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Note Well

• Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
  – the IETF plenary session,
  – any IETF working group or portion thereof,
  – the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
  – the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
  – any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself,
  – any working group or design team list,
  – or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
  – the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

• All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

• A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

• A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Status

1. Requirements document:
   – revised but still needs to identify security threats and where the solution will be described.
   – Need a volunteer (other than a chair)

2. Use Cases:
   – needs a keep-alive revision

3. Framework document (near completion)
   – Document structure – phase 1 rework completed
   – Remaining issues being addressed (note: chairs need to close relevant issues in tracker)
Status - solutions

2. RTP document: agreed as a WG document - draft-ietf-clue-rtp-mapping-00.txt

2. Individual documents in various stages:
   – Data model is being evolved as FW evolves
   – Signaling discussion continues - what information can be communicated using SDP and what should be in CLUE specific signaling
Agenda – Monday (09:00-11:30)

- 09:00-09:10 Agenda and Status (Chairs)
- 09:15-10:00 Framework (Mark Duckworth)
- 10:00-10:30 Data Model (Simon Pietro-Romano)
- 10:30-11:30 Signaling:
  - Overview/Issues (Paul Kyzivat)
  - CLUE SDP Interaction (Rob Hansen)
Agenda - Thursday (13:00-15:00)

- 13:00-13:10  Agenda bash (Chairs)
- 13:10-13:40  Impact of MMUSIC/RTCWEB discussions on CLUE SDP usage (TBD)
- 13:40-14:10  Signaling Solutions for CLUE (TBD)
- 14:10-14:50  Issue Review (Chairs)
- 14:50-15:00  Way forward (chairs)
Something Clear from CLUE