

# Revision of the Binary Floor Control Protocol (BFCP) for use over an unreliable transport

draft-ietf-bfcpbis-rfc4582bis-02

draft-ietf-bfcpbis-rfc4583bis-00

Tom Kristensen (editor)

IETF BFCPbis WG Session 2012-03-30

## Changes since 2012-02-21 interim

- Restructured as agreed
  - Merged in diff style changes
  - The motivation/rationale section kept as appendix for now
  - Added (non-normative) overview of changes from RFC 4582
- Editorial clarifications
- Bug fixes
  - Typos
  - (Asking for review of one fix on next slide)
- Raised on list
  - Added a new "unsupported version" error code
  - Renamed 'Transaction Initiator (I)' to 'Transaction Responder (R)' flag to better reflect usage
- The IETF diff tool identifies changes from RFC 4582

## Bug fix to review - home work

- Announced on list, no feedback. Anyway:
- When tweaking the RFC editor XML to generate the RFC 4582 text, a very likely error in the final RFC 4582 popped up.
- Corrected attribute type (Section 13.1.1):
  - Changed PARTICIPANT-PROVIDED-INFO to PRIORITY attribute in the ninth paragraph, since the note below describes priority and that the last paragraph deals with PARTICIPANT-PROVIDED-INFO.
- I will especially ask for review and confirmation of this assumption

## Changes from 2012-02-21 interim

- Restructured as agreed
  - Merged in diff style changes
  - Added (non-normative) overview of changes from RFC 4583
- Bug fixes
  - Errata ID: 712 - Editorial language clarification.
  - Use 'mstrm' both in BNF and example. s/m-stream/mstrm/
- The IETF diff tool identifies changes from RFC 4583

# New issue

## Data type clarifications of Conference ID and User ID

- Raised on list (with diff)
  - Make sure nobody thinks 'Conference ID = -17' is legal
  - Make sure nobody uses 'a=userid:0xa' to express 'User ID = 10'
- E.g. BENEFICIARY-ID and FLOOR-ID is specified as Unsigned16
- State these fields are unsigned integer values
- State the SDP attribute representation are decimal integer values

## Path forward

- WG chairs to populate the author list
- A need for more example signalling flows?
  - No demand from the interim meeting
- Please review the extensions and provide any feedback