RFC4601bis Update

draft-ietf-pim-rfc4601bis-01

Paris, March 2012

Vero Zheng (Huawei) Jeffrey Zhang (Juniper) Rishabh Parekh (Cisco)

Motivation

 Advancing PIM specification from Proposed Standard to Internet Standard (RFC6410)

Work & Plan

- -00 adopted as WG draft early Oct 2011
- -01 draft with minor changes
- Future version will deal with the references and security section
- Survey:
 - Vendors & Operators
 - Implementations & Deployments, Operational experiences
 - Survey Questionnaire finalized
- Implementation & Deployment Report

Next Steps

- Stig & Mike will issue the survey shortly (April)
- Marshall will help to collect the responses as the neutral third-party (June)
- Responses analysis & initial report (August)

Thanks!

- Questions for operators:
 - 1. Have you deployed PIM-SM in your network?
 - 2. How long have you had PIM-SM deployed in your network? Do you know if your deployment is based on the most recent RFC4601?
 - 3. Have you deployed PIM-SM for IPv6 in your network?
 - 4. Are you using equipment with different (multivendor) PIM-SM implementations for your deployment?

- Questions for operators:
 - 5. Have you encountered any inter-operability or backward-compatibility issues amongst differing implementations? If yes, what are your concerns about these issues?
 - 6. Have you deployed both dense mode and sparse mode in your network? If yes, do you route between these modes using features such as *,*,RP or PMBR?

- Questions for operators:
 - 7. To what extent have you deployed PIM functionality, like e.g. BSR, SSM, and Explicit Tracking?
 - 8. How many RPs have you deployed in your network?
 - 9. If you use Anycast-RP, is it Anycast-RP using MSDP (RFC 3446) or Anycast-RP using PIM (RFC 4610)?
 - 10.Do you have any other comments on PIM-SM deployment in your network?

Questions for implementers:

- 1. Have you implemented PIM-SM?
- 2. Is the PIM-SM implementation based on RFC 2632 or RFC 4601?
- 3. Have you implemented (*,*, RP) state of RFC 4601? What is the rationale behind implementing or omitting (*,*,RP)?
- 4. Have you implemented the PMBR as specified in RFC 4601 and RFC 2715? What is the rationale behind implementing or omitting PMBR?

- Questions for implementers:
 - 5. Have you implemented other features and functions of RFC 4601, e.g.
 - SSM
 - Join Suppression
 - Explicit tracking
 - Register mechanism
 - SPT switchover at last-hop router
 - Assert mechanism
 - Hashing of group to RP mappings

- Questions for implementers:
 - 6. Does your PIM-SM implementation support IPv6?
 - 7. Have you encountered any inter-operability issues with other PIM implementations in trials or in the field?
 - 8. Do you have any other comments or concerns about PIM-SM as specified in RFC4601?