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The Dream

No matter how many boxes you have
And how you connect them

- Networks shall have address space
- Routers shall know where to send packets
- Names resolve to addresses
- Human touch is not required
  [Especially by my mother!]
OSPF-Based Home Networking

ISP interface
- IPv6 forwarding
- DHCPv6 PD

OSPF extensions for
- Defaults
- Router ID autoconfig
- Prefix assignment

Home GW

Guest segment

Private segment

Home automation segment

WLAN segment
Status Report

Two implementations up and running!

Generally, seems to work well

But implementations are early & incomplete

The protocol design is still morphing
(but that was the point of these exercises)
Basic Approach

- Bootstrap OSPFv3 itself using draft-acee
- Discover an aggregated prefix for the home (e.g., via DHCPv6 PD)
- Flood the aggregated prefix to all routers via OSPFv3, using a TLV within the AC LSA
- Run a distributed algorithm to assign /64 prefixes out of the aggregated prefix to all subnets
- Flood these assignments via OSPFv3, using another TLV within the AC LSA
- Assignments registered in flash memory (stability)
- Prefixes can be used for normal IPv6 traffic, NAT64, sensor GWs, ...
Draft-02 Updates

- Added an algorithm to generate ULAs (S. 7)
- Replaced the old algorithm for prefix assignment with a new one (S. 6.3)
- Added an explicit discussion of hysteresis (S. 8)
- Added a requirement to support DNS discovery (S. 4.2)
- Described the design choices (S. 5)
- Added Benjamin as an author
- Various small bug fixes and editorial changes
Prefix Assignment Algorithm in Draft-02

- Assigns /64 prefixes out of an aggregated prefix (e.g., a /56)
- One or several routers in the network know the aggregated prefix(es), all routers co-operate to make the assignments
- The algorithm is triggered by changes in the LSA database or the set of interfaces this router has
- Benjamin's thesis demonstrates some properties relating to the algorithm (convergence, some aspects of correctness, ...)


Experiences

Here are some experiences:

- The technology seems to work as intended
- Our understanding of the problems developed as the work continued, e.g., on conflicts, naming, interfaces
- Relatively easy to implement, 2-4 KLOC on top of an existing OSPFv3 implementation
- It is important to think about interfaces to other systems
Interactions with Other Parts

- Manual Prefix & DNS configuration
- ISP DHCP PD
- DNS Discovery Daemon (DDD)
- OSPFv3
- RADVD
- NAT64
- ULA generation
Topics for Further Discussion 1

- Hysteresis, algorithms, ULA generation probably need more review & experience
- Interactions with other systems need to be described in greater detail
- One aspect of basic network service is name resolution. How does a host deep in the network resolve, e.g., www.ietf.org?
  - Not an OSPF problem
  - I discover name servers by looking at DHCP, RAs, and other means in my implementation
  - (But if a router discovers a DNS server, how does it tell other routers about this find?)
Topics for Further Discussion 2

- Do we need a priority mechanism to decide allocations when there is not enough space?
  - Or even (shock!) a > 64 bit prefix solution?

- Alternative designs for LSAs used by the algorithm:
  - Approach 1: TLVs within the AC LSA (draft-02)
  - Approach 2: Just use intra-area-prefix LSAs
  - Approach 3: A provisional assignment LSA followed by actual allocation LSAs (app. 1 or 2)