

Naming and Service Discovery

What's the difference?

Stuart Cheshire, Apple

HOMENET, 84th IETF, Vancouver, 31st July 2012

Naming vs. Service Discovery

Summary:
Largely The Same Thing

Single Answer vs. Multiple Answers

- Naming — Single Answer
- Service Discovery — Multiple Answers
- But... with multi-homed hosts,
and/or IPv4/IPv6 dual-stack hosts,
name queries also return multiple answers
- So maybe that's not a difference

Naming or Service Discovery?

- Distinction is fuzzy:
www.apple.com.
time.apple.com.
mail.apple.com.
imap.apple.com.
smtp.apple.com.
ldap.apple.com.
- Are those host names, or service discovery?

Naming Comes in Many Guises

- DNS
 - Maps textual name to IP address(es)
- IPv4 ARP / IPv6 ND
 - Maps IP address to MAC address

Naming Comes in Many Guises

- Service Discovery
 - Maps conceptual service to instance name(s)
- DNS
 - Maps textual name to IP address(es)
- IPv4 ARP / IPv6 ND
 - Maps IP address to MAC address

Multicast vs. Repository

- Multicast (or Broadcast)
 - Good for zero configuration
 - Tends to be wasteful for name lookup
- Repository
 - More efficient
 - Requires infrastructure

Multicast vs. Repository

- But... sometimes the Multicast/Repository distinction is fuzzy
- E.g. ARP is defined as a broadcast protocol
- But... it's actually implemented on many Wi-Fi access points as a repository
- So... maybe the Multicast/Repository distinction is not an important difference either

Local vs. Global

- Local naming can be (mostly) zero-configuration
 - e.g. “.local” means names on local link
- Global names need to be globally-unique
 - Need user-involvement for meaningful names
 - A random hex string may be globally-unique, but not very useful
- This issue applies to both naming and service discovery

Naming & Service Discovery

Conclusion:

More similar than different.

We should take advantage
of that similarity.