLAN List
- DRB State
- Pseudo Node bypass
- Number of times Designated VLAN change
- AF List (nickname, start VLAN, end VLAN)

If the message is an AF discovery or VLAN, AF discovery message, then the receiving RBridge first validate whether the RBbridge is the AF for the specified VLAN list and include following information:

- Response code set AF discover response (14) or AF-VLAN discover response (16)
- Nickname of the DRB
- Nickname of AF of the specified VLAN or AF VLAN-List if VLAN is not specified.
- STP Root Bridge identifier
- AF Life time (i.e. How long has been AF)
- Enabled VLAN List
- Announcing VLAN List
- AF State
- Number of times Designated VLAN change

If RBridge is not the AF for specified VLAN then include ERROR code Not AF (4) (see Figure 23).
If RBridge is AF for only a subset of VLANs specified in the request then include WARNING "AF VLAN list Mismatch" (3) and include the VLAN list that the RBridge is functioning as AF. (Figure 24)

9.8. Notification Messages

Notification messages are generated either due to regular TRILL data frames or TRILL OAM frames. Implementation MUST not generate notification messages on notification messages.

There are 3 types of Notification messages:

- Time Expiry
- Destination Unreachable
- Parameter Problem

Within these Notification messages, error, warning and information ICMP extensions may be included to identify the details of the notification message. Section 4.3. above covers details of encoding Notification messages, section 8.1. covers ICMP extensions.

Time expiry messages are generated when TRILL hope-count field reach to zero. If applicable, it may contain additional error, warning or information extensions.

Destination unreachable notification may be generated for following scenarios; additional scenarios may be added later.

- Egress RBridge nickname unknown
- Inner VLAN does not exist or suspended
- Not the AF for inner VLAN

Parameter Problem notification may be generated for following scenarios; additional scenarios may be added later.

- Invalid RBridge nickname (RBridge nickname is one of the reserved 0xFFC0 - 0xFFFF)
- MTU mismatch
- Invalid VLAN (Reserved VLANs)
- Interface state is not forwarding

10. Monitoring and Reporting

Proactive identification of data plane failures are important part of maintaining Service Level Agreements (SLA). In traditional Layer
2, networks, there is only a single active path to monitor and both multicast and unicast traffic follow identical paths. With TRILL, there are multiple active paths and unicast and multicast traffic take potentially different paths, depending on the flow parameters.

TRILL deployment in a typical data center may have 10’s of 1000 of links and 100’s of R Bridges. In such an environment, there may be large number of active paths between two end points. As an example, assume a topology with 4 R Bridges connected serially with 32 ECMP links at each hop. In the stated example topology, there are 32x32x32=32768 possible paths. Monitoring all of the possible path combinations is not scalable. However, skipping some combination of paths leads to reduced coverage and hence reduced effectiveness of monitoring data. Even if one was brave enough to monitor all of the links, analyzing and diagnosing a problem is quite cumbersome due to the large amount of data. In other words, there must be methods to scale the problem and present information in a more concise manner that is still effective.

In this document we propose to use the "region" concept to partition the network into logical sections. Regions are monitored independently. Detailed sets of monitoring data are distributed throughout the region. A summary set of monitoring data is distributed throughout the network. Network operators can obtain a network health snapshot of the entire network from any R Bridge in the network. Detailed health report of a given region can be obtained from any R Bridge in the region.

An R Bridge associate itself with a region through its interfaces. A given interface can belong to one and only one region. An R Bridge can have multiple interfaces belonging to different regions. Each R Bridge is responsible for collecting monitoring data, organizing the data in to regions and advertising the data to its peers. Please see section 10.2, Advertising Policy for details.

In theory a network topology can be any arbitrary graph. In practice, however, it is some set of sub-graphs repeating to construct the overall topology. Each sub-graph or set of sub-graphs can be considered a region for monitoring purpose. The manner in which regions are partitioned is an administrative choice such that;

1. Maximize the fault coverage.
2. Optimize network health data summarization.

As an example consider a typical datacenter topology depicted in Figure 10. Typical datacenter may have multiple Points of
Demarcation (POD)s connected with an aggregation layer. A POD can be considered as a region and may be individually monitored.

![Diagram](image.png)

Figure 29  Example of "regions"

10.1. Data categories

There are 3 categories of monitoring data. They are, Summary Category, Detail Category and Vendor Specific Category. The Summary and Detail categories are mandatory. That is, every RBridge that is compliant to this standard and support Monitoring, MUST support all the elements defined under the Summary and Detail categories. The Vendor specific Category is optional. Vendor specific data elements are only available within the region. An RBridge that does not understand the Vendor specific data elements forward them to neighboring RBridges per Advertising Policies define in section 10.2. Individual data elements and structure of encoding Summary, Detail and Vendor specific categories are presented in sections 10.3. - 10.5. .
10.2. Advertising Policy

Each RBridge is responsible for advertising monitoring data to the OAM capable neighbors.

Different interfaces on an RBridge can belong to different regions. However a given interface can belong to one and only one region. As a result a given RBridge may receive data from multiple regions. Each RBridge is responsible for advertising proper data categories over a given interface to the neighbor.

Rule 1: No monitoring data are distributed:
- On legacy interfaces
- To neighbors not OAM capable
- When ISIS state is not 2-way
- When monitoring data advertisement is disabled

Rule 2: Distribution of Summary category data:
- Distribute on all OAM capable interfaces
- Do not distribute summary data element of a region back to the originating region. (i.e. do not distribute on to interfaces that have the same region name as the data element)
- Summary data for local region is derived from Detail data. (local summary data is never advertised into the local region per the above rule. However, it is advertised out to other regions the RBridge has interfaces in to)

Rule 3: Distribution of Detail category
- Distributed on OAM capable interfaces
- Region of the data element and region of the interface must match for propagating a data element over an interface (i.e. Do not advertise to other regions)
- Do not advertise data element back in to the originator RBridge.
Each RBridge distribute data at periodic intervals. Each RBridge collects data it has received, analyzes them and redistribute according to the rules specified above. The distribution interval should be appropriately adjusted to not overload ISIS routing operations.

Then Monitoring application is responsible for maintaining the Application specific LSP. We propose to use Generic Application Encoding methods explained in [GenAPP] for distributing Monitoring data. TRILL operates in ISIS Level-1 layer, hence S,D flags defined in [GenAPP] MUST be set to zero.

We propose to obtain specific Application ID [GenAPP][RFC5226] from IANA for the purpose of registering TRILL Monitoring data distribution.

Within the Application ID, context, a series of sub-TLV are defined to carry specified information.

10.3. Summary Category

Then following individual data elements are defined within the summary category.

- Name of the region
- Total number of RBridges in the regions
- Total number of TRILL enabled ports in the region
- Percentage of TRILL enabled ports down
- Percentage of TRILL enabled ports oversubscribed
- Maximum number of paths in the largest ECMP in the region

Then following structure encodes each of the data elements within the summary category.
Figure 30  Encoding Summary Category Data

subType : (2 octets) is always 1 for summary category

Region-ID : (4 octets) is unsigned 32 bit integer identifier of the region

L : (1 octet), length of the subsequent field

Region Name : '\0' terminated ASCII string of region name of variable size to maximum of 255 octets.

#Rbridge: (2 octets), number of RBridges in the region

#Ports: (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports available on this RBridge

#Up Ports: (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports that are operationally up.

#OSPorts : (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports that are oversubscribed.
#ErrPorts : (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports that are indicating errors.

#DwnPorts : (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports that are operationally down.

#ECMP : (2 octets) Maximum number of ECMP as seen by this region ISIS routing table.

10.4. Detail Category

Following data elements MUST be present within the detail category.

- Name of the region
- Name of the RBridge
- RBridge up time
- Total number of neighbors
- Total number of TRILL enabled ports in the RBridge
- Total number of TRILL enabled ports Up
- Total number of TRILL enabled ports oversubscribed
- Total number of TRILL enabled ports observing errors
- Maximum number of links in the largest ECMP of the switch
- Port data: Name of each TRILL enabled Port and Port state (Up, oversubscribed, error) and interface index.
- Adjacency Matrix
  - List of (neighbor RBridge nickname and interface index of ports connecting to the neighbor RBridge).
  - NOTE: Interface index in the Adjacency matrix is used as key in to port data to obtain Port name and state.
### Figure 31  Encoding Detail Category Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subType</th>
<th>2 octets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region-ID</td>
<td>4 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.---+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.   Region Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UpTime</td>
<td>8 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Ports</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Up Ports</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#OsubPort</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#ErrPorts</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#ECMP</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#DwnPorts</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtype-2</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.   Port Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtype-3</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.   Adjacency Matrix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**subType**: (2 octets) always 2 for Detail category

**RBridge**: (2 octets) TRILL RBridge nickname [RFCtrill]
Region-ID : (4 octets) unsigned 32 bit integer identifier of the region

L     : ( 1 octet), length of the subsequent field

Region Name : ‘\0’ terminated ASCII string of region name of variable size to maximum of 255 octets.

Up Time: (8 octets), number of seconds RBridge has been operational. If an RBridge reaches maximum count, it MUST NOT rollover.

#Ports: (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports available on this RBridge

#Up Ports: (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports that are operationally up.

#OSPorts : (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports that are oversubscribed.

#ErrPorts : (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports that are indicating errors.

#DwnPorts : (2 octets) Total number of TRILL enabled ports that are operationally down.

#ECMP : (2 octets) Maximum number of ECMP as seen by this RBridge ISIS routing table.

subtype-2: (2 octets): Set to 3. Following this sub type is the variable length Port Data. See below for details

subtype-3: (2 octets): Set to 4. Following this sub type is the variable length Adjacency Matrix. See below for details
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subType</th>
<th>2 octets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtype-p</td>
<td>2 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ifindex</td>
<td>4 octets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot</td>
<td>Port</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 32  Encoding Port data

subType : (2 octets) Set to 3 for Port Data

RBridge: (2 octets) TRILL RBridge nickname [RFCtrill]

Region-ID : (4 octets) unsigned 32 bit integer identifier of the region

L     : ( 1 octet), length of the subsequent field in octets.

Region Name : ‘\0’ terminated ASCII string of region name of variable size to maximum of 255 octets.

F : (1 octet) Flag. When set, indicates this is the last Port data set from this node. It is possible Port data encoding to exceed MTU size due to large number of interfaces. The F flag allows to for advertising the information in multiple LSP packets.

subtype-p: (2 octets) set to 5 to indicate that this is a single Port entry within subtype 3. SubType 5 MUST always be embedded with subtype 3. Within subtype 3 there can be multiple subtype 5, one for each port entry.

Ifindex : (4 octets) 32 bit unsigned integer, used as key to port data advertised.

Slot (2 octets) : Slot number

Port (2 octets) : Port number
Speed  (2 octets) : Speed in 100Mbps. Zero (0) indicates port speeds less than 100Mbps.

State  (2 octets) : Represent the state of the port.

0: Down - no errors
1: Disable
2: Forwarding-no errors
3: Down - errors
4: Forwarding - errors
5: Forwarding - oversubscribed
6: Link Monitoring disable
All other values reserved.

+----------+
| subType  |            2 octets
+----------+
| RBridge  |
+----------+
| F        |            1 octets
+----------+
| subtype-a|            2 octets
+----------+
| nrBridge |            2 octets
+----------+
| #ports   |            2 octets
+----------+
| ifindex  |            4 octets
+----------+

Figure 33  Encoding Adjacency Matrix

subType : (2 octets) set to 4 for Adjacency Matrix

RBridge: (2 octets) TRILL RBridge nickname [RFC3807]

RegionID : (4 octets) unsigned 32 bit integer identifier of the region

L      : ( 1 octet), length of the region name in octets
Region Name : ‘\0’ terminated ASCII string of region name of variable size to a maximum of 255 octets.

F : (1 octet) Flag. When set, indicates this is the last Port data set from this node. It is possible Port data encoding to exceed MTU size due to large number of interfaces. The F flag allows to for advertising the information in multiple LSP packets.

subtype-a: (2 octets) set to 6 to indicates a single adjacency entry within subtype 4. SubType 6 MUST always be embedded with subtype 4. Within subtype 4, there can be multiple subtype 6, one for each adjacency.

nrBRIDGE : (2 octets), nickname of the next hop RBridge

#ports : (2 octets), total number of parallel links from RBridge to nrBRIDGE

Ifindex : (4 octets) 32 bit unsigned integer, used as key to port data advertised.

10.5. Vendor Specific Category

Vendors may specify additional data elements to be distributed as part of the monitoring data suite. All vendor specific data elements MUST contain the regions name and follow the structure defined below.
Figure 34  Encoding Vendor specific category Data

subType : (2 octets) set to 250 for Vendor specific category

RBridge: (2 octets) TRILL RBridge nickname [RFC3927]

RegionID : (4 octets) unsigned 32 bit integer identifier of the region

L     : ( 1 octet), length of the region name in octets

Region Name : ’\0’ terminated ASCII string of region name of variable size to maximum of 255 octets.

Vendor OUI : 3 octets of IEEE vendor OUI. Right justified. Most significant octet in network byte order is set to zero and ignored on receipt.

Vendor specific information : variable size and vendor dependent.

11. Security Considerations

Security considerations are under investigation.
12. IANA Considerations

12.1. IANA considerations

Following IANA considerations are required

12.1.1. ICMP Extensions

Request IANA to assign new Class-Num for TRILL OAM ICMP extensions.

Request to form a sub-registry under ICMP extensions to include c-types defined in this document and allocate future requests. Currently c-types 1-20 are defined in section 8.1.

12.1.2. ARP Extensions

Request IANA to form a new registry to allocate ARP extensions defined in section 9.5.1. Class-Num allocated within ARP extensions are allocated by IANA on first come first serve basis. C-type within a given Class-Num are defined by owners of the Class-Num and sub-registry MUST be established within ARP extensions.

12.1.3. Well known Multicast MAC

Request IETF authority to allocate one of the TRILL allocated Multicast MAC address (01-80-C2-00-00-43 to 01-80-C2-00-00-4F) for the purpose.

12.2. IEEE Registration Authority Consideration

Well known unicast MAC address for the purpose of identifying OAM frames.

Well known unciast MAC address for the purpose of identifying certain OAM frames.

EthType <TBD> for the purpose of identifying OAM frames.
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Appendix A. Reports

A.1. Sample Reports

In this section we present sample reports of summary data and sample output of detail data.

A.2. Summary Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of switches</th>
<th>Max ECMP</th>
<th>Total# Of Ports</th>
<th>% of Up</th>
<th>% of Ports Oversubscribed</th>
<th>Err Ports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xxx</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yyy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A.3. Detail Report

Region Name : <xx>

Total Number of Switches in the region : 10
Total Number of Core Ports in the region : 16
Number of Operationally up Core Ports : 14
Number of Oversubscribed Core Ports : 2
Number of Error Core Ports : 0

Maximum Switch Up Time : 15days:8Hr:10M:0S
Minimum Switch Up Time : 0days:0Hr:1M:0S

Switch Adjacency Matrix:

(*) oversubscribed Links
(x) down Links
(?) error Links

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch</th>
<th>Next Hop switch</th>
<th>Interfaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>eth81, eth8/2(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>eth10/2(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>eth5/1 (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>eth5/2, eth7/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>eth4/1, eth4/2, eth3/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>eth3/2(x)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Unicast and multi-destination data frame may follow the different path in TRILL network. We need the ping and traceroute like applications for the connectivity testing and fault isolation on the multi-destination path in addition to the unicast path. This document specifies the format and handling of the new TRILL OAM protocol messages and TLVs which can be used for the multi-destination OAM.
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1. Introduction

When RBridges are deployed in a real network, a number of applications are necessary for error detection/reporting and diagnostic purpose. TRILL RBridge channel [RBridgeChannel] was designed for carrying the OAM relevant messages. [RBridgeOAM] has defined the ping and traceroute applications for unicast path and also the error reporting mechanisms.

Multi-destination data path in TRILL network has different characteristics from the unicast path. One or more distribution trees are formed for multi-destination traffic. RBridges advertise their interests in receiving the traffic of the specific VLANs. The distribution tree may or may not be pruned based on VLAN ID. Troubleshooting on the multi-destination path is a desirable feature of TRILL OAM. This document specifies the messages and mechanisms used by multi-destination OAM.

2. Conventions used in this document

The same terminology and acronyms are used in this document as in [RF6325].

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC-2119 [RFC2119].

3. Motivations

In an RBridge campus, unicast and multi-destination traffic may follow different paths between the same ingress and egress RBridges. [RBridgeOAM] specifies some OAM along unicast path. For diagnostic purposes it is also desirable to check the connectivity between two or more RBridges along a particular distribution tree.

There are various things we want to test for multi-destination path.

- Along a distribution tree, who are the leaf nodes of an inner VLAN? The leaf nodes here refer to the RBridges announcing the given inner VLAN as their interested VLAN in INT-VLAN sub-TLV. It is useful when we want to check if the configuration/provisioning are consistent with the design.

- Along a distribution tree, check the connectivity from the ingress RBridge to one or more leaf nodes of an inner vlan. It can be used as the first step in diagnosis when we suspect multi-destination data path to certain RBridge fails. Transit nodes do not decapsulate the
multi-destination data frame; therefore we do not think it is much of interest to check the connectivity to any non-leaf RBridges.

- Along a distribution tree, trace the multi-destination data path hop-by-hop to a target RBridge. It is useful when we want to find out where exactly is the failed hop.

This document specifies new messages and TLVs used by multi-destination OAM applications like multi-destination ping and traceroute. Processing of these messages is also discussed in the draft.

4. RBridge Channel Message Format

The RBridge Channel Header fields is as follows,

- CHV (Channel Header Version): zero.
- Channel Protocol: 0x006 (Echo in the Long Format) (TBD)
- Flags: The SL and NA bits SHOULD be zero, the MH bit SHOULD be one
- ERR: zero.

5. OAM Protocol Frame Format for Echo in the Long Format

The frame format is shown as follows. In the rest of this document, echo request and echo reply are brief ways to refer to the Echo Request in Long Format and Echo Reply in Long Format messages.
Sender’s Instance: An instance ID used by sender to associate the echo operation with different application instances, e.g. different Telnet sessions. Echo reply should return the value unchanged.

- **SPID:**
  1 - Echo Request in the Long Format
  2 - Echo Reply in the Long Format

- **Sequence Number:** An arbitrary 28-bit unsigned integer used to aid in matching reply messages to echo requests. It MAY be zero.
- **Reply Mode:** Default is 2. It can take one of the following values.
  1 - Do not reply. It can be used for one-way connectivity check. The receiving RBridge may perform monitoring and statistics collection on delay and/or jitter using one-way echo operation.
2 - Reply with Echo Reply in the Long Format and send back unicast in TRILL OAM channel. This value would be used by echo request in most cases.

- Flags: A bit vector with the following format. Currently only the H (Respond Only When Hop Count is Zero) flag is defined. In practice, we set H flag to be 0 for ping type applications and 1 for traceroute type applications of multi-destination OAM. With H flag set, it will help to prevent the duplicate echo replies from the same RBridge triggered by echo request with different hop count value in the same traceroute operation. H flag is only significant in echo request and MUST NOT be set in echo reply. The detailed processing based on the value of H flag is explained in section 7.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBZ</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- TimeStamp Sent: time-of-day (3 octets for seconds and 3 octets for microseconds) in NTP format that the echo request was sent according to the sender’s clock.

- TimeStamp Received: time-of-day (3 octets for seconds and 3 octets for microseconds) in NTP format that the corresponding echo request was received according to the receiver’s clock. This value is significant only in echo reply and MUST be set to all zeros in echo request and ignored on receipt of an echo request.

- TLVs: A set of type, length, value encoded fields as specified in next section.

6. TLV Encodings

6.1. Target RBridge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type = 0x05</td>
<td>Length = 2 + 2*n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Target RBridges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target RBridge Nickname 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target RBridge Nickname n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
o Number of Target RBridges: The number of nicknames specified in the following fields, the maximum number is 127.

o Target RBridge Nickname: The nickname of a Target RBridge.

This TLV MAY appear in an echo request. It SHOULD be copied back in the corresponding echo reply messages.

Target RBridge TLV is used by multi-destination OAM. For ping along the multi-destination path, the Target RBridge TLV with multiple nicknames MAY be included in echo request. It implies RBridges with any of the nicknames in the TLV should reply. While for traceroute like application, only a single nickname can be included in this TLV. If there was more than one nickname in the TLV, only the first nickname MUST be used as target nickname for tracing purpose.

When Target RBridge TLV is not included in an echo request, it implies the unspecified target. If an echo request with unspecified target was sent by ping like applications, then all leaf nodes in distribution tree pruned by the given inner VLAN SHOULD send back echo reply. If echo request with unspecified target was sent by traceroute like application, RBridges receiving the incoming frame with hop count value 1 would process the echo request and send back 'Hop Count is Zero' error notification.

6.2. Jitter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+-----------------------------------------------+-----------------+-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type = 0x07</td>
<td>Length = 0x02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-----------------------------------------------+-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitter time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-----------------------------------------------+-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

o Jitter time: Set to the upper bound of the jitter period in milliseconds. A responding node SHOULD wait a random amount of time between zero milliseconds and the value specified.

This TLV MAY appear in an Echo Request in the Long format. It SHOULD NOT be present in echo reply messages.
7. Processing Echo Messages for Multi-destination Path

7.1. Sending an echo request

The inner frame header and TRILL header fields are as follows:

- Inner.MacSA: MAC address of RBridge originating the echo request
- Inner.MacDA: Defaults to All-Egress-RBridges. It can be set to L2 multicast address derived from IP multicast group.
- Inner.VLAN ID: Defaults to 1. It can be any enabled VLAN ID on the ingress RBridge.
- Ingress RBridge Nickname: the nickname of RBridge originating the echo request
- Egress RBridge Nickname: the nickname of a distribution tree root
- M bit: 1
- Hop Count: defaults to maximum value 0x3F.

- For ping like applications, it can be any value which is believed to be no less than the number of hops from ingress RBridge to the most distant target RBridge in the tree.

- For traceroute like applications, hop count value starts from 1 and is increased by one for each sending of echo request.

H (Respond Only When Hop Count is Zero) flag in echo request is set to 1 for traceroute like applications and 0 for ping like applications.

The originating RBridge chooses the values of Sender’s Instance and Sequence Number for the echo request. Sequence number should be increased by 1 for each new subsequent echo request of the same Sender’s Instance. The Timestamp Sent is set to the time-of-day in NTP format [NTP] according to the sender’s clock. The Timestamp Received is set to zero.

The originating RBridge MAY use Target RBridge TLV to specify the target. For ping like applications, multiple nicknames MAY be present in one such TLV if sender wants to ping multiple targets at one time. For traceroute like applications, the TLV should at most contain one nickname as the tracing target. If there is more than one nickname, only the first one takes effect.
Echo request without Target RBridge TLV means the originating RBridge potentially wants to target every RBridge in the distribution tree. We also call it echo request with the unspecified target. For ping like applications, echo request with the unspecified target implies the sender wants to know who are the leaf nodes of the inner VLAN in the distribution tree. For traceroute like applications, it implies the sender wants to know the whole distribution tree structure hop-by-hop.

The Originating RBridge MAY include the Jitter TLV (see section 6.2) in the echo request in order to randomize the delay of the replying echo message from multiple RBridges.

7.2. Receiving an echo request

RBridge receiving an echo request with M bit set with EtherType of RBridge channel [RBridgeChannel] SHOULD replicate it to the control plane for processing and also forward it as normal multi-destination data frame. When a RBridge receives an incoming frame with hop count is 1 in TRILL header, it will not forward the frame further. If reply mode is 1, no echo reply is generated. For the sub sections below, we assume the reply mode is set to 2.

7.2.1. If H (Respond Only When Hop Count is Zero) Flag Is Not Set

- If Target RBridge TLV is not present in the echo request:

  All leaf nodes of the distribution tree in the inner VLAN MUST process the incoming echo request and send back echo reply.

- If Target RBridge TLV is present in the echo request:

  An RBridge owning any one of the specified target nicknames in the incoming echo request MUST send back echo reply when it is a leaf node of the distribution tree in the inner VLAN.

If echo reply has already been generated for the incoming echo request, RBridge will not generate 'Hop Count is Zero' error notification even when the hop count value in the incoming echo request is one.

Echo request with 'H' flag unset is for ping like application. It should be noted that if an RBridge receives an echo request with its own nickname listed as one of the targets, it does not send back the echo reply if the RBridge did not advertise its interest of inner
VLAN. That is to say, the connectivity check using ping in multi-destination path is constrained by inner VLAN. Normally VLAN 1 is the default VLAN and enabled on every RBridge. Therefore it is recommended to put inner VLAN to be 1 when we want to check the connectivity without the constraint of a particular customer VLAN. We may use the echo replies from that to plot the whole distribution tree.

7.2.2. If H (Respond Only When Hop Count is Zero) Flag Is Set

When hop count of the incoming echo request is not one, RBridge would never generate any echo reply or 'hop count iz zero' error notification.

If the hop count is one in the incoming echo request:

- If Target RBridge TLV is not present in the echo request:
  
  RBridge receiving the incoming frame with hop count equal to 1 MUST send back error notification of 'Hop Count is Zero'. R Bridges MUST not generate any echo reply in this case. If hop count in incoming echo request is more than 1, control plane will not do anything. RBridge forwards the frame as normal multi-destination TRILL frame in data plane.

- If Target RBridge TLV is present in the echo request:
  
  RBridges owning the only target nickname listed in TLV MUST send back echo reply if it is a leaf node of the inner VLAN in the distribution tree. If it is not a leaf node of the inner vlan, no echo reply will be generated by the owner RBridge; however, 'Hop Count is Zero' error notification will be sent back instead.

If an RBridge not owning the only target nickname listed in TLV receives the incoming frame with hop count equal to 1, it SHOULD check its LSDB. If it sits in-between of the ingress RBridge and the target RBridge along the specified distribution tree, RBridge MUST send back the error notification of 'Hop Count is Zero'; otherwise the RBridge should not generate such error notification. The purpose of suppressing the error notification here is to make sure the ingress only receives the error notification along the real data path and to reduce the processing burden at ingress.

If RBridge not owning the first target nickname listed in TLV receives the incoming frame with hop count greater than 1, the frame is forwarded as usual.
Echo request with 'H' flag set is for traceroute like applications. For traceroute with unspecified target, the ingress RBridge will be able to construct the whole distribution tree (when tree is not pruned) or the distribution tree of inner vlan (when tree is pruned by inner VLAN) according to the returned error notifications. For traceroute with a specified target in an inner VLAN, the ingress RBridge will receive the error notifications from the RBridges along the path to the target in the tree. If the target announced its interest of the inner VLAN, it will finally send back echo reply to the ingress. If the target did not announce its interest of the inner VLAN, either the target will not receive the echo request (e.g. it is located in the tree path being pruned) or the target will send back error notification of 'Hop Count is Zero' instead of echo reply.

7.3. Sending an echo reply

The inner frame header and TRILL header fields are as follows,

- **Inner.MacSA**: The MAC address of the RBridge generating the echo reply
- **Inner.MacDA**: All-Egress-RBridges
- **Inner.VLAN ID**: same as Inner.VLAN ID in the received echo request to which the echo reply responds
- **Ingress RB Nickname**: the nickname of the RBridge generating the echo reply.
- **Egress RB Nickname**: the ingress RBridge nickname in the corresponding received echo request
- **M bit**: 0
- **Hop Count**: defaults to the maximum value 0x3F. It can be any value that is believed to be larger than the number of hops from ingress to egress RBridge.

The values of Sender’s Instance, Sequence Number and Timestamp sent in an echo reply MUST be same as those in its corresponding echo request. H flag MUST be zero in echo reply. The value of Timestamp Received is set to the time-of-day in NTP format [NTP] according to the receiver’s clock.
If Target RBridge TLV was present in the echo request, the corresponding echo reply SHOULD copy it.

Next Hop Nickname and Incoming Port ID TLV [RBridgeOAM] MAY be included in echo reply.

When an echo reply is going to be sent to the originator RBridge, 'Hop Count is Zero' error notification MUST not be sent in response to the same echo request.

7.4. Receiving an echo reply

An RBridge SHOULD use the Sender’s Instance and Sequence Number to match up the received echo reply with the echo request it sent. If there is no match found, the RBridge should discard the echo reply.

If Jitter TLV was present in the echo request, the round trip time should not be calculated based on the difference between the arriving time of echo reply and the value of "TimeStamp sent" in the replying frame. However the single trip time is always correct to be calculated on Timestamp Received minus Timestamp Sent when the clocks of sender and receiver are synchronized.

When an RBridge receives either an echo reply or 'hop count is zero' error notification from the target RBridge for traceroute like application, it SHOULD stop sending echo request with increased hop count value.

8. Security Considerations

The security vulnerabilities raised in [RBridgeOAM] also apply to the multi-destination RBridge ping in this document. The same mechanisms can be used to prevent or alleviate the security issues.

9. IANA Considerations

New error notification sub-code needs to be allocated by IANA as specified in Section 7.
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1. Introduction

The IETF TRILL (Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links) standard [RFC6325] [RFC6326] provides optimal pair-wise data frame forwarding without configuration in multi-hop networks with arbitrary topology, and support for multipathing of both unicast and multicast traffic. TRILL enables a new method to construct a campus or data center network. Devices that implement TRILL are called RBridges.

This document describes the use cases of TRILL over an MPLS PW or VPLS, and introduces a new hierarchical L2VPN architecture that uses RBridges and IP/MPLS and documents the related configurations and references for the proper interworking.
The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Acronyms used in this document include the following:

AC - Attachment Circuit
CE - Customer Edge
IS-IS - Intermediate System to Intermediate System
MPLS - Multi-Protocol Label Switching
PE - Provider Edge
PPP - Point to Point Protocol
PW - Pseudo Wire
RBridge - Routing Bridge
TRILL - Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links
VPLS - Virtual Private LAN Service
VSI - Virtual Service Instance

2. Use Cases

RBridge campuses at different locations may interconnect by networks that are implemented with different technologies to form one RBridge campus. This section describes use cases assuming that IP/MPLS technology is available. From the MPLS network view, an RBridge device acts as a Customer Edge (CE) device and connects to PE via an attachment circuit (AC). RBridges [RFC6325] support both point-to-point links and multi-access links. Section 2.1 describes point-to-point link, i.e. TRILL over either Ethernet or PPP point-to-point link that is over an MPLS network. Section 2.2 describes TRILL over a bridged LAN that is implemented by MPLS/VPLS. Section 2.3 introduces a new hierarchical L2VPN solution that uses the RBridges and MPLS tiered architecture.

2.1. Point-To-Point Interconnection

Two RBridges are interconnected by either Ethernet or PPP link that is over a MPLS network. A Pseudo wire (PW) is configured between a
pair of PEs to provide part of the point-to-point link between two RBridges. Figure 1 illustrates this architecture. Each RBridge device connects to a PE via AC and acts as a CE device. MPLS PSN is bordered at the PEs. The TRILL link across the IP/MPLS PSN makes the left site and right site into one RBridge campus.

MPLS already supports many pseudo wire transport encapsulations. [RFC4446] Two types of TRILL links between RBridges have been standardized: Ethernet [RFC6325] and PPP [RFC6361]. PW encapsulations for these two interfaces are specified in [RFC4448] and [RFC4618], respectively. When an RBridge port connecting to AC is configured with a point-to-point Ethernet link type, two PEs can be configured as a PW with Ethernet encapsulation [RFC4448]. The PW between two PEs can be auto-configured [RFC4447] or manually configured; the two RBridges then appear directly interconnected with an Ethernet link. When an RBridge port connecting to AC is configured with the PPP link type, two PEs MUST be configured as a PW with PPP encapsulation. [RFC4618] After the PW is established between two PEs, the two RBridges then appear directly interconnected with a PPP link. The TRILL link is automatically configured over an Ethernet link or PPP link. The PW provides transparent transport between ACs.

Note: 1) For Ethernet link configuration, PE SHOULD use the Raw mode and non-service-delimiting, which provides a transparent transport.
2) The PPP link configuration will be more efficient than the Ethernet point-to-point configuration; it saves about 16 bytes per frame by replacing the TRILL Outer.MacDA, Outer.MacSA, Outer.VLAN, and outer Ethertype with a PPP code point. [RFC6361]

### Figure 1 P2P TRILL Link over IP/MPLS PSN Use Case I

An RBridge is a router and could support PE capabilities. As the networks converge, it is possible that one operator runs both an RBridge campus as well as the core MPLS network. Figure 2
illustrates this use case, in which RBridges are also MPLS PE enabled devices. The interworking between the RBridge network and the MPLS PSN is within the device. This has a similar architecture to MPLS/VPLS [RFC4762]. In this case, a virtual Ethernet interface is configured at the RBridge component; an Ethernet encapsulated PW is configured between two interfaces, which brings up an TRILL link between two RBridge components. The outer MAC address can be a local Ethernet address. In this case, the Campus RBridges run in the client layer and MPLS runs in the Server Layer; RB/PE devices support both client and server layer control plane and data plane functions.

Figure 2 P2P TRILL-Link over IP/MPLS PSN Use Case II

In both case I and II, the PE treats an RBridge as a generic CE and has no awareness of TRILL capability on the CE. Use case I enables the business models when the RBridge campus and Core MPLS may be operated by different operators or the same operator. In the case of different operators, the core MPLS operator can sell a VPWS service to RBridge operator. Use case II provides the model when the RBridge campus and the core network are operated by the same operator but use different technologies in each network.

Technically speaking, it is possible to create a specially designated TRILL encapsulated pseudo wire for point-to-point TRILL over MPLS. However, the authors think that this is not worth the effort because of available technologies as mentioned above, particularly the highly-efficient PPP link technology.

A PW may cross multiple MPLS domains.[RFC5659] In this case, RBridges connect to T-PEs and it works in the same way as a single domain. The PSN can provide transport resiliency for a PW. The dual homing (two ACs) can be used for AC protection. In this case, two
TRILL links are established; RBridge device perform load balance over two links.

2.2. Multi-Access Link Interconnection

Multiple RBridges may interconnect via an 802.1Q Bridged LAN that acts as a hub. The bridged LAN simply forwards on the outer Ethernet header of the TRILL frames. This configuration creates what appears to each connected RBridge as a multi-access link. In other words, each RBridge connecting to a bridged LAN has connectivity to every other RBridges connecting to the same LAN.

MPLS/VPLS can provide the same capability when multiple parts of an RBridge campus are interconnected over an IP/MPLS PSN and make each RBridge attaching to the VPLS to appear as having a multi-access TRILL link. Figure 3 shows the use of MPLS/VPLS for RBridge interconnection. One RBridge campus is split between three different sites. One VPLS instance is configured on three PEs and the PWs are configured for the VPLS instance. Each RBridge Site connects to the VSI on a PE via an AC (Ethernet Type). The VSI on a PE forwards TRILL frames based on the outer Ethernet header of the frames. Either BGP [RFC4761] or LDP [RFC4762] protocol can be used to automatically construct the VPLS instance on the PEs. A PE may connect to several different RBridge campuses that belong to different customers. Separated VPLS instances are configured for individual customers and customer traffic is completely isolated by VPLS instance. The PE treats an RBridge as a generic CE and has no awareness of TRILL.
The outer Ethernet MAC of TRILL frames may be either a next-hop RBridge MAC address (for unicast frames) or one of TRILL defined multicast addresses (ALL-IS-IS-RBridges and All-RBridges). [RFC6325]

The VSI at each PE learns the source MAC addresses on each VSI interface and forward the frame based on the destination MAC. For the multicast frames, the VSI replicates the frames to all PWs it associates. If a VPLS is configured with some optimization capability [VPLS-BCAST], the multicast frames can be delivered over a point-to-multipoint PW while unicast frames are carried over a point-to-point PW.

The scenario in Figure 3 can also be extended to multiple RBridge interconnections when a device serves both RBridge and PE functions. This use case is discussed in the following section.

Note: If the CEs associated with one VPLS instances happen to include some RBridges and some end stations or IEEE 802.1Q bridges to end stations, TRILL will, by default, be able to handle this by providing both through service and end station service. However, the end station addresses will be visible to the VPLS instance. If, in such a case, all the RBridge ports connected to the VPLS are configured as trunk ports (see Section 4.9.2 of [RFC6325]), then they will not provide any end station service.
2.3. Hierarchical L2VPN with RBridges and MPLS

H-VPLS in [RFC4762] describes a two-tier hierarchical solution for the purpose of pseudo wire (PW) scalability improvement. This improvement is achieved by reducing the number of PE devices connected in a full-mesh topology through connecting CE devices via the lower-tier access network, which in turn is connected to the top-tier core network. However, H-VPLS solutions in [RFC4762] require learning and forwarding based on customer MAC addresses, which poses scalability issues as the number of VPLS instances and customer MAC addresses increase. [PBB-VPLS] describes how to use PBB (Provider Backbone Bridges) at the lower-tier access network to solve the scalability issue, in which the transit network nodes only learn and forward on PBB port MAC addresses instead of customer MAC addresses.

RBridges over IP/MPLS provide an alternative solution for a scalable L2VPN over WAN networks. Figure 4 depicts the hierarchical L2VPN architecture with RBridge/MPLS technologies. An IP/MPLS network serves as the top-tier core network function while an RBridge campus serves as the low-tier access network function. A RB/PE enabled device is placed at the boundary between the two-tier networks. A PW is configured between each pair of PE components in the top-tier IP/MPLS network, which constructs a full mesh TRILL links among the RB/PE devices. The RBridge component on a RB/PE device and other RBridges at the same site serves as the low-tier access network. Customer CEs connect to RBridges at each site directly. This architecture provides E-LAN or E-VLAN connectivity among customer CEs connecting to the RBridge campus sites. The transit RBridge node only forwards and learns other RBridge addresses and the number of PWs in the top-tier core network is not relate to the number of devices connecting to Customer CEs. This makes the solution scale very well. In addition, TRILL technology already supports multi-TRILL links from one RBridge to one or multiple RBridges and prevents loops, which provides the flexibility to construct the networks based on their network condition. Figure 4 shows that one RBridge in site 1 connects two RB/PE devices and one RB/PE device connects two RBridges at Site 2 via Ethernet links.
The following advantages of using RBridge/MPLS based L2VPN: 1) Scalability improvement; 2) Auto-configuration; 3) Good efficiency and loop prevention; and 4) Multipath support.

The solution also has the following advantages: 1) Since RBridge terminates customer spanning tree protocol (STP), individual STPs in attached customer bridged LANs will be separated and will converge faster. 2) low over head per frame in number of added bytes and scalable routing computations; 3) MPLS just provides P2P PWs, MAC forwarding and learning does not exist within the MPLS network, thus multi-homing issue does not exist.

Note: it is good to mention another scenario when the device has both RB/PE capabilities, i.e. configure a VPLS instance among PE components in the top-tier network to provide a multi-access link to RBridge component on the RB/PE devices. Although this solution can also provide scalability, it requires both the RBridge component and VSI/PE component on a device to perform the same MAC forwarding and learning functions, which is redundant. The number of PWs configured in this case is the same as of the number of PWs in Figure 4. Thus, authors do not recommend this configuration. For the same reason, the use case in Section 2.2 is not viewed as the recommended L2VPN solution for the WAN networks. Instead, it is useful when a Core
Service Provider provides a VPLS service to the customer who needs to interconnect the RBridge campus sites over IP/MPLS PSN.

It is possible to construct a Tiered L2VPN in the combination of Figure 4 and 3, i.e. some locations use RB/PE enabled device and some location use separated RBridge and PE devices in a Hierarchical L2VPN. When using separated RBridge and PE devices at some locations, the MPLS network has to run a VPLS instance, which makes RB/PE devices perform MAC forwarding and learning function two times. In addition, it becomes operator responsibility to ensure that the top tiered MPLS core is fully surrounded by an RBridge campus. Missing configuration may increase the scalability problem in the core network.

Auto configuration for the Hierarchical L2VPN will be addressed in another draft.

3. RBridge Behavior for MPLS Pseudo Wire

This section describes RBridge behaviors for TRILL Ethernet or TRILL PPP links over MPLS pseudo wire (PW) as described in Sections 2.1.

1. For two RBridge ports connecting via a PPP PW, the ports MUST be configured as IS-IS point-to-point. Thus TRILL will use IS-IS P2P Hellos that, per "Point-to-Point IS to IS Hello PDU" (section 9.7 of [IS-IS]), do not use Neighbor TLVs in the same manner as on a multi-access link. However, per section 4.2.4.1 of [RFC6325], three-way IS-IS handshake using extended circuit IDs is required.

2. For two RBridge ports connecting via an Ethernet PW, it is RECOMMENDED that the ports be configured as IS-IS point-to-point for the same reason able. Note: an RBridge port by default supports multi-access links.

3. Any MPLS forwarder within an MPLS PSN does not change the TRILL Header Hop Count. RBridges is never aware of the packet forwards in MPLS PSN.

4. If it is desired for MPLS PSN to perform QoS in the same way as in the RBridge campus, RBridges MUST be configured to send an Outer.VLAN tag on the RBridge port. The PE can then copy the priority value from the Outer.VLAN tag to the COS filed of the PW label prior to the forwarding. [RFC5462]
5. TRILL MTU-probe and TRILL MTU-ack messages (section 4.3.2 of [RFC6325]) are not needed on a pseudo wire link. Implementations MUST NOT send MTU-probe and SHOULD NOT reply to these messages. The MTU pseudo wire interface parameter SHOULD be used instead. PE Must configure the MTU size as the originating Rbridges Size specified in Section 4.3.1 of [RFC6325].

4. Security Considerations

The IS-IS authentication mechanism [RFC5304] [RFC5310], at the TRILL IS-IS layer, can be used to prevent fabrication of link-state control messages over TRILL links including those discussed in this document.

For general TRILL protocol security considerations, see [RFC6325].

The use case does not introduce any security considerations for MPLS network.

5. IANA Considerations

No IANA action is required by this document.
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1. Introduction

The base TRILL protocol includes the way how RBridges determine the minimum inter-RBridge link size for the whole campus (campus-wide Sz), for the proper operation of TRILL IS-IS. According to [RFC6325], RBridges need to know the campus-wide Sz before they do the link MTU size testing. The link MTU size testing therefore depends on the campus-wide Sz collection.

[RFC6327] defines the diagram of state transitions of an adjacency. The "link MTU size is successfully tested (A6)" is an articulate transition between "2-way" state and "Report" state of an adjacency. It is not clear, in this draft, when an adjacency should start to synchronize LSP database.

This document analyzes the possible issues caused by the definition that link MTU size testing depends on campus-wide Sz collection. A new link MTU size negotiation mechanism is provided to solve the above problems.

1.1. Content

Section 2 analyzes the issues caused by the dependence on campus-wide Sz for link MTU size testing.

Section 3 defines a new IS-IS MTU negotiation mechanism to update [RFC6325].

Section 4 provides a method for link traffic MTU determination.

1.2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Issues of Link MTU Testing

Link MTU size testing is defined in Section 4.3.2 of [RFC6325]. If the link MTU size is smaller than campus-wide value of Sz, which is the smallest value of Sz advertised by any RBridge in its LSP [RFC6325], the link is not included in the global topology. If the link MTU size X of an adjacency is successfully tested (X >= campus-wide Sz), its state will move from 2-way to Report, which is defined in [RFC6327]. The link MTU size testing depends on the value of campus-wide Sz, which can be problematic. The issues causes by this dependence are given in the following subsections.
2.1. Global Dependence

![Diagram of network topology]

Figure 2.1: Adjacency global dependence

Take Figure 2.1 as an example, all the adjacencies are in report states. After RB4 leaves the campus, RB2 and RB3 find the campus-wide Sz grows. They test the MTU according to campus-wide Sz 1800. Since RB2 and RB3 is connected by a low-end bridge whose port MTU is 1700. The test will not be successful. This adjacency has to return to 2-way state. The state of an adjacency can be determined by another remote adjacency. The stability of the campus Sz can be terrible resulting in maintenance problems.

2.2. Concealing Wrong Configuration

Take Figure 2.2 as an example, the Sz value of RB3 is falsely configured to be greater than its port MTU. The link MTU testing is successful because the campus-wide Sz 1600 is smaller than the two port MTUs of the adjacency between RB2 and RB3. The adjacency will be in "Report" state. However, when RB4 leaves the campus and the campus-wide Sz is updated to 1800, the link MTU test of link RB2-RB3 cannot be successful.
3. TRILL IS-IS MTU Negotiation

It is improper to use campus-wide Sz in link MTU testing and LSP database synchronization. In order to solve the problems depicted in Section 2, this draft introduces a new value "Lz" which is the minimum acceptable inter-RBridge link size required by RBridges on a specific LAN link. Lz is used in link MTU size testing and LSP database synchronization to replace the role of campus-wide Sz. After link MTU size is successfully tested, the adjacency is changed to "Report" state.

3.1. Determination of Lz

RBridges on a LAN link should exchange their local Sz through LSPs using the originatingLSPBufferSize, TLV #14. The smallest value of these Sz is Lz. Therefore, Lz is actually a "link-wide Sz". It is different from the campus-wide Sz which is determined by having each RBridge in the campus advertise its own assumption of the value of Sz in LSPs as defined in Section 4.3.1 of [RFC6325].

The maximum size of some types of PDUs should be confined by Lz rather than campus-wide Sz because they are only exchanged between neighbors instead of the whole campus. CSNPs and PSNPs are such kind of PDUs. They are exchanged just on the link after a DRB is selected on the link.
Figure 3.1: Link MTU has to be negotiated

Even all RBriges on a specific LAN link have reached consensus on the value of Lz, it does not mean that these RBriges can safely exchange PDUs between each other. Take Figure 3.1 as an example. RB1, RB2 and RB3 are three RBriges on the same LAN link and their Sz are 1800, so the link-wide Sz of this LAN link is 1800. There is a bridge (say B1) between RB2 and RB3 whose port MTU size is 1700. If RB2 sends PDUs formatted in the size of 1800, it will be discarded by B1. Therefore the link MTU size has to be tested. Only after the link MTU size of an adjacency is successfully tested, these CSNP and PSNP PDUs will be formatted no greater than the tested link MTU size and will be safely transmitted on this link.

3.3. Link MTU Size Testing Algorithm

The link MTU size testing method given by the last paragraph of Section 4.3.2 of [RFC6325] is updated by the following Binary Search algorithm in which Lz is used in the testing instead of campus-wide Sz.

Step 0: RB1 sends an MTU-probe padded to the size of Lz.

1) If RB1 successfully receives the MTU-ACK to the probe of size Lz from RB2, then link MTU size is set to the size of Lz and stop.

2) RB1 tries to send an MTU-probe padded to the size 1470.

   a) If RB1 fails to receive an MTU-ACK from RB2 after k tries (where k is a configurable parameter whose default is 3), RB1 sets the "failed minimum MTU test" flag for RB2 in RB1’s Hello and stop.

   b) Link MTU size <-- 1470, X1 <-- 1470, X2 <-- Lz, X <-- [(X1 + X2)/2] (Operation "[...]" returns the fraction-rounded-up integer.). Repeat Step 1.
Step 1: RB1 tries to send an MTU-probe padded to the size X.

1) If RB1 fails to receive an MTU-ACK from RB2 after k tries, then:

\[ X_2 \leftarrow X \text{ and } X \leftarrow \left\lceil \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2} \right\rceil \]

2) If RB1 receives an MTU-ACK to a probe of size X from RB2 then:

\[ \text{link MTU size} \leftarrow X, X_1 \leftarrow X \text{ and } X \leftarrow \left\lceil \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2} \right\rceil \]

3) If \( X_1 \geq X_2 \) or Step 1 has been repeated \( n \) times (where \( n \) is a configurable parameter whose default is 5), stop. Else go to Step 1.

Since the execution of the above algorithm can be resource consuming, it is recommended that the DRB takes the responsibility to do the testing. If the testing is finished and the tested link MTU size is smaller than the original Lz and the minimum Sz that has been advertised to the DRB, the DRB should send the tested link MTU size as its local originatingLSPBufferSize in LSP number zero (shorted as LSP0). This will trigger other RBRidges on the link to update their Lz to be the size of the tested link MTU. Then CSNPs, PSNPs and LSPs used for synchronization can be rightly resized and successfully exchanged on the link.

3.4. Re-determining Campus-Wide Sz

RBRidges may join in or leave the campus from time to time. The campus-wide Sz can become outdated. Section 4.3.1 of [RFC6325] does not define when to re-determine the campus-wide Sz. The following suggestions are given for campus-wide Sz re-determination.

1) When a new RB whose Sz is smaller than current campus-wide Sz joins in the campus, it MUST report its Sz in an LSP which will cause other RBRidges update their campus-wide Sz. The LSPs in the campus will be resized to be no greater than the new campus-wide Sz.

2) When an RB whose Sz is right the campus-wide Sz leaves the campus, and the LSPs generated by this RBridge are purged from the remaining campus after reaching MaxAge [ISO10589]. The campus-wide Sz ought to be resized as well. Frequent LSP "resizing" is harmful to the stability of the whole campus, so it should be dampened. Within the two kinds of resizing actions, only the upward resizing will be dampened. When an upward resizing event happens, a timer is set (this is a configurable parameter whose default value is 300 seconds). Before this timer expires, all subsequent upward resizing will be dampened.
3) An RBridge may generate multiple LSPs. It is recommended that each
RBridge carries its Sz in LSP0 [ISO10589]. Otherwise, if Sz is
absent in LSP0, the campus-wide Sz will be set to a small value
1470 at the receiver RBridge [RFC6325]. When subsequent LSPs
carrying Sz arrives, the campus-wide Sz will be resized again.

3.5. Relationship between Port MTU and Sz

When port MTU size is smaller than the local Sz of an RBridge, this
port should be explicitly disabled from the TRILL campus. On the
other hand, when an RBridge receives an LSP with size greater than
its local Sz or the campus-wide Sz, this LSP should be normally
processed rather than discarded. If an LSP is larger than the MTU
size of a port over which it is to be propagated, no attempt shall be
made to propagate this LSP over the port and an
LSPTooLargeToPropagate alarm shall be generated [ISO10589].

3.6. LSP Synchronization

The DRB of a LAN link is elected as early as in the "Detect" state of
an adjacency. When a DRB is elected, it begins to send out CSNP to
synchronize the LSP database of the R Bridges attached to this LAN
link when the adjacency between this RBridge and the DRB moves to 2-
way state. If a non-DRB RBridge receives this CSNP and finds that
LSPx is not in its LSP database, it will send out PSNP to request
LSPx from the DRB. If a non-DRB receives this CSNP and finds that
LSPx is not in the LSP database of the DRB, it will also send out
LSPx to the DRB.

DRB and non-DRB on a link should start to synchronize LSP database
using CSNPs and PSNPs with a neighbor when the adjacency between them
moves to the 2-way state [RBclr]. The CSNPs and PSNPs should be
formatted in chunks of size at most Lz. Since the link MTU size has
not been tested, Lz may be greater than the actually the link MTU
size. In that case, an CSNP or PSNP may be discarded if its size is
greater than the link MTU size. After the link MTU size is
successfully tested, the adjacencies will begin to formatted these
PDUs in the size no greater than it, therefore these LSPs will
successfully get through.

4. Determining Link Traffic MTU Size

Campus-wide Sz is used to confine the size of the TRILL link state
information messages (LSPs). This value is different from the MTU
size that restricting the size of TRILL data frames. TRILL data frame
forwarded by an RBridge can be greater than the campus-wide Sz or Lz.
They are restricted by the physical links and devices.
The algorithm defined in link MTU size testing can also be used in TRILL traffic MTU size testing, only that Lz used in that algorithm should be replaced with the port MTU of the RBridge sending MTU probes. The successfully tested size X can be advertised as an attribute of this link using MTU sub-TLV defined in section 2.4 of [RBisis]. An end station may collect these values by TRILL ping or traceroute. Path MTU is the smallest tested link MTU on this path.

5. Security Considerations

This document raises no new security issues for IS-IS.

6. IANA Considerations

No new registry is requested to be assigned by IANA.
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