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Administrivia

- WG mailing list
  http://www.IETF.ORG/mail-archive/web/dnsop/

- WG tools site
  http://tools.IETF.ORG/wg/dnsop/

- Scribe N.N.

- Jabber Scribes N.N., N.N.
  xmpp: dnsop@jabber.ietf.org

- Remote Participation Pointers
  http://tools.ietf.org/agenda/83/#dnsop
http://tools.ietf.org/wg/dnsop/agenda

- 2) Status Report
- 3.1) Reverse DNS Naming Convention for CIDR Address Blocks
- 3.2) Considerations for TTL values for DNS infrastructure record types
Status Report

- draft-ietf-dnsop-rfc4641bis
- draft-ietf-dnsop-dnssec-dps-framework
Status/Attention

Prefix in Reverse

- draft-gersch-dnsop-revdns-cidr-01.txt
- Assume there was a use case
- Particular use case is not subject to discussion now
- Does the approach fit the architecture?
Prefix in Reverse

- Is there a problem to be solved?
- Is the problem well understood?
- Is there work for this WG to address?
- Is there a chance to reach consensus?
TTL considerations

- Long TTLs draft-pappas-dnsop-long-ttl
- Short TTLs draft-yoneya-dnssec-kskro-failure-recovery
- Discussion
TTL considerations

- Is there a problem to be solved?
- Is the problem well understood?
- Is there work for this WG to address?
- Is there a chance to reach consensus?
I/O with other WGs

SPFBIS „amplification“ side effects
See You in Vancouver!