

CoRE Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: August 29, 2013

C. Bormann  
Universitaet Bremen TZI  
February 25, 2013

Representing CoRE Link Collections in JSON  
draft-bormann-core-links-json-02

Abstract

Web Linking (RFC5988) provides a way to represent links between Web resources as well as the relations expressed by them and attributes of such a link. In constrained networks, a collection of Web links can be exchanged in the CoRE link format (RFC6690). Outside of constrained environments, it may be useful to represent these collections of Web links in JSON format (RFC4627).

This specification defines a common format for representing Web links in JSON format.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 29, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction . . . . .	2
1.1. Objectives . . . . .	2
1.2. Terminology . . . . .	3
2. Web Links in JSON . . . . .	3
2.1. Examples . . . . .	4
3. IANA Considerations . . . . .	5
4. Security Considerations . . . . .	5
5. Acknowledgements . . . . .	5
6. References . . . . .	5
6.1. Normative References . . . . .	5
6.2. Informative References . . . . .	5
Appendix A. Implementation . . . . .	6
Author's Address . . . . .	6

## 1. Introduction

Web Linking [RFC5988] provides a way to represent links between Web resources as well as the relations expressed by them and attributes of such a link. In constrained networks, a collection of Web links can be exchanged in the CoRE link format [RFC6690] to enable resource discovery.

Outside of constrained environments, it may also be useful to represent the same collections of Web links in the widely used JSON format [RFC4627]. When converting between these two formats, as usual, there are many little decisions that have to be made. If left without guidance, it is likely that a number of slightly incompatible dialects will emerge.

This specification defines a common format for representing CoRE Web Linking in JSON format.

Note that there is a separate question on how to represent Web links out of JSON documents, as discussed e.g. in [MNOT11]. While there are good reasons to stay as compatible as possible to developments in this area, the present specification is solving a different problem.

### 1.1. Objectives

(TBD: Convert the shopping list into plaintext)

- o Canonical mapping
  - \* lossless round-tripping
  - \* but not trying for bit-preserving (DER-style) round-tripping
- o The simplest thing that could possibly work
  - \* Do not cater for RFC 5988 complications caused by HTTP header character set issues [RFC2047]
- o Consider other work that has links in JSON, e.g.: JSON-LD, JSON-Reference [I-D.pbryan-zyp-json-ref]
  - \* Do not introduce unmotivated differences

## 1.2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] when they appear in ALL CAPS. These words may also appear in this document in lower case as plain English words, absent their normative meanings.

## 2. Web Links in JSON

The objective of the JSON mapping defined in this document is to contain information of the formats specified in [RFC5988] and [RFC6690]. This specification therefore uses the names of the ABNF productions used in those documents.

An application/link-format document is a collection of web links ("link-value"), each of which is a collection of attributes ("link-param") applied to a "URI-Reference".

We straightforwardly map:

- o the outer collection to an array of links
- o each link to a JSON object.

In the object representing a "link-value", each target attribute or other parameter ("link-param") is represented by a JSON name/value pair (member). The name is a string representation of the parameter or attribute name (as in "paramname"), the value is a string representation of the parameter or attribute value ("ptoken" or "quoted-string"). "quoted-string" productions are parsed (i.e, the backslash constructions evaluated) as defined in [RFC6690] and its

referenced documents, before placing them in JSON strings (where they may gain back additional decorations such as backslashes as defined in [RFC4627]).

If a Link attribute ("parmname") is present more than once in a "link-value", its values are then represented as a JSON array of JSON string values; this array becomes the value of the JSON name/value pair where the attribute name is the JSON name. Attributes occurring just once MUST NOT be represented as JSON arrays but MUST be directly represented as JSON strings. (Note that the most recent version of link-format has cut down on the use of repeated parameter names; they are still allowed by [RFC5988] though. No attempt has been made to decode the possibly space-separated values for rt=, if=, and rel= into JSON arrays.)

The URI-Reference is represented as a name/value pair with the name "href" and the URI-Reference as the value. (Rationale: This usage is consistent with the use of "href" as a query parameter for link-format query filtering and with link-format reserving the link parameter "href" specifically for this use [RFC6690]).

(TBD: Should we do something special with the "hosts" relation? Should we include an anchor where the link-format does not explicitly set one?)

## 2.1. Examples

```
</sensors>;ct=40;title="Sensor Index",
</sensors/temp>;rt="temperature-c";if="sensor",
</sensors/light>;rt="light-lux";if="sensor",
<http://www.example.com/sensors/tl23>;anchor="/sensors/temp"
;rel="describedby",
</t>;anchor="/sensors/temp";rel="alternate"
```

Figure 1: Example from page 15 of [RFC6690]

becomes

```
"[{\"href\":\"/sensors\",\"ct\":\"40\",\"title\":\"Sensor Index\"},{\"href\":\"/sensors/temp\",\"rt\":\"temperature-c\",\"if\":\"sensor\"},{\"href\":\"/sensors/light\",\"rt\":\"light-lux\",\"if\":\"sensor\"},{\"href\":\"http://www.example.com/sensors/tl23\",\"anchor\":\"/sensors/temp\",\"rel\":\"describedby\"},{\"href\":\"/t\",\"anchor\":\"/sensors/temp\",\"rel\":\"alternate\"}] "
```

(More examples to be added.)

### 3. IANA Considerations

(TBD. All the Media Type boilerplate, too, for:)

application/link-format+json

### 4. Security Considerations

(TBD.)

### 5. Acknowledgements

(TBD.)

### 6. References

#### 6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC4627] Crockford, D., "The application/json Media Type for JavaScript Object Notation (JSON)", RFC 4627, July 2006.
- [RFC5988] Nottingham, M., "Web Linking", RFC 5988, October 2010.
- [RFC6690] Shelby, Z., "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Link Format", RFC 6690, August 2012.

#### 6.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-core-coap] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., Bormann, C., and B. Frank, "Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", draft-ietf-core-coap-13 (work in progress), December 2012.
- [I-D.pbryan-zyp-json-ref] Bryan, P. and K. Zyp, "JSON Reference", draft-pbryan-zyp-json-ref-03 (work in progress), September 2012.
- [MNOT11] Nottingham, M., "Linking in JSON", November 2011, <[http://www.mnot.net/blog/2011/11/25/linking\\_in\\_json](http://www.mnot.net/blog/2011/11/25/linking_in_json)>.
- [RFC2047] Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text", RFC 2047, November 1996.

## Appendix A. Implementation

This appendix provides a simple reference implementation of the mapping between CoRE link format and Links-in-JSON.

(TBD - the reference implementation was used to create the above examples, but I still have to clean it up for readability and paste it in at 69 columns max.)

## Author's Address

Carsten Bormann  
Universitaet Bremen TZI  
Postfach 330440  
Bremen D-28359  
Germany

Phone: +49-421-218-63921  
Email: cabo@tzi.org