

OCSF Digest

Phillip Hallam-Baker
Rob Stradling

What

- Extension specifies the certificate whose status is being reported

Why?

- Algorithm Agility
 - SHA-2 Certificates break legacy browsers
 - SHA-2 Digest extension does not
- Transparency
 - Allows any party to audit OCSP responder
 - May become a CA requirement.
 - Does responder know if the certificate exists?
 - Have they been compromised like DigiNotar?

How?

- Lack of digest = 'I do not know'

Against?

- Means that the responder must have more information than CRLs provide.
 - This is not a concern for a public CA.
 - Transparency is not a concern for non-CA responders
 - Can obtain the necessary data from other sources
 - LDAP
 - New scheme

Possible extension

- Range response
 - No certs exist in the range X through Y
 - Enables static signed response for 'not valid'
 - Tree walking might be an issue
 - But Public Cas likely to be forced to be public