IW10 WGLC

draft-ietf-tcpm-initcwnd-04.txt

J. Chu, N. Dukkipati, Y. Cheng, M. Mathis
hkchu, nanditad, ycheng, mattmathis@google.com
Feedback on the -03 draft

• Make the Experimental status more clear
  – IW10 draft is not replacing RFC3390
  – More experiments are needed to further validate IW10

• Abstract and Introduction sections have been revised accordingly

• Need a fallback mechanism if IW10 is too much
Feedback on the -03 draft (cont’)

• Revised section 12 “Usage and Deployment Recommendations”
  – Better spell out performance metrics to monitor
  – sender SHOULD cache metrics and falls back to RFC3390 if there is evidence of performance issues from IW10

• Difficult to get more specific
  – without introducing complexity
  – No experience in how to choose thresholds