Port Control Protocol

draft-ietf-pcp-base-17

Dan Wing, Stuart Cheshire, Mohamed Boucadair, Reinaldo Penno, Paul Selkirk

Dan Wing dwing@cisco.com

IETF82 November 2011

draft-ietf-pcp-base – IETF82

WGLC Summary

- WGLC against -16
- Many comments
- Significant change: PCP Rapid Recovery in -17

– Stuart has separate presentation

Try forever

• -17 says retry PCP operation forever

- -18 will say: try PCP forever while you still care
 - i.e., while still running server, keep trying PCP

Unprocessed options

- Currently error/success are different
 - Error: payload simply copied
 - Success: unprocessed options indicated with UNPROCESSED option
- Proposal for -18:
 - Error: payload simply copied (same as -16)
 - Success: processed options included in response, unprocessed options removed from response
 - This removes the UNPROCESSED option

Clock Drift

- Drifting clocks in PCP client and PCP server
- Text changed between -16 and -17, on amount of allowed drift

 Stuart will to add text to -18 justifying clock drift based on NTP

Stuart has detail

"Implicit" and "Explicit"

 Intent: use dictionary sense of "implicit" and "explicit"

• Well captured in -17

PEER Delete a Mapping

- Changes exist between -16 and -17
- Outgoing TCP or UDP traffic has a minimum lifetime
 - E.g., 30 seconds
 - Sometimes lengthened based on bi-directional traffic
 - Already in -17 for MAP, if an implicit mapping already exists
- Proposal for -18:
 - PEER cannot shorten lifetime below its minimum lifetime

Other Diffs, -16 to -17

- CANNOT_PROVIDE_EXTERNAL_P
- Cleaned up ADDRESS_MISMATCH text
- Dropped detail on DS-Lite

 Move to draft-dupont-pcp-dslite
- Corrected to use NO_RESOURCE for MAP
- Re-ordered MAP processing slightly

Thank you