

A nighttime photograph of a building with a prominent tower. The tower is illuminated with a vertical strip of blue and red lights. The building's facade is lit up with blue lights, creating a grid-like pattern. The text "RTCWEB" is overlaid in large white letters, and "IETF 85" is overlaid in smaller white letters below it. The background is dark, with some other lights visible in the distance.

RTCWEB

IETF 85

NOTE WELL

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) (updated by [RFC 4879](#)).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

AGENDA Day 1

1. 9:00 WG Administrative and Status Update 15 minutes (WG chairs)
 - a. Report from WebRTC F2F (Harald)
 - b. Other IETF WG updates (Various)
2. IETF IPR rules (Scott Bradner) 10 mins + discussion
3. JSEP Document Update (non-SDP) (Justin) 15 mins + 10 mins discussion
4. JSEP/SDP discussion (Justin) 30 mins + 30 mins
5. Constraints registry (Dan) 10 minutes 10 minute discussion

AGENDA Day 2

1. 9:00 Admin issues (5 min)
2. Stats registry (Harald) 10 mins + 10 mins discussion
3. Video Codec Presentations (50 min)
 - a. draft-alvestrand-rtcweb-vp8-00 (20 mins)
 - b. draft-burman-rtcweb-h264-proposal-00+draft-dbenham-webrtc-videomti-00+draft-marjou-rtcweb-video-codec-00 (30 mins)
4. General discussion (30 min)
5. Call the question of which mandatory to implement video codec to select (5 min)
6. Next steps (20 min)
7. Issues list from WEBRTC meeting with remaining time.

Consensus Call

1) If you support H.264 as the mandatory to implement codec or are willing to live with it as the MTI, please raise your hand now.

2) If you support VP8 as the mandatory to implement codec or are willing to live with it as the MTI, please raise your hand now.

You may raise your hand more than once and we encourage you to do so if you can live with either, even if you have a preference for one over the other

Interim Meeting Topics

- SDES/Security discussion
- SDP deep dive