URI Bulk Third-Party Registration Experience

• Wikipedia listed many unregistered URI schemes in use
• List discussion in July confirmed we should do 3\textsuperscript{rd} party registrations
  • Want IANA registry to be the location people look, not Wikipedia
  • Verified on list that mailing list review optional for provisional registrations
• Gave designated expert a heads up on 8/6
• Requested 69 prov. schemes 8/31, Alexey requested 7 more on 9/7
  • Omitted a few we knew were already in the process of being registered
• On 9/21 IANA responded with a question asking whether it was ok to add a warning about unknown security impact of one scheme (bitcoin)
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• Pointed out RFC4395 (and 4395bis) say will register within 2 weeks unless expert says otherwise
  • IANA agreed and immediately registered (possible text changes afterwards ok)
  • Takeaway: no need to change 4395bis registration process even for bulk registration

• Wikipedia got updated almost immediately too

• At least one URI scheme owner (bitcoin) noticed
  • Positive impact: They will go through the registration process

• Another 69 unregistered schemes are listed at http://www.w3.org/wiki/UriSchemes
  • What should we do with them? Same thing?