Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design
- team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

MPLS Working Group

IETF 83 – Paris

Meetings in Paris

- MPLS, Tuesday, 09:00-11:30
- MPLS, Friday, 09:00-11:00

Agenda Bashing

- Please respect the time allocated to your presentation slot!

- The agenda is very tight!

- Fill in the Blue Sheets, and pass on! Return to WG Chairs

Agenda Bashing

- [Multiprotocol Label Switching WG](#)
- http://www.ietf.org/proceedings/83/agenda/agenda-83-mpls.txt

WG Status

- **3 New RFCs**
  - RFC 6445
    (draft-ietf-mpls-fastreroute-mib)
    Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Traffic Engineering
    Management Information Base for Fast Reroute (Proposed
    Standard)
  - RFC 6511
    (draft-ietf-mpls-rsvp-te-no-php-oob-mapping)
    Non-Penultimate Hop Popping Behavior and Out-of-Band
    Mapping for RSVP-TE Label Switched Paths (Proposed
    Standard)
  - RFC 6512
    (draft-ietf-mpls-mldp-recurs-fec)
    Using Multipoint LDP When the Backbone Has No Route to the
    Root (Proposed Standard)

WG Status

- **Drafts in RFC-Editor's queue**

  - none

WG Status

- **Drafts in IESG Processing**
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-mib-management-overview-07
    - Approved-announcement to be sent::Point Raised - writeup needed
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-oam-analysis-08
    - IETF LC ended March 23rd

WG Status

- **WG Drafts (not on the agenda)**
  - draft-ietf-mpls-gach-adv
    - Mostly complete. Should be ready for WG LC in April
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-ethernet-addressing
    - Mostly complete. Should be ready for WG LC in April
  - draft-ietf-mpls-ldp-gtsm
    - WG LC running until April 6th
  - draft-ietf-mpls-ldp-ip-pw-capability
    - New revision submitted Feb 2012. Ready for WG LC according to authors
  - draft-ietf-mpls-lsp-ping-ttl-tlv
    - Authors believe it is ready for WG LC
  - draft-ietf-mpls-mldp-in-band-signaling
    - WG Last Call was done October 2011. Received one comment. Addressed in -05 release.
    - Authors think the draft is ready to move to IESG
  - draft-ietf-mpls-seamless-mcast
    - No answer given to e-mail …
  - draft-ietf-mpls-seamless-mpls
    - updated version published, addressing all comments from the mailing list
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-temporal-hitless-psm-00
    - Stable to some extent. Some clarifications regarding relationship between proposed monitoring and existing monitoring are necessary.

WG Status

- **WG Drafts (not on the agenda)**
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-csf
    - No answer given to e-mail …
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-itu-t-identifiers
    - Minor changes. Need a feel from the room. Should be ready for WG LC
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-mip-mep-map
    - Fairly stable. Authours would welcome more feedbacks. Not enough for the moment
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-ring-protection
    - Updated following comments received during WG adoption poll. Should be ready for WG LC around Vancouver
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-rosetta-stone
    - One more revision needed, before asking for WG LC. Need to verify terminology against G.8101
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-te-mib
    - New version published, incorporating comments received. MIB is read only
    - -03 to be expected before asking for WG LC
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-use-cases-and-design
    - Ready for WG LC according to authors
  - draft-ietf-mpls-return-path-specified-lsp-ping
    - No answer given to e-mail …

IPR

- All who participate in the IETF MUST understand and comply with IPR rules

  - See documents referenced in 'note well' slide

- This applies to ALL of us as individuals

- Violations of these rules can significantly delay document progression

- The chairs may be sending 'reminder' emails before progressing documents

  - You MUST comply with IETF rules regardless of whether you see a reminder email

Miscellaneous

- Verify email addresses in drafts.
  Recent partial failures for the following aliases:
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-use-cases-and-design@tools.ietf.org
  - draft-ietf-mpls-tp-ring-protection@tools.ietf.org
  - draft-ietf-mpls-lsp-ping-ttl-tlv@tools.ietf.org