

Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: April 18, 2013

O. Troan
Cisco
October 15, 2012

Naming and Service Discovery in the Home Network
draft-troan-homenet-naming-and-sd-00

Abstract

This memo describes how simple naming and service discovery is done in the home network.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 18, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|---|
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| 2. Requirements Language | 3 |
| 3. Terminology | 3 |
| 4. Problems and Requirements | 3 |
| 5. Security Considerations | 3 |
| 6. IANA Considerations | 3 |
| 7. Acknowledgements | 3 |
| 8. Normative References | 3 |
| Author's Address | 3 |

1. Introduction

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

3. Terminology

4. Problems and Requirements

5. Security Considerations

6. IANA Considerations

7. Acknowledgements

8. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

Author's Address

Ole Troan
Cisco
Oslo,
Norway

Email: ot@cisco.com

