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Note Well

The brief summary:
• This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances. The IETF's IPR Policy is set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.
• By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.
• If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.
• You understand that meetings might be recorded and broadcast.
• For further information:
  • Talk to a chair, ask an Area Director, or review BCP 9 (on the Internet Standards Process), BCP 25 (on the Working Group processes), BCP 78 (on the IETF Trust), and BCP 79 (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF).
Agenda

• Administrivia (5 min) Blue sheets, minutes taker, jabber proxy, jabber scribe?
• Note Well
• Agenda bashing
• WG doc status (20 min)
  - open issues with the Use Cases and Requirements document
  - summary on the agreements regarding the solution document
• http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-vchen-paws-protocol-00.txt (Vince, 60 min)
• time permitting: http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-wu-paws-secutity-00.txt (Yang, 20 min)
WG Doc status -1-

I-D: Problem statement, use cases and requirements

http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-ietf-paws-problem-stmt-usecases-rqmts-08.txt

received AD comments which were not addressed by the WG yet

- Use case 1 and use case 2: partial overlap, architecture same, messaging slightly different(?) eg, reg and validation req in one, not req in the other (text doesn’t capture these!)

- Lots of mostly editorial work: Some redundant text to be removed; Reformulations required in quite many places; Etc.

- Need an editor to take care of these quickly, any volunteers?
The non-controversial parts of the two individual submissions
http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-das-paws-protocol-02.txt and
http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-wei-paws-framework-00 have
been merged into:
http://www.ietf.org/id/draft-vchen-paws-protocol-00.txt

Agreed on the list: existence of INIT messages, JSON encoding,
no shared secret based authentication

Chair asked if there are objections to adopt as a wg document.
No objections received.
Next steps

• DB Discovery
  – Any volunteers to write that up?