

RFC 6195bis

Donald E. Eastlake, 3rd

d3e3e3@gmail.com

+1-508-333-2270



RFC 6195bis

- Primary motivation:
 - Make the RRTYPE allocation workflow correspond to reality.
 - Make it easier and faster to get ordinary RRTYPEs.
- Other changes:
 - Close the AFSDB sub-type registry.
 - Editorial improvements, update references

RFC 6195bis

- Additional Issue
 - Should Expert Review allocation of RRTYPEs be allowed if the RRTYPE includes optional additional section processing?
 - PRO: All previous versions of this RFC have allowed additional section processing as long as it is optional. This does not seem to have caused any problem.
 - CON: Additional section processing, even if optional, has deployment issues, makes testing harder, and may raise false hopes.

RFC 6195bis

- The current RFC 6195 RRTYPE allocation process is too complex and slow as well as being described in a way that does not track what is actually done.
- Everyone does not have to see every RRTYPE application.
- The cumbersomeness and delay the current process is an impediment to the allocation/use of new RRTYPEs.

RFC 6195bis

- The new provisions for RRTYPE allocation have words strongly recommending public posting, consultation, and discussion...
- BUT they also guarantee, as much as they can, that when you file a formal application template, you will get an definite answer in two week or less.

RFC 6195bis

- [draft-eastlake-dnsex-6195bis-01](#)
 - Posted before meeting, has some RRTYPE allocation and editorial changes
- `draft-ietf-dnsex-rfc6195bis-00.txt`
 - Posted this week, included more RRTYPE allocation and editorial changes and the AFSDDB sub-type registry closure