

# Multiplexing Multiple Media Types In a Single RTP Session

draft-lennox-rtcweb-rtp-media-type-mux-00

**Jonathan Lennox** [jonathan@vidyo.com](mailto:jonathan@vidyo.com)

Jonathan Rosenberg [jdrosen@skype.net](mailto:jdrosen@skype.net)

# Problem Statement

- We want to be able to send RTP sources of multiple media types over a single transport flow
  - For the reasons Magnus has mentioned
- Saying we want to send multiple RTP *sessions* is assuming the solution...

# Solution

- Just send sources of multiple media types in one media session.
- No new RTP-level standardization work needed (just ignore one SHOULD in RFC 3550).
- The SDP-level standardization work is roughly equivalent for every transport mechanism.
  - The BUNDLE group semantics is probably the right approach, unless we want to jettison backward compatibility.

# Source purposes

- Receivers need to know what a source is for, before receiving it.
- In some cases (media type mux), PT is sufficient.
- In some cases (e.g., CLUE) you need specific information about every source.
- Session-scoped purposes are probably actually a somewhat minor case, given source mux.

# Non-req: pure-transport translators

- Pure-transport translators can't reliably work for RTP negotiated with offer/answer.
  - Each receiver picks its own PT values, supported codecs, session bandwidth, SRTP keys.
  - So you have to re-write RTP headers, can't forward unknown RTCP extensions, and may need to terminate and re-send RTCP.
- Thus, requirements to support pure-transport translation between mux and non-mux aren't very compelling.

# Source-mux optimizations

- Want to avoid self-reporting and cross-reporting in RTCP
  - Reporting about your own sources, or duplicate reports from all of your sources about remote ones.
  - Uses quadratic amounts of RTCP bandwidth sending redundant or useless information.
  - Only really useful for pure-transport translators.
- This isn't specific to media type mux, so probably should be in a separate draft.